1 Migrations

Table 1: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		Dependent variable:	
		mig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
destintersects	-103.783^{**}	-124.575**	-126.215**
	(44.652)	(51.334)	(53.788)
origLogPop	29.853***	22.262***	24.064***
	(5.483)	(4.851)	(9.056)
destLogPop	50.125**	43.771**	42.602**
	(21.633)	(18.913)	(17.447)
origpcHisp		298.662***	282.873***
1		(100.566)	(97.455)
destpcHisp		416.244**	429.183**
1 1		(176.108)	(194.637)
origLogInc			-21.099
			(67.807)
destLogInc			14.018
			(26.023)
Constant	-845.901***	-733.602***	-673.947^*
	(294.460)	(243.469)	(392.960)
Observations	4,062	4,062	4,062
\mathbb{R}^2	0.025	0.038	0.038
Adjusted R ²	0.024	0.036	0.036
Residual Std. Error	624.000 (df = 4058)	620.087 (df = 4056)	620.230 (df = 4054)

Note:

Table 2: Effect of TV on Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		mig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
destintersects	52.931***	39.358***	38.343***
	(8.189)	(8.088)	(8.015)
origLogPop	32.980***	36.653***	37.839***
0 0 1	(4.248)	(3.729)	(5.230)
destLogPop	41.532***	41.732***	40.876***
0 2	(4.159)	(4.193)	(4.432)
origpcHisp		128.685***	116.383***
		(21.989)	(27.501)
destpcHisp		203.553***	214.603***
		(27.196)	(34.346)
origLogInc			-13.125
			(21.389)
destLogInc			11.000
_			(23.407)
mi_to_county	-0.119^{***}	-0.130***	-0.130***
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)
Constant	-810.716***	-891.622***	-874.344***
	(86.029)	(82.757)	(207.991)
Observations	8,479	8,479	8,479
\mathbb{R}^2	0.072	0.091	0.091
Adjusted R ²	0.071	0.090	0.090
Residual Std. Error	308.833 (df = 8474)	305.694 (df = 8472)	305.713 (df = 8470)

Table 3: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		revMig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
destintersects	99.944***	89.970***	91.930***
	(17.175)	(16.266)	(16.675)
origLogPop	61.200***	64.586***	66.483***
	(5.997)	(5.607)	(6.921)
destLogPop	48.882***	51.154***	53.175***
J 2	(6.180)	(6.041)	(7.396)
origpcHisp		240.036***	221.952***
		(42.937)	(51.401)
destpcHisp		188.211***	172.267***
		(52.216)	(41.979)
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-17.348
			(34.963)
destLogInc			-16.309
Ü			(39.993)
mi_to_county	-0.183***	-0.200***	-0.201***
·	(0.017)	(0.018)	(0.018)
Constant	-1,245.467***	-1,370.636***	-1,095.047***
	(139.378)	(134.758)	(281.106)
Observations	4,338	4,338	4,338
\mathbb{R}^2	0.079	0.097	0.097
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.078	0.096	0.096
Residual Std. Error	412.131 (df = 4333)	408.145 (df = 4331)	408.203 (df = 4329)

Table 4: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		migLog	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-0.246^{***}	-0.326***	-0.346***
	(0.055)	(0.048)	(0.049)
origLogPop	0.216***	0.196***	0.163***
	(0.030)	(0.018)	(0.025)
destLogPop	0.211***	0.196***	0.173***
	(0.031)	(0.028)	(0.030)
origpcHisp		1.540***	1.749***
		(0.216)	(0.228)
destpcHisp		1.790***	1.979***
		(0.165)	(0.177)
m origLogInc			0.344*
			(0.179)
$\operatorname{destLogInc}$			0.216**
-			(0.092)
mi_to_county	-0.0005***	-0.001^{***}	-0.001^{***}
Ü	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	-1.646***	-1.463***	-6.115***
	(0.607)	(0.369)	(1.537)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
\mathbb{R}^2	0.130	0.204	0.207
Adjusted R^2	0.129	0.203	0.205
Residual Std. Error	1.137 (df = 3699)	1.088 (df = 3697)	1.087 (df = 3695)

