1 Migrations

Table 1: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

Dependent variable:			
# Hispanic Migrants			
(1)	(2)	(3)	
-0.078 (0.108)	-0.123 (0.096)	-0.120 (0.096)	
-0.003^* (0.002)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	
-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)	
-0.0003 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)	
0.164*** (0.017)	0.131*** (0.021)	0.094*** (0.026)	
0.150*** (0.023)	0.128*** (0.020)	0.125*** (0.021)	
	1.328*** (0.295)	1.611*** (0.329)	
	1.485*** (0.293)	1.481*** (0.318)	
		0.407** (0.193)	
		0.003 (0.087)	
4,062 0.103 0.101	4,062 0.156 0.154	4,062 0.158 0.156	
	# E (1) -0.078 (0.108) -0.003* (0.002) -0.004*** (0.001) -0.0003 (0.001) -0.001*** (0.0002) 0.164*** (0.017) 0.150*** (0.023)	# Hispanic Migra (1) (2) -0.078	

1

Table 2: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

Dependent variable:				
# I	# Hispanic Migrants			
(1)	(2)	(3)		
-0.140 (0.152)	-0.194 (0.144)	-0.193 (0.144)		
-0.004^* (0.002)	-0.007^{***} (0.002)	-0.007^{***} (0.002)		
-0.007^{**} (0.003)	-0.004 (0.003)	-0.004 (0.003)		
-0.0003 (0.002)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)		
-0.001^{***} (0.0004)	-0.002^{***} (0.0004)	-0.002^{***} (0.0004)		
0.253*** (0.041)	0.169*** (0.023)	0.153*** (0.030)		
0.182*** (0.035)	0.181*** (0.030)	0.181*** (0.034)		
	2.324*** (0.389)	2.471*** (0.411)		
	1.276** (0.602)	1.253** (0.584)		
		0.181 (0.196)		
		-0.015 (0.192)		
1,659 0.153	1,659 0.236	1,659 0.236		
	# I (1) -0.140 (0.152) -0.004* (0.002) -0.007** (0.003) -0.0003 (0.002) -0.001*** (0.0004) 0.253*** (0.041) 0.182*** (0.035)	# Hispanic Migr (1) (2) -0.140		

Table 3: Effect of TV on Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dep</i>	pendent varia	ble:
	# Hispanic Migrants		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Dummy: Destination Outside TV Contour	-0.387^{***}	-0.286***	-0.280***
	(0.048)	(0.044)	(0.044)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Origin	-0.003**	-0.004***	-0.004***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Destination	0.001	-0.002^*	-0.002
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance from Contor to Origin (KM)	0.001	0.003*	0.003
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Distance from Contour to Destination (KM)	-0.001	0.002	0.002
· ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Origin Log(Population)	0.146***	0.161***	0.150***
	(0.020)	(0.017)	(0.021)
Destination Log(Population)	0.150***	0.136***	0.125***
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.016)
Origin % Hispanic		0.792***	0.881***
		(0.103)	(0.141)
Destination % Hispanic		1.485***	1.573***
		(0.122)	(0.141)
Origin Log(Income)			0.093
			(0.094)
Destination Log(Income)			0.090
			(0.078)
Observations	8,479	8,479	8,479
\mathbb{R}^2	0.093	0.148	0.149
Adjusted R^2	0.092	0.147	0.147

Table 4: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

# Hispanic Migrants			
-0.410^{***} (0.088)	-0.356^{***} (0.082)	-0.349^{***} (0.081)	
-0.007^{***} (0.003)	-0.008^{***} (0.003)	-0.008^{***} (0.003)	
-0.002 (0.002)	-0.004^{**} (0.002)	-0.004^* (0.002)	
0.002 (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)	
0.001 (0.002)	0.004 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	
0.179*** (0.019)	0.181*** (0.016)	0.175*** (0.019)	
0.115*** (0.018)	0.117*** (0.017)	0.102*** (0.020)	
	1.384*** (0.183)	1.428*** (0.205)	
	0.813*** (0.182)	0.949*** (0.203)	
		0.041 (0.099)	
		0.138 (0.109)	
4,338 0.079	4,338 0.127	4,338 0.127	
	# F (1) -0.410*** (0.088) -0.007*** (0.003) -0.002 (0.002) 0.002 (0.002) 0.179*** (0.019) 0.115*** (0.018)	# Hispanic Migra (1) (2) -0.410*** -0.356*** (0.088) (0.082) -0.007*** -0.008*** (0.003) (0.003) -0.002 -0.004** (0.002) (0.002) 0.001 0.004 (0.002) (0.002) 0.179*** 0.181*** (0.019) (0.016) 0.115*** (0.016) 0.115*** (0.017) 1.384*** (0.183) 0.813*** (0.182)	

Table 5: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		migLog	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-0.246^{***}	-0.326***	-0.346***
	(0.055)	(0.048)	(0.049)
origLogPop	0.216***	0.196***	0.163***
	(0.030)	(0.018)	(0.025)
$\operatorname{destLogPop}$	0.211***	0.196***	0.173***
J 1	(0.031)	(0.028)	(0.030)
origpcHisp		1.540***	1.749***
		(0.216)	(0.228)
$\operatorname{destpcHisp}$		1.790***	1.979***
		(0.165)	(0.177)
m origLogInc			0.344*
			(0.179)
$\operatorname{destLogInc}$			0.216**
			(0.092)
$ m mi_to_county$	-0.0005***	-0.001^{***}	-0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	-1.646***	-1.463***	-6.115***
	(0.607)	(0.369)	(1.537)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
\mathbb{R}^2	0.130	0.204	0.207
Adjusted R^2	0.129	0.203	0.205
Residual Std. Error	1.137 (df = 3699)	1.088 (df = 3697)	1.087 (df = 3695)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 6: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		mig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-138.970***	-160.743^{***}	-164.748***
	(50.833)	(55.860)	(58.288)
origLogPop	55.128***	49.692***	54.916***
3 3 2	(16.276)	(10.915)	(17.009)
$\operatorname{destLogPop}$	79.360**	75.183**	72.917**
.	(31.339)	(29.864)	(28.813)
origpcHisp		424.714***	380.709***
		(149.604)	(130.054)
destpcHisp		490.885***	518.338***
		(145.334)	(159.358)
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-58.140
			(90.270)
$\operatorname{destLogInc}$			29.220
_			(25.991)
$ m mi_to_county$	-0.181***	-0.219***	-0.220***
	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.065)
Constant	-1,446.295***	-1,395.887***	-1,156.459**
	(520.832)	(457.051)	(584.710)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
\mathbb{R}^2	0.045	0.064	0.064
Adjusted R^2	0.044	0.062	0.062
Residual Std. Error	646.360 (df = 3699)	640.108 (df = 3697)	640.222 (df = 3695)

Table 7: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		revMig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-272.468***	-302.891***	-290.716***
	(87.512)	(96.017)	(95.484)
origLogPop	161.229***	136.370***	138.851***
	(59.972)	(40.537)	(47.270)
destLogPop	148.127**	144.794**	156.419**
5 -	(63.158)	(64.019)	(66.248)
origpcHisp		894.758**	890.891***
		(372.920)	(323.861)
destpcHisp		683.396***	574.860***
		(191.365)	(178.543)
origLogInc			-17.479
			(161.210)
destLogInc			-121.820**
g			(62.089)
mi_to_county	-0.442**	-0.504^{***}	-0.506***
·	(0.176)	(0.172)	(0.172)
Constant	-3,472.526**	-3,281.295***	$-2,122.032^*$
	(1,386.592)	(1,181.058)	(1,169.812)
Observations	1,526	1,526	1,526
\mathbb{R}^2	0.091	0.118	0.119
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.089	0.115	0.114
Residual Std. Error	1,015.579 (df = 1521)	1,001.034 (df = 1519)	1,001.478 (df = 1517)

Note: p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 8: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

		Dependent variable:	
		migLog	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-0.336***	-0.325***	-0.346***
	(0.036)	(0.037)	(0.037)
origLogPop	0.208***	0.206***	0.157***
	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.018)
destLogPop	0.131***	0.136***	0.111***
	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.016)
origpcHisp		0.076	0.383
<u>,</u>		(0.268)	(0.272)
destpcHisp		-0.284^{*}	-0.130
• •		(0.153)	(0.155)
m origLogInc			0.498***
			(0.123)
destLogInc			0.202***
_			(0.060)
mi_to_county	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
-	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00003)
Constant	0.173	0.151	-5.613***
	(0.226)	(0.227)	(1.029)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
\mathbb{R}^2	0.086	0.086	0.091
Adjusted R^2	0.085	0.086	0.090
Residual Std. Error	1.164 (df = 16208)	1.164 (df = 16206)	1.161 (df = 16204)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 9: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		mig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-115.357***	-122.427^{***}	-125.001***
	(15.867)	(18.276)	(17.904)
origLogPop	48.124***	44.512***	34.444***
	(8.114)	(5.138)	(6.009)
destLogPop	52.948***	51.614***	47.937***
	(10.943)	(10.697)	(11.042)
origpcHisp		238.308*	304.169***
4		(123.072)	(116.669)
$\operatorname{destpcHisp}$		160.862*	180.496**
		(84.827)	(87.786)
origLogInc			103.236***
0 0			(36.142)
destLogInc			27.392
G			(26.837)
mi_to_county	-0.175***	-0.193***	-0.193***
Ü	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.028)
Constant	-997.115***	-953.661***	$-2,029.962^{***}$
	(200.369)	(167.388)	(272.762)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
\mathbb{R}^2	0.060	0.065	0.066
Adjusted R ²	0.060	0.064	0.066
Residual Std. Error	411.701 (df = 16208)	410.745 (df = 16206)	410.443 (df = 16204)

2 Donations

Table 10: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	Dependent variable:			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.016***	0.013***	0.012***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)		(0.0001)	
Distance to Roundary (KM)	0.0004*	0.0004**	0.001**	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	(0.0004)		(0.0002)	
	()	()	()	
Log(Population)	0.081***	0.083^{***}	0.058***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
County % Hispanic		0.083***	0.264***	
		(0.007)	(0.008)	
Log(Ingomo)			0.00003***	
Log(Income)			(0.00003)	
			(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.019	0.019	0.022	
Adjusted R^2	0.019	0.019	0.022	
Note:	*1	p<0.1; **p<	(0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 11: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.019***	0.010***	0.007***	0.005***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0001	0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Log(Population)		0.081***	0.084***	0.058***
,		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
County % Hispanic			0.084***	0.265***
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			(0.007)	(0.008)
Log(Income)				0.00003***
200(111001110)				(0.00000)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011
\mathbb{R}^2	0.009	0.018	0.019	0.022
Adjusted R^2	0.009	0.018	0.019	0.022

Table 12: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	${\rm donations_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.192*** (0.007)	0.147^{***} (0.007)	0.198*** (0.008)	0.178^{***} (0.009)	
distance	-0.0001 (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.003*** (0.0005)	0.005*** (0.001)	
logPop		1.000*** (0.008)	1.017*** (0.008)	0.826*** (0.009)	
pcHispanic			-1.025^{***} (0.074)	0.660*** (0.085)	
income				0.0001*** (0.00000)	
intersects:distance	0.006*** (0.0002)	0.0003^* (0.0002)	-0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)	
Constant	-4.620^{***} (0.024)	$-16.151^{***} \\ (0.103)$	-16.310^{***} (0.106)	$-16.149^{***} (0.106)$	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	619,011 -44,877.170 89,762.330	619,011 -35,054.140 70,118.280	619,011 -34,949.340 69,910.690	619,011 -34,232.540 68,479.090	

Table 13: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.007	0.003	0.002	
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	
Ü	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0004	0.0005	0.001	
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Log(Population)	0.052***	0.055***	0.037***	
,	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
County % Hispanic		0.101***	0.225***	
		(0.019)	(0.022)	
Log(Income)			0.00002***	
			(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Note	*n		0.05· ***n<0.01	

Table 14: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	Dependent variable:				
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	-0.008**	-0.014***	-0.019***	-0.020***	
•	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0002	0.0004**	0.0004***	0.0004***	
2.1504.1100 00 2.04.144.1. (11.1.2)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Log(Population)		0.053***	0.056***	0.038***	
		(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
County % Hispanic			0.106***	0.229***	
country // Impulie			(0.019)	(0.022)	
Log(Income)				0.00002***	
208(111001110)				(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Adjusted R^2	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	

Table 15: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	Dependent variable:				
	donations_dum				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.236***	0.213***	0.154***	0.136***	
	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.022)	(0.023)	
distance	0.007***	0.008***	0.007***	0.011***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
logPop		1.148***	1.128***	0.884***	
3 1		(0.023)	(0.022)	(0.025)	
pcHispanic			0.950***	3.770***	
r			(0.178)	(0.222)	
income				0.0002***	
				(0.00001)	
intersects:distance	0.006***	-0.001***	-0.001	0.0004	
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0005)	
Constant	-7.117***	-20.667***	-20.463***	-21.125***	
J	(0.075)	(0.309)	(0.303)	(0.323)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011	
Log Likelihood	-7,703.642	-6,092.903	-6,079.403	-5,842.863	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	15,415.280	12,195.810	12,170.810	11,699.730	

Table 16: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	2.941***	2.506**	2.175**	
	(1.079)	(1.093)	(1.072)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.049	-0.039	-0.059	
· ·	(0.083)	(0.083)	(0.082)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.061	0.062	0.068	
	(0.123)	(0.123)	(0.120)	
Log(Population)	12.674***	12.919***	8.877***	
,	(0.586)	(0.595)	(0.674)	
County % Hispanic		9.646**	37.604***	
		(4.019)	(4.584)	
Log(Income)			0.004***	
()			(0.0004)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.193	0.194	0.226	
Adjusted R ²	0.191	0.192	0.224	

Table 17: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	Dummy: Hispanic Campaign Contributo		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	1.767***	1.342*	1.191*
	(0.682)	(0.690)	(0.684)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.012	-0.003	-0.012
	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.052)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.024	0.025	0.028
	(0.078)	(0.077)	(0.077)
Log(Population)	6.643***	6.881***	5.039***
,	(0.371)	(0.376)	(0.430)
County % Hispanic		9.393***	22.133***
1		(2.538)	(2.923)
Log(Income)			0.002***
			(0.0002)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
\mathbb{R}^2	0.140	0.143	0.161
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.138	0.141	0.159

Table 18: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.966	0.610	0.454	
	(0.777)	(0.787)	(0.781)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.066	-0.057	-0.067	
į į	(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.060)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.090	0.091	0.093	
,	(0.088)	(0.088)	(0.088)	
Log(Population)	5.182***	5.382***	3.480***	
,	(0.422)	(0.428)	(0.491)	
County % Hispanic		7.899***	21.049***	
1		(2.895)	(3.340)	
Log(Income)			0.002***	
@()			(0.0003)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.078	0.080	0.095	
Adjusted R^2	0.076	0.078	0.093	

Table 19: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	Dummy: Hispanic Campaign Contribute		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.153	0.049	0.014
	(0.181)	(0.183)	(0.182)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003	0.005	0.003
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.009	0.009	0.009
,	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)
Log(Population)	1.274***	1.333***	0.900***
,	(0.098)	(0.100)	(0.114)
County % Hispanic		2.305***	5.296***
· ·		(0.673)	(0.777)
Log(Income)			0.0005***
			(0.0001)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
\mathbb{R}^2	0.084	0.087	0.102
Adjusted R^2	0.082	0.085	0.100

Table 20: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
intersects	5.098***	4.214***	3.896***	0.364		
	(0.780)	(0.819)	(0.804)	(1.107)		
distance	0.0001*	0.0001**	0.0001***	0.00005		
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
logPop	15.750***	16.071***	10.445***	9.941***		
	(0.746)	(0.750)	(0.905)	(0.909)		
pcHispanic		23.154***	56.794***	58.746***		
		(6.660)	(7.252)	(7.238)		
income			0.005***	0.005***		
			(0.0005)	(0.0005)		
intersects:distance				0.0002***		
				(0.00003)		
Constant	-161.767***	-167.135***	-170.310***	-162.019***		
	(8.086)	(8.217)	(8.062)	(8.231)		
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819	2,819		
R^2	0.189	0.193	0.224	0.230		
Adjusted R ²	0.189	0.192	0.223	0.228		
77 /		d.				

Table 21: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
intersects	2.667***	1.164	0.765	0.352		
	(0.879)	(0.828)	(0.843)	(0.827)		
distance	0.016	0.042	0.047	0.056*		
	(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)		
logPop		12.723***	12.976***	8.956***		
		(0.587)	(0.595)	(0.675)		
pcHispanic			10.041**	37.894***		
			(4.022)	(4.589)		
income				0.004***		
				(0.0004)		
intersects:distance	0.314***	0.191***	0.195***	0.186***		
	(0.031)	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.029)		
Constant	4.694**	-125.783***	-129.868***	-140.110***		
	(1.863)	(6.266)	(6.472)	(6.404)		
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.080	0.190	0.192	0.223		
Adjusted R ²	0.080	0.189	0.190	0.222		

Table 22: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

(1) 8.178 (7.072)	(2) -7.089	nations_d (3)	(4)
8.178		(3)	(4)
	7.080		(*/
(7.072)	-1.009	-5.547	-10.352^*
(1.012)	(6.387)	(6.505)	(6.216)
0.144	0.407^{*}	0.389	0.495**
(0.269)	(0.242)	(0.242)	(0.232)
	129.217***	128.239***	81.414***
	(4.524)	(4.591)	(5.070)
		-38.745	285.640***
		(31.032)	(34.482)
			0.050***
			(0.003)
3.645***	2.394***	2.379***	2.283***
(0.246)	(0.225)	(0.226)	(0.215)
66.618***	-1.258.542***	-1.242.780***	-1.362.060***
(14.980)	(48.317)	(49.935)	(48.115)
3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
0.119	0.286	0.287	0.350
0.118	0.286	0.286	0.349
	3.645*** (0.246) 66.618*** (14.980) 3,479 0.119	$\begin{array}{cccc} (0.269) & (0.242) \\ & & 129.217^{***} \\ & & (4.524) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 23: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius Placebo

		Dependent variable:				
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
intersects	26.508***	31.467***	28.248***			
	(5.249)	(5.515)	(5.272)			
distance	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)			
logPop	144.097***	142.299***	85.334***			
	(5.021)	(5.052)	(5.939)			
pcHispanic		-129.855***	210.748***			
		(44.853)	(47.579)			
income			0.051***			
			(0.003)			
Constant	-1,443.829***	-1,413.722***	-1,445.873***			
	(54.422)	(55.337)	(52.896)			
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.274	0.276	0.340			
Adjusted R^2	0.274	0.275	0.339			
Residual Std. Error	379.873 (df = 2815)	379.376 (df = 2814)	362.391 (df = 2813)			
F Statistic	$354.664^{***} (df = 3; 2815)$	$268.791^{***} (df = 4; 2814)$	$289.855^{***} (df = 5; 2813)$			

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 24: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 25 KM Radius

		Dependent variable:				
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
intersects	3.923***	2.809^*	2.497*			
	(1.361)	(1.480)	(1.458)			
distance	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)			
logPop	18.511***	19.150***	12.433***			
	(1.677)	(1.708)	(2.050)			
pcHispanic		23.632*	66.660***			
-		(12.407)	(14.338)			
income			0.006***			
			(0.001)			
Constant	-200.071***	-208.550^{***}	-209.086***			
	(18.347)	(18.855)	(18.563)			
Observations	1,007	1,007	1,007			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.147	0.150	0.177			
Adjusted R^2	0.144	0.147	0.173			
Residual Std. Error	75.485 (df = 1003)	75.387 (df = 1002)	74.217 (df = 1001)			
F Statistic	$57.630^{***} (df = 3; 1003)$	$44.243^{***} (df = 4; 1002)$	$43.086^{***} (df = 5; 1001)$			

Table 25: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	donations				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.155	-0.461	-0.788	-0.981	
	(0.607)	(0.597)	(0.607)	(0.603)	
distance	0.00002	0.00003	0.00004	0.00004*	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
logPop		5.214***	5.421***	3.534***	
		(0.423)	(0.429)	(0.492)	
pcHispanic			8.196***	21.271***	
			(2.897)	(3.344)	
income				0.002***	
				(0.0003)	
intersects:distance	0.0002***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
Constant	1.352	-52.121***	-55.455***	-60.263***	
	(1.287)	(4.514)	(4.661)	(4.666)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
$ m R^2$	0.034	0.075	0.077	0.092	
Adjusted R ²	0.034	0.074	0.076	0.091	

Table 26: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	$\rm donations_d$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	-0.148	-2.648	-3.011	-4.185	
	(2.857)	(2.822)	(2.875)	(2.838)	
distance	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
logPop		21.158***	21.389***	9.942***	
		(1.999)	(2.029)	(2.315)	
pcHispanic			9.130	88.426***	
			(13.713)	(15.745)	
income				0.012***	
				(0.001)	
intersects:distance	0.001***	0.0005***	0.0005***	0.0004***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Constant	3.590	-213.396***	-217.110***	-246.268***	
	(6.052)	(21.349)	(22.067)	(21.969)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
$ m R^2$	0.023	0.054	0.054	0.080	
Adjusted R^2	0.022	0.053	0.053	0.078	

