## 1 Migrations

Table 1: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

Dependent variable:			
# Hispanic Migrants			
(1)	(2)	(3)	
-0.078 $(0.108)$	-0.123 (0.096)	-0.120 $(0.096)$	
$-0.003^*$ $(0.002)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	
$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	-0.002 (0.001)	-0.002 $(0.001)$	
-0.0003 $(0.001)$	0.001 $(0.001)$	0.001 $(0.001)$	
$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0003)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0003)$	
0.164*** (0.017)	0.131*** (0.021)	0.094*** (0.026)	
0.150*** (0.023)	0.128*** (0.020)	0.125*** (0.021)	
	1.328*** (0.295)	1.611*** (0.329)	
	1.485*** (0.293)	1.481*** (0.318)	
		0.407** (0.193)	
		0.003 $(0.087)$	
4,062 0.103 0.101	4,062 0.156 0.154	4,062 0.158 0.156	
	# E (1)  -0.078 (0.108)  -0.003* (0.002)  -0.004*** (0.001)  -0.0003 (0.001)  -0.001*** (0.0002)  0.164*** (0.017)  0.150*** (0.023)	# Hispanic Migra (1) (2)  -0.078	

1

Table 2: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

Dependent variable:				
# I	# Hispanic Migrants			
(1)	(2)	(3)		
-0.140 $(0.152)$	-0.194 (0.144)	-0.193 (0.144)		
$-0.004^*$ (0.002)	$-0.007^{***}$ $(0.002)$	$-0.007^{***}$ $(0.002)$		
$-0.007^{**}$ $(0.003)$	-0.004 (0.003)	-0.004 $(0.003)$		
-0.0003 $(0.002)$	0.002 $(0.001)$	0.002 $(0.001)$		
$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0004)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0004)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0004)$		
0.253*** (0.041)	0.169*** (0.023)	0.153*** (0.030)		
0.182*** (0.035)	0.181*** (0.030)	0.181*** (0.034)		
	2.324*** (0.389)	2.471*** (0.411)		
	1.276** (0.602)	1.253** (0.584)		
		0.181 (0.196)		
		-0.015 $(0.192)$		
1,659 0.153	1,659 0.236	1,659 0.236		
	# I  (1)  -0.140 (0.152)  -0.004* (0.002)  -0.007** (0.003)  -0.0003 (0.002)  -0.001*** (0.0004)  0.253*** (0.041)  0.182*** (0.035)	# Hispanic Migr (1) (2)  -0.140		

Table 3: Effect of TV on Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dep</i>	pendent varia	ble:
	# Hispanic Migrants		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Dummy: Destination Outside TV Contour	$-0.387^{***}$	-0.286***	-0.280***
	(0.048)	(0.044)	(0.044)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Origin	-0.003**	-0.004***	-0.004***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Destination	0.001	$-0.002^*$	-0.002
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance from Contor to Origin (KM)	0.001	0.003*	0.003
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Distance from Contour to Destination (KM)	-0.001	0.002	0.002
· ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Origin Log(Population)	0.146***	0.161***	0.150***
	(0.020)	(0.017)	(0.021)
Destination Log(Population)	0.150***	0.136***	0.125***
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.016)
Origin % Hispanic		0.792***	0.881***
		(0.103)	(0.141)
Destination % Hispanic		1.485***	1.573***
		(0.122)	(0.141)
Origin Log(Income)			0.093
			(0.094)
Destination Log(Income)			0.090
			(0.078)
Observations	8,479	8,479	8,479
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.093	0.148	0.149
Adjusted $R^2$	0.092	0.147	0.147

Table 4: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

# Hispanic Migrants			
$-0.410^{***}$ (0.088)	$-0.356^{***}$ $(0.082)$	$-0.349^{***}$ $(0.081)$	
$-0.007^{***}$ $(0.003)$	$-0.008^{***}$ $(0.003)$	$-0.008^{***}$ $(0.003)$	
-0.002 $(0.002)$	$-0.004^{**}$ (0.002)	$-0.004^*$ $(0.002)$	
0.002 $(0.002)$	0.004** (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)	
0.001 $(0.002)$	0.004 $(0.002)$	0.003 $(0.002)$	
0.179*** (0.019)	0.181*** (0.016)	0.175*** (0.019)	
0.115*** (0.018)	0.117*** (0.017)	0.102*** (0.020)	
	1.384*** (0.183)	1.428*** (0.205)	
	0.813*** (0.182)	0.949*** (0.203)	
		0.041 $(0.099)$	
		0.138 $(0.109)$	
4,338 0.079	4,338 0.127	4,338 0.127	
	# F (1) -0.410*** (0.088) -0.007*** (0.003) -0.002 (0.002) 0.002 (0.002) 0.179*** (0.019) 0.115*** (0.018)	# Hispanic Migra (1) (2)  -0.410*** -0.356*** (0.088) (0.082)  -0.007*** -0.008*** (0.003) (0.003)  -0.002 -0.004** (0.002) (0.002)  0.001 0.004 (0.002) (0.002)  0.179*** 0.181*** (0.019) (0.016)  0.115*** (0.016)  0.115*** (0.017)  1.384*** (0.183)  0.813*** (0.182)	

Table 5: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		$\operatorname{migLog}$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
$\mathrm{TV}$	$-0.246^{***}$	-0.326***	-0.346***
	(0.055)	(0.048)	(0.049)
origLogPop	0.216***	0.196***	0.163***
	(0.030)	(0.018)	(0.025)
$\operatorname{destLogPop}$	0.211***	0.196***	0.173***
J 1	(0.031)	(0.028)	(0.030)
origpcHisp		1.540***	1.749***
		(0.216)	(0.228)
$\operatorname{destpcHisp}$		1.790***	1.979***
		(0.165)	(0.177)
m origLogInc			0.344*
			(0.179)
$\operatorname{destLogInc}$			0.216**
			(0.092)
$ m mi\_to\_county$	-0.0005***	$-0.001^{***}$	-0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	-1.646***	-1.463***	-6.115***
	(0.607)	(0.369)	(1.537)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.130	0.204	0.207
Adjusted $R^2$	0.129	0.203	0.205
Residual Std. Error	1.137 (df = 3699)	1.088 (df = 3697)	1.087 (df = 3695)

*Note:* \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 6: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		$\operatorname{mig}$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-138.970***	$-160.743^{***}$	-164.748***
	(50.833)	(55.860)	(58.288)
origLogPop	55.128***	49.692***	54.916***
3 3 2	(16.276)	(10.915)	(17.009)
$\operatorname{destLogPop}$	79.360**	75.183**	72.917**
<b>.</b>	(31.339)	(29.864)	(28.813)
origpcHisp		424.714***	380.709***
		(149.604)	(130.054)
destpcHisp		490.885***	518.338***
		(145.334)	(159.358)
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-58.140
			(90.270)
$\operatorname{destLogInc}$			29.220
_			(25.991)
$ m mi\_to\_county$	-0.181***	-0.219***	-0.220***
	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.065)
Constant	-1,446.295***	-1,395.887***	-1,156.459**
	(520.832)	(457.051)	(584.710)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.045	0.064	0.064
Adjusted $R^2$	0.044	0.062	0.062
Residual Std. Error	646.360 (df = 3699)	640.108 (df = 3697)	640.222  (df = 3695)

Table 7: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		$\operatorname{revMig}$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-272.468***	-302.891***	-290.716***
	(87.512)	(96.017)	(95.484)
origLogPop	161.229***	136.370***	138.851***
	(59.972)	(40.537)	(47.270)
destLogPop	148.127**	144.794**	156.419**
5 -	(63.158)	(64.019)	(66.248)
origpcHisp		894.758**	890.891***
		(372.920)	(323.861)
destpcHisp		683.396***	574.860***
		(191.365)	(178.543)
origLogInc			-17.479
			(161.210)
destLogInc			-121.820**
g			(62.089)
mi_to_county	-0.442**	$-0.504^{***}$	-0.506***
·	(0.176)	(0.172)	(0.172)
Constant	-3,472.526**	-3,281.295***	$-2,122.032^*$
	(1,386.592)	(1,181.058)	(1,169.812)
Observations	1,526	1,526	1,526
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.091	0.118	0.119
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.089	0.115	0.114
Residual Std. Error	1,015.579 (df = 1521)	1,001.034 (df = 1519)	1,001.478 (df = 1517)

Note: p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 8: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

		Dependent variable:	
		$\operatorname{migLog}$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-0.336***	-0.325***	-0.346***
	(0.036)	(0.037)	(0.037)
origLogPop	0.208***	0.206***	0.157***
	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.018)
destLogPop	0.131***	0.136***	0.111***
	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.016)
origpcHisp		0.076	0.383
<u>,</u>		(0.268)	(0.272)
destpcHisp		$-0.284^{*}$	-0.130
• •		(0.153)	(0.155)
m origLogInc			0.498***
			(0.123)
destLogInc			0.202***
_			(0.060)
mi_to_county	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
-	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00003)
Constant	0.173	0.151	-5.613***
	(0.226)	(0.227)	(1.029)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.086	0.086	0.091
Adjusted $R^2$	0.085	0.086	0.090
Residual Std. Error	1.164 (df = 16208)	1.164 (df = 16206)	1.161 (df = 16204)