Note: p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 5: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		mig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-138.970***	-160.743^{***}	-164.748***
	(50.833)	(55.860)	(58.288)
origLogPop	55.128***	49.692***	54.916***
	(16.276)	(10.915)	(17.009)
$\operatorname{destLogPop}$	79.360**	75.183**	72.917**
.	(31.339)	(29.864)	(28.813)
origpcHisp		424.714***	380.709***
		(149.604)	(130.054)
destpcHisp		490.885***	518.338***
		(145.334)	(159.358)
origLogInc			-58.140
			(90.270)
$\operatorname{destLogInc}$			29.220
			(25.991)
$ m mi_to_county$	-0.181***	-0.219***	-0.220***
	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.065)
Constant	-1,446.295***	$-1,395.887^{***}$	-1,156.459**
	(520.832)	(457.051)	(584.710)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
\mathbb{R}^2	0.045	0.064	0.064
Adjusted R^2	0.044	0.062	0.062
Residual Std. Error	646.360 (df = 3699)	640.108 (df = 3697)	640.222 (df = 3695)

Table 6: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		revMig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-272.468***	-302.891***	-290.716***
	(87.512)	(96.017)	(95.484)
origLogPop	161.229***	136.370***	138.851***
	(59.972)	(40.537)	(47.270)
destLogPop	148.127**	144.794**	156.419**
5 -	(63.158)	(64.019)	(66.248)
origpcHisp		894.758**	890.891***
		(372.920)	(323.861)
destpcHisp		683.396***	574.860***
		(191.365)	(178.543)
origLogInc			-17.479
			(161.210)
destLogInc			-121.820**
g			(62.089)
mi_to_county	-0.442**	-0.504^{***}	-0.506***
·	(0.176)	(0.172)	(0.172)
Constant	-3,472.526**	-3,281.295***	$-2,122.032^*$
	(1,386.592)	(1,181.058)	(1,169.812)
Observations	1,526	1,526	1,526
\mathbb{R}^2	0.091	0.118	0.119
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.089	0.115	0.114
Residual Std. Error	1,015.579 (df = 1521)	1,001.034 (df = 1519)	1,001.478 (df = 1517)

Note: p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 7: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

			Dependent variable:	
			migLog	
		(1)	(2)	(3)
TV		-0.336***	-0.325***	-0.346***
		(0.036)	(0.037)	(0.037)
origLogPop		0.208***	0.206***	0.157***
		(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.018)
destLogPop		0.131***	0.136***	0.111***
		(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.016)
origpcHisp			0.076	0.383
.			(0.268)	(0.272)
destpcHisp			-0.284^{*}	-0.130
			(0.153)	(0.155)
origLogInc				0.498***
0 0				(0.123)
destLogInc				0.202***
_				(0.060)
mi_to_county		-0.001^{***}	-0.001^{***}	-0.001***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00003)
Constant		0.173	0.151	-5.613***
		(0.226)	(0.227)	(1.029)
Observations		16,213	16,213	16,213
\mathbb{R}^2		0.086	0.086	0.091
Adjusted R ²		0.085	0.086	0.090
Residual Std. 1	Error	1.164 (df = 16208)	1.164 (df = 16206)	1.161 (df = 16204)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 8: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		mig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-115.357***	-122.427^{***}	-125.001***
	(15.867)	(18.276)	(17.904)
origLogPop	48.124***	44.512***	34.444***
	(8.114)	(5.138)	(6.009)
destLogPop	52.948***	51.614***	47.937***
	(10.943)	(10.697)	(11.042)
origpcHisp		238.308*	304.169***
		(123.072)	(116.669)
$\operatorname{destpcHisp}$		160.862*	180.496**
		(84.827)	(87.786)
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			103.236***
			(36.142)
destLogInc			27.392
G			(26.837)
mi_to_county	-0.175^{***}	-0.193***	-0.193***
Ü	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.028)
Constant	-997.115***	-953.661***	$-2,029.962^{***}$
	(200.369)	(167.388)	(272.762)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
\mathbb{R}^2	0.060	0.065	0.066
Adjusted R^2	0.060	0.064	0.066
Residual Std. Error	411.701 (df = 16208)	410.745 (df = 16206)	410.443 (df = 16204)