Table 27: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Depender	nt variable:	
_		donatio	ons_dum	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.240***	0.144*	0.126	0.110
	(0.066)	(0.080)	(0.083)	(0.085)
distance	0.022*	0.036***	0.035***	0.038***
	(0.011)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.014)
dist2	-0.0002**	-0.0004***	-0.0004***	-0.0004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
logPop		1.108***	1.108***	0.872***
0 1		(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.068)
pcHispanic			0.316	2.125***
1			(0.436)	(0.519)
income				0.0002***
				(0.00003)
intersects:distance	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)
intersects:dist2	0.0002**	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	-3.278***	-15.972***	-15.986***	-15.837***
	(0.226)	(0.790)	(0.789)	(0.790)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
Log Likelihood	-833.426	-591.832	-591.574	-572.170
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,678.852	1,197.663	1,199.148	1,162.339

Table 28: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

		Dependen	nt variable:		
-	${\rm donations_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.240*** (0.066)	0.144* (0.080)	0.126 (0.083)	0.110 (0.085)	
distance	0.022* (0.011)	0.036*** (0.013)	0.035*** (0.013)	0.038*** (0.014)	
dist2	-0.0002^{**} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	
logPop		1.108*** (0.060)	1.108*** (0.060)	0.872*** (0.068)	
pcHispanic			0.316 (0.436)	2.125*** (0.519)	
income				0.0002*** (0.00003)	
intersects:distance	0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 (0.006)	
intersects:dist2	0.0002** (0.0001)	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	0.0001 (0.0001)	
Constant	-3.278*** (0.226)	-15.972^{***} (0.790)	-15.986^{***} (0.789)	-15.837*** (0.790)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	3,479 -833.426 1,678.852	3,479 -591.832 1,197.663	3,479 -591.574 1,199.148	3,479 -572.170 $1,162.339$	
Note:		*n/(1. **n<0.05	5· ***n<0.01	

Table 29: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	${\rm donations_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.114**	0.035	0.016	-0.002	
	(0.052)	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.065)	
distance	-0.0003	0.001	0.001	0.003	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
logPop		1.099***	1.100***	0.863***	
		(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.068)	
pcHispanic			0.396	2.192***	
			(0.431)	(0.515)	
income				0.0002***	
				(0.00003)	
intersects:distance	0.015***	0.009***	0.010***	0.010***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
Constant	-2.963***	-15.351***	-15.390***	-15.214***	
	(0.152)	(0.740)	(0.741)	(0.737)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
Log Likelihood	-837.460	-595.663	-595.251	-575.786	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,682.920	1,201.326	1,202.503	1,165.571	

3 Education

Table 30: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	Dependent variable:					
		рсНі	$_{ m isp_ged}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV	-0.010	-0.023	-0.022	0.009		
	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.041)	(0.029)		
origdist	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)		
$\operatorname{origLogPop}$		0.002	0.003	0.011		
		(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.009)		
origpcHisp		0.472***	0.458***	0.363***		
_		(0.107)	(0.131)	(0.091)		
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-0.015	0.049		
_			(0.077)	(0.054)		
$pcTot_ged$				0.734***		
				(0.036)		
TV:origdist	0.004***	0.004***	0.004***	0.003**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Constant	0.168***	0.096	0.221	-0.659		
	(0.028)	(0.127)	(0.655)	(0.458)		
Observations	401	401	401	401		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.036	0.084	0.084	0.558		
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.029	0.073	0.070	0.550		
Residual Std. Error	0.304 (df = 397)	0.297 (df = 395)	0.297 (df = 394)	0.207 (df = 393)		
F Statistic	$4.988^{***} (df = 3; 397)$	$7.276^{***} (df = 5; 395)$	$6.055^{***} (df = 6; 394)$	$70.892^{***} (df = 7; 39)$		

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.05 Distance in KM, 100 KM cuto

"Distance in KM, 100 KM cutoff. Demographic controls at county level. Errors clustered by school district"

4 Firms

Table 31: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	Dependent variable:				
		pcF	Hisp_ged		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV	-0.002	-0.019	-0.017	0.019	
	(0.047)	(0.048)	(0.049)	(0.030)	
origdist	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	
origLogPop		-0.001	0.001	0.006	
		(0.013)	(0.017)	(0.010)	
origpcHisp		0.533***	0.515***	0.336***	
		(0.125)	(0.158)	(0.095)	
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-0.017	0.073	
			(0.094)	(0.057)	
$\operatorname{pcTot_ged}$				0.898***	
				(0.039)	
TV:origdist	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)	
Constant	0.165***	0.122	0.265	-0.865^{*}	
	(0.034)	(0.160)	(0.795)	(0.480)	
Observations	300	300	300	300	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.004	0.065	0.065	0.664	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	-0.006	0.049	0.046	0.656	
Residual Std. Error	0.333 (df = 296)	0.324 (df = 294)	0.324 (df = 293)	0.195 (df = 292)	
F Statistic	0.409 (df = 3; 296)	$4.059^{***} (df = 5; 294)$	$3.377^{***} (df = 6; 293)$	$82.309^{***} (df = 7; 292)$	

 $^*\mathrm{p}{<}0.1;~^{**}\mathrm{p}{<}0.05;~^{***}\mathrm{p}{<}0.01$ Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 32: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		$\operatorname{pcHisp_gifted}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV	-0.004*	-0.010***	-0.012***	-0.005***		
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)		
origdist	-0.00001	-0.00001	0.00000	-0.00002		
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00002)		
origLogPop		0.004***	0.002***	0.006***		
		(0.0005)	(0.001)	(0.0004)		
origpcHisp		0.008*	0.028***	-0.014***		
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)		
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			0.019***	-0.040***		
			(0.004)	(0.003)		
pcTot_gifted				0.796***		
. 0				(0.005)		
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.00004		
Ü	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00004)		
Constant	0.066***	0.023***	-0.136***	0.305***		
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.033)	(0.023)		
Observations	28,228	28,228	28,228	28,228		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529		
Adjusted R ²	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529		

Table 33: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	t variable:				
		$\operatorname{pcHisp_gifted}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV	-0.008***	-0.015***	-0.017^{***}	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)			
origdist	-0.0001**	-0.0002**	-0.0001**	-0.0001			
J	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00005)			
$\operatorname{origLogPop}$		0.004***	0.002***	0.006***			
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)			
origpcHisp		0.010**	0.032***	-0.011***			
OI I		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)			
origLogInc			0.020***	-0.037***			
0 0			(0.004)	(0.003)			
pcTot_gifted				0.799***			
I G				(0.005)			
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.00002			
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Constant	0.067***	0.025***	-0.145***	0.278***			
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.034)	(0.023)			
Observations	22,788	22,788	22,788	22,788			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.013	0.015	0.017	0.575			
Adjusted R ²	0.013	0.015	0.016	0.575			

p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 34: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	t variable:		
	$\operatorname{pcHisp_gifted}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$\overline{ ext{TV}}$	-0.006***	-0.015***	-0.013***	-0.006***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
origdist	-0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0001	
_	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	
origLogPop		0.004***	0.006***	0.006***	
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
origpcHisp		0.016***	-0.001	-0.009**	
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)	
origLogInc			-0.016***	-0.034***	
			(0.004)	(0.003)	
pcTot_gifted				0.797***	
1 0				(0.006)	
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.0001	
Ü	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Constant	0.067***	0.020***	0.154***	0.252***	
	(0.001)	(0.007)	(0.037)	(0.026)	
Observations	16,844	16,844	16,844	16,844	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514	
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514	

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 Distance in KM, 25 KM cutoff

Table 35: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Depender	nt variable:	
		hisp_harass	VicRaceRat	se e
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.043	0.074**	0.065^{*}	0.069^{*}
	(0.033)	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.036)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.002^*	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.002**
_ ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001*	0.002**	0.002**	0.002**
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Log(Population)		-0.056***	-0.061***	-0.060***
,		(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.013)
% County Hispanic		-0.217***	-0.169**	-0.167**
		(0.039)	(0.072)	(0.070)
Log(Income)			0.051	0.059
-8((0.052)	(0.051)
# Teachers at School				-0.001**
11				(0.0003)
Observations	44,681	44,681	44,681	44,681
\mathbb{R}^2	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 36: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic # Harassment Victims)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# Hispanic Victims of Harassment)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.003** (0.001)	0.002^* (0.001)	0.002^* (0.001)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0001** (0.00002)	-0.00005^* (0.00002)	-0.00005^* (0.00002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)		
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.012	0.016	0.023		
Adjusted R ²	0.012	0.016	0.023		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 37: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic # Harassment Perpetrators)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Hispanic Perpetrators of Harassment)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.00001	-0.00001	-0.00000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0003***	-0.0003***	-0.0003***
,	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
# Hispanic Students	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
,,	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
\mathbb{R}^2	0.014	0.016	0.022
Adjusted R ²	0.014	0.016	0.021
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 38: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.011^{**} (0.005)	-0.018^{***} (0.005)	-0.016^{***} (0.005)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.002***	-0.002***		
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.003*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)		
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864		
R^2 Adjusted R^2	$0.321 \\ 0.321$	$0.348 \\ 0.348$	$0.407 \\ 0.407$		

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 39: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.067***	-0.073***	-0.074***		
•	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***		
- , ,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
# Hispanic Students	0.004***	0.003***	0.003***		
· ·	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.444	0.467	0.467		
Adjusted R^2	0.444	0.467	0.467		

Note:

Table 40: Effect of TV on APs Taken

	Dependent variable:				
	# IHS (Hispanic Students Taking A				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.072***	0.051***	0.047***		
	(0.016)	(0.015)	(0.015)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.004***	-0.004***		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***		
W	(0.00004)		(0.0001)		
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.530	0.588	0.614		
Adjusted R ²	0.529	0.587	0.613		
Note:	*.	p<0.1; **p<0	0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 41: Effect of TV on APs Passed

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing AP				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.034**	0.042***	0.039***		
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.013)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003		
_ ,	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002**	0.002*	0.001		
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
Observations	2,205	2,205	2,205		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.389	0.433	0.438		
Adjusted R ²	0.387	0.430	0.435		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 42: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

		Dependent v	variable:
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Limited	English Proficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.040***	0.039***	0.031***
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.002***	-0.002***
,	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0003)
# Hispanic Students	0.004***	0.004***	0.004***
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502
\mathbb{R}^2	0.430	0.431	0.486
Adjusted R ²	0.430	0.431	0.486

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 43: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Gifte	d Students)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
TV Dummy	0.016***	0.015**	0.013**			
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)			
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002			
· · · /	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)			
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***			
" -	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)			
Observations	26,065	26,065	26,065			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.482	0.507	0.523			
Adjusted R^2	0.482	0.507	0.523			
Notes	*-> <0	1. *** < 0.05	. *** ~ < 0 (

Note:

Table 44: Robustness Check - APs Passed

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic APs Passed)					
		OLS		felm	OI	LS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TV Dummy	0.039^{***} (0.013)	0.049^{***} (0.017)	0.044*** (0.016)	0.044^{***} (0.017)	0.036^{***} (0.013)	0.032^* (0.018)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001* (0.0004)	0.0001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.001)	0.012*** (0.003)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.003** (0.002)	0.001 (0.004)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Total APs Passed					0.003*** (0.0001)	
Observations	2,205	2,205	1,525	1,525	1,525	1,095
$ m R^2$ Adjusted $ m R^2$	$0.438 \\ 0.435$	$0.444 \\ 0.441$	$0.481 \\ 0.477$	$0.481 \\ 0.477$	$0.649 \\ 0.646$	$0.516 \\ 0.510$

Table 45: Robustness Check - Gifted Students

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(Hispan	nic Gifted	Students)	
	0.	LS	felm	0.	LS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.013** (0.006)	0.035^{***} (0.007)	0.035 (0.023)	0.035^{***} (0.007)	0.030^{***} (0.008)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001* (0.001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001** (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002 (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
Total Gifted Students				0.011*** (0.0003)	
Observations	26,065	16,442	16,442	16,442	11,344
R^2 Adjusted R^2	$0.523 \\ 0.523$	$0.534 \\ 0.534$	$0.534 \\ 0.534$	$0.566 \\ 0.565$	$0.549 \\ 0.549$
Note:			*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01

41

Table 46: Spatial Robustness - Harassment

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# His	panic Victims of	Harassment)		
	OLS $spatial$ $spatia$ $autoregressive$ $error$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.003** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.003* (0.002)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0001^{**} (0.00002)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)	-0.0001^{**} (0.00003)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,811 0.012 0.012	40,811	40,811		
Log Likelihood σ^2		-4,304.916 0.072	-4,299.820 0.072		
Akaike Inf. Crit. Wald Test $(df = 1)$ LR Test $(df = 1)$		8,629.833 686.149*** 657.312***	8,619.640 686.981*** 667.505***		

Table 47: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

_		$D\epsilon$	pendent varial	ble:	
	D.	ummy for Hisp	oanic Out of So	chool Suspensi	on
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	0.092*** (0.030)	0.204*** (0.031)	0.064^* (0.033)	-0.006 (0.035)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** (0.0004)	-0.004^{***} (0.0004)	-0.004^{***} (0.0004)	-0.004^{***} (0.0005)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)
Log(Population)		0.074*** (0.007)	0.138*** (0.008)	0.135*** (0.009)	0.102*** (0.010)
% County Hispanic		1.714*** (0.069)	1.127*** (0.081)	1.210*** (0.088)	-1.383^{***} (0.109)
Log(Income)			-0.664^{***} (0.046)	-1.180^{***} (0.050)	-1.024^{***} (0.054)
# Teachers at School				0.031*** (0.0005)	0.010*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students					0.005*** (0.0001)
Total Students					0.0004*** (0.0001)
Contains Grade 1					-0.887^{***} (0.027)
Contains Grade 6					0.299*** (0.024)
Contains Grade 9					0.126*** (0.031)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$45,947 \\ -30,733.950 \\ 61,475.890$	$45,947 \\ -30,315.250 \\ 60,642.500$	$45,947 \\ -30,211.380 \\ 60,436.760$	$45,947 \\ -27,500.700 \\ 55,017.410$	$45,947 \\ -24,898.820 \\ 49,823.650$

Table 48: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

_	Dependent variable:						
		hisp_O(OSDum				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	-0.236^{***} (0.031)	-0.194^{***} (0.031)	-0.006 (0.035)			
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** (0.0004)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)			
# Teachers at School		0.008*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)			
# Hispanic Students		0.004*** (0.0001)	0.005*** (0.0001)	0.005*** (0.0001)			
Total Students		0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)			
Contains Grade 1			-0.860^{***} (0.027)	-0.887^{***} (0.027)			
Contains Grade 6			0.318*** (0.024)	0.299*** (0.024)			
Contains Grade 9			0.133*** (0.031)	0.126*** (0.031)			
Log(Population)				0.102*** (0.010)			
% County Hispanic				-1.383^{***} (0.109)			
Log(Income)				-1.024^{***} (0.054)			
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	45,947 -30,733.950 61,475.890	$45,947 \\ -26,122.150 \\ 52,258.300$	$45,947 \\ -25,092.940 \\ 50,205.880$	$45,947 \\ -24,898.820 \\ 49,823.650$			

Table 49: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# Hi	ispanic Out	of School Su	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.343***	-0.061***	-0.024*	0.057***
	(0.016)	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.015)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001**	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***
	(0.0005)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.002***
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
# Teachers at School		0.006***	0.004***	0.006***
		(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
		(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00003)
Total Students		0.0002***	0.0001***	0.00004*
		(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.550***	-0.559***
			(0.011)	(0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.206***	0.191***
			(0.010)	(0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.019	0.009
			(0.013)	(0.013)
Log(Population)				0.064***
				(0.004)
% County Hispanic				-0.535***
				(0.041)
Log(Income)				-0.571***
				(0.022)
Observations	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947
\mathbb{R}^2	0.033	0.337	0.394	0.403
Adjusted R ²	0.033	0.337	0.394	0.403
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 50: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic Out of School Suspension) $\,$

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# H	lispanic Out	of School Sus	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.282*** (0.018)	-0.081^{***} (0.015)	-0.047^{***} (0.014)	0.033** (0.016)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.012*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance2	-0.0002^{***} (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)	-0.00004^{**} (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.008^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.006^{***} (0.001)
Distance2	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00005*** (0.00001)
# Teachers at School		0.006*** (0.0003)	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0002*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00004^* (0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.549^{***} (0.011)	-0.558^{***} (0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.207*** (0.010)	0.192*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.020 (0.013)	0.010 (0.013)
Log(Population)				0.067*** (0.004)
% County Hispanic				-0.550^{***} (0.042)
Log(Income)				-0.575^{***} (0.022)
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	45,947 0.034	45,947 0.337	45,947 0.395	45,947 0.404
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.034	0.337	0.395	0.403

Table 51: Effect of TV on APs Taken

-		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(Hispanic St	udents Taki	ng AP)
	OLS			felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	1.536*** (0.059)	0.556*** (0.062)	0.293*** (0.048)	0.240*** (0.048)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001 (0.002)	0.010*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.007^{***} (0.001)	-0.007^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.211*** (0.016)	0.087*** (0.013)	0.158*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic		4.406*** (0.157)	3.278*** (0.137)	2.327*** (0.147)
Log(Income)		0.474*** (0.088)	0.713*** (0.069)	0.942*** (0.082)
# Teachers at School			-0.0002 (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students			0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)
Total Students			0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1			-1.111^{***} (0.092)	-1.066^{***} (0.085)
Contains Grade 6			-0.348^{***} (0.062)	-0.487^{***} (0.057)
Contains Grade 9			0.295*** (0.088)	0.291*** (0.083)
Observations R^2	6,863 0.199	6,863 0.340	6,863 0.612	6,863 0.675
Adjusted R^2	0.199	0.339	0.611	0.679

Table 52: Effect of TV on APs Taken

_		Dependen	t variable:		
	# IHS	(Hispanic St	udents Taki	ng AP)	
		OLS		felm	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.833*** (0.046)	0.872*** (0.045)	0.293*** (0.048)	0.240*** (0.048)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	
# Teachers at School	0.0003 (0.001)	-0.0004 (0.001)	-0.0002 (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)	
Total Students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	
Contains Grade 1		-1.223^{***} (0.097)	-1.111^{***} (0.092)	-1.066^{***} (0.085)	
Contains Grade 6		-0.163^{**} (0.065)	-0.348^{***} (0.062)	-0.487^{***} (0.057)	
Contains Grade 9		0.397*** (0.093)	0.295*** (0.088)	0.291*** (0.083)	
Log(Population)			0.087*** (0.013)	0.158*** (0.014)	
% County Hispanic			3.278*** (0.137)	2.327*** (0.147)	
Log(Income)			0.713*** (0.069)	0.942*** (0.082)	
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	6,863 0.541	6,863 0.562	6,863 0.612	6,863 0.675	
Adjusted R^2	0.540	0.561	0.611	0.672	

Table 53: Effect of TV on APs Passed

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(Hispanic St	udents Passi	ing AP)
		OLS		felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.469*** (0.058)	0.212*** (0.056)	0.155*** (0.048)	0.226*** (0.050)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002 (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.002^* (0.001)	-0.001 (0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.002** (0.001)	-0.0005 (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.144*** (0.015)	0.102*** (0.013)	0.103*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic		1.390*** (0.127)	1.053*** (0.122)	0.978*** (0.130)
Log(Income)		-0.166** (0.075)	0.153** (0.065)	0.388*** (0.082)
# Teachers at School			-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)
# Hispanic Students			0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0005*** (0.00004)
Total Students			0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1			-0.254^* (0.136)	-0.087 (0.129)
Contains Grade 6			-0.237^{***} (0.074)	-0.294*** (0.070)
Contains Grade 9			0.169** (0.085)	-0.049 (0.089)
Observations R ²	2,342 0.069	2,342 0.224	2,342 0.446	2,342 0.520
Adjusted R^2	0.068	0.222	0.443	0.511

Table 54: Effect of TV on APs Passed

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(Hispanic St	udents Passi	ing AP)
		OLS		felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.331*** (0.047)	0.336*** (0.047)	0.155*** (0.048)	0.226*** (0.050)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002^* (0.001)	-0.001 (0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002^{**} (0.001)	-0.0005 (0.001)
# Teachers at School	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0005*** (0.00004)
Total Students	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1		-0.272^* (0.141)	-0.254^* (0.136)	-0.087 (0.129)
Contains Grade 6		-0.090 (0.076)	-0.237^{***} (0.074)	-0.294^{***} (0.070)
Contains Grade 9		0.203** (0.088)	0.169** (0.085)	-0.049 (0.089)
Log(Population)			0.102*** (0.013)	0.103*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic			1.053*** (0.122)	0.978*** (0.130)
Log(Income)			0.153** (0.065)	0.388*** (0.082)
Observations R ²	2,342 0.394	2,342 0.398	2,342 0.446	2,342 0.520
Adjusted R ²	0.393	0.396	0.443	0.511

Table 55: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Limite	ed English F	Proficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.979***	0.287***	0.221***	0.068***
	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.020)	(0.022)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.005***	0.009***	0.008***	0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.008***	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.005***
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
# Teachers at School		0.0004	0.003***	0.003***
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005***	0.005***	0.004***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.00005	0.0002***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338***	0.334***
			(0.016)	(0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.280***	-0.281***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.836***	-0.840***
			(0.019)	(0.019)
Log(Population)				0.020***
3(1				(0.006)
% County Hispanic				0.994***
, o o o all o				(0.063)
Log(Income)				0.191***
Log(meome)				(0.033)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
\mathbb{R}^2	0.100	0.424	0.475	0.479
Adjusted R^2	0.099	0.424	0.475	0.479