*Note:* \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 9: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		$\operatorname{mig}$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-115.357***	$-122.427^{***}$	-125.001***
	(15.867)	(18.276)	(17.904)
origLogPop	48.124***	44.512***	34.444***
	(8.114)	(5.138)	(6.009)
destLogPop	52.948***	51.614***	47.937***
	(10.943)	(10.697)	(11.042)
origpcHisp		238.308*	304.169***
<b>4</b>		(123.072)	(116.669)
$\operatorname{destpcHisp}$		160.862*	180.496**
		(84.827)	(87.786)
origLogInc			103.236***
0 0			(36.142)
destLogInc			27.392
G			(26.837)
mi_to_county	-0.175***	-0.193***	-0.193***
Ü	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.028)
Constant	-997.115***	-953.661***	$-2,029.962^{***}$
	(200.369)	(167.388)	(272.762)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.060	0.065	0.066
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.060	0.064	0.066
Residual Std. Error	411.701 (df = 16208)	410.745 (df = 16206)	410.443  (df = 16204)

## 2 Donations

Table 10: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	Dependent variable:			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.016***	0.013***	0.012***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)		(0.0001)	
Distance to Roundary (KM)	0.0004*	0.0004**	0.001**	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	(0.0004)		(0.0002)	
	()	()	()	
Log(Population)	0.081***	$0.083^{***}$	0.058***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
County % Hispanic		0.083***	0.264***	
		(0.007)	(0.008)	
Log(Ingomo)			0.00003***	
Log(Income)			(0.00003)	
			(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.019	0.019	0.022	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.019	0.019	0.022	
Note:	*1	p<0.1; **p<	(0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 11: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.019***	0.010***	0.007***	0.005***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0001	0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Log(Population)		0.081***	0.084***	0.058***
,		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
County % Hispanic			0.084***	0.265***
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			(0.007)	(0.008)
Log(Income)				0.00003***
200(111001110)				(0.00000)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.009	0.018	0.019	0.022
Adjusted $R^2$	0.009	0.018	0.019	0.022

Table 12: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	${\rm donations\_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.192*** (0.007)	$0.147^{***}$ $(0.007)$	0.198*** (0.008)	$0.178^{***}$ $(0.009)$	
distance	-0.0001 $(0.0005)$	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.003*** (0.0005)	0.005*** (0.001)	
logPop		1.000*** (0.008)	1.017*** (0.008)	0.826*** (0.009)	
pcHispanic			$-1.025^{***}$ $(0.074)$	0.660*** (0.085)	
income				0.0001*** (0.00000)	
intersects:distance	0.006*** (0.0002)	$0.0003^*$ $(0.0002)$	-0.0003 $(0.0002)$	0.0003 $(0.0002)$	
Constant	$-4.620^{***}$ $(0.024)$	$-16.151^{***} \\ (0.103)$	$-16.310^{***}$ $(0.106)$	$-16.149^{***} (0.106)$	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	619,011 -44,877.170 89,762.330	619,011 -35,054.140 70,118.280	619,011 -34,949.340 69,910.690	619,011 -34,232.540 68,479.090	

Table 13: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.007	0.003	0.002	
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	
Ü	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0004	0.0005	0.001	
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Log(Population)	0.052***	0.055***	0.037***	
,	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
County % Hispanic		0.101***	0.225***	
		(0.019)	(0.022)	
Log(Income)			0.00002***	
			(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Note	*n		0.05· ***n<0.01	

Table 14: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_	Dependent variable:				
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	-0.008**	-0.014***	-0.019***	-0.020***	
•	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0002	0.0004**	0.0004***	0.0004***	
2.1504.1100 00 2.04.144.1. (11.1.2)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Log(Population)		0.053***	0.056***	0.038***	
		(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
County % Hispanic			0.106***	0.229***	
country // Impulie			(0.019)	(0.022)	
Log(Income)				0.00002***	
208(111001110)				(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	

Table 15: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_	Dependent variable:				
	donations_dum				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.236***	0.213***	0.154***	0.136***	
	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.022)	(0.023)	
distance	0.007***	0.008***	0.007***	0.011***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
logPop		1.148***	1.128***	0.884***	
<b>3</b> 1		(0.023)	(0.022)	(0.025)	
pcHispanic			0.950***	3.770***	
r			(0.178)	(0.222)	
income				0.0002***	
				(0.00001)	
intersects:distance	0.006***	-0.001***	-0.001	0.0004	
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0005)	
Constant	-7.117***	-20.667***	-20.463***	-21.125***	
J	(0.075)	(0.309)	(0.303)	(0.323)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011	
Log Likelihood	-7,703.642	-6,092.903	-6,079.403	-5,842.863	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	15,415.280	12,195.810	12,170.810	11,699.730	

Table 16: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	2.941***	2.506**	2.175**	
	(1.079)	(1.093)	(1.072)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.049	-0.039	-0.059	
· ·	(0.083)	(0.083)	(0.082)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.061	0.062	0.068	
	(0.123)	(0.123)	(0.120)	
Log(Population)	12.674***	12.919***	8.877***	
,	(0.586)	(0.595)	(0.674)	
County % Hispanic		9.646**	37.604***	
		(4.019)	(4.584)	
Log(Income)			0.004***	
()			(0.0004)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.193	0.194	0.226	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.191	0.192	0.224	

Table 17: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	Dummy: Hispanic Campaign Contributo		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	1.767***	1.342*	1.191*
	(0.682)	(0.690)	(0.684)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.012	-0.003	-0.012
	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.052)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.024	0.025	0.028
	(0.078)	(0.077)	(0.077)
Log(Population)	6.643***	6.881***	5.039***
,	(0.371)	(0.376)	(0.430)
County % Hispanic		9.393***	22.133***
1		(2.538)	(2.923)
Log(Income)			0.002***
			(0.0002)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.140	0.143	0.161
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.138	0.141	0.159

Table 18: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.966	0.610	0.454	
	(0.777)	(0.787)	(0.781)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.066	-0.057	-0.067	
į į	(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.060)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.090	0.091	0.093	
,	(0.088)	(0.088)	(0.088)	
Log(Population)	5.182***	5.382***	3.480***	
,	(0.422)	(0.428)	(0.491)	
County % Hispanic		7.899***	21.049***	
1		(2.895)	(3.340)	
Log(Income)			0.002***	
@()			(0.0003)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.078	0.080	0.095	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.076	0.078	0.093	

Table 19: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	Dummy: Hispanic Campaign Contribute		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.153	0.049	0.014
	(0.181)	(0.183)	(0.182)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003	0.005	0.003
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.009	0.009	0.009
,	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)
Log(Population)	1.274***	1.333***	0.900***
,	(0.098)	(0.100)	(0.114)
County % Hispanic		2.305***	5.296***
· ·		(0.673)	(0.777)
Log(Income)			0.0005***
			(0.0001)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.084	0.087	0.102
Adjusted $R^2$	0.082	0.085	0.100

Table 20: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
intersects	5.098***	4.214***	3.896***	0.364		
	(0.780)	(0.819)	(0.804)	(1.107)		
distance	0.0001*	0.0001**	0.0001***	0.00005		
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
logPop	15.750***	16.071***	10.445***	9.941***		
	(0.746)	(0.750)	(0.905)	(0.909)		
pcHispanic		23.154***	56.794***	58.746***		
		(6.660)	(7.252)	(7.238)		
income			0.005***	0.005***		
			(0.0005)	(0.0005)		
intersects:distance				0.0002***		
				(0.00003)		
Constant	-161.767***	-167.135***	-170.310***	-162.019***		
	(8.086)	(8.217)	(8.062)	(8.231)		
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819	2,819		
$R^2$	0.189	0.193	0.224	0.230		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.189	0.192	0.223	0.228		
77 /		d.				