2 Donations

Table 9: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

		Dependent variable:	
		donations	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
intersects	5.098***	4.214***	3.896***
	(0.780)	(0.819)	(0.804)
distance	0.0001*	0.0001**	0.0001***
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
logPop	15.750***	16.071***	10.445***
	(0.746)	(0.750)	(0.905)
pcHispanic		23.154***	56.794***
-		(6.660)	(7.252)
income			0.005***
			(0.0005)
Constant	-161.767***	-167.135***	-170.310***
	(8.086)	(8.217)	(8.062)
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819
\mathbb{R}^2	0.189	0.193	0.224
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.189	0.192	0.223
Residual Std. Error	56.443 (df = 2815)	56.332 (df = 2814)	55.236 (df = 2813)
F Statistic	$219.292^{***} (df = 3; 2815)$	$168.138^{***} (df = 4; 2814)$	$162.656^{***} (df = 5; 2813)$

Note:

Table 10: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius Placebo

		Dependent variable:	
		donations	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
intersects	26.508***	31.467***	28.248***
	(5.249)	(5.515)	(5.272)
distance	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
logPop	144.097***	142.299***	85.334***
	(5.021)	(5.052)	(5.939)
pcHispanic		-129.855^{***}	210.748***
		(44.853)	(47.579)
income			0.051***
			(0.003)
Constant	-1,443.829***	$-1,413.722^{***}$	$-1,445.873^{***}$
	(54.422)	(55.337)	(52.896)
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819
\mathbb{R}^2	0.274	0.276	0.340
Adjusted R^2	0.274	0.275	0.339
Residual Std. Error	379.873 (df = 2815)	379.376 (df = 2814)	362.391 (df = 2813)
F Statistic	$354.664^{***} (df = 3; 2815)$	$268.791^{***} (df = 4; 2814)$	$289.855^{***} (df = 5; 2813)$

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 11: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 25 KM Radius

		Dependent variable:	
		donations	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
intersects	3.923***	2.809*	2.497*
	(1.361)	(1.480)	(1.458)
distance	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
logPop	18.511***	19.150***	12.433***
	(1.677)	(1.708)	(2.050)
pcHispanic		23.632*	66.660***
		(12.407)	(14.338)
income			0.006***
			(0.001)
Constant	-200.071^{***}	-208.550^{***}	-209.086***
	(18.347)	(18.855)	(18.563)
Observations	1,007	1,007	1,007
\mathbb{R}^2	0.147	0.150	0.177
Adjusted R^2	0.144	0.147	0.173
Residual Std. Error	75.485 (df = 1003)	75.387 (df = 1002)	74.217 (df = 1001)
F Statistic	$57.630^{***} (df = 3; 1003)$	$44.243^{***} (df = 4; 1002)$	$43.086^{***} (df = 5; 1001)$

3 Education

Table 12: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	Dependent variable:					
		$\operatorname{pcHisp_ged}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV	-0.010	-0.023	-0.022	0.009		
	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.041)	(0.029)		
origdist	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)		
$\operatorname{origLogPop}$		0.002	0.003	0.011		
		(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.009)		
origpcHisp		0.472***	0.458***	0.363***		
_		(0.107)	(0.131)	(0.091)		
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-0.015	0.049		
_			(0.077)	(0.054)		
$pcTot_ged$				0.734***		
				(0.036)		
TV:origdist	0.004***	0.004***	0.004***	0.003**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Constant	0.168***	0.096	0.221	-0.659		
	(0.028)	(0.127)	(0.655)	(0.458)		
Observations	401	401	401	401		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.036	0.084	0.084	0.558		
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.029	0.073	0.070	0.550		
Residual Std. Error	0.304 (df = 397)	0.297 (df = 395)	0.297 (df = 394)	0.207 (df = 393)		
F Statistic	$4.988^{***} (df = 3; 397)$	$7.276^{***} (df = 5; 395)$	$6.055^{***} (df = 6; 394)$	$70.892^{***} (df = 7; 39)$		

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.05 Distance in KM, 100 KM cuto