Table 56: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

	Dependent variable:					
	Hispan	nic # Limite	d English Pro	oficiency		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	37.382***	-1.607**	-3.552***	-0.728		
	(1.171)	(0.798)	(0.779)	(0.869)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.213***			0.364***		
	(0.034)	(0.023)	(0.022)	(0.023)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.155***	0.037***	0.036***	0.010		
	(0.018)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)		
# Teachers at School		-0.058***	-0.0001	0.041**		
		(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)		
# Hispanic Students		0.318***	0.314***	0.322***		
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)		
Total Students		-0.036***	-0.032***	-0.037***		
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Contains Grade 1			16.884***	16.220***		
			(0.649)	(0.647)		
Contains Grade 6			-7.925***	-8.592***		
			(0.593)	(0.591)		
Contains Grade 9			-15.944***	-15.841***		
			(0.764)	(0.761)		
Log(Population)				3.729***		
				(0.234)		
% County Hispanic				-45.583***		
				(2.465)		
Log(Income)				-20.967***		
G(** *)				(1.315)		
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.059	0.583	0.604	0.608		
Adjusted R ²	0.059	0.583	0.604	0.608		

Table 57: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# H	ispanic Out	of School Su	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.189*** (0.020)	0.053*** (0.016)	0.072*** (0.016)	0.033** (0.016)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.013*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance2	-0.0002^{***} (0.00002)	-0.00001 (0.00002)	-0.00003 (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.006^{***} (0.001)
Distance2	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00005*** (0.00001)
% County Hispanic	1.356*** (0.044)	-0.300^{***} (0.041)	-0.326^{***} (0.040)	-0.550^{***} (0.042)
Log(Population)	-0.218^{***} (0.023)	-0.430^{***} (0.019)	-0.371^{***} (0.019)	-0.575^{***} (0.022)
# Teachers at School		0.007*** (0.0003)	0.005*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00004^* (0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.545^{***} (0.011)	-0.558^{***} (0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.202*** (0.010)	0.192*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.011 (0.013)	0.010 (0.013)
Log(Income)				0.067*** (0.004)
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	45,947 0.067	45,947 0.344	45,947 0.400	45,947 0.404
Adjusted R ²	0.067	0.344	0.400	0.403

Table 58: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic # Harassment Victims)

		Depender	nt variable:	
	IHS(# Hispanic Vi	ctims of Haras	ssment)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.0003 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.0005 (0.002)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$
TV Dummy \times Distance ²	-0.00000^* (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)
Distance ²	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)
% County Hispanic	0.028** (0.012)	0.006 (0.013)	$0.005 \\ (0.013)$	0.016 (0.013)
Log(Population)	0.066*** (0.005)	0.051*** (0.005)	0.055^{***} (0.005)	0.069*** (0.006)
# Teachers at School		0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
# Hispanic Students		0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)
Total Students		-0.00003^{***} (0.00001)	-0.00003^{***} (0.00001)	-0.00002^{***} (0.00001)
Contains Grade 1			-0.037^{***} (0.003)	-0.036^{***} (0.003)
Contains Grade 6			0.028*** (0.003)	0.029*** (0.003)
Contains Grade 9			-0.010^{***} (0.004)	-0.010^{**} (0.004)
Log(Income)				-0.005^{***} (0.001)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,811 0.009 0.009	40,811 0.016 0.016	40,811 0.023 0.023	40,811 0.023 0.023

Table 59: Effect of TV on IHS(APs Taken)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(AI	Ps Taken by	Hispanic St	udents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.307***	0.223***	0.232***	0.166***
	(0.065)	(0.048)	(0.047)	(0.047)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.016***	0.007^{*}	0.006*	0.008**
	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
$\Gamma V Dummy \times Distance 2$	-0.0001^*	-0.00002	-0.00002	-0.00002
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002	0.003	0.003	-0.002
- ,	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Distance2	-0.00005	-0.0001*	-0.0001**	-0.00002
	(0.00005)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
% County Hispanic	2.358***	1.012***	1.042***	0.764***
v r	(0.124)	(0.108)	(0.107)	(0.111)
Log(Population)	-0.319***	-0.033	-0.044	-0.266***
	(0.072)	(0.054)	(0.054)	(0.060)
# Teachers at School		-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.005***
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
.,,		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Total Students		0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.532***	-0.564***
0.53.00			(0.126)	(0.124)
Contains Grade 6			-0.170**	-0.225***
0.1000			(0.068)	(0.067)
Contains Grade 9			0.153*	0.189**
Convenie Grade 9			(0.079)	(0.078)
Log(Income)				0.098***
rog(meome)				(0.012)
Observations	2,342	2,342	2,342	2,342
R^2	0.311	0.626	0.634	0.644
Adjusted R ²	0.309	0.624	0.632	0.642

Table 60: Effect of TV on IHS(APs Passed)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(A	Ps Passed by	Hispanic Str	udents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.305***	0.242***	0.251***	0.184***
	(0.061)	(0.052)	(0.052)	(0.052)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.005	-0.003	-0.004	-0.002
	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
TV Dummy × Distance2	-0.00004	0.00005	0.0001	0.00005
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.005	0.007**	0.008**	0.003
	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Distance2	-0.0001*	-0.0001***	-0.0001***	-0.0001
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
% County Hispanic	1.902***	1.306***	1.332***	1.053***
	(0.118)	(0.117)	(0.117)	(0.122)
Log(Population)	0.144**	0.383***	0.377***	0.153**
2 · · · ·	(0.069)	(0.058)	(0.059)	(0.065)
# Teachers at School		-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.004***
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.0004***	0.0004***	0.0004***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.216	-0.248^*
			(0.137)	(0.136)
Contains Grade 6			-0.186**	-0.241***
			(0.074)	(0.074)
Contains Grade 9			0.133	0.169**
			(0.086)	(0.085)
Log(Income)				0.098***
,				(0.013)
Observations	2 242	2 242	2 242	2 242
R^2	$2,342 \\ 0.195$	$2,342 \\ 0.429$	$2,342 \\ 0.433$	$2,342 \\ 0.447$
Adjusted R^2	0.193	0.426	0.430	0.443

Table 61: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(Hispa	anic # Limite	ed English Pr	roficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.248***	0.047^{*}	0.014	0.002
	(0.030)	(0.025)	(0.024)	(0.024)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.038***	0.023***	0.020***	0.020***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
$\Gamma V Dummy \times Distance^2$	-0.0004***	-0.0002^{***}	-0.0002^{***}	-0.0002***
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.013***	-0.011^{***}	-0.010^{***}	-0.010***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance ²	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
	(0.00002)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
% County Hispanic	4.251***	0.986***	1.068***	0.995***
	(0.066)	(0.062)	(0.060)	(0.063)
Log(Population)	0.572***	0.375***	0.261***	0.194***
,	(0.035)	(0.029)	(0.028)	(0.034)
# Teachers at School		-0.0001	0.002***	0.003***
		(0.001)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005***	0.004***	0.004***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.0001***	0.0003***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338***	0.334***
			(0.016)	(0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.277***	-0.280***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.837***	-0.837***
			(0.019)	(0.019)
Log(Income)				0.022***
· ,				(0.006)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
$ m R^2$	0.178	0.428	0.479	0.479
Adjusted R^2	0.177	0.428	0.479	0.479

Table 62: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

(1) 0.388*** (0.027) 0.013*** (0.001)	(2) 0.123*** (0.023) 0.010*** (0.001)	ed English F (3) 0.079*** (0.022) 0.009***	Proficiency) (4) 0.068*** (0.022)
0.388*** (0.027) 0.013***	0.123*** (0.023) 0.010***	0.079*** (0.022)	0.068***
(0.027) 0.013***	(0.023) 0.010***	(0.022)	
0.013***	0.010***	,	(0.022)
		0.009***	
(0.001)	(0.001)		0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
-0.006***	-0.005***	-0.004***	-0.005***
(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
4.237***	0.977***	1.061***	0.994***
(0.066)	(0.062)	(0.060)	(0.063)
0.561***	0.367***	0.253***	0.191***
(0.035)	(0.029)	(0.028)	(0.033)
	-0.0001	0.002***	0.003***
	(0.001)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
	0.005***	0.004***	0.004***
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
	0.0001***	0.0003***	0.0003***
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
		0.338***	0.334***
		(0.016)	(0.016)
		-0.278***	-0.281***
		(0.015)	(0.015)
		-0.840***	-0.840***
		(0.019)	(0.019)
			0.020***
			(0.006)
46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
0.175	0.427	0.479	0.479
0.175	0.427	0.479	0.479
	-0.006*** (0.0004) 4.237*** (0.066) 0.561*** (0.035)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 63: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS	(Hispanic #	Gifted Stude	nts)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.228***	0.074***	0.080***	0.068***
	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.029***	0.022***	0.022***	0.022***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
TV Dummy \times Distance2	-0.0003***	-0.0002^{***}	-0.0002^{***}	-0.0002***
	(0.00003)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.009***	-0.008***	-0.008***	-0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance2	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
% County Hispanic	4.585***	2.582***	2.644***	2.531***
	(0.059)	(0.057)	(0.056)	(0.060)
Log(Population)	0.952***	0.563***	0.630***	0.524***
	(0.036)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.037)
# Teachers at School		0.002***	0.001	0.001
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.441^{***}	-0.445^{***}
			(0.017)	(0.017)
Contains Grade 6			0.062***	0.061***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.297^{***}	-0.292***
			(0.021)	(0.021)
Log(Income)				0.030***
- ` '				(0.006)
Observations	28,577	28,577	28,577	28,577
R^2	0.309	0.516	0.532	0.533
Adjusted R^2	0.309	0.516	0.532	0.532

Table 64: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(Hispanic #	Gifted Stud	ents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.333***	0.149***	0.155***	0.144***
	(0.024)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.020)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.009***	0.008***	0.008***	0.008***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
% County Hispanic	4.584***	2.578***	2.640***	2.530***
	(0.059)	(0.057)	(0.056)	(0.060)
Log(Population)	0.960***	0.565***	0.630***	0.527***
	(0.036)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.037)
# Teachers at School		0.002***	0.001	0.001*
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
··· -		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.442***	-0.446***
			(0.017)	(0.017)
Contains Grade 6			0.059***	0.058***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.303***	-0.298***
			(0.021)	(0.021)
Log(Income)				0.029***
· /				(0.006)
Observations	28,577	28,577	28,577	28,577
\mathbb{R}^2	0.306	0.514	0.531	0.531
Adjusted R^2	0.306	0.514	0.530	0.531

Table 65: Effect of TV on Hispanic Owned Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		1	ousn		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	-629.356 (710.094)	-890.860 (723.788)	$-972.827 \\ (723.167)$	$ \begin{array}{c} -1,034.754 \\ (730.745) \end{array} $	
intersects:distance	273.627*** (59.975)	262.200*** (60.284)	227.195*** (60.435)	226.714*** (60.441)	
intersects:dist2	-4.708^{***} (1.054)	-4.592^{***} (1.056)	-3.760^{***} (1.062)	-3.753^{***} (1.062)	
distance	-48.278 (89.462)	-49.697 (89.461)	-54.057 (89.374)	-53.414 (89.382)	
dist2	$0.700 \\ (0.976)$	0.789 (0.977)	$1.028 \\ (0.977)$	0.986 (0.979)	
logPop		806.583* (432.786)	177.398 (441.730)	338.654 (519.367)	
pcHispanic			35,519.770*** (5,109.858)	35,021.800*** (5,179.078)	
income				-0.105 (0.177)	
Constant	$-603.995 \\ (1,547.216)$	-9,743.664* (5,142.300)	-5,111.201 $(5,180.251)$	-5,430.772 $(5,208.528)$	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	23,853 0.002 0.002	23,853 0.002 0.002	23,853 0.004 0.004	23,853 0.004 0.004	
Note:	0.002		*p<0.1; **p<0		

61

Table 66: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

		Dep	pendent vario	able:	
-			ihs(busn)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
intersects	0.263*** (0.020)	0.113*** (0.020)	0.113*** (0.020)	0.127*** (0.020)	0.139*** (0.018)
distance	0.036*** (0.003)	0.036*** (0.002)	0.036*** (0.002)	0.035*** (0.002)	0.034*** (0.002)
dist2	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00002)
logPop		0.463*** (0.012)	0.459*** (0.012)	0.421*** (0.014)	0.356*** (0.013)
pcHispanic			0.239* (0.142)	0.354** (0.144)	-0.687^{***} (0.127)
income				0.00002*** (0.00000)	0.00002*** (0.00000)
busnCount					0.014*** (0.0002)
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.001)
intersects:dist2	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0001** (0.00003)
Constant	-0.204^{***} (0.044)	-5.448^{***} (0.143)	-5.417^{***} (0.144)	-5.344^{***} (0.145)	-4.401^{***} (0.128)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	23,853 0.114 0.114	23,853 0.166 0.166	23,853 0.166 0.166	23,853 0.167 0.167	23,853 0.356 0.356

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 67: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses (50% threshold), 100 KM Radius

		Dependen	t variable:		
-	ihs(busnD)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.232*** (0.019)	0.103*** (0.019)	0.101*** (0.019)	0.113*** (0.019)	
distance	0.029*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	
dist2	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	
logPop		0.396*** (0.011)	0.378*** (0.012)	0.345*** (0.014)	
pcHispanic			1.026*** (0.134)	1.127*** (0.136)	
income				0.00002*** (0.00000)	
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	0.017^{***} (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	
intersects:dist2	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	
Constant	-0.242^{***} (0.042)	-4.733^{***} (0.135)	-4.599^{***} (0.136)	-4.534^{***} (0.137)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	23,853 0.107 0.107	23,853 0.151 0.151	23,853 0.153 0.153	23,853 0.154 0.153	

Table 68: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Name Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:	
		ihs(hispFe	oodName)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	-0.0003 (0.003)	-0.005^* (0.003)	-0.005^* (0.003)	-0.005 (0.003)
distance	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)
dist2	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
logPop		0.025*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)
pcHispanic			0.408*** (0.018)	0.411*** (0.018)
income				0.00000 (0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.005*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)
intersects:dist2	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)
Constant	0.001 (0.007)	-0.286^{***} (0.021)	-0.220^{***} (0.021)	-0.217^{***} (0.021)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	20,404 0.055 0.055	20,404 0.064 0.064	20,404 0.087 0.087	20,404 0.087 0.087

Table 69: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

		Dependen	t variable:	
-		hispFood	dNameD	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.794*** (0.078)	0.790*** (0.098)	0.787*** (0.099)	0.905*** (0.103)
distance	0.051*** (0.016)	0.094*** (0.019)	0.094*** (0.019)	0.100*** (0.019)
dist2	-0.0004^{**} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)
logPop		0.920*** (0.055)	0.949*** (0.071)	0.750*** (0.075)
pcHispanic			-0.204 (0.312)	1.014*** (0.361)
income				0.0001*** (0.00002)
intersects:distance	0.029*** (0.005)	0.001 (0.006)	0.001 (0.006)	-0.002 (0.006)
intersects:dist2	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0002^{**} (0.0001)	-0.0002^{**} (0.0001)	-0.0001^* (0.0001)
Constant	-6.785^{***} (0.282)	-18.626^{***} (0.819)	-18.971^{***} (0.982)	-18.690^{***} (0.974)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$23,853 \\ -2,421.045 \\ 4,854.090$	$23,853 \\ -2,234.297 \\ 4,482.593$	$23,853 \\ -2,234.083 \\ 4,484.165$	$23,853 \\ -2,216.667 \\ 4,451.333$
Note:	*n<0.1: **n<0.05: ***n<0.01			

Table 70: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses, $50~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Depender	nt variable:	
		ihs(bus	snCount)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.104***	0.048***	0.047***	0.040**
	(0.018)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)
distance	-0.018***	-0.007^*	-0.008*	-0.007^*
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
dist2	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
logPop		0.280***	0.310***	0.331***
		(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.012)
pcHispanic			-1.483***	-1.554***
-			(0.105)	(0.107)
income				-0.00001***
				(0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.022***	0.012***	0.014***	0.014***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
intersects:dist2	-0.0003***	-0.0001***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***
	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)
Constant	0.426***	-2.825***	-3.067***	-3.120***
	(0.041)	(0.122)	(0.122)	(0.123)
Observations	20,404	20,404	20,404	20,404
\mathbb{R}^2	0.110	0.143	0.152	0.152
Adjusted R^2	0.109	0.143	0.151	0.152

Table 71: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, $50~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:	
		hispFoo	dNameD	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.345*** (0.095)	0.458*** (0.116)	0.449*** (0.116)	0.555*** (0.122)
distance	-0.160^{***} (0.036)	-0.064 (0.041)	-0.067 (0.041)	-0.051 (0.041)
dist2	0.004*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)
logPop		0.884*** (0.058)	0.951*** (0.078)	0.784*** (0.085)
pcHispanic			-0.433 (0.324)	0.522 (0.398)
income				0.0001*** (0.00002)
intersects:distance	0.094*** (0.011)	0.046*** (0.013)	0.046*** (0.013)	0.040*** (0.013)
intersects:dist2	-0.002^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)
Constant	-5.275*** (0.312)	-16.934^{***} (0.893)	-17.725^{***} (1.090)	-17.264^{***} (1.074)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$20,404 \\ -2,144.218 \\ 4,300.437$	$20,404 \\ -1,993.553 \\ 4,001.106$	$20,404 \\ -1,992.652 \\ 4,001.304$	$ 20,404 \\ -1,985.296 \\ 3,988.591 $

Table 72: Effect of TV on Hispanic Owned Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Dependen	nt variable:		
_	busnCount				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.018 (0.024)	-0.048^* (0.026)	-0.051^{**} (0.026)	-0.041 (0.026)	
distance	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.007^* (0.004)	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.006 (0.004)	
dist2	0.000** (0.000)	0.000** (0.000)	0.000* (0.000)	0.000^* (0.000)	
logPop		0.132*** (0.018)	0.058*** (0.019)	0.032 (0.020)	
origpcHisp			0.840*** (0.090)	1.026*** (0.103)	
origincome				0.00002*** (0.00001)	
inside:distance	0.012*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	
inside:dist2	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	
Constant	1.916*** (0.074)	0.375^* (0.218)	1.271*** (0.238)	1.231*** (0.238)	
Observations R ² Adjusted R ²	138,553 0.002 0.002	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.004 0.004	

Table 73: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

-		Dependen	t variable:			
	${\bf hispFoodName}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
inside	0.005^{***} (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)		
distance	0.00004 (0.0002)	-0.00000 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)		
dist2	0.000 (0.000)	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)		
logPop		0.007*** (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)		
origpcHisp			0.072^{***} (0.005)	0.071*** (0.005)		
origincome				-0.00000 (0.00000)		
inside:distance	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)		
inside:dist2	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)		
Constant	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.085^{***} (0.011)	-0.008 (0.013)	-0.008 (0.013)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	138,553 0.002 0.002	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.005 0.004	138,411 0.005 0.004		
Noto		*n <0.1	. **-> <0.05.	*** ~ < 0 01		

Table 74: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:		
	hispFoodNameD				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.429*** (0.076)	0.207** (0.083)	0.219*** (0.081)	0.236*** (0.083)	
distance	0.001 (0.015)	0.012 (0.017)	0.012 (0.016)	0.014 (0.016)	
dist2	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
logPop		0.512*** (0.061)	0.177*** (0.065)	0.142** (0.070)	
origpcHisp			1.740*** (0.204)	1.973*** (0.276)	
origincome				0.00002 (0.00002)	
inside:distance	0.011** (0.005)	0.004 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	
inside:dist2	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000** (0.000)	-0.000^* (0.000)	-0.000^* (0.000)	
Constant	-6.266*** (0.268)	-12.443^{***} (0.803)	-8.218*** (0.831)	-8.190*** (0.833)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,727 \\ -6,768.276 \\ 13,548.550 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,711.180 \\ 13,436.360 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,674.295 \\ 13,364.590 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,673.528 \\ 13,365.060 \end{array} $	

Table 75: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (No Food), $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	Dependent variable: hispNameD				
-					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.448*** (0.077)	0.217** (0.085)	0.228*** (0.083)	0.246*** (0.085)	
distance	0.003 (0.015)	$0.015 \\ (0.017)$	0.015 (0.016)	0.016 (0.016)	
dist2	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
logPop		0.537*** (0.062)	0.190*** (0.066)	0.154** (0.072)	
origpcHisp			1.768*** (0.207)	2.006*** (0.279)	
origincome				0.00002 (0.00002)	
inside:distance	0.011** (0.005)	0.004 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)	
inside:dist2	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000** (0.000)	-0.000^* (0.000)	-0.000^* (0.000)	
Constant	-6.356*** (0.273)	-12.841^{***} (0.823)	-8.456*** (0.851)	-8.432^{***} (0.853)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,727 \\ -6,659.847 \\ 13,331.690 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,600.211 \\ 13,214.420 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,563.025 \\ 13,142.050 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,562.247 \\ 13,142.500 \end{array} $	

Table 76: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	Dependent variable: hispFoodNameD				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.198	-0.028	-0.027	-0.020	
	(0.122)	(0.141)	(0.141)	(0.142)	
distance	0.003	-0.002	-0.002	-0.002	
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
logPop		0.334***	0.312**	0.285^{*}	
		(0.114)	(0.142)	(0.153)	
origpcHisp			0.096	0.282	
			(0.385)	(0.549)	
origincome				0.00002	
				(0.00004)	
inside:distance	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
Constant	-5.323***	-9.163***	-8.890***	-8.870***	
	(0.440)	(1.399)	(1.762)	(1.766)	
Observations	35,632	35,619	35,619	35,619	
Log Likelihood	-2,158.311	-2,153.251	-2,153.220	-2,153.111	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,324.622	4,316.502	4,318.440	4,320.221	