Table 21: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
intersects	2.667***	1.164	0.765	0.352		
	(0.879)	(0.828)	(0.843)	(0.827)		
distance	0.016	0.042	0.047	0.056*		
	(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)		
logPop		12.723***	12.976***	8.956***		
		(0.587)	(0.595)	(0.675)		
pcHispanic			10.041**	37.894***		
			(4.022)	(4.589)		
income				0.004***		
				(0.0004)		
intersects:distance	0.314***	0.191***	0.195***	0.186***		
	(0.031)	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.029)		
Constant	4.694**	-125.783***	-129.868***	-140.110***		
	(1.863)	(6.266)	(6.472)	(6.404)		
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.080	0.190	0.192	0.223		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.080	0.189	0.190	0.222		

Table 22: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

(1) 8.178 (7.072)	(2) -7.089	nations_d (3)	(4)
8.178		(3)	(4)
	7.080		( */
(7.072)	-1.009	-5.547	$-10.352^*$
(1.012)	(6.387)	(6.505)	(6.216)
0.144	$0.407^{*}$	0.389	0.495**
(0.269)	(0.242)	(0.242)	(0.232)
	129.217***	128.239***	81.414***
	(4.524)	(4.591)	(5.070)
		-38.745	285.640***
		(31.032)	(34.482)
			0.050***
			(0.003)
3.645***	2.394***	2.379***	2.283***
(0.246)	(0.225)	(0.226)	(0.215)
66.618***	-1.258.542***	-1.242.780***	-1.362.060***
(14.980)	(48.317)	(49.935)	(48.115)
3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
0.119	0.286	0.287	0.350
0.118	0.286	0.286	0.349
	3.645*** (0.246) 66.618*** (14.980) 3,479 0.119	$\begin{array}{cccc} (0.269) & (0.242) \\ & & 129.217^{***} \\ & & (4.524) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 23: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius Placebo

		Dependent variable:				
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
intersects	26.508***	31.467***	28.248***			
	(5.249)	(5.515)	(5.272)			
distance	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)			
logPop	144.097***	142.299***	85.334***			
	(5.021)	(5.052)	(5.939)			
pcHispanic		-129.855***	210.748***			
		(44.853)	(47.579)			
income			0.051***			
			(0.003)			
Constant	-1,443.829***	-1,413.722***	-1,445.873***			
	(54.422)	(55.337)	(52.896)			
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819			
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.274	0.276	0.340			
Adjusted $R^2$	0.274	0.275	0.339			
Residual Std. Error	379.873 (df = 2815)	379.376 (df = 2814)	362.391 (df = 2813)			
F Statistic	$354.664^{***} (df = 3; 2815)$	$268.791^{***} (df = 4; 2814)$	$289.855^{***} (df = 5; 2813)$			

*Note:* \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 24: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 25 KM Radius

		Dependent variable:				
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
intersects	3.923***	$2.809^*$	2.497*			
	(1.361)	(1.480)	(1.458)			
distance	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)			
logPop	18.511***	19.150***	12.433***			
	(1.677)	(1.708)	(2.050)			
pcHispanic		23.632*	66.660***			
-		(12.407)	(14.338)			
income			0.006***			
			(0.001)			
Constant	-200.071***	$-208.550^{***}$	-209.086***			
	(18.347)	(18.855)	(18.563)			
Observations	1,007	1,007	1,007			
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.147	0.150	0.177			
Adjusted $R^2$	0.144	0.147	0.173			
Residual Std. Error	75.485 (df = 1003)	75.387 (df = 1002)	74.217 (df = 1001)			
F Statistic	$57.630^{***} (df = 3; 1003)$	$44.243^{***} (df = 4; 1002)$	$43.086^{***} (df = 5; 1001)$			

Table 25: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	donations				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.155	-0.461	-0.788	-0.981	
	(0.607)	(0.597)	(0.607)	(0.603)	
distance	0.00002	0.00003	0.00004	0.00004*	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
logPop		5.214***	5.421***	3.534***	
		(0.423)	(0.429)	(0.492)	
pcHispanic			8.196***	21.271***	
			(2.897)	(3.344)	
income				0.002***	
				(0.0003)	
intersects:distance	0.0002***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
Constant	1.352	-52.121***	-55.455***	-60.263***	
	(1.287)	(4.514)	(4.661)	(4.666)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
$ m R^2$	0.034	0.075	0.077	0.092	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.034	0.074	0.076	0.091	

Table 26: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	$\rm donations\_d$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	-0.148	-2.648	-3.011	-4.185	
	(2.857)	(2.822)	(2.875)	(2.838)	
distance	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
logPop		21.158***	21.389***	9.942***	
		(1.999)	(2.029)	(2.315)	
pcHispanic			9.130	88.426***	
			(13.713)	(15.745)	
income				0.012***	
				(0.001)	
intersects:distance	0.001***	0.0005***	0.0005***	0.0004***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Constant	3.590	-213.396***	-217.110***	-246.268***	
	(6.052)	(21.349)	(22.067)	(21.969)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
$ m R^2$	0.023	0.054	0.054	0.080	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.022	0.053	0.053	0.078	

Table 27: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_		Depender	nt variable:	
_		donatio	ons_dum	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.240***	0.144*	0.126	0.110
	(0.066)	(0.080)	(0.083)	(0.085)
distance	0.022*	0.036***	0.035***	0.038***
	(0.011)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.014)
dist2	-0.0002**	-0.0004***	-0.0004***	-0.0004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
logPop		1.108***	1.108***	0.872***
0 1		(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.068)
pcHispanic			0.316	2.125***
1			(0.436)	(0.519)
income				0.0002***
				(0.00003)
intersects:distance	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)
intersects:dist2	0.0002**	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	-3.278***	-15.972***	-15.986***	-15.837***
	(0.226)	(0.790)	(0.789)	(0.790)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
Log Likelihood	-833.426	-591.832	-591.574	-572.170
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,678.852	1,197.663	1,199.148	1,162.339

Table 28: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

		Dependen	nt variable:		
-	${\rm donations\_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.240*** (0.066)	0.144* (0.080)	0.126 $(0.083)$	0.110 $(0.085)$	
distance	0.022* (0.011)	0.036*** (0.013)	0.035*** (0.013)	0.038*** (0.014)	
dist2	$-0.0002^{**}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0004^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0004^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0004^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	
logPop		1.108*** (0.060)	1.108*** (0.060)	0.872*** (0.068)	
pcHispanic			0.316 $(0.436)$	2.125*** (0.519)	
income				0.0002*** (0.00003)	
intersects:distance	0.002 $(0.005)$	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 $(0.006)$	0.002 $(0.006)$	
intersects:dist2	0.0002** (0.0001)	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	0.0001 $(0.0001)$	
Constant	-3.278*** $(0.226)$	$-15.972^{***}$ $(0.790)$	$-15.986^{***}$ $(0.789)$	-15.837*** $(0.790)$	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	3,479 -833.426 1,678.852	3,479 -591.832 1,197.663	3,479 -591.574 1,199.148	3,479 $-572.170$ $1,162.339$	
Note:		*n/(	1. **n<0.05	5· ***n<0.01	

Table 29: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	${\rm donations\_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.114**	0.035	0.016	-0.002	
	(0.052)	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.065)	
distance	-0.0003	0.001	0.001	0.003	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
logPop		1.099***	1.100***	0.863***	
		(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.068)	
pcHispanic			0.396	2.192***	
			(0.431)	(0.515)	
income				0.0002***	
				(0.00003)	
intersects:distance	0.015***	0.009***	0.010***	0.010***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
Constant	-2.963***	-15.351***	-15.390***	-15.214***	
	(0.152)	(0.740)	(0.741)	(0.737)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
Log Likelihood	-837.460	-595.663	-595.251	-575.786	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,682.920	1,201.326	1,202.503	1,165.571	

## 3 Education

Table 30: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	Dependent variable:					
		рсНі	$_{ m isp\_ged}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV	-0.010	-0.023	-0.022	0.009		
	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.041)	(0.029)		
origdist	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)		
$\operatorname{origLogPop}$		0.002	0.003	0.011		
		(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.009)		
origpcHisp		0.472***	0.458***	0.363***		
_		(0.107)	(0.131)	(0.091)		
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-0.015	0.049		
_			(0.077)	(0.054)		
$pcTot\_ged$				0.734***		
				(0.036)		
TV:origdist	0.004***	0.004***	0.004***	0.003**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Constant	0.168***	0.096	0.221	-0.659		
	(0.028)	(0.127)	(0.655)	(0.458)		
Observations	401	401	401	401		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.036	0.084	0.084	0.558		
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.029	0.073	0.070	0.550		
Residual Std. Error	0.304 (df = 397)	0.297 (df = 395)	0.297 (df = 394)	0.207 (df = 393)		
F Statistic	$4.988^{***} (df = 3; 397)$	$7.276^{***} (df = 5; 395)$	$6.055^{***} (df = 6; 394)$	$70.892^{***} (df = 7; 39)$		

Note:

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.05 Distance in KM, 100 KM cuto

"Distance in KM, 100 KM cutoff. Demographic controls at county level. Errors clustered by school district"