[&]quot;Distance in KM, 100 KM cutoff. Demographic controls at county level. Errors clustered by school district"

Table 13: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

		Depend	ent variable:	
		pcF	Hisp_ged	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\overline{ ext{TV}}$	-0.002	-0.019	-0.017	0.019
	(0.047)	(0.048)	(0.049)	(0.030)
origdist	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
origLogPop		-0.001	0.001	0.006
		(0.013)	(0.017)	(0.010)
origpcHisp		0.533***	0.515***	0.336***
		(0.125)	(0.158)	(0.095)
origLogInc			-0.017	0.073
			(0.094)	(0.057)
$pcTot_ged$				0.898***
				(0.039)
TV:origdist	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)
Constant	0.165***	0.122	0.265	-0.865^{*}
	(0.034)	(0.160)	(0.795)	(0.480)
Observations	300	300	300	300
\mathbb{R}^2	0.004	0.065	0.065	0.664
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	-0.006	0.049	0.046	0.656
Residual Std. Error	0.333 (df = 296)	0.324 (df = 294)	0.324 (df = 293)	0.195 (df = 292)
F Statistic	0.409 (df = 3; 296)	$4.059^{***} (df = 5; 294)$	$3.377^{***} (df = 6; 293)$	$82.309^{***} (df = 7; 292)$

 $^*\mathrm{p}{<}0.1;~^{**}\mathrm{p}{<}0.05;~^{***}\mathrm{p}{<}0.01$ Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 14: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	nt variable:	
		pcHisp	p_gifted	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\overline{ ext{TV}}$	-0.004*	-0.010***	-0.012***	-0.005***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
origdist	-0.00001	-0.00001	0.00000	-0.00002
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00002)
$\operatorname{origLogPop}$		0.004***	0.002***	0.006***
J U .		(0.0005)	(0.001)	(0.0004)
origpcHisp		0.008*	0.028***	-0.014***
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)
origLogInc			0.019***	-0.040***
			(0.004)	(0.003)
$pcTot_gifted$				0.796***
1 0				(0.005)
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.00004
J	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00004)
Constant	0.066***	0.023***	-0.136***	0.305***
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.033)	(0.023)
Observations	28,228	28,228	28,228	28,228
\mathbb{R}^2	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529
Adjusted R ²	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529

Table 15: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	t variable:	
		pcHisp	_gifted	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV	-0.008***	-0.015***	-0.017^{***}	-0.005***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
origdist	-0.0001**	-0.0002**	-0.0001**	-0.0001
O .	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00005)
origLogPop		0.004***	0.002***	0.006***
0 0 1		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)
origpcHisp		0.010**	0.032***	-0.011***
Or I		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)
origLogInc			0.020***	-0.037***
0 0			(0.004)	(0.003)
$pcTot_gifted$				0.799***
r G				(0.005)
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.00002
_ , , , , _ , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	0.067***	0.025***	-0.145***	0.278***
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.034)	(0.023)
Observations	22,788	22,788	22,788	22,788
\mathbb{R}^2	0.013	0.015	0.017	0.575
Adjusted R ²	0.013	0.015	0.016	0.575

p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 16: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	t variable:	
		pcHisp	$_{ m gifted}$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\overline{ ext{TV}}$	-0.006***	-0.015***	-0.013***	-0.006***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
origdist	-0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0001
_	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)
origLogPop		0.004***	0.006***	0.006***
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
origpcHisp		0.016***	-0.001	-0.009**
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)
origLogInc			-0.016***	-0.034***
			(0.004)	(0.003)
pcTot_gifted				0.797***
1 0				(0.006)
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.0001
Ü	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
Constant	0.067***	0.020***	0.154***	0.252***
	(0.001)	(0.007)	(0.037)	(0.026)
Observations	16,844	16,844	16,844	16,844
\mathbb{R}^2	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514

p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01Distance in KM, 25 KM cutoff

