8/5/24, 3:34 PM OneNote

Lecture 4 (context free languages)

Thursday, February 23, 2023 11:16 AM

- · Context free langs
 - O Using grammar rules to define a lang
 - o Context free grammars: Definitions and more examples
 - O Regular langs and regular grammars
 - o Derivation trees and ambiguity
 - o Simplified forms and normal forms
- Using grmamer rules to define a lang
 - o Reg languages and FA too simple
 - Using context free grammars lets us describe more interesting langs
 - Much high IvI pgrming lang syntax can be expressed with context-free grammars
 - Context-free grammars with very simple form given another way to describe the reg lang
 - · Terminal symbols and stuff
 - Recursive rule
 - Termination and recursive state
 - o Grammars can be ambiguous
 - O Usually use a(^n)b(^n), pumping lemma shows not regular lang
 - o Grammer is set of rules, usually simpler than those of English, by which strings in a lang can be generated
 - o Recursive case, then termination case

Consider the language $AnBn = \{a^nb^n \mid n \ge 0\}$, defined using the recursive definition:

- □ For every $S \in AnBn$, $aSb \in AnBn$

0

- If alpha and beta are strings, alpha...alpha => beta means beta is got from a in one step, from rule replace single occurrence of S by either lambda or aSb (rule can be states as S-> lambda laSb)
- o S sometimes called erasing rules
- o | means such that, or 'or'
 - Means option
 - Means such that
- o Lft side has same non-terminal var
 - Lft side is called nonterminal
 - Rght side is terminal
- Def and more Ex
 - o Def

Definition: A context-free grammar (CFG) is a 4-tuple $G=(V, \Sigma, S, P)$, where V and Σ are disjoint finite sets, $S \in V$, and P is a finite set of formulas of the form $A \to \alpha$, where $A \in V$ and $\alpha \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$.

- $\ \square$ Elements of Σ are terminal symbols, or terminals, and elements of V are variables, or nonterminals.
- S is the start variable, and elements of P are grammar rules, or productions.
 - $\ \ \Box$ We use \rightarrow for productions in a grammar and \Rightarrow for a step in a derivation.
- □ The notations $\alpha \Rightarrow^n \beta$ and $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$ refer to *n* steps and zero or more steps, respectively.

o ∘ ⇒

- This indicates a derivation in a certain grammar G
- Context free = left side (A) can be applied wherever A occurs in the string (no matter context)
- O Automaton was 5 tuple, grammar is 4 tuple
- Elements of sigma are terminal symbols (terminals or letters), elements of V are variables (nonterminal)
- O S is start var, elements of P are grammar rules, or productions
 - Use -> for productions in a grammar, => means step in a derivation
 - Many arrows means thick derivation, can grow to word with 1 var, stop when case reached
- O Def of result/derive/single step in a derivation
 - A=>B means strings a1, a2, and gamma in (V U sigma)*
- o Context free means...it don't need context
- o Lang generated def
 - **Definition:** If $G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$ is a CFG, the language generated by G is

 $L(G) = \{ x \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow_G^* x \}$

(S is the start variable, and x is a string of terminals). \blacksquare

A language L is a *context-free language* (CFL) if there is a CFG G with L = L(G).

- O AEqB = $\{x \text{ (is element of) } \{a,b\}^* \mid n_a(x) = n_b(x)\}$
 - Make CFG for AEqB

0

0

What is the meaning of context free?

□ **Answer:** What makes the grammar *context-free* is that the production above, with left side A, can be applied wherever A occurs in the string (irrespective of the context; i.e., regardless of what α_1 and α_2 are).

Definition: If $G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$ is a CFG, the language generated by G is

$$L(G) = \{ x \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow_G^* x \}$$

(S is the start variable, and x is a string of terminals). \blacksquare

A language L is a *context-free language* (CFL) if there is a CFG G with L = L(G).

- Ex: AEgB(literally A equals B)= look at pic
 - S -> lambda | aB | bA
 - A -> a | bAA
 - B -> b|aBB

Consider $AEqB = \{x \in \{a,b\}^* \mid n_a(x) = n_b(x)\}$

Let us design a CFG for AEqB.

If x is a non-null string in AEqB then either x = ay, where $y \in L_b = \{z \mid n_b(z) = n_a(z) + 1\}$, or x = by, where $y \in L_a = \{z \mid n_a(z) = n_b(z) + 1\}$.

- o Theorem:
- If L1 and L2 (2 languages) are CFL's (context free langs) over sigma, then so are L1 U L2, L1L2, and L1*
 - All the U, Concatenation, and * are closed under these operations under these languages
- Reg Langs and Reg Grammars
 - o Prove by structural induction that every reg lang over sigma is a CFL

Definition 4.13: A context-free grammar is *regular* if every production is of the form $A \to \sigma B$ or $A \to \Lambda$.

- What is the regular grammar corresponding to the FA on the right? (get a *)
- Let S, A, B, C, and D be the non-terminals corresponding to states q₀, q₁, q₂, q₃, and q₄.
- $= S \rightarrow aA \mid aB \mid bD$
 - $A \rightarrow aS$
 - $= B \rightarrow aC$
 - $C \rightarrow bS$
 - \square D \rightarrow Λ
- o o Slide 17
- Deviation Trees and Ambiguity

Definition 4.18: A CFG G is ambiguous if, for at least one $x \in L(G)$, x has more than one derivation tree (or equivalently, according to Theorem 4.17, more than one LMD).