## 4 Firms

Table 31: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	Dependent variable:				
		pcF	Hisp_ged		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV	-0.002	-0.019	-0.017	0.019	
	(0.047)	(0.048)	(0.049)	(0.030)	
origdist	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	
origLogPop		-0.001	0.001	0.006	
		(0.013)	(0.017)	(0.010)	
origpcHisp		0.533***	0.515***	0.336***	
		(0.125)	(0.158)	(0.095)	
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-0.017	0.073	
			(0.094)	(0.057)	
$\operatorname{pcTot\_ged}$				0.898***	
				(0.039)	
TV:origdist	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)	
Constant	0.165***	0.122	0.265	$-0.865^{*}$	
	(0.034)	(0.160)	(0.795)	(0.480)	
Observations	300	300	300	300	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.004	0.065	0.065	0.664	
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	-0.006	0.049	0.046	0.656	
Residual Std. Error	0.333 (df = 296)	0.324 (df = 294)	0.324 (df = 293)	0.195 (df = 292)	
F Statistic	0.409 (df = 3; 296)	$4.059^{***} (df = 5; 294)$	$3.377^{***} (df = 6; 293)$	$82.309^{***} (df = 7; 292)$	

 $^*\mathrm{p}{<}0.1;~^{**}\mathrm{p}{<}0.05;~^{***}\mathrm{p}{<}0.01$  Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 32: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		$\operatorname{pcHisp\_gifted}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV	-0.004*	-0.010***	-0.012***	-0.005***		
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)		
origdist	-0.00001	-0.00001	0.00000	-0.00002		
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00002)		
origLogPop		0.004***	0.002***	0.006***		
		(0.0005)	(0.001)	(0.0004)		
origpcHisp		0.008*	0.028***	-0.014***		
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)		
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			0.019***	-0.040***		
			(0.004)	(0.003)		
pcTot_gifted				0.796***		
. 0				(0.005)		
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.00004		
Ü	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00004)		
Constant	0.066***	0.023***	-0.136***	0.305***		
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.033)	(0.023)		
Observations	28,228	28,228	28,228	28,228		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529		

Table 33: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	t variable:				
		$\operatorname{pcHisp\_gifted}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV	-0.008***	-0.015***	$-0.017^{***}$	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)			
origdist	-0.0001**	-0.0002**	-0.0001**	-0.0001			
J	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00005)			
$\operatorname{origLogPop}$		0.004***	0.002***	0.006***			
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)			
origpcHisp		0.010**	0.032***	-0.011***			
OI I		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)			
origLogInc			0.020***	-0.037***			
0 0			(0.004)	(0.003)			
pcTot_gifted				0.799***			
I G				(0.005)			
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.00002			
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Constant	0.067***	0.025***	-0.145***	0.278***			
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.034)	(0.023)			
Observations	22,788	22,788	22,788	22,788			
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.013	0.015	0.017	0.575			
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.013	0.015	0.016	0.575			

p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 34: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	t variable:		
	$\operatorname{pcHisp\_gifted}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$\overline{ ext{TV}}$	-0.006***	-0.015***	-0.013***	-0.006***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
origdist	-0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0001	
_	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	
origLogPop		0.004***	0.006***	0.006***	
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
origpcHisp		0.016***	-0.001	-0.009**	
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)	
origLogInc			-0.016***	-0.034***	
			(0.004)	(0.003)	
pcTot_gifted				0.797***	
1 0				(0.006)	
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.0001	
Ü	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Constant	0.067***	0.020***	0.154***	0.252***	
	(0.001)	(0.007)	(0.037)	(0.026)	
Observations	16,844	16,844	16,844	16,844	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514	

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01 Distance in KM, 25 KM cutoff

Table 35: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Depender	nt variable:	
		hisp_harass	VicRaceRat	se e
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.043	0.074**	$0.065^{*}$	$0.069^{*}$
	(0.033)	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.036)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	$-0.002^*$	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.002**
_ ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001*	0.002**	0.002**	0.002**
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Log(Population)		-0.056***	-0.061***	-0.060***
,		(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.013)
% County Hispanic		-0.217***	-0.169**	-0.167**
		(0.039)	(0.072)	(0.070)
Log(Income)			0.051	0.059
-8(			(0.052)	(0.051)
# Teachers at School				-0.001**
11				(0.0003)
Observations	44,681	44,681	44,681	44,681
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 36: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic # Harassment Victims)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# Hispanic Victims of Harassment)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.003** (0.001)	$0.002^*$ $(0.001)$	$0.002^*$ $(0.001)$		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0001** $(0.00002)$	$-0.00005^*$ $(0.00002)$	$-0.00005^*$ $(0.00002)$		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.0004^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0004^{***}$ (0.0001)	$-0.0004^{***}$ $(0.0001)$		
# Hispanic Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)		
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.012	0.016	0.023		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.012	0.016	0.023		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 37: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic # Harassment Perpetrators)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Hispanic Perpetrators of Harassment)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.00001	-0.00001	-0.00000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0003***	-0.0003***	-0.0003***
,	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
# Hispanic Students	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
,,	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.014	0.016	0.022
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.014	0.016	0.021
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 38: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	$-0.011^{**}$ $(0.005)$	$-0.018^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$-0.016^{***}$ $(0.005)$		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.002***	-0.002***		
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.003*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)		
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864		
$R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	$0.321 \\ 0.321$	$0.348 \\ 0.348$	$0.407 \\ 0.407$		

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 39: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.067***	-0.073***	-0.074***		
•	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***		
- , ,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
# Hispanic Students	0.004***	0.003***	0.003***		
· ·	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.444	0.467	0.467		
Adjusted $R^2$	0.444	0.467	0.467		

Note:

Table 40: Effect of TV on APs Taken

	Dependent variable:				
	# IHS (Hispanic Students Taking A				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.072***	0.051***	0.047***		
	(0.016)	(0.015)	(0.015)		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.004***	-0.004***		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***		
W	(0.00004)		(0.0001)		
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.530	0.588	0.614		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.529	0.587	0.613		
Note:	*.	p<0.1; **p<0	0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 41: Effect of TV on APs Passed

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing AP				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.034**	0.042***	0.039***		
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.013)		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003		
_ ,	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002**	0.002*	0.001		
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
Observations	2,205	2,205	2,205		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.389	0.433	0.438		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.387	0.430	0.435		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 42: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

		Dependent v	variable:
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Limited	English Proficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.040***	0.039***	0.031***
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.002***	-0.002***
,	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0003)
# Hispanic Students	0.004***	0.004***	0.004***
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.430	0.431	0.486
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.430	0.431	0.486

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 43: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Gifte	d Students)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
TV Dummy	0.016***	0.015**	0.013**			
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)			
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002			
· · · /	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)			
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***			
" -	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)			
Observations	26,065	26,065	26,065			
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.482	0.507	0.523			
Adjusted $R^2$	0.482	0.507	0.523			
Notes	*-> <0	1. *** < 0.05	. *** ~ < 0 (			

Note:

Table 44: Robustness Check - APs Passed

	Dependent variable:  IHS(Hispanic APs Passed)					
		OLS		felm	OI	LS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TV Dummy	$0.039^{***}$ (0.013)	$0.049^{***}$ $(0.017)$	0.044*** (0.016)	$0.044^{***}$ $(0.017)$	$0.036^{***}$ (0.013)	$0.032^*$ $(0.018)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0003 $(0.0002)$	0.0001 $(0.001)$	0.001 $(0.001)$	0.001* (0.0004)	0.0001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 $(0.001)$	0.012*** (0.003)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.003** (0.002)	0.001 $(0.004)$
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Total APs Passed					0.003*** (0.0001)	
Observations	2,205	2,205	1,525	1,525	1,525	1,095
$ m R^2$ Adjusted $ m R^2$	$0.438 \\ 0.435$	$0.444 \\ 0.441$	$0.481 \\ 0.477$	$0.481 \\ 0.477$	$0.649 \\ 0.646$	$0.516 \\ 0.510$

Table 45: Robustness Check - Gifted Students

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(Hispan	nic Gifted	Students)	
	0.	LS	felm	0.	LS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.013** (0.006)	$0.035^{***}$ (0.007)	0.035 $(0.023)$	$0.035^{***}$ $(0.007)$	$0.030^{***}$ $(0.008)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001* (0.001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001** (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002 $(0.0003)$	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
Total Gifted Students				0.011*** (0.0003)	
Observations	26,065	16,442	16,442	16,442	11,344
$R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	$0.523 \\ 0.523$	$0.534 \\ 0.534$	$0.534 \\ 0.534$	$0.566 \\ 0.565$	$0.549 \\ 0.549$
Note:			*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01

41

Table 46: Spatial Robustness - Harassment

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# His	panic Victims of	Harassment)		
	OLS $spatial$ $spatia$ $autoregressive$ $error$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.003** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.003* (0.002)		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	$-0.0001^{**}$ $(0.00002)$	$-0.0001^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	$-0.0001^{**}$ (0.00003)		
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	40,811 0.012 0.012	40,811	40,811		
$\operatorname{Log}$ Likelihood $\sigma^2$		-4,304.916 $0.072$	-4,299.820 $0.072$		
Akaike Inf. Crit.  Wald Test $(df = 1)$ LR Test $(df = 1)$		8,629.833 686.149*** 657.312***	8,619.640 686.981*** 667.505***		