Table 17: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Depender	nt variable:	
		hisp_harass	VicRaceRat	se e
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.043	0.074**	0.065^{*}	0.069^{*}
	(0.033)	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.036)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.002^*	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.002**
_,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001*	0.002**	0.002**	0.002**
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Log(Population)		-0.056***	-0.061***	-0.060***
,		(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.013)
% County Hispanic		-0.217***	-0.169**	-0.167**
		(0.039)	(0.072)	(0.070)
Log(Income)			0.051	0.059
			(0.052)	(0.051)
# Teachers at School				-0.001**
11				(0.0003)
Observations	44,681	44,681	44,681	44,681
\mathbb{R}^2	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

17

Table 18: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
		hisp_harass	VicRaceRat	e
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.043 (0.030)	0.074** (0.033)	0.065^* (0.034)	0.069** (0.034)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.002^{**} (0.001)	-0.002^{**} (0.001)	-0.002^{**} (0.001)	-0.002^{**} (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001*** (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0005)
Log(Population)		-0.056^{***} (0.008)	-0.061^{***} (0.009)	-0.060^{***} (0.009)
% County Hispanic		-0.217^{***} (0.074)	-0.169^* (0.088)	-0.167^* (0.088)
Log(Income)			0.051 (0.051)	0.059 (0.051)
# Teachers at School				-0.001^* (0.0004)
Observations	44,681	44,681	44,681	44,681
R^2 Adjusted R^2	0.001 0.001	0.002 0.002	0.002 0.002	0.002 0.002

Table 19: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Dependen	t variable:		
	$hisp_harassVicRaceRate$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.026*** (0.004)	0.039*** (0.005)	0.028*** (0.005)	0.026*** (0.005)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.00004 (0.0001)	0.00001 (0.0001)	0.00002 (0.0001)	0.00003 (0.0001)	
Log(Population)		-0.003^{**} (0.001)	-0.009^{***} (0.001)	-0.010^{***} (0.001)	
% County Hispanic		-0.081^{***} (0.011)	-0.017 (0.013)	-0.019 (0.013)	
Log(Income)			0.067*** (0.008)	0.063*** (0.008)	
# Teachers at School				0.0003*** (0.0001)	
Observations R ²	44,681 0.001	44,681 0.003	44,681 0.004	44,681 0.005	
Adjusted R^2	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.005	

Table 20: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Dependen	t variable:		
	$hisp_harassVicRaceRate$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.016*** (0.004)	0.031*** (0.005)	0.022*** (0.005)	0.021*** (0.005)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	0.0001 (0.0001)	
Log(Population)		-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.010^{***} (0.001)	-0.010^{***} (0.001)	
% County Hispanic		-0.068^{***} (0.010)	-0.020 (0.012)	-0.021^* (0.012)	
Log(Income)			0.051*** (0.007)	0.049*** (0.007)	
# Teachers at School				0.0002*** (0.0001)	
Observations R ²	44,681 0.001	44,681 0.002	44,681 0.003	44,681 0.004	
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	

Table 21: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

	Dependent variable:				
		hisp_harass\	VicRaceDum		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.843*** (0.086)	0.830*** (0.094)	0.570*** (0.098)	$0.501^{***} $ (0.099)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.014^{***} (0.003)	-0.015^{***} (0.003)	-0.012^{***} (0.003)	-0.013^{***} (0.003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.0005 (0.002)	
Log(Population)		0.049** (0.022)	-0.112^{***} (0.026)	-0.125^{***} (0.027)	
% County Hispanic		-0.630^{***} (0.202)	0.647*** (0.242)	0.716*** (0.242)	
Log(Income)			1.451*** (0.120)	1.369*** (0.121)	
# Teachers at School				0.009*** (0.001)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	44,681 -5,645.311 11,298.620	44,681 -5,638.477 11,288.950	44,681 -5,566.996 11,147.990	$44,681 \\ -5,480.219 \\ 10,976.440$	