Table 77: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$						
		${\bf hispFoodNameD}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
inside	0.643***	0.312***	0.320***	0.339***			
	(0.063)	(0.075)	(0.070)	(0.072)			
distance	0.001	-0.005	-0.001	-0.0001			
	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)			
logPop		0.682***	0.137^{*}	0.089			
		(0.072)	(0.070)	(0.077)			
origpcHisp			3.170***	3.464***			
			(0.245)	(0.315)			
origincome				0.00003			
				(0.00002)			
inside:distance	-0.002	-0.002	-0.005***	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)			
Constant	-6.591***	-14.701***	-7.811***	-7.756***			
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(0.224)	(0.898)	(0.860)	(0.861)			
Observations	100,095	99,975	99,975	99,975			
Log Likelihood	-4,606.295	-4,534.981	-4,450.675	-4,449.617			
Akaike Inf. Crit.	$9,\!220.589$	9,079.963	8,913.351	8,913.235			

Table 78: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		hispN	ameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
inside	0.212^{*}	-0.030	-0.030	-0.022		
	(0.123)	(0.142)	(0.142)	(0.143)		
distance	0.005	-0.001	-0.001	-0.0003		
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)		
logPop		0.359***	0.346**	0.317**		
		(0.116)	(0.146)	(0.157)		
origpcHisp			0.056	0.262		
01 1			(0.391)	(0.554)		
origincome				0.00002		
G				(0.00004)		
inside:distance	0.0004	0.002	0.002	0.001		
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)		
Constant	-5.387***	-9.523***	-9.362***	-9.349***		
	(0.444)	(1.432)	(1.815)	(1.820)		
Observations	35,632	35,619	35,619	35,619		
Log Likelihood	-2,122.827	,	,	-2,117.049		
Akaike Inf. Crit.	$4,\!253.653$	4,244.386	$4,\!246.365$	4,248.099		

Table 79: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

		$Dependent\ variable:$					
		hispN	ameD				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
inside	0.661***	0.319***	0.328***	0.348***			
	(0.064)	(0.076)	(0.072)	(0.073)			
distance	0.002	-0.004	-0.001	0.001			
	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)			
logPop		0.710***	0.142**	0.094			
		(0.074)	(0.071)	(0.078)			
origpcHisp			3.233***	3.532***			
			(0.247)	(0.319)			
origincome				0.00003			
C				(0.00002)			
inside:distance	-0.002	-0.003	-0.005***	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)			
Constant	-6.671^{***}	-15.119***	-7.944***	-7.890***			
	(0.228)	(0.920)	(0.875)	(0.877)			
Observations	100,095	99,975	99,975	99,975			
Log Likelihood	-4,532.963	$-4,\!459.076$	-4,373.162	,			
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,073.926	8,928.151	8,758.323	8,758.214			

Table 80: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Owned Businesses), 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# I	Hispanic (Owned Bu	sinesses)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.261*** (0.014)	0.122*** (0.014)	0.112*** (0.014)	0.132*** (0.015)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.010*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.412*** (0.011)	0.388*** (0.012)	
County % Hispanic			1.261*** (0.133)	1.414*** (0.136)
Log(Income)				0.391*** (0.070)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	23,853 0.095 0.095	23,853 0.143 0.142	23,853 0.146 0.146	23,853 0.147 0.147
Note:	*	p<0.1; **	p<0.05; *	**p<0.01

Table 81: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	Dependent variable:					
	IHS($\#$ Hispanic Owned Businesses)			${\it hhispFoodNameD}$	nhispFoodNa	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TV Dummy	0.839***	0.638***	0.637***	0.769***	0.849***	0.775***
	(0.052)	(0.066)	(0.066)	(0.071)	(0.077)	(0.071)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.008***	0.002	0.002	0.0002	-0.0002	0.0002
_ ,	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.010**	0.021***	0.021***	0.031***	0.035***	0.031***
,	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Log(Population)		0.957***	0.979***	0.702***	0.761***	0.701***
,		(0.052)	(0.070)	(0.074)	(0.081)	(0.074)
County % Hispanic			-0.151	1.428***	1.514***	1.434***
			(0.312)	(0.367)	(0.388)	(0.368)
Log(Income)				2.350***	2.534***	2.356***
30(33 3)				(0.319)	(0.344)	(0.320)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
Log Likelihood	-2,481.718	-2,261.043	-2,260.926	-2,235.719	*	-2,230.5
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,971.437	4,532.085	4,533.851	4,485.438	4,173.155	4,475.11

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p

Table 82: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

				Dependent	variable:		
	IHS(# Hisr	panic Owned	Businesses)	hhispNameD		hhispFoo	odNan
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(
TV Dummy	0.849*** (0.077)	1.071*** (0.115)	0.305*** (0.078)	1.164*** (0.077)	0.927*** (0.098)	0.596*** (0.118)	0.62 $(0.0$
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0002 (0.002)	-0.008 (0.007)	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.004)	0.042*** (0.010)	0.0
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.035^{***} (0.005)	0.123*** (0.021)	0.013*** (0.005)	0.044*** (0.006)	0.049*** (0.012)	-0.097^{***} (0.035)	0.02 $(0.0$
Total Businesses			0.023*** (0.001)				
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	95,373	20,404	14,386	10,
Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	-2,079.577 $4,173.155$	-2,057.114 $4,132.228$	-1,439.685 $2,895.371$	-3,335.795 $6,685.590$	-1,857.640 $3,729.280$	-1,222.360 $2,458.719$	-1,40 $2,95$

*p<0.1; **

Table 83: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched

	Dependent variable:			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.339 (38.601)	2.060 (38.398)	$6.709 \\ (39.135)$	
TV Dummy \times County Distance to Boundary	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.003^* (0.002)	-0.003^* (0.002)	
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	3.378 (14.787)	10.029 (15.089)	14.134 (16.436)	
Log(Population)		-192.723^* (97.980)		
County % Hispanic			-43.137 (68.030)	
Log(Income)	0.003 (0.003)	0.002 (0.003)	$0.002 \\ (0.003)$	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	265 0.028 0.006	265 0.043 0.017	265 0.044 0.014	
Note:	*p<0	.1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01	

Table 84: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched

		Depend	lent variable	:		
	Minutes TV watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	-10.950	-12.675	-9.711	-2.048		
	(26.443)	(27.284)	(27.181)	(28.836)		
Log(Population)		3.901	10.329	15.430		
,		(14.778)	(15.063)	(16.365)		
County % Hispanic			-189.355^*	-241.228**		
· ·			(96.885)	(116.619)		
Log(Income)				-53.962		
,				(67.421)		
Observations	265	265	265	265		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.001	0.001	0.015	0.018		
Adjusted R ²	-0.003	-0.007	0.004	0.003		
Notes		*n <0	11. ** n < 0.0!	5. ***n <0.01		

Table 85: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, Hispanics

		Depender	nt variable:		
	Minutes TV watched				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	86.451 (93.580)	$62.727 \\ (94.627)$	75.375 (96.147)	114.239 (119.855)	
TV Dummy \times County Distance to Boundary	0.002 (0.007)	-0.001 (0.007)	0.0001 (0.007)	0.001 (0.007)	
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	6.766 (32.143)	14.766 (32.480)	-1.950 (37.966)	-4.998 (39.632)	
Log(Population)		-177.358 (140.373)	-21.433 (229.662)	-276.700 (209.013)	
County % Hispanic			125.653 (146.121)	-19.187 (113.051)	
Log(Income)	0.007 (0.019)	0.006 (0.019)	$0.005 \\ (0.019)$	-0.019 (0.015)	
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	40	40	40	40	
Adjusted R^2	$0.066 \\ -0.104$	0.110 -0.085	0.131 -0.094	$0.153 \\ -0.065$	
\overline{Note} :		- '	**p<0.05;	-	

Col 4 includes person weights

Table 86: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
-		Minutes TV watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV:hispanic_d	49.134	41.288	36.257	-22.531			
_	(74.525)	(74.295)	(74.922)	(73.747)			
TV	-7.256	-6.509	-1.341	86.746*			
	(41.276)	(41.084)	(42.137)	(44.976)			
hispanic_d	-47.622	-9.670	-7.338	52.451			
	(53.199)	(56.780)	(57.005)	(61.586)			
dist	-0.003	-0.003*	-0.003^*	-0.001			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)			
logPop	4.133	10.079	13.791	-0.840			
	(14.867)	(15.142)	(16.517)	(16.728)			
pcHisp		-203.124*	-240.727^*	-375.522***			
		(109.743)	(128.368)	(131.689)			
income			-38.959	-15.463			
			(68.745)	(66.716)			
TV:dist	0.003	0.003	0.003	-0.006*			
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)			
Observations	265	265	265	265			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.031	0.044	0.046	0.078			
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.011	0.008	0.042			

Note: p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Col 4 includes person weights

Table 87: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD $\,$

_		Depende	ent variable:	•
		Minutes	TV watched	d
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	80.260	72.972	68.228	15.742
	(70.828)	(70.580)	(71.197)	(71.683)
TV	-3.705	-2.953	1.818	80.420*
	(39.047)	(38.854)	(39.854)	(43.060)
hispanic_d	-52.629	-16.089	-13.898	37.007
	(50.319)	(53.694)	(53.914)	(59.752)
dist	-0.002	-0.002	-0.002	0.0003
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
logPop	8.875	14.570	18.047	5.120
	(14.092)	(14.344)	(15.682)	(16.297)
pcHisp		-195.771*	-230.939*	-348.672***
		(103.928)	(121.993)	(127.083)
income			-36.219	-14.898
			(65.553)	(64.071)
age	-2.265	-1.833	-1.593	-0.988
	(4.283)	(4.268)	(4.295)	(3.802)
sexMale	63.510**	62.643**	63.817**	42.934
	(25.471)	(25.348)	(25.472)	(26.017)
age2	0.055	0.051	0.049	0.043
	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.038)
TV:dist	0.002	0.003	0.003	-0.006^*
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Observations	265	265	265	265
$ m R^2$	0.144	0.156	0.157	0.166
Adjusted R ²	0.107	0.116	0.113	0.123

p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 Col 4 includes person weights Note:

Table 88: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		Minutes T	V watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV:hispanic_d	171.916*	149.424	143.359	85.286		
	(97.243)	(98.016)	(98.803)	(108.387)		
TV:hispanic_d:dist	-0.0004	-0.001	-0.001	-0.010		
	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.015)		
TV	-15.719	-13.661	-8.734	72.915		
	(40.366)	(40.270)	(41.355)	(44.358)		
hispanic_d	-136.762*	-84.167	-81.412	-17.933		
	(75.259)	(82.204)	(82.481)	(97.543)		
dist	-0.003	-0.003	-0.003	-0.0002		
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)		
logPop	6.330	11.734	15.166	2.457		
	(14.243)	(14.614)	(15.967)	(16.769)		
pcHisp		-169.145	-203.677	-332.146**		
		(107.935)	(125.728)	(132.663)		
income			-35.487	-12.212		
			(65.993)	(64.643)		
age	-1.493	-1.264	-1.017	-0.931		
	(4.336)	(4.326)	(4.356)	(3.878)		
sexMale	64.839**	63.415**	64.517**	45.081*		
	(25.770)	(25.711)	(25.829)	(26.328)		
age2	0.049	0.047	0.044	0.043		
	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.042)	(0.039)		
TV:dist	0.004	0.004	0.004	-0.005		
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.004)		
hispanic_d:dist	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.003		
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)		
Observations	265	265	265	265		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.154	0.162	0.163	0.169		
16						

Col 4 includes person weights

Table 89: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD $\,$

-		Depender	nt variable:	
		Minutes 7	ΓV watched	l
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	159.092 (98.221)	131.238 (99.344)	127.367 (100.000)	$74.834 \\ (108.027)$
TV:hispanic_d:dist	0.001 (0.020)	0.001 (0.020)	0.001 (0.020)	-0.007 (0.015)
TV	-11.036 (40.586)	-8.977 (40.467)	-5.494 (41.490)	72.732 (44.292)
hispanic_d	-146.921* (78.448)	-98.465 (83.564)	-95.959 (83.950)	-54.677 (98.780)
dist	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.0002 (0.002)
logPop	8.069 (14.355)	13.590 (14.695)	16.061 (16.003)	1.479 (16.783)
pcHisp		-182.269 (111.002)	-207.264 (128.039)	-345.355^{**} (132.896)
income			-26.157 (66.435)	12.754 (65.526)
age	-1.898 (4.375)	-1.838 (4.360)	-1.636 (4.397)	-1.820 (3.902)
sexMale	63.507** (25.841)	61.487** (25.782)	62.363** (25.922)	38.288 (26.395)
age 2	0.052 (0.042)	0.051 (0.042)	0.049 (0.042)	0.051 (0.039)
foreign	-60.101 (50.443)	-56.501 (50.319)	-54.721 (50.608)	-62.567 (55.095)
TV:dist	0.003 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)	-0.005 (0.004)
hispanic_d:dist	0.008 (0.007)	0.006 (0.007)	0.006 (0.007)	0.004 (0.007)
${ m hispanic_d:} { m foreign}$	84.480 (84.389)	106.720 (85.184)	103.233 (85.789)	186.594** (88.820)
Observations R ²	265 0.159	265 0.168	265 0.169	265 0.184

850.107

0.104

0.121

0.101

 $\underline{\text{Adjusted R}^2}$

		Dependen	t variable:	
_		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	7.884* (4.468)	8.824** (4.475)	$4.035 \\ (4.475)$	-0.605 (4.960)
$TV:hispanic_d:dist$	0.00004 (0.0004)	-0.00002 (0.0004)	0.0001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0005)
TV	3.498 (2.300)	3.221 (2.301)	7.948*** (2.314)	9.926*** (2.266)
hispanic_d	13.648*** (3.689)	15.664*** (3.731)	16.329*** (3.723)	20.377*** (4.190)
dist	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)
logPop	-0.944 (0.630)	-0.059 (0.676)	5.034*** (0.739)	6.136*** (0.755)
pcHisp		-17.899*** (4.954)	-71.981*** (5.897)	-90.272^{***} (6.121)
income			-55.537^{***} (3.301)	-60.347^{***} (3.302)
age	1.786*** (0.029)	1.788*** (0.029)	1.775*** (0.029)	1.887*** (0.034)
sexMale	2.551* (1.323)		2.441^* (1.321)	
sexNIU (Not in universe)		104.524 (130.620)	108.119 (130.351)	-74.455 (174.675)
age2			-0.002^{***} (0.0001)	
foreign			-38.909^{***} (2.905)	
TV:dist			-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	
${ m hispanic_d:dist}$	-0.0003 (0.0002)		-0.0002 (0.0002)	
$hispanic_d:foreign$			13.123*** (4.326)	

Observations

68 373 68 373 68 373

Table 91: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD $\,$

	Depender	nt variable:	
	Minutes 7	V watched	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
8.986** (4.472)	10.066** (4.479)	$4.946 \\ (4.478)$	1.256 (4.969)
-0.00000 (0.0004)	-0.0001 (0.0004)	0.0001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0005)
2.105 (2.302)	1.793 (2.303)	6.822*** (2.315)	8.769*** (2.269)
11.337*** (3.639)	13.718*** (3.681)	14.722*** (3.673)	15.050*** (4.103)
0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)
-2.258^{***} (0.627)	-1.206^* (0.674)	4.202*** (0.739)	5.075*** (0.754)
	-21.041^{***} (4.958)	-77.644^{***} (5.894)	-96.516^{**} (6.122)
		-58.293^{***} (3.301)	-63.509^{**} (3.304)
1.533*** (0.037)	1.535*** (0.037)	1.527*** (0.037)	1.747*** (0.040)
2.602** (1.325)	2.590* (1.325)	2.477^* (1.322)	3.680*** (1.344)
-0.002^{***} (0.0001)	-0.002^{***} (0.0001)	-0.002^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)
			-1.969^{***} (0.623)
		-0.0001 (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0003)
68,373	68,373	68,373	68,373
	8.986** (4.472) -0.00000 (0.0004) 2.105 (2.302) 11.337*** (3.639) 0.0004*** (0.0001) -2.258*** (0.627) 1.533*** (0.037) 2.602** (1.325) 40.722 (130.885) -0.002*** (0.0001) -4.224*** (0.561) -0.001*** (0.0002) -0.0002 (0.0002)	(1) (2) 8.986** 10.066** (4.472) (4.479) -0.00000 -0.0001 (0.0004) (0.0004) 2.105 1.793 (2.302) (2.303) 11.337*** 13.718*** (3.639) (3.681) 0.0004*** 0.0004*** (0.0001) (0.0001) -2.258*** -1.206* (0.627) (0.674) -21.041*** (4.958) 1.533*** (4.958) 1.533*** (0.037) 2.602** 2.590* (1.325) (1.325) 40.722 40.255 (130.885) (130.869) -0.002*** (0.0037) 2.602** (0.0001) -4.224*** -4.241*** (0.561) (0.561) -0.001*** (0.0002) -0.0002 (0.0002) -0.0002 (0.0002)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

87 0.057

0.061

0.059

0.057

Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2

Table 92: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

		Depender	nt variable:	
		Minutes 7	TV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	1.201	0.930	5.556**	6.385**
	(2.509)	(2.511)	(2.523)	(2.521)
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	6.832	7.720	3.118	1.694
	(4.897)	(4.905)	(4.903)	(4.900)
Hispanic dummy	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0002	0.0002	0.0003	0.0004
. ,	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
$TV \times Distance \times Hispanic$	14.671***	16.651***	17.640***	20.128***
•	(4.000)	(4.048)	(4.040)	(4.101)
$TV \times Distance$	0.0004***	0.0004***	0.0004***	0.0004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
$Hispanic \times Distance$	-0.0005^*	-0.0005^*	-0.0004	-0.0004^*
•	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Log(Population)	-1.241*	-0.389	4.831***	5.506***
	(0.690)	(0.740)	(0.810)	(0.811)
County % Hispanic		-16.977***	-72.137***	-67.336***
		(5.352)	(6.391)	(6.395)
Log(Income)			-56.819***	-54.411***
			(3.616)	(3.617)
Foregin-born				-34.888***
				(3.221)
Foreign-born Hispanic				14.261***
J				(4.749)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
\mathbb{R}^2	0.053	0.053	0.057	0.060
Adjusted R ²	0.053	0.053	0.057	0.060
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	5; ***p<0.01

Table 93: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

		Dependen	t variable:	
_		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-2.429	-1.508	-0.381	0.539
	(1.737)	(1.740)	(1.746)	(1.745)
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	10.942***	9.602***	11.902***	11.312***
	(3.293)	(3.300)	(3.323)	(3.319)
Hispanic dummy	2.534	8.958***	7.563***	9.437***
	(2.314)	(2.432)	(2.446)	(2.566)
Log(Population)			5.480***	6.084***
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			(0.765)	(0.766)
County % Hispanic	-33.572***	-45.626***	-57.040***	-54.549***
		(3.225)		
Log(Income)		-46.085***	-71.141***	-66.198***
		(5.390)	(6.482)	(6.483)
Foregin-born				-35.566***
G				(2.964)
Foreign-born Hispanic				14.829***
				(4.551)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
\mathbb{R}^2	0.054	0.055	0.056	0.059
Adjusted R ²	0.054	0.055	0.056	0.059
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 94: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched on foreign-born, DD

	Dependent variable:					
	Minu	ites TV wa	tched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
TV Dummy	6.843 (5.802)	6.843 (5.807)	9.054 (6.000)			
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	5.200 (8.489)	5.200 (8.493)	6.112 (8.496)			
Hispanic dummy		27.033*** (6.382)	27.046*** (6.385)			
Log(Population)			3.910* (2.153)			
County % Hispanic		-17.602^* (9.364)				
Log(Income)			-15.634 (17.681)			
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	6,129 0.041 0.040	6,129 0.041 0.039	6,129 0.041 0.040			
Note:	*p<0.1	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 95: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with family, ${\rm DD}$

		Depender	nt variable:	
		Minutes 7	ΓV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy			-3.637***	
	(1.086)	(1.088)	(1.133)	(1.135)
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	4.741**	4.457^{*}	3.400	3.334
	(2.331)	(2.334)	(2.336)	(2.337)
Hispanic dummy	4.533***	3.792**	4.213**	3.653**
	(1.722)	(1.753)	(1.753)	(1.841)
Log(Population)	-2.940***	-3.294***	-1.922***	-1.884***
	(0.415)	(0.467)	(0.504)	(0.505)
County % Hispanic		6.888*	-8.080*	-7.797^*
		(3.779)	(4.292)	(4.296)
Log(Income)			-15.159***	-15.063***
			(2.260)	(2.261)
Foregin-born				-3.169
_				(1.981)
Foreign-born Hispanic				4.618
				(3.167)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
\mathbb{R}^2	0.036	0.036	0.037	0.037
Adjusted R ²	0.036	0.036	0.037	0.037
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 96: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched socially, DD $\,$

		Depende	nt variable:	
		Minutes '	ΓV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-6.452***	-6.514***	-4.380***	
	(1.172)	(1.174)	(1.222)	(1.224)
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	4.221*	4.377^{*}	3.150	3.061
	(2.476)	(2.482)	(2.487)	(2.487)
Hispanic dummy	7.563***	7.970***	8.460***	8.276***
	(1.829)	(1.865)	(1.865)	(1.961)
Log(Population)	-2.998***	-2.804***	-1.210**	-1.132**
	(0.442)	(0.494)	(0.538)	(0.539)
County % Hispanic		-3.776	-21.163***	-20.546^{***}
		(3.976)	(4.590)	(4.597)
Log(Income)			-17.609***	-17.327***
			(2.466)	(2.467)
Foregin-born				-5.120**
				(2.116)
Foreign-born Hispanic				4.133
				(3.366)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
\mathbb{R}^2	0.026	0.026	0.027	0.027
Adjusted R ²	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	5; ***p<0.01