Table 47: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

_		$D\epsilon$	pendent varial	ble:	
	D.	ummy for Hisp	oanic Out of So	chool Suspensi	on
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	0.092*** (0.030)	0.204*** (0.031)	$0.064^*$ $(0.033)$	-0.006 $(0.035)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** $(0.0004)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.0004)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.0004)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.0005)$	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.0005)$
Log(Population)		0.074*** (0.007)	0.138*** (0.008)	0.135*** (0.009)	0.102*** (0.010)
% County Hispanic		1.714*** (0.069)	1.127*** (0.081)	1.210*** (0.088)	$-1.383^{***}$ $(0.109)$
Log(Income)			$-0.664^{***}$ $(0.046)$	$-1.180^{***}$ $(0.050)$	$-1.024^{***}$ $(0.054)$
# Teachers at School				0.031*** (0.0005)	0.010*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students					0.005*** (0.0001)
Total Students					0.0004*** (0.0001)
Contains Grade 1					$-0.887^{***}$ $(0.027)$
Contains Grade 6					0.299*** (0.024)
Contains Grade 9					0.126*** (0.031)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$45,947 \\ -30,733.950 \\ 61,475.890$	$45,947 \\ -30,315.250 \\ 60,642.500$	$45,947 \\ -30,211.380 \\ 60,436.760$	$45,947 \\ -27,500.700 \\ 55,017.410$	$45,947 \\ -24,898.820 \\ 49,823.650$

Table 48: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

_	Dependent variable:						
		hisp_O(	OSDum				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	$-0.236^{***}$ $(0.031)$	$-0.194^{***}$ $(0.031)$	-0.006 $(0.035)$			
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** $(0.0004)$	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.0005)$	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.0005)$	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.0005)$			
# Teachers at School		0.008*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)			
# Hispanic Students		0.004*** (0.0001)	0.005*** (0.0001)	0.005*** (0.0001)			
Total Students		0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)			
Contains Grade 1			$-0.860^{***}$ $(0.027)$	$-0.887^{***}$ $(0.027)$			
Contains Grade 6			0.318*** (0.024)	0.299*** (0.024)			
Contains Grade 9			0.133*** (0.031)	0.126*** (0.031)			
Log(Population)				0.102*** (0.010)			
% County Hispanic				$-1.383^{***}$ $(0.109)$			
Log(Income)				$-1.024^{***}$ $(0.054)$			
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	45,947 -30,733.950 61,475.890	$45,947 \\ -26,122.150 \\ 52,258.300$	$45,947 \\ -25,092.940 \\ 50,205.880$	$45,947 \\ -24,898.820 \\ 49,823.650$			

Table 49: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# Hi	ispanic Out	of School Su	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.343***	-0.061***	-0.024*	0.057***
	(0.016)	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.015)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001**	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***
	(0.0005)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.002***
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
# Teachers at School		0.006***	0.004***	0.006***
		(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
		(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00003)
Total Students		0.0002***	0.0001***	0.00004*
		(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.550***	-0.559***
			(0.011)	(0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.206***	0.191***
			(0.010)	(0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.019	0.009
			(0.013)	(0.013)
Log(Population)				0.064***
				(0.004)
% County Hispanic				-0.535***
				(0.041)
Log(Income)				-0.571***
				(0.022)
Observations	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.033	0.337	0.394	0.403
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.033	0.337	0.394	0.403
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 50: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic Out of School Suspension)  $\,$ 

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# H	lispanic Out	of School Sus	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.282*** (0.018)	$-0.081^{***}$ $(0.015)$	$-0.047^{***}$ $(0.014)$	0.033** (0.016)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.012*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance2	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00002)$	-0.00002 $(0.00002)$	$-0.00004^{**}$ $(0.00002)$	-0.00002 $(0.00002)$
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.008^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.006^{***}$ $(0.001)$
Distance2	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00005*** (0.00001)
# Teachers at School		0.006*** (0.0003)	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0002*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	$0.00004^*$ $(0.00002)$
Contains Grade 1			$-0.549^{***}$ $(0.011)$	$-0.558^{***}$ $(0.011)$
Contains Grade 6			0.207*** (0.010)	0.192*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.020 (0.013)	0.010 $(0.013)$
Log(Population)				0.067*** (0.004)
% County Hispanic				$-0.550^{***}$ $(0.042)$
Log(Income)				$-0.575^{***}$ $(0.022)$
Observations $\mathbb{R}^2$	45,947 0.034	45,947 0.337	45,947 0.395	45,947 0.404
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.034	0.337	0.395	0.403

Table 51: Effect of TV on APs Taken

-		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(	Hispanic St	udents Taki	ng AP)
	OLS			felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	1.536*** (0.059)	0.556*** (0.062)	0.293*** (0.048)	0.240*** (0.048)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001 $(0.002)$	0.010*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.007^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.007^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.001)$
Log(Population)		0.211*** (0.016)	0.087*** (0.013)	0.158*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic		4.406*** (0.157)	3.278*** (0.137)	2.327*** (0.147)
Log(Income)		0.474*** (0.088)	0.713*** (0.069)	0.942*** (0.082)
# Teachers at School			-0.0002 $(0.001)$	0.002*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students			0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)
Total Students			0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1			$-1.111^{***}$ $(0.092)$	$-1.066^{***}$ $(0.085)$
Contains Grade 6			$-0.348^{***}$ (0.062)	$-0.487^{***}$ $(0.057)$
Contains Grade 9			0.295*** (0.088)	0.291*** (0.083)
Observations $R^2$	6,863 0.199	6,863 0.340	6,863 0.612	6,863 0.675
Adjusted $R^2$	0.199	0.339	0.611	0.679

Table 52: Effect of TV on APs Taken

_		Dependen	t variable:		
	# IHS	(Hispanic St	udents Taki	ng AP)	
		OLS		felm	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.833*** (0.046)	0.872*** (0.045)	0.293*** (0.048)	0.240*** (0.048)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.001 $(0.001)$	-0.002 (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$	-0.004*** (0.001)	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.001)$	
# Teachers at School	0.0003 (0.001)	-0.0004 $(0.001)$	-0.0002 $(0.001)$	0.002*** (0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)	
Total Students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	
Contains Grade 1		$-1.223^{***}$ $(0.097)$	$-1.111^{***}$ $(0.092)$	$-1.066^{***}$ $(0.085)$	
Contains Grade 6		$-0.163^{**}$ $(0.065)$	$-0.348^{***}$ $(0.062)$	$-0.487^{***}$ $(0.057)$	
Contains Grade 9		0.397*** (0.093)	0.295*** (0.088)	0.291*** (0.083)	
Log(Population)			0.087*** (0.013)	0.158*** (0.014)	
% County Hispanic			3.278*** (0.137)	2.327*** (0.147)	
Log(Income)			0.713*** (0.069)	0.942*** (0.082)	
Observations $\mathbb{R}^2$	6,863 0.541	6,863 0.562	6,863 0.612	6,863 0.675	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.540	0.561	0.611	0.672	

Table 53: Effect of TV on APs Passed

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(	Hispanic St	udents Passi	ing AP)
		OLS		felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.469*** (0.058)	0.212*** (0.056)	0.155*** (0.048)	0.226*** (0.050)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002 $(0.002)$	0.006*** (0.002)	$0.002^*$ $(0.001)$	-0.001 $(0.002)$
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	-0.002** (0.001)	-0.0005 $(0.001)$
Log(Population)		0.144*** (0.015)	0.102*** (0.013)	0.103*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic		1.390*** (0.127)	1.053*** (0.122)	0.978*** (0.130)
Log(Income)		-0.166** (0.075)	0.153** (0.065)	0.388*** (0.082)
# Teachers at School			$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.001)$
# Hispanic Students			0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0005*** (0.00004)
Total Students			0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1			$-0.254^*$ (0.136)	-0.087 $(0.129)$
Contains Grade 6			$-0.237^{***}$ $(0.074)$	-0.294*** $(0.070)$
Contains Grade 9			0.169** (0.085)	-0.049 (0.089)
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	2,342 0.069	2,342 0.224	2,342 0.446	2,342 0.520
Adjusted $R^2$	0.068	0.222	0.443	0.511