Table 22: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Dependen	t variable:	
		hisp_harassV	VicRaceDum	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.797^{***} (0.094)	0.774^{***} (0.102)	$0.517^{***} $ (0.105)	0.459*** (0.105)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.008 (0.008)	-0.008 (0.008)	-0.004 (0.008)	-0.008 (0.008)
TV Dummy \times Distance $\hat{2}$	-0.0001 (0.0001)	-0.0001 (0.0001)	-0.0001 (0.0001)	-0.00004 (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.008 (0.005)	-0.010^* (0.005)	-0.009 (0.006)	-0.008 (0.006)
Distance2	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	0.0001* (0.0001)	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	0.0001 (0.0001)
Log(Population)		0.052** (0.022)	-0.109^{***} (0.027)	-0.122^{***} (0.027)
% County Hispanic		-0.643^{***} (0.202)	0.632*** (0.242)	0.699*** (0.242)
Log(Income)			1.448*** (0.120)	1.363*** (0.121)
# Teachers at School				0.009*** (0.001)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	44,681 -5,644.213 11,300.430	44,681 -5,636.944 11,289.890	44,681 -5,565.901 11,149.800	$44,681 \\ -5,479.181 \\ 10,978.360$

Table 23: Effect of TV on Hispanic Victim Harassment Dummy, Zero-Inflated

_	Dep	pendent varia	ble:
	# Hispanio	Victims of H	Iarassment
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.014	0.122^{*}	0.125^{*}
	(0.060)	(0.071)	(0.066)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002	0.001	0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
, ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Log(Population)		-0.010	-0.019
		(0.021)	(0.021)
% County Hispanic		-0.115	-0.489**
3 11		(0.181)	(0.198)
Log(Income)		-0.173^*	-0.159^*
200(2000)		(0.091)	(0.092)
# Teachers at School			-0.001
# Hispanic Students			0.0004^{***} (0.0001)
			(0.0001)
Total Students			-0.0001
Contains Grade 1			-0.137***
			(0.048)
Contains Grade 6			-0.008
			(0.048)
Contains Grade 9			-0.067
			(0.055)
Observations	45,894	45,894	45,894
Log Likelihood	-7,732.092	-7,647.418	-7,373.28
Note:	*p<	<0.1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.0

 ${\it Table~24:~Effect~of~TV~on~Hispanic~Offender~Harassment~Dummy,~Zero-Inflated}$

	Dep	pendent varia	ble:
	# Hispanic	Offenders of	Harassment
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.033	-0.016	0.013
	(0.065)	(0.075)	(0.075)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.001	-0.002	-0.003
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002	0.001	0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Log(Population)		0.053***	0.032
		(0.020)	(0.020)
% County Hispanic		0.155	-0.292
v -		(0.194)	(0.200)
Log(Income)		-0.235**	-0.101
		(0.100)	(0.100)
# Teachers at School			-0.010***
			(0.0003)
# Hispanic Students			0.0005***
" -			(0.00003)
Total Students			0.0002***
			(0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.274***
			(0.050)
Contains Grade 6			-0.049
			(0.050)
Contains Grade 9			-0.046
			(0.061)
Observations	45,894	45,894	45,894
Log Likelihood	-7,009.767	-6,958.189	-6,642.545
Note:	*p<	<0.1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01

Table 25: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

_	Dependent variable: Dummy for Hispanic Out of School Suspension				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	0.092*** (0.030)	0.204*** (0.031)	0.064^* (0.033)	-0.006 (0.035)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.005^{***} (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** (0.0004)	-0.004*** (0.0004)	-0.004*** (0.0004)	-0.004*** (0.0005)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)
Log(Population)		0.074*** (0.007)	0.138*** (0.008)	0.135*** (0.009)	0.102*** (0.010)
% County Hispanic		1.714*** (0.069)	1.127*** (0.081)	1.210*** (0.088)	-1.383*** (0.109)
Log(Income)			-0.664^{***} (0.046)	-1.180^{***} (0.050)	-1.024^{***} (0.054)
# Teachers at School				0.031*** (0.0005)	0.010*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students					0.005*** (0.0001)
Total Students					0.0004*** (0.0001)
Contains Grade 1					-0.887^{***} (0.027)
Contains Grade 6					0.299*** (0.024)
Contains Grade 9					0.126*** (0.031)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	45,947 -30,733.950 61,475.890	45,947 -30,315.250 60,642.500	45,947 -30,211.380 60,436.760	$45,947 \\ -27,500.700 \\ 55,017.410$	45,947 -24,898.820 49,823.650