- O Root node reps start var
- Interior node and its kids rep a production A -> alpha used in the derivation, node reps A and kids (left to right), rep symbols in alpha

0

8/5/24, 3:34 PM OneNote

```
o It goes to second if statement
            o 2 derivation trees, 2 interpretations of dangling else
            o Grammar is ambiguous, but can let correct interpretation w/ equivalent grammars
            O S goes to S2, goes to if(E)S, goes to if(E)S1, goes to
                   Consider the CFG G: S-> S+S|S*S|(S)|a
            0
                    redesign bc PEMDAS
• Simplified Forms and Normal Forms
            o unambiguous CFG making L(G)
                    ex: grammar w/ no sigma productions and no unit productions, can deduce no derivation of
                     astring x can take more than 2|x| - 1
                    //Simplified & Normal Forms
            0
                    productions A->BCDC, B->lamda, and C-> lambda
            0
            0
                    B and C are nullable variable
                      Suppose we have the productions A \to BCDC, B \to \Lambda,
                     and C \to \Lambda.
                      \Box If we get rid of \Lambda-productions, then the steps that replace
                             B and C by \Lambda will no longer be possible, but we must still
                            be able to get all the same non-null strings from A.
                      We must retain the production A \rightarrow BCDC but we
                      should add instead:
                       \Box A \rightarrow CDC \mid BDC \mid BCD \mid DC \mid CD \mid BD \mid D.
            0
            0
                    Definition__
                        Definition 4.26: A recursive definition of the set
                        of nullable variables of G.
                        □ If there is a production A \rightarrow \Lambda then A is nullable.
                        □ If A_1, A_2, ..., A_k are nullable variables and there is a
                               production B \rightarrow A_1 A_2 \dots A_k, then B is nullable.
            0
                  leads immediately to algorithm for id the null vars
      Slide 35
       Ex:
            O Consider G', 2 unit productions, I think | means or
                        Let G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, \{S \rightarrow aSb \mid \Lambda\}).
                        (get a star) What is the equivalent CFG without Λ-productions?
                    The last lambda just becomes |ab
            0
                        (get a star) How about G = (\{S, A\}, \{a, b, c\}, S, \{S \rightarrow cS \mid aAb,
                        A \rightarrow aAb \mid \Lambda\})?
                    Again, just |ab
            0
                       (get a star) What is the language generated by the second CFG?
                       L(G) = \{c^m a^n b^n \mid m \ge 0, \, n \ge 1\}. \blacksquare
            0
                       Let us consider G' = (\{S, A, C\}, \{a, b, c\}, S, \{S \rightarrow C,
                       C \rightarrow cC \mid A, A \rightarrow aAb \mid ab\}).
                       We have two unit productions: S \to C, and C \to A.
                       We get two trivial derivations: S \Rightarrow C, C \Rightarrow A and by
                       transitivity, we get a new S-derivable one: S \Rightarrow^* A.
                      The equivalent CFG is given by:
                     G' = (\{S, A\}, \{a, b, c\}, S, \{S \rightarrow cC \mid aAb \mid ab, C \rightarrow cC \mid aAb \mid ab, C
                            aAb \mid ab, A \rightarrow aAb \mid ab).
      Simplified and normal forms
             O Chomsky normal form, if every productions is of one of these two types:

    A-> BC (B and C are vars

    A-> sigma (where delta is a terminal)

            o For every context-free grammar G, there is another CFG G1 in Chomsky normal form such
                    that L(G1) = L(G) - \{A\}
                    First step is elim lambda production and unit productions
                   second step is intro every terminal symbol sigma a new var Xsigma and production Xsigma
```

https://lamar0-my.sharepoint.com/:o:/r/personal/akalathra_lamar_edu/_layouts/15/Doc.aspx?sourcedoc=%7B960230E6-581E-4031-945D-DBB67AB1...

3/4

8/5/24, 3:34 PM OneNote

- o Every production, replace every terminal by new var (except for new productions above)
- Replace a production like A -> BACB by the productions A->BY1, Y1->AY2, Y2->CB, where Y1
 and Y2 are new vars
- CFG in Chomsky normal form is CNF
- · Ex of getting a CNF
 - Good luck
 - $\circ G = (\{S,X\}, \{a,b\}, S, \{S \rightarrow ab \mid aXb, X \rightarrow ab \mid aXb\})$
 - 2

Replace each terminal symbol by non-terminal symbol and a rule that goes into the terminal symbol:

- \Box S \rightarrow AB | AXB, X \rightarrow AB | AXB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b
- The rules S \rightarrow AB, X \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b are already in Chomsky Normal Form.
- We need to transform the other rules, these are, $S \to AXB$, $X \to AXB$.

The rule $S \to AXB$ is transformed into $S \to AY$, $Y \to XB$.

The rule $X \to AXB$ is transformed into $X \to AZ$, $Z \to XB$.

Note: Since XB repeats twice, we can have instead $X \rightarrow AY$.

- The final CFG: S \rightarrow AB | AY, Y \rightarrow XB, X \rightarrow AB | AY, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b.
- Summary

0

- Context-Free Languages
- Using Grammar Rules to Define a Language
- Context-Free Grammars: Definitions and More Examples
- 3. Regular Languages and Regular Grammars
- 4. Derivation Trees and Ambiguity
- 5. Simplified Forms and Normal Forms