Table 54: Effect of TV on APs Passed

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(	Hispanic St	udents Passi	ing AP)
		OLS		felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.331*** (0.047)	0.336*** (0.047)	0.155*** (0.048)	0.226*** (0.050)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 $(0.001)$	$0.002^*$ $(0.001)$	-0.001 $(0.002)$
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001 $(0.001)$	-0.001 $(0.001)$	$-0.002^{**}$ (0.001)	-0.0005 $(0.001)$
# Teachers at School	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.001)$
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0005*** (0.00004)
Total Students	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1		$-0.272^*$ (0.141)	$-0.254^*$ (0.136)	-0.087 $(0.129)$
Contains Grade 6		-0.090 $(0.076)$	$-0.237^{***}$ $(0.074)$	$-0.294^{***}$ $(0.070)$
Contains Grade 9		0.203** (0.088)	0.169** (0.085)	-0.049 $(0.089)$
Log(Population)			0.102*** (0.013)	0.103*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic			1.053*** (0.122)	0.978*** (0.130)
Log(Income)			0.153** (0.065)	0.388*** (0.082)
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	2,342 0.394	2,342 0.398	2,342 0.446	2,342 0.520
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.393	0.396	0.443	0.511

Table 55: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Limite	ed English F	Proficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.979***	0.287***	0.221***	0.068***
	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.020)	(0.022)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.005***	0.009***	0.008***	0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.008***	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.005***
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
# Teachers at School		0.0004	0.003***	0.003***
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005***	0.005***	0.004***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.00005	0.0002***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338***	0.334***
			(0.016)	(0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.280***	-0.281***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.836***	-0.840***
			(0.019)	(0.019)
Log(Population)				0.020***
3( 1				(0.006)
% County Hispanic				0.994***
, o o o all o				(0.063)
Log(Income)				0.191***
Log(meome)				(0.033)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.100	0.424	0.475	0.479
Adjusted $R^2$	0.099	0.424	0.475	0.479

Table 56: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

	Dependent variable:					
	Hispan	nic # Limite	d English Pro	oficiency		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	37.382***	-1.607**	-3.552***	-0.728		
	(1.171)	(0.798)	(0.779)	(0.869)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.213***			0.364***		
	(0.034)	(0.023)	(0.022)	(0.023)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.155***	0.037***	0.036***	0.010		
	(0.018)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)		
# Teachers at School		-0.058***	-0.0001	0.041**		
		(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)		
# Hispanic Students		0.318***	0.314***	0.322***		
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)		
Total Students		-0.036***	-0.032***	-0.037***		
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Contains Grade 1			16.884***	16.220***		
			(0.649)	(0.647)		
Contains Grade 6			-7.925***	-8.592***		
			(0.593)	(0.591)		
Contains Grade 9			-15.944***	-15.841***		
			(0.764)	(0.761)		
Log(Population)				3.729***		
				(0.234)		
% County Hispanic				-45.583***		
				(2.465)		
Log(Income)				-20.967***		
G( ** *)				(1.315)		
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.059	0.583	0.604	0.608		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.059	0.583	0.604	0.608		

Table 57: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# H	ispanic Out	of School Su	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.189*** (0.020)	0.053*** (0.016)	0.072*** (0.016)	0.033** (0.016)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.013*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance2	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00002)$	-0.00001 $(0.00002)$	-0.00003 $(0.00002)$	-0.00002 $(0.00002)$
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.006^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.006^{***}$ $(0.001)$
Distance2	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00005*** (0.00001)
% County Hispanic	1.356*** (0.044)	$-0.300^{***}$ $(0.041)$	$-0.326^{***}$ $(0.040)$	$-0.550^{***}$ $(0.042)$
Log(Population)	$-0.218^{***}$ $(0.023)$	$-0.430^{***}$ $(0.019)$	$-0.371^{***}$ $(0.019)$	$-0.575^{***}$ $(0.022)$
# Teachers at School		0.007*** (0.0003)	0.005*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	$0.00004^*$ $(0.00002)$
Contains Grade 1			$-0.545^{***}$ $(0.011)$	$-0.558^{***}$ $(0.011)$
Contains Grade 6			0.202*** (0.010)	0.192*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.011 $(0.013)$	0.010 (0.013)
Log(Income)				0.067*** (0.004)
Observations $\mathbb{R}^2$	45,947 0.067	45,947 0.344	45,947 0.400	45,947 0.404
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.067	0.344	0.400	0.403

Table 58: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic # Harassment Victims)

		Depender	nt variable:	
	IHS(	# Hispanic Vi	ctims of Haras	ssment)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.0003 $(0.002)$	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.0005 $(0.002)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0001 $(0.0001)$	0.0001 $(0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance <sup>2</sup>	$-0.00000^*$ $(0.00000)$	-0.00000** $(0.00000)$	-0.00000** $(0.00000)$	-0.00000** $(0.00000)$
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$
Distance <sup>2</sup>	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)
% County Hispanic	0.028** (0.012)	0.006 $(0.013)$	$0.005 \\ (0.013)$	0.016 $(0.013)$
Log(Population)	0.066*** (0.005)	0.051*** (0.005)	$0.055^{***}$ $(0.005)$	0.069*** (0.006)
# Teachers at School		0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
# Hispanic Students		0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)
Total Students		$-0.00003^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	$-0.00003^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	$-0.00002^{***}$ $(0.00001)$
Contains Grade 1			$-0.037^{***}$ $(0.003)$	$-0.036^{***}$ $(0.003)$
Contains Grade 6			0.028*** (0.003)	0.029*** (0.003)
Contains Grade 9			$-0.010^{***}$ $(0.004)$	$-0.010^{**}$ $(0.004)$
Log(Income)				$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	40,811 0.009 0.009	40,811 0.016 0.016	40,811 0.023 0.023	40,811 0.023 0.023

Table 59: Effect of TV on IHS(APs Taken)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(AI	Ps Taken by	Hispanic St	udents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.307***	0.223***	0.232***	0.166***
	(0.065)	(0.048)	(0.047)	(0.047)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.016***	$0.007^{*}$	0.006*	0.008**
	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
$\Gamma V Dummy \times Distance 2$	$-0.0001^*$	-0.00002	-0.00002	-0.00002
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002	0.003	0.003	-0.002
- ,	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Distance2	-0.00005	-0.0001*	-0.0001**	-0.00002
	(0.00005)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
% County Hispanic	2.358***	1.012***	1.042***	0.764***
v r	(0.124)	(0.108)	(0.107)	(0.111)
Log(Population)	-0.319***	-0.033	-0.044	-0.266***
	(0.072)	(0.054)	(0.054)	(0.060)
# Teachers at School		-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.005***
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
.,,		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Total Students		0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.532***	-0.564***
0.53.00			(0.126)	(0.124)
Contains Grade 6			-0.170**	-0.225***
0.1000			(0.068)	(0.067)
Contains Grade 9			0.153*	0.189**
Convenie Grade 9			(0.079)	(0.078)
Log(Income)				0.098***
rog(meome)				(0.012)
Observations	2,342	2,342	2,342	2,342
$R^2$	0.311	0.626	0.634	0.644
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.624	0.632	0.642

Table 60: Effect of TV on IHS(APs Passed)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(A	Ps Passed by	Hispanic Str	udents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.305***	0.242***	0.251***	0.184***
	(0.061)	(0.052)	(0.052)	(0.052)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.005	-0.003	-0.004	-0.002
	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
TV Dummy × Distance2	-0.00004	0.00005	0.0001	0.00005
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.005	0.007**	0.008**	0.003
	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Distance2	-0.0001*	-0.0001***	-0.0001***	-0.0001
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
% County Hispanic	1.902***	1.306***	1.332***	1.053***
	(0.118)	(0.117)	(0.117)	(0.122)
Log(Population)	0.144**	0.383***	0.377***	0.153**
2 · · · ·	(0.069)	(0.058)	(0.059)	(0.065)
# Teachers at School		-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.004***
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.0004***	0.0004***	0.0004***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.216	$-0.248^*$
			(0.137)	(0.136)
Contains Grade 6			-0.186**	-0.241***
			(0.074)	(0.074)
Contains Grade 9			0.133	0.169**
			(0.086)	(0.085)
Log(Income)				0.098***
,				(0.013)
Observations	2 242	2 242	2 242	2 242
$R^2$	$2,342 \\ 0.195$	$2,342 \\ 0.429$	$2,342 \\ 0.433$	$2,342 \\ 0.447$
Adjusted $R^2$	0.193	0.426	0.430	0.443

Table 61: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(Hispa	anic # Limite	ed English Pr	roficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.248***	$0.047^{*}$	0.014	0.002
	(0.030)	(0.025)	(0.024)	(0.024)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.038***	0.023***	0.020***	0.020***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
$\Gamma V Dummy \times Distance^2$	-0.0004***	$-0.0002^{***}$	$-0.0002^{***}$	-0.0002***
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.013***	$-0.011^{***}$	$-0.010^{***}$	-0.010***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance <sup>2</sup>	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
	(0.00002)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
% County Hispanic	4.251***	0.986***	1.068***	0.995***
	(0.066)	(0.062)	(0.060)	(0.063)
Log(Population)	0.572***	0.375***	0.261***	0.194***
,	(0.035)	(0.029)	(0.028)	(0.034)
# Teachers at School		-0.0001	0.002***	0.003***
		(0.001)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005***	0.004***	0.004***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.0001***	0.0003***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338***	0.334***
			(0.016)	(0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.277***	-0.280***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.837***	-0.837***
			(0.019)	(0.019)
Log(Income)				0.022***
· ,				(0.006)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
$ m R^2$	0.178	0.428	0.479	0.479
Adjusted $R^2$	0.177	0.428	0.479	0.479