Table 97: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with parent, DD

		Dependent	t variable:	
		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.417***	-0.433***	-0.423***	-0.421^{***}
	(0.155)	(0.157)	(0.155)	(0.155)
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	0.635**	0.659**	0.680**	0.677**
	(0.265)	(0.267)	(0.269)	(0.269)
Hispanic dummy	0.097	-0.016	-0.029	-0.089
	(0.181)	(0.195)	(0.197)	(0.204)
Log(Population)			0.051	0.050
- ,			(0.061)	(0.061)
County % Hispanic	-0.532**	-0.321	-0.426	-0.434
· -	(0.251)	(0.282)	(0.273)	(0.273)
Log(Income)		0.808	0.577	0.569
- ((0.492)	(0.512)	(0.510)
Foregin-born				-0.047
<u> </u>				(0.237)
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.311
ı				(0.376)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
\mathbb{R}^2	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001
Note:		*p<0.1	; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 98: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with parent, DD

		Dependen	t variable:	
		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV	-5.109^{***} (0.962)	-5.109^{***} (0.962)	-0.846 (0.985)	-0.363 (0.985)
$hispanic_d$	-2.755** (1.288)	-1.923 (1.307)	-1.417 (1.306)	0.063 (1.342)
parent	-165.219*** (0.838)	-165.219^{***} (0.838)	-165.219^{***} (0.837)	-165.219*** (0.837)
logPop	-0.749^{***} (0.252)	-0.324 (0.276)	2.610*** (0.313)	2.891*** (0.314)
pcHisp		-8.591^{***} (2.285)	-38.256*** (2.733)	-35.481^{***} (2.736)
income				-29.076^{***} (1.546)
foreign				$-18.254^{***} $ (1.338)
$TV: hispanic_d$	13.266*** (1.980)	13.653*** (1.983)	11.616*** (1.983)	11.349*** (1.983)
TV:parent	5.381*** (1.358)	5.381*** (1.358)	5.381*** (1.357)	5.381*** (1.356)
$hispanic_d:parent$	15.276*** (1.784)	15.276*** (1.784)	15.276*** (1.782)	15.276*** (1.781)
$hispanic_d: for eign$				4.689** (2.007)
$TV: hispanic_d: parent$	$-16.891^{***} (2.792)$			-16.891^{***} (2.787)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	182,630 0.313 0.312	182,630 0.313 0.313	182,630 0.314 0.314	182,630 0.315 0.315
Note:		*p	<0.1; **p<0.0	05; ***p<0.01

Table 99: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with children, DD

_		Depender	nt variable:	
_		Minutes 7	TV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.040	0.225	0.454	0.517
	(0.663)	(0.663)	(0.669)	(0.670)
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	3.350**	3.092**	3.540**	3.499**
	(1.565)	(1.564)	(1.568)	(1.568)
Hispanic dummy	5.238***	6.446***	6.164***	6.541***
· ·	(1.118)	(1.159)	(1.158)	(1.245)
Log(Population)			1.118***	1.167***
O(1 /			(0.318)	(0.319)
County % Hispanic	-8.636***	-10.922***	-13.290***	-13.065***
J	(1.301)			(1.527)
Log(Income)		-8.549***	-13.603***	-13.191***
		(2.290)	(2.828)	(2.839)
Foregin-born				-2.563***
Ü				(0.989)
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.039
				(1.842)
Observations	45,076	45,076	45,076	45,076
\mathbb{R}^2	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044
Adjusted R ²	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044

Table 100: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with parent, DD

	Dependent variable:			
	Min	utes TV	watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.434	-0.372	-0.372	
	(0.484)	(0.490)	(0.501)	
TV Dummy × Hispanic	0.556	0.472	0.472	
•	(0.700)	(0.702)	(0.702)	
Hispanic dummy	0.480	0.306	0.306	
1		(0.534)	(0.534)	
Log(Population)	0.147	0.055	0.055	
O(1 /	(0.164)	(0.203)	(0.210)	
County % Hispanic		1.968	1.963	
The state of the s		(1.769)		
Log(Income)			-0.004	
208(11001110)			(0.819)	
Observations	6,129	6,129	6,129	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.004	0.005	0.005	
Adjusted R ²	0.003	0.003	0.003	
Note:	*p<0.1;	**p<0.05	5; ***p<0.01	

Table 101: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	-0.075^{***} (0.008)	-0.092^{***} (0.008)	-0.079^{***} (0.008)	-0.083^{***} (0.008)	-0.099^{***} (0.008)		
% Programs on Education		-5.364^{***} (0.310)			-12.950^{***} (1.361)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			-3.281^{***} (0.517)		8.200*** (0.787)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				-16.838^{***} (1.031)	13.267*** (4.204)		
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791		
Note:			*p<	<0.1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01		

Table 102: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	-0.075^{***} (0.008)	-0.075^{***} (0.008)	-0.077^{***} (0.008)	-0.073^{***} (0.008)	-0.069^{***} (0.008)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0002 (0.0002)	0.0002 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0005*** (0.0002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)		
% Programs on Education		-0.797^{**} (0.371)			1.568 (1.982)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			3.733*** (0.591)		10.420*** (1.129)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				-5.399^{***} (1.114)	-23.592^{***} (4.976)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	26,791 0.437 0.437	26,791 0.438 0.437	26,791 0.438 0.438	26,791 0.438 0.438	26,791 0.442 0.441		

Table 103: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	Dependent variable:						
	IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.0004	-0.001	0.004	-0.0005	-0.0001		
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.0003**	0.0002*	0.0005***	0.0002*	0.001***		
, and the second	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002	0.0002	-0.0003	0.0001	-0.001		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)		
% Programs on Education		-0.355			-2.700**		
g		(0.247)			(1.082)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			3.141***		8.119***		
			(0.409)		(0.626)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				-1.801**	-4.570		
,,,8				(0.820)	(3.343)		
Observations	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.415	0.415	0.416	0.415	0.419		
Adjusted R ²	0.415	0.415	0.416	0.415	0.419		

Table 104: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# Hispani	c Out of S	chool Susp	pension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.0004 (0.006)	-0.0004 (0.006)	-0.002 (0.006)	-0.0001 (0.006)	$0.005 \\ (0.006)$
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0003** (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002 (0.0004)	0.0005 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)	0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.001 (0.0005)
% Programs on Education		1.275*** (0.294)			3.710** (1.567)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			5.793*** (0.467)		9.058*** (0.892)
% Programs with Good Role Models				0.935 (0.883)	-21.686^{***} (3.935)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	26,786 0.415 0.415	26,786 0.416 0.415	26,786 0.418 0.418	26,786 0.415 0.415	26,786 0.421 0.421
Note:			*p<0.1	1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01

Table 105: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on $\operatorname{IHS}(\operatorname{LEP})$

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.098*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.101*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.096*** (0.009)		
% Programs on Education		-0.205 (0.343)			-3.184^{**} (1.509)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			2.969*** (0.568)		7.412*** (0.871)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				-1.078 (1.138)	-1.319 (4.662)		
Observations	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147		
Note:			*p<0.1:	**p<0.05;	***p<0.01		

Table 106: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on $\operatorname{IHS}(\operatorname{LEP})$

Dependent variable: IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)					
0.098*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.096*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.120*** (0.009)	
0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	
0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	
	1.653*** (0.407)			24.006*** (2.175)	
		4.223*** (0.648)		-1.639 (1.240)	
			0.619 (1.224)	-66.924^{***} (5.465)	
27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	
0.488 0.488	0.488 0.488	0.489 0.488	0.488 0.488	0.491 0.491	
	(1) 0.098*** (0.008) 0.001*** (0.0002) 0.006*** (0.001) 27,147 0.488	IHS(# Hispanic (1) (2) 0.098*** 0.097*** (0.008) (0.008) 0.001*** 0.001*** (0.0002) (0.0002) 0.006*** 0.006*** (0.001) (0.001) 1.653*** (0.407) 27,147 27,147 0.488 0.488	IHS(# Hispanic Limited II) (1) (2) (3) 0.098*** 0.097*** 0.096*** (0.008) (0.008) (0.008) 0.001*** 0.001*** 0.001*** (0.0002) (0.0002) (0.0002) 0.006*** 0.006*** 0.006*** (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) 1.653*** (0.407) 4.223*** (0.648) 27,147 27,147 27,147 0.488 0.488 0.489	IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Processing (1) (2) (3) (4) 0.098*** 0.097*** 0.096*** 0.097*** (0.008) (0.008) (0.008) (0.008) 0.001*** 0.001*** 0.001*** 0.001*** (0.0002) (0.0002) (0.0002) (0.0002) 0.006*** 0.006*** 0.006*** 0.006*** (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) 1.653*** (0.407) 4.223*** (0.648) 27,147 27,147 27,147 27,147 0.488 0.488 0.489 0.488	

Table 107: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

		De	pendent var	riable:	
		IHS(# Hisp	oanic Chron	ically Absent)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV	-0.075***	0.542***	0.454***	0.777***	0.880***
	(0.008)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.051)	(0.055)
TV:origdist	0.0002	-0.002***	-0.001***	-0.002***	-0.002***
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
TV:word_edu_mean		-3.882***			4.093***
		(0.255)			(0.745)
TV:word_latin_mean			-4.783***		-4.942***
			(0.370)		(0.535)
TV:word_rolemodel_mean				-15.917***	-20.446***
				(0.939)	(2.558)
origdist	-0.003***	0.001*	-0.001*	0.0004	0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
word_edu_mean		0.775			-25.798***
		(0.507)			(2.439)
word_latin_mean			3.934***		18.160***
			(0.760)		(1.340)
word_rolemodel_mean				6.984***	61.266***
				(1.740)	(6.936)
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791
\mathbb{R}^2	0.437	0.448	0.442	0.449	0.453
Adjusted R ²	0.437	0.448	0.442	0.449	0.453

Table 108: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on $\mathrm{IHS}(\mathrm{LEP})$

	$Dependent\ variable:$							
	$IHS(\#\ Hispanic\ Limited\ English\ Proficiency)$							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
TV	0.098*** (0.008)	0.714*** (0.047)	0.535*** (0.046)	0.759*** (0.057)	0.723*** (0.061)			
TV:origdist	0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.0001 (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)			
TV:word_edu_mean		-3.778*** (0.283)			-3.823^{***} (0.830)			
TV:word_latin_mean			-3.886^{***} (0.408)		-1.399** (0.596)			
$TV: word_role model_mean$				-12.240^{***} (1.042)	2.927 (2.851)			
origdist	0.006*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)			
word_edu_mean		5.758*** (0.562)			6.132** (2.712)			
word_latin_mean			8.823*** (0.837)		8.194*** (1.491)			
word_rolemodel_mean				17.216*** (1.927)	-15.299** (7.711)			
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	27,147 0.488 0.488	27,147 0.491 0.491	27,147 0.490 0.490	27,147 0.490 0.490	27,147 0.492 0.492			

Table 109: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims)

$Dependent\ variable:$						
IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims)						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
-0.0003 (0.002)	-0.0001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.00005 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)		
0.00003 (0.00004)	0.00003 (0.00004)	-0.00004 (0.00004)	0.00004 (0.00004)	-0.0001** (0.00004)		
-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0003^{**} (0.0001)		
	0.055 (0.071)			-0.520^* (0.310)		
		-0.830^{***} (0.117)		-1.939^{***} (0.180)		
			0.573** (0.234)	4.982*** (0.956)		
26,734 0.026 0.025	26,734 0.026 0.025	26,734 0.028 0.027	26,734 0.026	26,734 0.032 0.031		
	(1) -0.0003 (0.002) 0.00003 (0.00004) -0.001*** (0.0001)	IHS(# Hispa (1) (2) -0.0003 -0.0001 (0.002) (0.002) 0.00003 0.00003 (0.00004) (0.00004) -0.001*** -0.001*** (0.0001) (0.0001) 0.055 (0.071) 26,734 26,734 0.026 0.026	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims (1) (2) (3) (4) (2) -0.0003 -0.0001 -0.001 -0.00005 (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.0004) (0.00004) (0.00004) (0.00004) (0.00004) (0.00004) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) $(0.573^{**}$ (0.234) $(0.26, 0.026, 0.028, 0.026)$		

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 110: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted Students)

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	IHS(# Hispanic Gifted Students)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.033^{***} (0.007)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.043*** (0.007)	0.037^{***} (0.007)	0.030*** (0.008)		
% Programs on Education		1.699*** (0.287)			-8.613*** (1.386)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			5.567*** (0.495)		9.431*** (0.828)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				6.139*** (0.948)	20.200*** (4.227)		
Observations	16,866	16,866	16,866	16,866	16,866		
Note:	·	·	*p<0.1;	**p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 111: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
TV Dummy	0.096*** (0.018)	0.097*** (0.018)	0.103*** (0.018)	0.098*** (0.018)	0.070^{***} (0.019)	
% Programs on Education		0.439 (0.777)			-21.669^{***} (3.337)	
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			4.440*** (1.279)		10.318*** (1.926)	
% Programs with Good Role Models				4.704* (2.586)	60.015*** (10.347)	
Observations	3,945	3,945	3,945	3,945	3,945	
Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01						

Table 112: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian Chronically Absent					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
TV Dummy	0.002	-0.004	-0.004			
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)			
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***			
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003			
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)			
# Asian Students	0.007***	0.006***	0.006***			
"	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.399	0.449	0.452			
Adjusted R ²	0.399	0.449	0.451			
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01					

Table 113: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.024^{***} (0.006)	-0.026^{***} (0.006)	-0.028^{***} (0.006)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0002 (0.0001)	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.0004^{***} \\ (0.0001) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.0004^{***} \\ (0.0001) \end{array} $
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002^{***} (0.0003)	-0.002^{***} (0.0003)	-0.002^{***} (0.0003)
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00002)	0.003*** (0.00003)	0.003*** (0.00003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,869 0.413 0.413	40,869 0.427 0.427	40,869 0.429 0.429
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 114: Effect of TV on IHS(# Black Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Black Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.140***	-0.154***	-0.152^{***}
	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0002	-0.0003*	-0.0002
v	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***
,	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
# Asian Students	0.001***	-0.003***	-0.003***
,,	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869
\mathbb{R}^2	0.172	0.279	0.282
Adjusted R ²	0.171	0.279	0.282
Note:	*p<0.	.1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 115: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Suspended)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.002 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.00001 (0.00004)	-0.0001^* (0.00004)	-0.00004 (0.00004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)
# Asian Students	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,864 0.140 0.140	40,864 0.198 0.198	40,864 0.217 0.217
Note:	*p<0.1	; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 116: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Suspended)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.026***	-0.027^{***}	-0.026***
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0001	-0.0004***	-0.0003***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004	-0.0002	-0.0001
,	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
# White Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
,,	(0.00002)	(0.00003)	(0.00002)
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864
\mathbb{R}^2	0.313	0.346	0.412
Adjusted R ²	0.313	0.346	0.412
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 117: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian reported bullying)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian reported bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0001***	-0.0001***	-0.0001***
v	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***
- ,	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
# Asian Students	0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0003***
<i>n</i>	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
\mathbb{R}^2	0.042	0.045	0.049
Adjusted R ²	0.041	0.045	0.049

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 118: Effect of TV on IHS(# White reported bullying)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White reported bullying)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.00004 (0.00003)	-0.00001 (0.00003)	-0.00001 (0.00003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	
# White Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,811 0.023 0.022	40,811 0.026 0.026	40,811 0.032 0.032	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 119: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian victim bullying)

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# Asian victim bullying)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.00003^{***} (0.00001)	-0.00003^{***} (0.00001)	-0.00003^{***} (0.00001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)	
# Asian Students	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	
Observations R^2	40,811 0.028	40,811 0.030	40,811 0.033	
Adjusted R ²	0.028	0.030	0.033	

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Note:

Table 120: Effect of TV on IHS(# White victim bullying)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# White victim bullying)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.004** (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	0.003^* (0.002)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0001^{***} (0.00003)	-0.00004 (0.00003)	-0.00003 (0.00003)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)		
# White Students	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)		
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.042	0.050	0.062		
Adjusted R ²	0.042	0.050	0.062		

Table 121: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Taken)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian APs Taken)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.039***	0.033***	0.030***	
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.009)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001**	0.001**	0.001*	
	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	
# Asian Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
ihs(asian_students)	0.831***	0.782***	0.774***	
,	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
hisp_students	0.0001***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***	
1	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00003)	
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.811	0.816	0.828	
Adjusted R ²	0.811	0.815	0.828	

Table 122: Effect of TV on IHS(# White APs Taken)

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(# White APs Taken)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.046*** (0.017)	0.034** (0.017)	0.029^* (0.016)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0002 (0.0003)	-0.0001 (0.0003)	0.00001 (0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.0005 (0.001)	
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.00005)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	6,089 0.526 0.525	6,089 0.543 0.542	6,089 0.584 0.583	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 123: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian APs Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.069***	0.085***	0.082***	
	(0.016)	(0.021)	(0.021)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0003	0.0001	0.0002	
, , ,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003***	0.004***	0.004***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Asian Students	0.001***	0.003***	0.003***	
"	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
ihs(asian_students)	0.792***			
	(0.026)			
Observations	1,552	1,552	1,552	
R^2	0.702	0.527	0.536	
Adjusted R ²	0.701	0.524	0.533	
N	* -0.1 *	* -0.05 *	*** -0.01	

Note:

Table 124: 50 KM Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable:		
	IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.035^{***} (0.013)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0004 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)
# Asian Students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	-0.026^* (0.013)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	1,759 0.360 0.357	1,759 0.364 0.361	1,759 0.365 0.361
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01

Table 125: 25 KM Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.135*** (0.030)		0.161*** (0.038)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.005^* (0.003)	-0.006^* (0.003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.016** (0.007)	0.026*** (0.009)	0.027*** (0.009)
# Asian Students	0.0005*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	0.763*** (0.040)		
Observations	587	587	587
R^2 Adjusted R^2	$0.686 \\ 0.681$	$0.495 \\ 0.487$	$0.509 \\ 0.499$
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 126: Effect of TV on IHS(# White APs Passed)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White APs Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.005	-0.013	-0.022	
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.015)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001**	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001	0.001	0.001	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# White Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
Observations	3,543	3,543	3,543	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.472	0.479	0.515	
Adjusted R ²	0.471	0.478	0.514	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 127: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Limited English Proficiency)

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# Asi	IHS(# Asian Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.016^{***}	-0.020***	-0.025***		
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
, and the second	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***		
,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)		
# Asian Students	0.008***	0.006***	0.006***		
"	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.309	0.342	0.392		
Adjusted R ²	0.309	0.341	0.392		
Note:		*p<0.1; **	p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 128: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Limited English Proficiency)

		$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# W	IHS(# White Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.004	0.001	-0.002		
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***		
,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.0001***	-0.00001		
" -	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)		
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.157	0.206	0.263		
Adjusted R^2	0.157	0.206	0.262		
Note:		*p<0.1; '	**p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 129: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Gifted)

	$D\epsilon$	iable:		
	IHS($\#$ Asian Gifted)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	$0.005 \\ (0.006)$	0.003 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0002^* (0.0001)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	
# Asian Students	0.012*** (0.0001)	0.010*** (0.0001)	0.010*** (0.0001)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	26,065 0.497 0.497	26,065 0.537 0.536	26,065 0.551 0.551	
Note:	*n<0.1: **n<0.05: ***n<0.01			

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 130: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Gifted)

$_$ Dep	able:		
IHS(# White Gifted)			
(1)	(2)	(3)	
-0.004 (0.007)	-0.008 (0.006)	-0.010 (0.006)	
0.00005 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	
0.001 (0.0003)	0.0004 (0.0003)	0.0004 (0.0003)	
0.003*** (0.00003)	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.003*** (0.00004)	
26,065	26,065	26,065	
$0.460 \\ 0.459$	$0.464 \\ 0.464$	$0.494 \\ 0.494$	
	IHS((1) -0.004 (0.007) 0.00005 (0.0001) 0.001 (0.0003) 0.003*** (0.00003) 26,065 0.460	$\begin{array}{c cccc} (1) & (2) \\ \hline -0.004 & -0.008 \\ (0.007) & (0.006) \\ \hline 0.00005 & 0.0001 \\ (0.0001) & (0.0001) \\ \hline 0.001 & 0.0004 \\ (0.0003) & (0.0003) \\ \hline 0.003^{***} & 0.003^{***} \\ (0.00003) & (0.00004) \\ \hline 26,065 & 26,065 \\ 0.460 & 0.464 \\ \hline \end{array}$	

Note:

Table 131: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr8Passed

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(Hispan	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing Gr 8 Algebra		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.032***	0.029***	0.016^*	
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0004**	-0.0004**	-0.0004**	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
III III pellie seddelles	(0.00005)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	2,402	2,402	2,402	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.368	0.371	0.424	
Adjusted R ²	0.366	0.369	0.421	
Note:		*p<0.1;	**p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 132: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr9-10 Passed

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispa	$\operatorname{IHS}(\operatorname{Hispanic}$ Students Passing Gr 9-10 Algebra			
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.004	-0.006	-0.013		
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.008)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.001**		
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.00002)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)		
Observations	4,533	4,533	4,533		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.580	0.584	0.616		
Adjusted R^2	0.580	0.583	0.615		

Table 133: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr $11\mbox{-}12$ Passed

		$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(Hispa	nic Students l	Passing Gr 11-12 Algebra)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.027	0.033	0.033		
	(0.023)	(0.023)	(0.023)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.001^*		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001	0.002	0.002		
,	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.0001***	0.0002***	0.0002***		
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Observations	446	446	446		
R^2	0.050	0.067	0.080		
Adjusted R ²	0.035	0.048	0.054		