Table 62: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

(1) 0.388*** (0.027) 0.013*** (0.001)	(2) 0.123*** (0.023) 0.010*** (0.001)	ed English F (3) 0.079*** (0.022) 0.009***	Proficiency) (4) 0.068*** (0.022)
0.388*** (0.027) 0.013***	0.123*** (0.023) 0.010***	0.079*** (0.022)	0.068***
(0.027) 0.013***	(0.023) 0.010***	(0.022)	
0.013***	0.010***	,	(0.022)
		0.009***	
(0.001)	(0.001)		0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
-0.006***	-0.005***	-0.004***	-0.005***
(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
4.237***	0.977***	1.061***	0.994***
(0.066)	(0.062)	(0.060)	(0.063)
0.561***	0.367***	0.253***	0.191***
(0.035)	(0.029)	(0.028)	(0.033)
	-0.0001	0.002***	0.003***
	(0.001)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
	0.005***	0.004***	0.004***
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
	0.0001***	0.0003***	0.0003***
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
		0.338***	0.334***
		(0.016)	(0.016)
		-0.278***	-0.281***
		(0.015)	(0.015)
		-0.840***	-0.840***
		(0.019)	(0.019)
			0.020***
			(0.006)
46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
0.175	0.427	0.479	0.479
0.175	0.427	0.479	0.479
	-0.006*** (0.0004) 4.237*** (0.066) 0.561*** (0.035)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 63: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS	(Hispanic #	Gifted Stude	nts)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.228***	0.074***	0.080***	0.068***
	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.029***	0.022***	0.022***	0.022***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance2	-0.0003***	$-0.0002^{***}$	$-0.0002^{***}$	-0.0002***
	(0.00003)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.009***	-0.008***	-0.008***	-0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance2	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
% County Hispanic	4.585***	2.582***	2.644***	2.531***
	(0.059)	(0.057)	(0.056)	(0.060)
Log(Population)	0.952***	0.563***	0.630***	0.524***
	(0.036)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.037)
# Teachers at School		0.002***	0.001	0.001
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			$-0.441^{***}$	$-0.445^{***}$
			(0.017)	(0.017)
Contains Grade 6			0.062***	0.061***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			$-0.297^{***}$	-0.292***
			(0.021)	(0.021)
Log(Income)				0.030***
- ` '				(0.006)
Observations	28,577	28,577	28,577	28,577
$R^2$	0.309	0.516	0.532	0.533
Adjusted $R^2$	0.309	0.516	0.532	0.532

Table 64: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(	Hispanic #	Gifted Stud	ents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.333***	0.149***	0.155***	0.144***
	(0.024)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.020)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.009***	0.008***	0.008***	0.008***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
% County Hispanic	4.584***	2.578***	2.640***	2.530***
	(0.059)	(0.057)	(0.056)	(0.060)
Log(Population)	0.960***	0.565***	0.630***	0.527***
	(0.036)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.037)
# Teachers at School		0.002***	0.001	0.001*
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
··· -		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.442***	-0.446***
			(0.017)	(0.017)
Contains Grade 6			0.059***	0.058***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.303***	-0.298***
			(0.021)	(0.021)
Log(Income)				0.029***
· /				(0.006)
Observations	28,577	28,577	28,577	28,577
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.306	0.514	0.531	0.531
Adjusted $R^2$	0.306	0.514	0.530	0.531

Table 65: Effect of TV on Hispanic Owned Businesses,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		1	ousn		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	-629.356 $(710.094)$	-890.860 $(723.788)$	$-972.827 \\ (723.167)$	$ \begin{array}{c} -1,034.754 \\ (730.745) \end{array} $	
intersects:distance	273.627*** (59.975)	262.200*** (60.284)	227.195*** (60.435)	226.714*** (60.441)	
intersects:dist2	$-4.708^{***}$ $(1.054)$	$-4.592^{***}$ (1.056)	$-3.760^{***}$ $(1.062)$	$-3.753^{***}$ $(1.062)$	
distance	-48.278 (89.462)	-49.697 (89.461)	-54.057 $(89.374)$	-53.414 (89.382)	
dist2	$0.700 \\ (0.976)$	0.789 $(0.977)$	$1.028 \\ (0.977)$	0.986 $(0.979)$	
logPop		806.583* (432.786)	177.398 (441.730)	338.654 $(519.367)$	
pcHispanic			35,519.770*** (5,109.858)	35,021.800*** (5,179.078)	
income				-0.105 $(0.177)$	
Constant	$-603.995 \\ (1,547.216)$	-9,743.664* (5,142.300)	-5,111.201 $(5,180.251)$	-5,430.772 $(5,208.528)$	
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	23,853 0.002 0.002	23,853 0.002 0.002	23,853 0.004 0.004	23,853 0.004 0.004	
Note:	0.002		*p<0.1; **p<0		

61

Table 66: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

		Dep	pendent vario	able:	
-			ihs(busn)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
intersects	0.263*** (0.020)	0.113*** (0.020)	0.113*** (0.020)	0.127*** (0.020)	0.139*** (0.018)
distance	0.036*** (0.003)	0.036*** (0.002)	0.036*** (0.002)	0.035*** (0.002)	0.034*** (0.002)
dist2	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00002)$
logPop		0.463*** (0.012)	0.459*** (0.012)	0.421*** (0.014)	0.356*** (0.013)
pcHispanic			0.239* (0.142)	0.354** (0.144)	$-0.687^{***}$ $(0.127)$
income				0.00002*** (0.00000)	0.00002*** (0.00000)
busnCount					0.014*** (0.0002)
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.001)
intersects:dist2	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	-0.0001** $(0.00003)$
Constant	$-0.204^{***}$ $(0.044)$	$-5.448^{***}$ (0.143)	$-5.417^{***}$ $(0.144)$	$-5.344^{***}$ $(0.145)$	$-4.401^{***}$ (0.128)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	23,853 0.114 0.114	23,853 0.166 0.166	23,853 0.166 0.166	23,853 0.167 0.167	23,853 0.356 0.356

*Note:* \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 67: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses (50% threshold), 100 KM Radius

		Dependen	t variable:		
-	ihs(busnD)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.232*** (0.019)	0.103*** (0.019)	0.101*** (0.019)	0.113*** (0.019)	
distance	0.029*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	
dist2	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	
logPop		0.396*** (0.011)	0.378*** (0.012)	0.345*** (0.014)	
pcHispanic			1.026*** (0.134)	1.127*** (0.136)	
income				0.00002*** (0.00000)	
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	$0.017^{***}$ $(0.002)$	0.016*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	
intersects:dist2	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	$-0.0002^{***}$ $(0.00003)$	
Constant	$-0.242^{***}$ $(0.042)$	$-4.733^{***}$ (0.135)	$-4.599^{***}$ (0.136)	$-4.534^{***}$ (0.137)	
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	23,853 0.107 0.107	23,853 0.151 0.151	23,853 0.153 0.153	23,853 0.154 0.153	

Table 68: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Name Businesses,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:	
		ihs(hispFe	oodName)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	-0.0003 $(0.003)$	$-0.005^*$ (0.003)	$-0.005^*$ (0.003)	-0.005 $(0.003)$
distance	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.001)$
dist2	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
logPop		0.025*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)
pcHispanic			0.408*** (0.018)	0.411*** (0.018)
income				0.00000 $(0.00000)$
intersects:distance	0.005*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)
intersects:dist2	$-0.0001^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	$-0.0001^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	$-0.0001^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	$-0.0001^{***}$ $(0.00001)$
Constant	0.001 $(0.007)$	$-0.286^{***}$ $(0.021)$	$-0.220^{***}$ $(0.021)$	$-0.217^{***}$ $(0.021)$
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	20,404 0.055 0.055	20,404 0.064 0.064	20,404 0.087 0.087	20,404 0.087 0.087