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 134: Effect of TV on AP Math Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled AP Math)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.010	0.003	-0.003	
	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.014)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.003***	-0.003***	
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
" 1	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
Observations	4,921	4,921	4,921	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.486	0.513	0.529	
Adjusted R^2	0.485	0.512	0.528	

Note:

Table 135: Effect of TV on AP Science Enrollment

		$Dependent \ v$	ariable:
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled AP Science)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.075***	0.062***	0.059***
	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.015)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002**	-0.002***	-0.003***
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	4,630	4,630	4,630
\mathbb{R}^2	0.519	0.542	0.558
Adjusted R ²	0.518	0.541	0.557

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 136: Effect of TV on Adv. Math Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Adv. Math)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.006	-0.020	-0.027**
	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.013)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
, and the second	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.005***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	7,177	7,177	7,177
\mathbb{R}^2	0.468	0.534	0.557
Adjusted R^2	0.467	0.533	0.556

Note:

Table 137: Effect of TV on Calculus Enrollment

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Calculus		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.014	0.021	0.020
	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.016)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.005***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
,, .,,	(0.00005)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	5,730	5,730	5,730
\mathbb{R}^2	0.465	0.506	0.520
Adjusted R ²	0.464	0.505	0.519
Note:		*p<0.1; **p	p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 138: Effect of TV on Biology Enrollment

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Biology			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.022*	-0.036***	-0.044***	
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006***	-0.007***	-0.007***	
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.00005)	
Observations	9,504	9,504	9,504	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.494	0.589	0.620	
Adjusted R ²	0.493	0.589	0.619	
Note:		*p<0.1; **p	<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 139: Effect of TV on Chemisty Enrollment

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Chemistry)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.012	0.004	-0.001	
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
į	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005***	-0.006***	-0.006***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
Observations	8,236	8,236	8,236	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.544	0.616	0.639	
Adjusted R ²	0.544	0.615	0.638	

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 140: Effect of TV on Physics Enrollment

		$Dependent\ variable:$		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Physics)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.043***	0.035***	0.031**	
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.004***	
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	6,976	6,976	6,976	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.538	0.567	0.581	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.537	0.567	0.580	

Note:

Table 141: Effect of TV on SAT/ACT Enrollment

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled SAT/ACT)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.029^*	-0.042^{***}	-0.052^{***}
	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.013)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
į į	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.005***	-0.006***
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.00005)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	10,805	10,805	10,805
\mathbb{R}^2	0.345	0.465	0.521
Adjusted R ²	0.344	0.464	0.521

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 142: Effect of TV on GED Credit

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(Hispanic Students GED Credit)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.204***	-0.206***	-0.206***	
	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***	
v	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.013***	-0.014***	-0.014***	
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	-0.0001***	-0.0003***	-0.0003***	
" ·	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
Observations	4,829	4,829	4,829	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.823	0.824	0.824	
Adjusted R ²	0.823	0.823	0.823	

Note:

Table 143: Effect of TV on GED Participation

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students GED Participation)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.021	-0.019	-0.015
	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001*	0.0004	0.0001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.024***	-0.023***	-0.023***
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.0002***	0.0003***	0.0003***
" ·	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	9,720	9,720	9,720
\mathbb{R}^2	0.670	0.682	0.683
Adjusted R^2	0.670	0.682	0.683

Table 144: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Gifted)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.239***	0.239***	0.239***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy	-0.107***	-0.098***	-0.099***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
Hispanic	0.326***	0.326***	0.326***	
_	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
-	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
asian_students	0.007***	0.005***	0.005***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	52,130	52,130	52,130	
R^2	0.409	0.434	0.449	
Adjusted R ²	0.409	0.434	0.449	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 145: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable: IHS(# AP Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.079***	0.081***	0.080***	
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	
TV Dummy	-0.002	-0.0001	0.0001	
v	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
Hispanic	-0.219***	-0.211***	-0.202***	
•	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.041)	
hisp_students	0.0005***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
•	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
asian_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	3,757	3,757	3,757	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.305	0.312	0.317	
Adjusted R ²	0.304	0.310	0.315	
Noto	*~ <0	1. **- <0.05	**** <0.01	

Table 146: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic GEDs) vs. Asian

	Dep	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# GEDs)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.566***	-0.566***	-0.564***		
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)		
TV Dummy	0.470***	0.470***	0.469***		
-	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.012)		
Hispanic	3.394***	3.395***	3.391***		
•	(0.025)	(0.024)	(0.026)		
hisp_students	-0.0001***	-0.0001**	-0.0001**		
•	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
asian_students	0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0003***		
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
Observations	6,685	6,685	6,685		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.837	0.837	0.837		
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.837	0.837	0.837		
λτ <i>ι</i>	* -0	1 ** -0.05	*** -0.01		

Table 147: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:			
	$IHS(\#\ Chronic\ Absent)$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.231***	0.231***	0.231***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy	-0.137***	-0.135***	-0.135***	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
Hispanic	1.394***	1.394***	1.394***	
-	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	81,738	81,738	81,738	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.515	0.534	0.535	
Adjusted R ²	0.514	0.534	0.535	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 148: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	$IHS(\# \ Suspended)$		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.122***	0.122***	0.122***
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
TV Dummy	-0.058***	-0.057***	-0.056***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Hispanic	0.591***	0.591***	0.591***
•	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
_	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
asian_students	0.001***	0.0001	0.0001**
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	81,728	81,728	81,728
\mathbb{R}^2	0.324	0.347	0.379
Adjusted R ²	0.324	0.347	0.379
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 149: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Bullied)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.001^*	0.001^*	0.001^{*}
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy	0.001**	0.001***	0.001***
-	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
Hispanic	0.019***	0.019***	0.019***
•	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
hisp_students	0.00001***	-0.00001	-0.00001
•	(0.00000)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
asian_students	0.0001***	0.0001**	0.0001**
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Observations	52,068	52,068	52,068
\mathbb{R}^2	0.008	0.011	0.017
Adjusted R ²	0.008	0.011	0.016
Note:	*n<0.1	· **p<0.05·	***n<0.01

Table 150: Poisson Differential Effect of TV on # Hispanic Bullied vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:		
		# Bullied	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.141^{***} (0.025)	-0.139^{***} (0.025)	-0.140^{***} (0.025)
TV Dummy	0.260*** (0.021)	0.260*** (0.021)	0.257^{***} (0.021)
TV Dummy × Distance × Hispanic	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary \times Hispanic	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)
Hispanic	0.997*** (0.074)	0.993*** (0.074)	0.995*** (0.074)
origdist	-0.005^{***} (0.002)	-0.005^{***} (0.002)	-0.005^{***} (0.002)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$81,622 \\ -17,523.890 \\ 35,073.780$	81,622 -17,484.320 34,996.630	81,622 -16,848.550 33,731.110

Table 151: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullying) vs. Asian

	Dep	pendent varie	able:	
	IHS(# Bullying)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	
TV Dummy	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.001	
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Hispanic	0.027***	0.027***	0.027***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
hisp_students	0.00005***	0.00004***	0.00004***	
	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	
asian_students	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
Observations	81,622	81,622	81,622	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.017	0.018	0.022	
Adjusted R ²	0.017	0.018	0.022	
NT /	* -() 1 ** <0.05	**** -0.01	

Table 152: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# APs Taken)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.310***	0.310***	0.310***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy	-0.046***	-0.054***	-0.054***	
	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
Hispanic	0.422***	0.422***	0.422***	
•	(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.030)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
_	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	12,178	12,178	12,178	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.466	0.533	$0.\overline{553}$	
Adjusted R ²	0.466	0.533	0.553	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 153: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.304***	0.304***	0.304***	
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
TV Dummy	-0.092***	-0.091***	-0.100***	
v	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
Hispanic	1.132***	1.132***	1.132***	
•	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
hisp_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
•	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.003***	0.003***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	83,004	83,004	83,004	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.432	0.435	0.477	
Adjusted R ²	0.432	0.435	0.477	
Note:	*n/	-0.1·**n/0.0)5· ***n/0.01	

Table 154: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Passing Algebra) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(#	Passing A	lgebra)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.008	0.009	0.012	
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy	0.013	0.012	-0.002	
-	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
Hispanic	0.102***	0.095***	0.104***	
•	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.035)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	3,495	3,495	3,495	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.324	0.326	0.364	
Adjusted R ²	0.323	0.324	0.362	
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01	

Table 155: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

	Dep	endent varie	able:	
	IHS	S(# AP Ma	th)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.220***	0.220***	0.220***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy	-0.051***	-0.056***	-0.058***	
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
Hispanic	-0.071**	-0.071**	-0.071**	
-	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.029)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	9,842	9,842	9,842	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.374	0.413	0.428	
Adjusted R ²	0.374	0.412	0.427	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 156: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

	Dep	pendent vari	able:	
	IHS	S(# AP Scie	nce)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.270***	0.270***	0.270***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy	-0.031**	-0.038***	-0.037***	
Ü	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
Hispanic	-0.040	-0.040	-0.040	
•	(0.034)	(0.033)	(0.032)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0004***	0.0004***	
_	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	9,260	9,260	9,260	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.397	0.433	0.447	
Adjusted R ²	0.396	0.432	0.446	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 157: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Advanced Math) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(#	Advanced	Math)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.250***	0.250***	0.250***	
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
TV Dummy	-0.100***	-0.097***	-0.099***	
-	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
Hispanic	0.739***	0.739***	0.739***	
•	(0.027)	(0.025)	(0.025)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
•	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	14,354	14,354	14,354	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.463	0.530	0.547	
Adjusted R ²	0.462	0.530	0.547	
Note:	*p<0.1. **p<0.05. ***p<0.01			

Table 158: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Calculus) vs. Asian

	Dep	endent varie	able:	
	IH	S(# Calcul	ıs)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.272***	0.272***	0.272***	
-	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy	-0.098***	-0.094***	-0.097***	
·	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
Hispanic	0.410***	0.410***	0.410***	
1	(0.030)	(0.029)	(0.029)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
1	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	11,460	11,460	11,460	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.437	0.478	0.491	
Adjusted R ²	0.436	0.477	0.490	
Notes	*n<0	1. **n < 0.05.	**** > < 0.01	

Table 159: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Biology) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
-	IF	IS(# Biolog	y)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.260***	0.260***	0.260***		
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)		
TV Dummy	-0.099***	-0.098***	-0.100***		
Ü	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)		
Hispanic	1.247***	1.247***	1.247***		
•	(0.025)	(0.022)	(0.022)		
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0003***	0.0003***		
•	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.005***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	19,008	19,008	19,008		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.529	0.620	0.639		
Adjusted R ²	0.529	0.620	0.639		
Notes	*~ <0	*** <0.1. *** <0.05. **** <0.01			

Table 160: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chemistry) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS	S(# Chemis	try)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.290***	0.290***	0.290***		
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)		
TV Dummy	-0.094***	-0.090***	-0.091***		
	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)		
Hispanic	0.888***	0.888***	0.888***		
•	(0.026)	(0.023)	(0.023)		
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0004***	0.0004***		
_	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	16,472	16,472	16,472		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.528	0.602	0.619		
Adjusted R ²	0.528	0.601	0.618		
Note:	*p<0.	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 161: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Physics) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	II	IS(# Physic	es)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.311***	0.311***	0.311***	
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
TV Dummy	-0.070***	-0.068***	-0.068***	
	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)	
Hispanic	0.626***	0.626***	0.626***	
_	(0.027)	(0.026)	(0.026)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	13,952	13,952	13,952	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.499	0.537	0.548	
Adjusted R ²	0.498	0.537	0.547	
Note:	*n<0.1: **n<0.05: ***n<0.01			

Table 162: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS	S(# SAT/A	CT)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.160***	0.160***	0.160***	
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
TV Dummy	-0.057***	-0.055***	-0.059***	
	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)	
Hispanic	0.694***	0.694***	0.694***	
	(0.025)	(0.022)	(0.022)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0002**	0.0003***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.005***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.385	0.498	0.537	
Adjusted R ²	0.384	0.498	0.537	
Note:	*n<0	1· **n<0.05	***n<0.01	

Table 163: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic GED Participate) vs. Asian

	Depe	endent varia	ble:	
	$\mathrm{IHS}(\#$	GED Partic	cipate)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.377***	0.377***	0.377***	
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
TV Dummy	-0.106***	-0.127***	-0.129***	
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
Hispanic	1.508***	1.508***	1.508***	
•	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.034)	
hisp_students	-0.0002***	0.0001	0.0001*	
_	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.0004***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	19,440	19,440	19,440	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.694	0.703	0.705	
Adjusted R ²	0.693	0.703	0.704	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

143

		Dependent	nt variable:	
	IHS(# SAT/AG)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity	2.313** (0.943)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		-0.516 (0.626)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-2.085 (2.151)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.144 (3.036)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	-0.060 (0.099)	0.264*** (0.096)	0.293*** (0.109)	0.178 (0.109)
TV Dummy	-0.028 (0.059)	-0.115^* (0.061)	0.071 (0.066)	0.140** (0.066)
Hispanic	-0.333 (0.563)			
$TV:word_edu_mean$		0.299 (0.407)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-2.952^{**} (1.315)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-6.144^{***} (1.872)
eth	1.088*** (0.213)	0.532** (0.216)		0.749*** (0.207)
eth:word_latin_mean	-4.631** (1.883)			
$eth: word_edu_mean$		0.273 (1.329)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			3.427 (3.902)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-4.471 (5.369)
word_latin_mean	2.951*** (1.124)			

144

 $word_edu_mean$

0.909

		Dependent	t variable:	
		IHS(# AI	Passed)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.721 \\ (1.280) \end{array} $			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		0.903 (0.922)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-1.184 (2.989)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				4.523 (4.778)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.120 (0.134)	-0.054 (0.137)	0.153 (0.150)	-0.091 (0.169)
TV Dummy	0.219* (0.119)	0.225^* (0.123)	0.063 (0.131)	0.327** (0.153)
Hispanic	-1.900^* (1.143)			
$TV:word_edu_mean$		-1.650^{**} (0.833)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-1.819 (2.629)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-9.323^{**} (4.351)
eth	1.088*** (0.418)			1.000** (0.428)
eth:word_latin_mean	-11.551^{***} (3.606)			
$eth: word_edu_mean$		-6.587^{***} (2.339)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			-11.299 (7.884)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-32.927^{***} (11.119)
word_latin_mean	14.620*** (3.113)			

word_edu_mean 145 6.396***

		Depender	nt variable:	
	IHS(# Limited E	English Profi	ciency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on education	0.726*** (0.281)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		1.016** (0.463)		
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with role models			0.759 (0.977)	
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with bad content				8.036*** (2.184)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.237*** (0.044)	0.243^{***} (0.050)	0.300*** (0.051)	0.186*** (0.046)
TV Dummy	0.304*** (0.032)	0.438*** (0.036)	0.346*** (0.038)	0.387*** (0.035)
Hispanic	-2.867^{***} (0.208)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-5.334^{***} (0.339)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-9.436^{***} (0.747)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-25.796** (1.697)
eth	0.640*** (0.116)	0.541*** (0.130)	0.707*** (0.119)	0.641*** (0.116)
eth:word_edu_mean	2.168*** (0.711)			
eth:word_latin_mean		3.768*** (1.141)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			5.475** (2.271)	
eth:word_bad_mean				16.057*** (5.280)
word_edu_mean	2.641*** (0.452)			

 $word_latin_mean$

7.466***

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Chro	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on education	0.012 (0.220)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		-0.026 (0.339)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-2.454^{***} (0.812)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.948 (1.096)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.221*** (0.034)	0.232*** (0.036)	0.347*** (0.042)	0.192*** (0.040)
TV Dummy	-0.185^{***} (0.022)	-0.082^{***} (0.025)	-0.102^{***} (0.027)	-0.026 (0.028)
Hispanic	0.053 (0.148)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.875^{***} (0.235)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-1.390^{***} (0.536)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-4.259^{**} (0.778)
eth	1.409*** (0.091)	1.099*** (0.093)	1.287*** (0.094)	1.284*** (0.090)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.107 (0.555)			
eth:word_latin_mean		2.843*** (0.820)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			2.650 (1.799)	
eth:word_bad_mean				3.694 (2.332)
word_edu_mean	-2.706^{***} (0.320)			

 $word_latin_mean$

-2.007***

		Dependent	nt variable:	
		IHS(# Chr	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	-0.070^{***} (0.019)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		-0.031^* (0.018)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-0.137^{***} (0.019)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				-0.079^{***} (0.024)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.086** (0.037)	0.153*** (0.042)	-0.191^{***} (0.057)	-0.041 (0.082)
TV Dummy	-0.304^{***} (0.024)	-0.177^{***} (0.028)	-0.470^{***} (0.036)	-0.685^{***} (0.055)
Hispanic	-0.068^{***} (0.012)			
TV:word_latin_log		-0.001 (0.012)		
$TV: word_role model_log$			-0.100^{***} (0.012)	
$TV:word_bad_log$				-0.153^{***} (0.016)
eth	1.660*** (0.062)	1.769*** (0.117)	2.024*** (0.088)	1.962*** (0.128)
eth:word_edu_log	0.124*** (0.031)			
eth:word_latin_log		0.154*** (0.051)		
$eth: word_role model_log$			0.200*** (0.028)	
$eth: word_bad_log$				0.162*** (0.038)
word_edu_log	0.004 (0.019)			

word_latin_log

-0.242***

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(#	Gifted)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on education	2.107*** (0.228)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		3.256*** (0.386)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			6.469*** (0.878)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				12.920*** (1.153)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.024 (0.036)	-0.044 (0.041)	-0.040 (0.046)	-0.166^{***} (0.042)
TV Dummy	0.119*** (0.028)	0.206*** (0.030)	0.188*** (0.035)	0.298*** (0.033)
Hispanic	-1.764^{***} (0.183)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.338*** (0.286)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-6.592^{***} (0.683)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-12.406^{**} (0.915)
eth	0.089 (0.083)	0.045 (0.096)	0.218** (0.087)	0.222** (0.087)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.103 (0.509)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.175 (0.846)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			-2.053 (1.666)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-3.420 (2.253)
word_edu_mean	2.657*** (0.369)			

 $word_latin_mean$

5.099***

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Sı	uspended)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	-1.099^{***} (0.197)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		-3.098*** (0.308)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-6.174^{***} (0.728)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				-6.206^{***} (1.003)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.290*** (0.030)	0.455*** (0.033)	0.433*** (0.037)	0.350*** (0.036)
TV Dummy	-0.033^{**} (0.015)	-0.051^{***} (0.016)	-0.013 (0.018)	0.043** (0.019)
Hispanic	-0.200** (0.101)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.104 (0.156)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-0.966^{***} (0.369)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-3.048^{***} (0.542)
eth	0.098 (0.063)	-0.424^{***} (0.067)	0.073 (0.066)	-0.140^{**} (0.066)
eth:word_edu_mean	3.148*** (0.390)			
eth:word_latin_mean		9.186*** (0.596)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			10.181*** (1.271)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				19.462*** (1.726)
word_edu_mean	-0.244 (0.178)			

 $word_latin_mean$

-0.936***

Table 171: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied Ethnicity) vs. Asian

		Dependent	t variable:	
	Ι	HS(# Bullie	d Ethnicity)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on education	0.039 (0.028)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		0.111** (0.055)		
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with role models			-0.012 (0.100)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.408** (0.161)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.005 (0.004)	-0.012^{**} (0.006)	0.002 (0.005)	-0.014^{**} (0.006)
TV Dummy	-0.027^{***} (0.002)	-0.029^{***} (0.002)	-0.025^{***} (0.002)	-0.033^{***} (0.002)
Hispanic	0.189*** (0.012)			
TV:word_latin_mean		0.280*** (0.022)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			0.530*** (0.043)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				0.960*** (0.067)
eth	0.034*** (0.012)	0.105*** (0.016)	0.011 (0.013)	0.069*** (0.014)
eth:word_edu_mean	-0.058 (0.076)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-0.714^{***} (0.138)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			0.273 (0.256)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-1.175^{***} (0.359)
word_edu_mean	-0.234^{***} (0.030)			

 $word_latin_mean$

-0.715***

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(#	Bullies)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	0.014 (0.020)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		0.123*** (0.040)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			0.032 (0.079)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.213** (0.102)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.003 (0.003)	-0.015^{***} (0.004)	-0.003 (0.004)	-0.009** (0.004)
TV Dummy	-0.016^{***} (0.002)	-0.015^{***} (0.002)	-0.017^{***} (0.002)	-0.019^{***} (0.002)
Hispanic	0.111*** (0.011)			
TV:word_latin_mean		0.145*** (0.018)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			0.348*** (0.040)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				0.552*** (0.060)
eth	0.038*** (0.010)	0.108*** (0.014)	0.013 (0.011)	0.070*** (0.012)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.011 (0.064)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-0.605^{***} (0.116)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			0.528** (0.218)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-0.785^{***} (0.288)
word_edu_mean	-0.120^{***} (0.017)			

 $word_latin_mean$

-0.312***

		$\underline{Depende}$	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	P enrolled)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on education	1.300* (0.701)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		2.685** (1.107)		
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with role models			3.547 (2.578)	
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with bad content				9.904*** (3.529)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.179 (0.109)	0.097 (0.118)	0.189 (0.132)	0.023 (0.128)
TV Dummy	0.252*** (0.090)	0.409*** (0.093)	0.454*** (0.108)	0.589*** (0.106)
Hispanic	-2.286^{***} (0.594)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-4.985^{***} (0.888)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-11.315^{***} (2.150)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-19.934^{**} (2.987)
eth	-0.058 (0.296)	0.069 (0.308)	-0.039 (0.298)	0.130 (0.291)
eth:word_edu_mean	1.481 (1.817)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.675 (2.706)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			4.343 (5.716)	
eth:word_bad_mean				1.002 (7.513)
word_edu_mean	3.120** (1.325)			

word_latin_mean 153 7.669***

Table 174: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gr 8 Algebra) vs. Asian

		Dependen	nt variable:	
		IHS(# Gr	8 Algebra)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on education	-1.649^{**} (0.725)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		-1.994** (0.854)		
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with role models			-5.916** (2.418)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				-8.112*, (3.925)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.262** (0.103)	0.176** (0.087)	0.299** (0.116)	0.282** (0.129)
TV Dummy	-0.080 (0.092)	-0.067 (0.074)	-0.142 (0.103)	-0.135 (0.119)
Hispanic	0.764 (0.658)			
TV:word_latin_mean		1.123 (0.739)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			3.427 (2.158)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				5.073 (3.646)
eth	-1.094^{***} (0.338)		-0.884^{***} (0.324)	
eth:word_edu_mean	7.598*** (2.055)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-1.896 (2.768)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			19.561*** (6.254)	
eth:word_bad_mean				19.089** (7.558)
word_edu_mean	0.183 (1.572)			

3.661*

word_latin_mean 154

Table 175: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	AP Math)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	0.822 (0.705)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		0.683 (1.085)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			1.174 (2.612)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				6.062^* (3.500)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.171 (0.108)	0.222* (0.116)	0.227^* (0.132)	0.081 (0.126)
TV Dummy	0.122 (0.086)	0.194** (0.088)	0.235** (0.104)	0.340*** (0.101)
Hispanic	-1.514^{***} (0.576)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.021^{***} (0.841)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-7.026^{***} (2.075)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-13.102^{***} (2.864)
eth	-0.576^{**} (0.264)	-0.597^{**} (0.286)	-0.415 (0.270)	-0.514^* (0.267)
eth:word_edu_mean	1.368 (1.633)			
eth:word_latin_mean		2.025 (2.511)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			1.249 (5.255)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				3.858 (6.938)
word_edu_mean	1.842 (1.258)			

3.518*

 $word_latin_mean$

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Science)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	1.813** (0.706)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		1.740 (1.095)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			5.720** (2.606)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				10.519*** (3.546)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.073 (0.110)	0.167 (0.117)	0.049 (0.133)	-0.025 (0.129)
TV Dummy	0.236*** (0.092)	0.276*** (0.094)	0.365*** (0.111)	0.470*** (0.108)
Hispanic	-2.075^{***} (0.601)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.615^{***} (0.895)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-9.122^{***} (2.199)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-16.107^{***} (3.026)
eth	-0.353 (0.318)	-0.487 (0.343)	0.0001 (0.334)	-0.330 (0.333)
$eth:word_edu_mean$	0.025 (1.953)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.975 (2.989)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			-6.651 (6.426)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-0.888 (8.547)
word_edu_mean	3.739** (1.523)			

 $word_latin_mean$

4.594**

		Depende	ent variable:	
		IHS(# ad	vanced math	n)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity	2.162** (1.007)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		1.645*** (0.601)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			4.840** (2.225)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				11.410*** (3.175)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.084 (0.106)	0.062 (0.092)	0.051 (0.113)	-0.095 (0.114)
TV Dummy	0.224*** (0.078)	0.004 (0.071)	0.122 (0.086)	0.295*** (0.089)
Hispanic	-3.519^{***} (0.754)			
TV:word_edu_mean		-0.818^* (0.476)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-5.249^{***} (1.725)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-12.363^{***} (2.554)
eth	0.127 (0.217)	0.137 (0.196)	0.206 (0.200)	0.322 (0.205)
eth:word_latin_mean	3.472* (1.945)			
eth:word_edu_mean		2.565** (1.219)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			6.938* (3.880)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				5.473 (5.383)
word_latin_mean	6.458*** (1.358)			

word_edu_mean

157

2.548***

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(#	calculus)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity	2.788*** (1.034)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		0.829 (0.666)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			1.616 (2.463)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				6.648* (3.441)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.035 (0.108)	0.198** (0.101)	0.236^* (0.125)	0.088 (0.122)
TV Dummy	0.075 (0.083)	0.167** (0.077)	0.339*** (0.094)	0.378*** (0.093)
Hispanic	-2.152^{***} (0.799)			
$TV:word_edu_mean$		-2.108^{***} (0.524)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-9.796^{***} (1.880)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-15.316*** (2.677)
eth	0.181 (0.232)	0.134 (0.216)	0.081 (0.215)	0.219 (0.223)
eth:word_latin_mean	0.051 (2.086)			
eth:word_edu_mean		0.530 (1.349)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			2.797 (4.199)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-0.228 (5.880)
word_latin_mean	1.761 (1.451)			

 $word_edu_mean$

1.759*

		Depende	ent variable:	
		IHS	(# bio)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on identity	2.215** (0.879)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		1.108** (0.560)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			3.126 (1.985)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				8.667*** (2.834)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.061 (0.093)	0.129 (0.086)	0.131 (0.101)	-0.014 (0.101)
TV Dummy	0.240*** (0.070)	-0.022 (0.069)	0.222*** (0.081)	0.314*** (0.082)
Hispanic	-3.733^{***} (0.673)			
TV:word_edu_mean		-0.660 (0.463)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-7.213^{***} (1.629)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-13.052^{***} (2.340)
eth	1.147*** (0.213)	0.857*** (0.204)	0.823*** (0.200)	1.131*** (0.204)
eth:word_latin_mean	-0.386 (1.904)			
eth:word_edu_mean		1.693 (1.257)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			6.049 (3.851)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-0.302 (5.340)
word_latin_mean	2.212^* (1.312)			

word_edu_mean 159 0.432

		Depende	ent variable:	
_		IHS(# chem)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on identity	1.822** (0.911)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		1.048* (0.557)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			3.268 (2.018)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				7.707*** (2.887)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.140 (0.096)	0.173** (0.086)	0.156 (0.103)	0.057 (0.103)
TV Dummy	0.182** (0.072)	-0.012 (0.069)	0.212*** (0.082)	0.297*** (0.083)
Hispanic	-3.065^{***} (0.690)			
TV:word_edu_mean		-0.732 (0.462)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-6.862^{***} (1.646)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-12.343^{***} (2.387)
eth	0.499** (0.215)	0.388* (0.200)	0.430** (0.197)	0.556*** (0.201)
eth:word_latin_mean	2.016 (1.915)			
eth:word_edu_mean		2.278* (1.238)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			6.403* (3.802)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				4.902 (5.265)
word_latin_mean	2.511* (1.293)			

word_edu_mean 160 0.665

Table 181: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# SA	AT/ACT)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.116** (0.453)			
% programs on identity		2.054*** (0.678)		
% programs with role models			1.601 (1.259)	
% programs with bad content				-0.490 (1.740)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.186*** (0.014)	0.186*** (0.014)	0.186*** (0.013)	0.186*** (0.013)
TV Dummy	-0.070^{***} (0.011)	-0.065^{***} (0.010)	-0.076^{***} (0.010)	-0.078^{***} (0.010)
Hispanic	0.579*** (0.048)	0.579*** (0.043)	0.579*** (0.042)	0.579*** (0.042)
$hisp_students$	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)
asian_students	0.005*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	13,480 0.383 0.383	13,480 0.488 0.488	13,480 0.539 0.538	13,480 0.539 0.538

Table 182: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Passed)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	-0.132 (0.666)			
% programs on identity		5.475*** (1.079)		
% programs with role models			-0.554 (2.384)	
% programs with bad content				6.064** (3.000)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.100*** (0.019)	0.092*** (0.019)	0.101*** (0.018)	0.097*** (0.018)
TV Dummy	-0.034^* (0.018)	-0.003 (0.018)	-0.033^* (0.017)	-0.021 (0.018)
Hispanic	-0.298^{***} (0.060)	-0.262^{***} (0.060)	-0.284^{***} (0.060)	-0.270^{***} (0.059)
hisp_students	0.0004*** (0.00004)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0003*** (0.00005)
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	3,168 0.274 0.272	3,168 0.284 0.282	3,168 0.286 0.283	3,168 0.287 0.284

Table 183: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(#	Limited E	nglish Profic	iency)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
% programs on education	-0.693^{***} (0.238)				
% programs on identity		0.813** (0.391)			
% programs with role models			-6.026^{***} (0.765)		
% programs with bad content				0.365 (1.019)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	
TV Dummy	-0.117^{***} (0.005)	-0.110^{***} (0.005)	-0.124^{***} (0.005)	-0.118^{***} (0.005)	
Hispanic	0.984*** (0.022)	0.984*** (0.022)	0.984*** (0.021)	0.984*** (0.021)	
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	
Observations R^2	54,294 0.443	54,294 0.444	54,294 0.491	54,294 0.490	
Adjusted R^2	0.443	0.444	0.491	0.490	
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01	

Table 184: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# Chr	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	-2.547^{***} (0.191)			
% programs on identity		-2.164^{***} (0.298)		
% programs with role models			-10.418^{***} (0.624)	
% programs with bad content				-9.754^{***} (0.819)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222^{***} (0.005)
TV Dummy	-0.177^{***} (0.004)	-0.169^{***} (0.004)	-0.170^{***} (0.004)	-0.174^{***} (0.004)
Hispanic	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,582 0.527 0.526	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.539 0.539	53,582 0.538 0.538
Note:	0.020		0.005 0.1; **p<0.05;	

Table 185: Differential Log Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Chro	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.222^{***} (0.005)	0.222^{***} (0.005)	0.222^{***} (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)
% programs on identity	-0.166^{***} (0.004)	-0.172^{***} (0.004)	-0.163^{***} (0.004)	-0.165^{***} (0.004)
% programs with role models	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)
% programs with bad content	-0.078^{***} (0.009)			
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$		-0.203^{***} (0.018)		
TV Dummy			-0.081^{***} (0.008)	
Hispanic				-0.110^{***} (0.011)
$hisp_students$	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,582 0.526 0.526	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.538 0.538
Note:			1; **p<0.05;	

Table 186: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(#	Gifted)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.490*** (0.180)			
% programs on identity		2.159*** (0.313)		
% programs with role models			2.149*** (0.571)	
% programs with bad content				5.824*** (0.781)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)
TV Dummy	-0.141^{***} (0.005)	-0.135^{***} (0.005)	-0.142^{***} (0.005)	-0.136^{***} (0.005)
Hispanic	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
$asian_students$	0.007*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	33,732 0.401 0.401	33,732 0.415 0.415	33,732 0.415 0.415	33,732 0.415 0.415

Table 187: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Su	ispended)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.004 (0.134)			
% programs on identity		0.720*** (0.216)		
% programs with role models			-1.749^{***} (0.428)	
% programs with bad content				-0.440 (0.584)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)
TV Dummy	-0.058^{***} (0.003)	-0.054^{***} (0.003)	-0.059^{***} (0.003)	-0.058^{***} (0.003)
Hispanic	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
$asian_students$	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,572 0.335 0.335	53,572 0.355 0.355	53,572 0.355 0.355	53,572 0.355 0.355

Table 188: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied Ethnicity) vs. Asian

		Dependent	t variable:	
	I	HS(# Bullie	ed Ethnicity)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.107*** (0.027)			
% programs on identity		-0.478^{***} (0.052)		
% programs with role models			0.661*** (0.093)	
% programs with bad content				-0.516^{***} (0.117)
$TV \times Hispanic$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.001)	-0.001^* (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)	$0.00004 \\ (0.001)$
Hispanic	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)
hisp_students	0.00003*** (0.00000)	-0.00001^* (0.00001)	-0.00001 (0.00001)	-0.00001^* (0.00001)
asian_students	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,468 0.021 0.021	53,468 0.024 0.024	53,468 0.024 0.024	53,468 0.024 0.024
Note:	0.021		1; **p<0.05	

Table 189: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullies) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(#	Bullies)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
% programs on education	0.095*** (0.023)				
% programs on identity		-0.249^{***} (0.044)			
% programs with role models			0.585*** (0.080)		
% programs with bad content				-0.187^* (0.097)	
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	-0.001^{**} (0.001)	-0.001^{**} (0.001)	-0.001^{**} (0.001)	-0.001^{**} (0.001)	
TV Dummy	0.001 (0.0004)	-0.001^* (0.0004)	0.001* (0.0004)	-0.0001 (0.0004)	
Hispanic	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	
hisp_students	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	
asian_students	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,468 0.018 0.018	53,468 0.019 0.019	53,468 0.019 0.019	53,468 0.019 0.018	
Note:	0.010		0.019 0.1; **p<0.05		

Table 190: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP enrolled) vs. Asian

		$Dependent\ variable:$					
		IHS(# AF	enrolled)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
% programs on education	0.896 (0.640)						
% programs on identity		1.471 (1.046)					
% programs with role models			-3.377 (2.110)				
% programs with bad content				0.287 (2.853)			
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)			
TV Dummy	-0.086^{***} (0.015)	-0.103^{***} (0.015)	-0.112^{***} (0.015)	-0.108^{***} (0.015)			
Hispanic	0.174*** (0.057)	0.174*** (0.055)	0.174*** (0.055)	0.174*** (0.055)			
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)			
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)			
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	7,890 0.442 0.442	7,890 0.476 0.475	7,890 0.476 0.475	7,890 0.475 0.475			

Table 191: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gr 8 Algebra) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:				
		IHS(# G	r 8 Algebra)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
% programs on education	3.958*** (0.646)				
% programs on identity		0.733 (1.024)			
% programs with role models			10.331*** (1.997)		
% programs with bad content				13.496*** (2.780)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.007 (0.013)	0.004 (0.013)	0.001 (0.013)	-0.005 (0.013)	
TV Dummy	0.047*** (0.013)	0.018 (0.013)	0.028** (0.012)	0.040*** (0.013)	
Hispanic	0.154*** (0.048)	0.113** (0.047)	0.124*** (0.047)	0.140*** (0.047)	
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	3,012 0.309 0.306	3,012 0.303 0.300	3,012 0.306 0.304	3,012 0.306 0.304	
Note:	0.300		; **p<0.05;		

Table 192: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Math)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\frac{1}{\%}$ programs on education	0.445 (0.554)			
% programs on identity		-0.406 (0.934)		
% programs with role models			-2.679 (1.839)	
% programs with bad content				-1.244 (2.466)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	-0.099^{***} (0.015)	-0.114^{***} (0.015)	-0.115^{***} (0.014)	-0.114^{***} (0.014)
Hispanic	-0.351^{***} (0.055)	-0.351^{***} (0.054)	-0.351^{***} (0.054)	-0.351^{***} (0.054)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	6,388 0.336 0.335	6,388 0.357 0.356	6,388 0.357 0.356	6,388 0.357 0.356

Table 193: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# AI	P Science)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.363** (0.660)			
% programs on identity		-0.317 (1.129)		
% programs with role models			0.053 (2.249)	
% programs with bad content				-0.123 (3.116)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	-0.072^{***} (0.016)	-0.095^{***} (0.016)	-0.094^{***} (0.015)	-0.094^{***} (0.016)
Hispanic	-0.350^{***} (0.058)	-0.350^{***} (0.057)	-0.350^{***} (0.057)	-0.350^{***} (0.057)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	6,210 0.362 0.362	6,210 0.387 0.386	6,210 0.387 0.386	6,210 0.387 0.386

Table 194: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to education) vs. non-Hispanic

		Dependen	t variable:	:			
-		IHS(# `	Visitors)				
	OLS		felm				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-2.084***	-2.084***	-2.084***	-2.084***			
-	(0.139)	(0.136)	(0.136)	(0.133)			
TV Dummy	4.019***	4.019***	4.019***	4.019***			
v	(0.083)	(0.081)	(0.082)	(0.080)			
Hispanic	0.809***	0.809***	0.809***	0.809***			
1	(0.098)	(0.097)	(0.094)	(0.093)			
Observations	2,104	2,104	2,104	2,104			
$ m R^2$	0.498	0.522	0.517	0.540			
Adjusted R ²	0.497	0.518	0.510	0.531			
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	: ***p<0.01			

Table 195: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to recreation) vs. non-Hispanic

		$Dependent\ variable:$					
		IHS(#	Visitors)				
	OLS		felm				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-2.611***	-2.611***	-2.611***	-2.611***			
	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.030)			
TV Dummy	2.703***	2.703***	2.703***	2.703***			
v	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)			
Hispanic	1.307***	1.307***	1.307***	1.307***			
1	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)			
Observations	69,980	69,980	69,980	69,980			
$ m R^2$	0.188	0.198	0.200	0.211			
Adjusted R ²	0.188	0.198	0.200	0.210			
Note:		*n<0	1· **n/0.05	· ***n<0.01			

Table 196: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to restaurants) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(# V	Visitors)		
	OLS		felm		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$\overline{\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}}$	-2.731***	-2.731***	-2.731***	-2.731***	
	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.018)	
TV Dummy	2.757***	2.757***	2.757***	2.757***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
Hispanic	1.458***	1.458***	1.458***	1.458***	
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
Observations	203,236	203,236	203,236	203,236	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.186	0.194	0.204	0.211	
Adjusted R ²	0.185	0.194	0.203	0.210	
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01	

Table 197: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to information) vs. non-Hispanic

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# '	Visitors)	
	OLS		felm	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-1.951***	-1.951***	-1.951***	-1.951***
	(0.075)	(0.073)	(0.075)	(0.073)
TV Dummy	2.055***	2.055***	2.055***	2.055***
·	(0.051)	(0.049)	(0.050)	(0.049)
Hispanic	0.984***	0.984***	0.984***	0.984***
1	(0.051)	(0.050)	(0.051)	(0.050)
Observations	10,172	10,172	10,172	10,172
\mathbb{R}^2	0.131	0.169	0.140	0.178
Adjusted R ²	0.131	0.168	0.137	0.174
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 198: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to finance) vs. non-Hispanic

		$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(# '	Visitors)			
	OLS		felm			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	-1.976***	-1.976***	-1.976***	-1.976***		
	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.033)		
TV Dummy	1.876***	1.876***	1.876***	1.876***		
	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)		
Hispanic	0.951***	0.951***	0.951***	0.951***		
-	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.023)	(0.023)		
Observations	37,716	37,716	37,716	37,716		
R^2	0.150	0.161	0.157	0.168		
Adjusted R ²	0.150	0.160	0.156	0.166		
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 199: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to Hispanic places) vs. non-Hispanic

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# '	Visitors)	
	OLS		felm	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-1.882***	-1.882***	-1.882***	-1.882***
	(0.070)	(0.069)	(0.069)	(0.069)
TV Dummy	2.626***	2.626***	2.626***	2.626***
·	(0.047)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.046)
Hispanic	1.072***	1.072***	1.072***	1.072***
•	(0.050)	(0.049)	(0.049)	(0.049)
Observations	13,976	13,976	13,976	13,976
R^2	0.180	0.199	0.195	0.212
Adjusted R ²	0.180	0.197	0.193	0.208
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05;	****p<0.01

Table 200: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to Hispanic food) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		IHS(# '	Visitors)			
	OLS		felm			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$\overline{\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}}$	-1.960*** (0.054)	-1.960*** (0.052)	-1.960*** (0.053)	-1.960*** (0.052)		
	(0.054)	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.053)		
TV Dummy	2.719***	2.719***	2.719***	2.719***		
	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.036)		
Hispanic	1.103***	1.103***	1.103***	1.103***		
	(0.039)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.038)		
Observations	23,776	23,776	23,776	23,776		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.188	0.201	0.202	0.214		
Adjusted R ²	0.188	0.201	0.201	0.213		
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 201: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to non-Hispanic food) vs. non-Hispanic

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Visitors)				
	OLS		felm		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***	
	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)	
TV Dummy	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***	
Ū	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
Hispanic	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***	
•	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	
Observations	179,460	179,460	179,460	179,460	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.188	0.196	0.206	0.213	
Adjusted R ²	0.188	0.196	0.206	0.213	
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01	

Table 202: Visitors to restaurants

	IHS(Visitors)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	-
Panel A: Hispanic food				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Hispanic food$	0.872***	0.872***	0.872***	0.872***
	(0.057)	(0.057)	(0.057)	(0.056)
$Hispanic \times TV$	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***
	(0.020)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)
$Hispanic \times Hispanic food$	-0.403***	-0.403***	-0.403***	-0.403***
	(0.041)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.041)
$TV \times Hispanic food$	-0.044	-0.044	-0.044	-0.044
	(0.039)	(0.039)	(0.039)	(0.038)
Hispanic	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)
TV dummy	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)
Hispanic food	0.075***	0.027	0.027	0.017
N	(0.026)	(0.026)	(0.026)	(0.025)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel B: Greek food				
$\operatorname{Hispanic} \times \operatorname{TV} \times \operatorname{Greek} \operatorname{food} $	-0.305	-0.305	-0.305	-0.305
	(0.215)	(0.214)	(0.214)	(0.211)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel C: Japanese food				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Japanese food$	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
	(0.120)	(0.120)	(0.120)	(0.119)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel D: Brazilian food				
$\frac{1}{1} \text{Hispanic} \times \text{TV} \times \text{Brazilian food}$	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.058
	(0.525)	(0.530)	(0.530)	(0.526)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel E: Korean food				
$ ext{Hispanic} \times ext{TV} \times ext{Korean food}$	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233
	(0.225)	(0.225)	(0.225)	(0.223)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
County FE	No	Yes	No	Yes
NAICS FE	No	No	Yes	Yes

 $\it Notes:$ Regressions are at the location-visitor demographic level. Standard errors are robust.

Table 203: Visitors to entertainment

	IHS(Visitors)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	-
Panel A: Hispanic brands				
$\operatorname{Hispanic} \times \operatorname{TV} \times \operatorname{Hispanic}$ brand	0.569*	0.569*	0.569*	0.569*
	(0.303)	(0.304)	(0.304)	(0.302)
$Hispanic \times TV$	-2.617***	-2.617***	-2.617***	-2.617***
TT: . TT: . 1 1	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.030)
Hispanic × Hispanic brand	-0.230	-0.230	-0.230	-0.230
TV v Historia brand	$(0.210) \\ 0.316$	(0.211) 0.316	(0.211) 0.316	$(0.207) \\ 0.316$
$TV \times Hispanic brand$	(0.209)	(0.210)	(0.210)	(0.208)
Hispanic	1.310***	1.310***	1.310***	1.310***
mspame	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)
TV dummy	2.699***	2.699***	2.699***	2.699***
1 · dammy	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)
Hispanic brand	0.098	-0.013	-0.024	0.028
•	(0.131)	(0.130)	(0.130)	(0.128)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel B: Greek brands				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Greek brand$	-0.286	-0.286	-0.286	-0.286
	(4.317)	(4.460)	(4.397)	(3.905)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel C: Japanese brands				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Japanese brand$	0.702	0.702	0.702	0.702
•	(1.085)	(1.062)	(1.061)	(1.046)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel D: Brazilian brands				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Brazilian brand$	0.328	0.328	0.328	0.328
•	(0.598)	(0.598)	(0.599)	(0.610)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel E: Korean brands				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Korean brand$	0.190	0.190	0.190	0.190
-	(1.020)	(0.989)	(0.977)	(0.804)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
County FE	No	Yes	No	Yes
NAICS FE	No	No	Yes	Yes

 $Notes\colon$ Regressions are at the location-visitor demographic level. Standard errors are robust.

Table 204: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD, 18 or under

_	Dependent variable:					
_	Minutes TV watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	-1.816	-0.815	-0.358	-0.209		
	(2.087)	(2.093)	(2.110)	(2.110)		
TV Dummy × Hispanic	5.400	3.928	4.598	4.493		
	(3.902)	(3.921)	(3.943)	(3.940)		
Hispanic dummy	14.805***	20.157***	19.680***	19.064***		
	(2.688)	(2.851)	(2.865)	(2.909)		
Log(Population)			1.832**	1.907**		
,			(0.908)	(0.908)		
County % Hispanic	-23.854***	-35.069***	-39.129***	-38.785***		
· -	(3.444)	(3.818)	(4.293)	(4.287)		
Log(Income)		-40.745***	-49.268***	-48.578***		
- ((6.510)	(7.864)	(7.868)		
Foregin-born				-18.896***		
<u> </u>				(5.237)		
Foreign-born Hispanic				19.438**		
				(9.008)		
Observations	28,161	28,161	28,161	28,161		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.014	0.015	0.016	0.016		
Adjusted R ²	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015		

Table 205: Effect of TV on Child care, DD $\,$

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		Child	l care		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	-0.475 (0.377)			-0.435 (0.381)	
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	1.231^* (0.742)		0.998 (0.746)	0.950 (0.746)	
Hispanic dummy		-3.878^{***} (0.576)			
Log(Population)			-0.355** (0.164)	-0.342^{**} (0.165)	
County % Hispanic	2.844*** (0.610)	2.088*** (0.698)			
Log(Income)		-2.890** (1.135)			
Foregin-born				-1.692^{***} (0.482)	
Foreign-born Hispanic				4.130*** (0.792)	
Observations R ²	56,449 0.075	56,449 0.075	56,449 0.075	56,449 0.075	
$\frac{\text{Adjusted R}^2}{Note:}$	0.074	0.075 *p<0.1	0.075 ; **p<0.05;	0.075 ***p<0.01	

Table 206: Effect of TV on Child care, DD $\,$

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	Child care				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
TV Dummy × Hispanic	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Hispanic dummy	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Log(Population)			0.000	0.000	
36(31 3 3 3 7			(0.000)	(0.000)	
County % Hispanic	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Transfer of the second	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Log(Income)		0.000	0.000	0.000	
36(33 3)		(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Foregin-born				0.000	
rorogin born				(0.000)	
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.000	
Poreign-born Hispanic				(0.000)	
Observations	68,373	68,373	68,373	68,373	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 207: Effect of TV on Child edu, DD

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		Chile	d edu		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.306	0.285	0.332*	0.321	
	(0.197)	(0.198)	(0.197)	(0.197)	
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	-0.001	0.025	0.108	0.119	
	(0.362)	(0.363)	(0.367)	(0.367)	
Hispanic dummy	-0.668**	-0.787***	-0.840***	-0.929***	
	(0.261)	(0.277)	(0.279)	(0.302)	
Log(Population)			0.213**	0.204**	
			(0.084)	(0.085)	
County % Hispanic	0.376	0.609*	0.160	0.113	
	(0.314)	(0.355)	(0.402)	(0.403)	
Log(Income)		0.857	-0.100	-0.175	
		(0.580)	(0.663)	(0.666)	
Foregin-born				0.473	
_				(0.403)	
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.095	
•				(0.488)	
Observations	45,627	45,627	45,627	45,627	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	
Adjusted R ²	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	
Note:		*p<0.1	; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01	

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.1598***	0.1598^{***}	0.1598^{***}	
	(0.0210)	(0.0210)	(0.0210)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.61475	0.68984	0.70841	
Within R ²	0.36544	0.48912	0.51972	

Dependent Variable:	$ihs(sch_mathenr_calc)$			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2718^{***}	0.2718^{***}	0.2718^{***}	
	(0.0277)	(0.0277)	(0.0277)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	11,460	11,460	11,460	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.66679	0.67777	0.68317	
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.29148	0.31484	0.32631	

Clustered (LEAID) standard-errors in parentheses Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_appass_oneormore)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.0964^{***}	0.0966^{***}	0.0972^{***}	
	(0.0288)	(0.0290)	(0.0293)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	3,757	3,757	3,757	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.56806	0.57189	0.57431	
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.15149	0.15902	0.16376	

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_lepenr)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.3042^{***}	0.3042^{***}	0.3042^{***}	
	(0.0221)	(0.0221)	(0.0221)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	83,004	83,004	83,004	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.59122	0.59294	0.61742	
Within R ²	0.39872	0.40126	0.43727	

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_hbreported_rac)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.0015^*	0.0015^*	0.0015^{*}	
	(0.0009)	(0.0009)	(0.0009)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	$81,\!622$	81,622	81,622	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.18449	0.18714	0.19217	
Within R ²	0.01094	0.01415	0.02026	

Clustered (LEAID) standard-errors in parentheses Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_gtenr)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2389^{***}	0.2389^{***}	0.2389^{***}	
	(0.0262)	(0.0262)	(0.0262)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	$52,\!130$	$52,\!130$	$52,\!130$	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.53487	0.55797	0.57512	
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.27791	0.31378	0.34040	

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_mathenr_advm)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2501^{***}	0.2501^{***}	0.2501^{***}	
	(0.0207)	(0.0207)	(0.0207)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	14,354	$14,\!354$	$14,\!354$	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.68796	0.71135	0.72013	
Within R ²	0.38639	0.43240	0.44966	

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_scienr_biol)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2596^{***}	0.2596^{***}	0.2596^{***}	
	(0.0174)	(0.0174)	(0.0174)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	19,008	19,008	19,008	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.69657	0.74789	0.75772	
Within R^2	0.49774	0.58269	0.59896	

Clustered (LEAID) standard-errors in parentheses Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_scienr_phys)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.3114^{***}	0.3114^{***}	0.3114^{***}	
	(0.0178)	(0.0178)	(0.0178)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	13,952	13,952	13,952	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.68633	0.70686	0.71315	
Within R^2	0.40706	0.44588	0.45776	

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_scienr_chem)				
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)		
Variables					
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2896^{***}	0.2896^{***}	0.2896^{***}		
	(0.0185)	(0.0185)	(0.0185)		
Fixed-effects					
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Fit statistics					
Observations	$16,\!472$	$16,\!472$	$16,\!472$		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.70930	0.74107	0.74966		
Within R ²	0.46610	0.52444	0.54023		

Dependent Variable:	ihs(lea_gedcred)				
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)		
Variables					
TV dummy \times Hispanic	-1.864***	-1.864***	-1.864***		
	(0.0022)	(0.0022)	(0.0022)		
Fixed-effects					
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Fit statistics					
Observations	6,685	6,685	6,685		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.99994	0.99994	0.99994		
Within R ²	0.99979	0.99979	0.99979		

Clustered (LEAID) standard-errors in parentheses Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_absent)				
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)		
Variables					
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2313^{***}	0.2313^{***}	0.2313^{***}		
	(0.0170)	(0.0170)	(0.0170)		
Fixed-effects					
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Fit statistics					
Observations	81,738	81,738	81,738		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.64943	0.66729	0.66791		
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.50430	0.52955	0.53043		

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Clustered (LEAID) standard-errors in parentheses} \\ \textit{Signif. Codes: ****: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1} \end{array}$

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_hbdisciplined_rac)				
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)		
Variables					
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.0019^{**}	0.0019^{**}	0.0019**		
	(0.0008)	(0.0008)	(0.0008)		
Fixed-effects					
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Fit statistics					
Observations	81,622	81,622	81,622		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.18512	0.18621	0.18972		
Within R ²	0.01331	0.01463	0.01888		

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_algpass_g08)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	-0.0082	-0.0081	-0.0077	
	(0.0284)	(0.0282)	(0.0279)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	$3,\!495$	$3,\!495$	3,495	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.62766	0.63169	0.64263	
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.17245	0.18139	0.20570	

Dependent Variable:	duration_ext				
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Variables					
TV dummy	-1.341	-0.172	0.948	2.039	
	(3.532)	(3.188)	(2.901)	(2.809)	
TV dummy \times Hispanic	10.822**	9.050**	11.060**	10.362**	
	(4.508)	(4.494)	(4.566)	(4.534)	
Fit statistics					
Observations	$68,\!373$	$68,\!373$	$68,\!373$	$68,\!373$	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.05787	0.05954	0.06029	0.06353	
Adjusted R ²	0.05776	0.05941	0.06016	0.06337	

Dependent Variable:	$duration_child$				
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Variables					
TV dummy	-0.008	0.206	0.411	0.470	
	(0.799)	(0.682)	(0.717)	(0.714)	
TV dummy \times Hispanic	3.171^{**}	2.857^{*}	3.211**	3.172**	
	(1.490)	(1.517)	(1.479)	(1.490)	
Fit statistics					
Observations	54,495	$54,\!495$	$54,\!495$	54,495	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.04344	0.04382	0.04402	0.04412	
Adjusted R ²	0.04330	0.04366	0.04384	0.04391	

Clustered (stateCounty) standard-errors in parentheses Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1

Dependent Variable:	duration_parent				
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Variables					
TV dummy	-0.318**	-0.336**	-0.327**	-0.328**	
	(0.144)	(0.140)	(0.138)	(0.139)	
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.481^*	0.507^{**}	0.523^{**}	0.522**	
	(0.251)	(0.239)	(0.231)	(0.230)	
Fit statistics					
Observations	68,373	$68,\!373$	$68,\!373$	$68,\!373$	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.00132	0.00138	0.00139	0.00139	
Adjusted R ²	0.00120	0.00125	0.00124	0.00122	

Dependent Variable:	$duration_ext$			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy	3.773	3.994	5.717	
	(4.841)	(4.819)	(4.917)	
TV dummy \times Hispanic	8.928	8.999	9.723	
	(7.898)	(7.915)	(7.775)	
Fit statistics				
Observations	$7,\!534$	$7,\!534$	$7,\!534$	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.04099	0.04106	0.04143	
Adjusted R ²	0.03997	0.03991	0.04015	

Dependent Variable:	edu			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Variables				
TV dummy	0.194	0.164	0.205	0.202
	(0.205)	(0.208)	(0.224)	(0.225)
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.060	0.105	0.178	0.179
	(0.334)	(0.340)	(0.330)	(0.328)
Fit statistics				
Observations	$68,\!373$	$68,\!373$	$68,\!373$	$68,\!373$
\mathbb{R}^2	0.02045	0.02055	0.02066	0.02068
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.02033	0.02042	0.02051	0.02050

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	-0.0598	0.2639^{**}	0.2931^{*}
	(0.1207)	(0.1232)	(0.1680)
TV dummy \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity	2.313*		
	(1.277)		
TV dummy \times Hispanic \times % programs on education		-0.5159	
		(0.7295)	
TV dummy \times Hispanic \times % programs with role models			-2.085
			(3.036)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	13,480	13,480	$13,\!480$
\mathbb{R}^2	0.59872	0.66655	0.69112
Within R ²	0.38506	0.48902	0.52666

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Clustered (STATE) standard-errors in parentheses \\ Signif. Codes:~***:~0.01,~**:~0.05,~*:~0.1 \end{tabular}$

Dependent Variable: ihs(sch_mathenr_ca			-calc)
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy × eth × word_latin_log	0.1001		
	(0.1080)		
TV dummy \times eth \times word_edu_log		-0.0031	
		(0.2315)	
TV dummy \times eth \times word_rolemodel_log			-0.0570
			(0.1815)
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.5576*	0.3108	0.1428
	(0.2763)	(0.4336)	(0.5321)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	$7,\!112$	$7,\!112$	$7{,}112$
\mathbb{R}^2	0.62538	0.63523	0.64121
Within R ²	0.33746	0.35488	0.36546

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Clustered (STATE) standard-errors in parentheses} \\ \textit{Signif. Codes: ****: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1} \end{array}$

Table 208: Effect of TV on SAT/ACT

	Dependent variable:		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Calculus)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV dummy	0.036***	0.038***	0.034***
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.012)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003***	-0.001**	-0.0004**
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)
Observations	10,805	10,805	10,805
\mathbb{R}^2	0.361	0.461	0.517
Adjusted R ²	0.361	0.461	0.517
Note:		*p<0.1; *	*p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 209: Effect of TV on Calculus

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(Hisp	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Calculus)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV dummy	0.068***	0.076***	0.075***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001***	-0.00000	-0.00004	
• ()	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	5,730	5,730	5,730	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.468	0.502	0.516	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.468	0.501	0.515	

Table 210: Effect of TV on AP pass

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Calculus)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV dummy	0.038***	0.048***	0.047***
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.00003)	(0.00005)	(0.00004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001***	0.0003**	0.0003**
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations P ²	2,205	2,205	2,205
R^2 Adjusted R^2	0.398	0.431	0.436
	0.396	0.429	0.434

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 211: Distance less than 50

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.1481^{***}	0.1481^{***}	0.1481^{***}	
	(0.0251)	(0.0252)	(0.0252)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	15,630	15,630	15,630	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.60428	0.68779	0.70918	
Within R ²	0.37433	0.50638	0.54019	

Table 212: Distance less than 50

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_mathenr_calc)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2756^{***}	0.2756^{***}	0.2756^{***}	
	(0.0338)	(0.0338)	(0.0338)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	8,238	8,238	8,238	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.65041	0.66439	0.66899	
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.30655	0.33428	0.34340	

Table 213: Distance less than 50

Dependent Variable:	$ihs(sch_appass_oneormore)$			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.1039^{***}	0.1050^{***}	0.1056***	
	(0.0398)	(0.0403)	(0.0408)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	2,961	2,961	2,961	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.56666	0.57205	0.57410	
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.15815	0.16863	0.17260	

Table 214: Distance less than 33

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.1326^{***}	0.1326^{***}	0.1326^{***}	
	(0.0260)	(0.0260)	(0.0260)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	13,054	13,054	13,054	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.59716	0.67456	0.69974	
Within R ²	0.36229	0.48481	0.52467	

Table 215: Distance less than 33

Dependent Variable:	$ihs(sch_mathenr_calc)$			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2625^{***}	0.2625^{***}	0.2625***	
	(0.0393)	(0.0393)	(0.0393)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	$6,\!824$	$6,\!824$	6,824	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.64174	0.65253	0.65644	
Within R ²	0.29570	0.31691	0.32459	

Table 216: Distance less than 33

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_appass_oneormore)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.1257^{***}	0.1285^{***}	0.1295^{***}
	(0.0459)	(0.0467)	(0.0475)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	$2,\!425$	$2,\!425$	$2,\!425$
\mathbb{R}^2	0.55233	0.55938	0.56209
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.16646	0.17959	0.18464

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Clustered~(LEAID)~standard\mbox{-}errors~in~parentheses\\ Signif.~Codes:~***:~0.01,~**:~0.05,~*:~0.1\\ \end{tabular}$

Table 217: Student weight - own

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.0772**	0.0765^{*}	0.0784**	
	(0.0390)	(0.0398)	(0.0395)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	18,079	18,079	18,079	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.70688	0.71569	0.78928	
Within R ²	0.25245	0.27490	0.46260	

Table 218: Student weight - own

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_mathenr_calc)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.0736^{*}	0.0739^*	0.0787^*
	(0.0410)	(0.0412)	(0.0411)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	10,765	10,765	10,765
\mathbb{R}^2	0.74720	0.75013	0.76152
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.20653	0.21573	0.25147

Table 219: Student weight - own

Dependent Variable:	$ihs(sch_appass_oneormore)$		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.0641	0.0631	0.0647
	(0.0397)	(0.0399)	(0.0403)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	3,757	3,757	3,757
\mathbb{R}^2	0.69072	0.70078	0.70420
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.33515	0.35677	0.36412

Table 220: Student weight - total

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2379^{***}	0.2379^{***}	0.2379^{***}
	(0.0311)	(0.0311)	(0.0311)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610
\mathbb{R}^2	0.66390	0.68731	0.71904
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.33971	0.38571	0.44803

Table 221: Student weight - total

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_mathenr_calc)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2615^{***}	0.2615^{***}	0.2615^{***}
	(0.0312)	(0.0312)	(0.0312)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	11,460	11,460	11,460
\mathbb{R}^2	0.64982	0.65635	0.66168
Within R ²	0.28991	0.30316	0.31397

Table 222: Student weight - total

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_appass_oneormore)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.1097^{***}	0.1093^{***}	0.1106^{***}
	(0.0328)	(0.0329)	(0.0333)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	3,757	3,757	3,757
\mathbb{R}^2	0.54873	0.55403	0.55840
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.15839	0.16828	0.17643

Table 223: vs white

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.4360^{***}	0.4360^{***}	0.4360***
	(0.0353)	(0.0353)	(0.0353)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610
\mathbb{R}^2	0.57045	0.66861	0.68743
Within R ²	0.30763	0.46584	0.49618

Table 224: vs white

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_mathenr_calc)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.5322^{***}	0.5322^{***}	0.5322^{***}
	(0.0336)	(0.0336)	(0.0336)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	11,460	11,460	11,460
\mathbb{R}^2	0.59955	0.62002	0.62526
Within R ²	0.31610	0.35105	0.36000

Table 225: vs white

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_appass_oneormore)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2505***	0.2561^{***}	0.2565***
	(0.0333)	(0.0333)	(0.0337)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	5,748	5,748	5,748
\mathbb{R}^2	0.60657	0.63279	0.63836
Within R ²	0.35262	0.39577	0.40494

Table 226: Spatial autocorr

	IHS(Visitors)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
Panel A: SAT ACT autocorr					
Hispanic dummy \times TV	0.160***	0.160***	0.160***		
	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.034)		
N	21610	21610	21610		
Panel B: Calc autocorr					
Hispanic dummy \times TV	0.272***	0.272***	0.272***		
	(0.054)	(0.054)	(0.054)		
N	11460	11460	11460		
Panel C: AP pass autocorr					
Hispanic dummy \times TV	0.096**	0.097**	0.097**		
	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.042)		
N	3757	3757	3757		
Panel D: SAT ACT auto	corr Bartle	tt			
Hispanic dummy \times TV	0.160***	0.160***	0.160***		
	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.030)		
N	21610	21610	21610		
Panel E: Calc autocorr I	Bartlett				
Hispanic dummy \times TV	0.272***	0.272***	0.272***		
	(0.043)	(0.043)	(0.043)		
N	11460	11460	11460		
Panel F: AP pass autocorr Bartlett					
Hispanic dummy \times TV	0.096***	0.097***	0.097***		
	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.038)		
N	3757	3757	3757		

 $\it Notes:$ Regressions are at the location-visitor demographic level. Standard errors are robust.

Table 227: cluster by network

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.1598***	0.1598***	0.1598***
	(0.0146)	(0.0146)	(0.0146)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610
\mathbb{R}^2	0.61475	0.68984	0.70841
Within R ²	0.36544	0.48912	0.51972

Table 228: cluster by network

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_mathenr_calc)		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.2718^{***}	0.2718^{***}	0.2718^{***}
	(0.0211)	(0.0211)	(0.0211)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	11,460	11,460	$11,\!460$
\mathbb{R}^2	0.66679	0.67777	0.68317
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.29148	0.31484	0.32631

Table 229: cluster by network

Dependent Variable:	$ihs(sch_appass_oneormore)$		
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Variables			
TV dummy \times Hispanic	0.0964**	0.0966**	0.0972**
	(0.0190)	(0.0197)	(0.0198)
Fixed-effects			
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fit statistics			
Observations	3,757	3,757	3,757
\mathbb{R}^2	0.56806	0.57189	0.57431
Within \mathbb{R}^2	0.15149	0.15902	0.16376