Table 69: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

		Dependen	t variable:	
-		hispFood	dNameD	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.794*** (0.078)	0.790*** (0.098)	0.787*** (0.099)	0.905*** (0.103)
distance	0.051*** (0.016)	0.094*** (0.019)	0.094*** (0.019)	0.100*** (0.019)
dist2	$-0.0004^{**}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$
logPop		0.920*** (0.055)	0.949*** (0.071)	0.750*** (0.075)
pcHispanic			-0.204 (0.312)	1.014*** (0.361)
income				0.0001*** (0.00002)
intersects:distance	0.029*** (0.005)	0.001 (0.006)	0.001 (0.006)	-0.002 (0.006)
intersects:dist2	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0002^{**}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0002^{**}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0001^*$ $(0.0001)$
Constant	$-6.785^{***}$ (0.282)	$-18.626^{***}$ $(0.819)$	$-18.971^{***}$ $(0.982)$	$-18.690^{***}$ $(0.974)$
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$23,853 \\ -2,421.045 \\ 4,854.090$	$23,853 \\ -2,234.297 \\ 4,482.593$	$23,853 \\ -2,234.083 \\ 4,484.165$	$23,853 \\ -2,216.667 \\ 4,451.333$
Note:	*n<0.1: **n<0.05: ***n<0.01			

Table 70: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses,  $50~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_		Depender	nt variable:	
		ihs(bus	snCount)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.104***	0.048***	0.047***	0.040**
	(0.018)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)
distance	-0.018***	$-0.007^*$	-0.008*	$-0.007^*$
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
dist2	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
logPop		0.280***	0.310***	0.331***
		(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.012)
pcHispanic			-1.483***	-1.554***
-			(0.105)	(0.107)
income				-0.00001***
				(0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.022***	0.012***	0.014***	0.014***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
intersects:dist2	-0.0003***	-0.0001***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***
	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)
Constant	0.426***	-2.825***	-3.067***	-3.120***
	(0.041)	(0.122)	(0.122)	(0.123)
Observations	20,404	20,404	20,404	20,404
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.110	0.143	0.152	0.152
Adjusted $R^2$	0.109	0.143	0.151	0.152

Table 71: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses,  $50~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:	
		hispFoo	dNameD	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.345*** (0.095)	0.458*** (0.116)	0.449*** (0.116)	0.555*** (0.122)
distance	$-0.160^{***}$ $(0.036)$	-0.064 (0.041)	-0.067 (0.041)	-0.051 (0.041)
dist2	0.004*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)
logPop		0.884*** (0.058)	0.951*** (0.078)	0.784*** (0.085)
pcHispanic			-0.433 (0.324)	0.522 $(0.398)$
income				0.0001*** (0.00002)
intersects:distance	0.094*** (0.011)	0.046*** (0.013)	0.046*** (0.013)	0.040*** (0.013)
intersects:dist2	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0003)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0003)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0003)$
Constant	-5.275*** $(0.312)$	$-16.934^{***}$ $(0.893)$	$-17.725^{***}$ $(1.090)$	$-17.264^{***}$ $(1.074)$
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$20,404 \\ -2,144.218 \\ 4,300.437$	$20,404 \\ -1,993.553 \\ 4,001.106$	$20,404 \\ -1,992.652 \\ 4,001.304$	$ 20,404 \\ -1,985.296 \\ 3,988.591 $

Table 72: Effect of TV on Hispanic Owned Businesses,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_		Dependen	nt variable:		
_	busnCount				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.018 $(0.024)$	$-0.048^*$ (0.026)	$-0.051^{**}$ $(0.026)$	-0.041 (0.026)	
distance	-0.006 $(0.004)$	$-0.007^*$ $(0.004)$	-0.006 $(0.004)$	-0.006 $(0.004)$	
dist2	0.000** (0.000)	0.000** (0.000)	0.000* (0.000)	$0.000^*$ $(0.000)$	
logPop		0.132*** (0.018)	0.058*** (0.019)	0.032 $(0.020)$	
origpcHisp			0.840*** (0.090)	1.026*** (0.103)	
origincome				0.00002*** (0.00001)	
inside:distance	0.012*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	
inside:dist2	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	
Constant	1.916*** (0.074)	$0.375^*$ $(0.218)$	1.271*** (0.238)	1.231*** (0.238)	
Observations R <sup>2</sup> Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	138,553 0.002 0.002	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.004 0.004	

Table 73: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food),  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

-		Dependen	t variable:			
	${\bf hispFoodName}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
inside	$0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$	0.002 $(0.001)$	0.002 $(0.001)$	0.002 $(0.001)$		
distance	0.00004 $(0.0002)$	-0.00000 $(0.0002)$	0.0001 $(0.0002)$	0.0001 $(0.0002)$		
dist2	0.000 (0.000)	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 $(0.000)$	-0.000 $(0.000)$		
logPop		0.007*** (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)		
origpcHisp			$0.072^{***}$ $(0.005)$	0.071*** (0.005)		
origincome				-0.00000 $(0.00000)$		
inside:distance	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)		
inside:dist2	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$		
Constant	-0.006 $(0.004)$	$-0.085^{***}$ $(0.011)$	-0.008 (0.013)	-0.008 (0.013)		
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	138,553 0.002 0.002	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.005 0.004	138,411 0.005 0.004		
Noto		*n <0.1	. **-> <0.05.	*** ~ < 0 01		

Table 74: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food),  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:		
	hispFoodNameD				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.429*** (0.076)	0.207** (0.083)	0.219*** (0.081)	0.236*** (0.083)	
distance	0.001 $(0.015)$	0.012 $(0.017)$	0.012 $(0.016)$	0.014 (0.016)	
dist2	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 $(0.000)$	-0.000 $(0.000)$	-0.000 $(0.000)$	
logPop		0.512*** (0.061)	0.177*** (0.065)	0.142** (0.070)	
origpcHisp			1.740*** (0.204)	1.973*** (0.276)	
origincome				0.00002 $(0.00002)$	
inside:distance	0.011** (0.005)	0.004 $(0.005)$	0.002 $(0.005)$	0.002 $(0.005)$	
inside:dist2	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	-0.000** $(0.000)$	$-0.000^*$ $(0.000)$	$-0.000^*$ (0.000)	
Constant	-6.266*** $(0.268)$	$-12.443^{***}$ (0.803)	-8.218*** (0.831)	-8.190*** (0.833)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$   \begin{array}{r}     135,727 \\     -6,768.276 \\     13,548.550   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     135,594 \\     -6,711.180 \\     13,436.360   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     135,594 \\     -6,674.295 \\     13,364.590   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     135,594 \\     -6,673.528 \\     13,365.060   \end{array} $	

Table 75: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (No Food),  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

	Dependent variable: hispNameD				
-					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.448*** (0.077)	0.217** (0.085)	0.228*** (0.083)	0.246*** (0.085)	
distance	0.003 $(0.015)$	$0.015 \\ (0.017)$	0.015 $(0.016)$	0.016 (0.016)	
dist2	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 $(0.000)$	-0.000 $(0.000)$	-0.000 $(0.000)$	
logPop		0.537*** (0.062)	0.190*** (0.066)	0.154** (0.072)	
origpcHisp			1.768*** (0.207)	2.006*** (0.279)	
origincome				0.00002 $(0.00002)$	
inside:distance	0.011** (0.005)	0.004 $(0.005)$	0.002 $(0.005)$	0.001 $(0.005)$	
inside:dist2	$-0.000^{***}$ $(0.000)$	-0.000** $(0.000)$	$-0.000^*$ $(0.000)$	$-0.000^*$ $(0.000)$	
Constant	-6.356*** $(0.273)$	$-12.841^{***}$ (0.823)	-8.456*** (0.851)	$-8.432^{***}$ (0.853)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$   \begin{array}{r}     135,727 \\     -6,659.847 \\     13,331.690   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     135,594 \\     -6,600.211 \\     13,214.420   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     135,594 \\     -6,563.025 \\     13,142.050   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     135,594 \\     -6,562.247 \\     13,142.500   \end{array} $	

Table 76: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food),  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

_	Dependent variable: hispFoodNameD				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.198	-0.028	-0.027	-0.020	
	(0.122)	(0.141)	(0.141)	(0.142)	
distance	0.003	-0.002	-0.002	-0.002	
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
logPop		0.334***	0.312**	$0.285^{*}$	
		(0.114)	(0.142)	(0.153)	
origpcHisp			0.096	0.282	
			(0.385)	(0.549)	
origincome				0.00002	
				(0.00004)	
inside:distance	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
Constant	-5.323***	-9.163***	-8.890***	-8.870***	
	(0.440)	(1.399)	(1.762)	(1.766)	
Observations	35,632	35,619	35,619	35,619	
Log Likelihood	-2,158.311	-2,153.251	-2,153.220	-2,153.111	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,324.622	4,316.502	4,318.440	4,320.221	

Table 77: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$						
		${\bf hispFoodNameD}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
inside	0.643***	0.312***	0.320***	0.339***			
	(0.063)	(0.075)	(0.070)	(0.072)			
distance	0.001	-0.005	-0.001	-0.0001			
	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)			
logPop		0.682***	$0.137^{*}$	0.089			
		(0.072)	(0.070)	(0.077)			
origpcHisp			3.170***	3.464***			
			(0.245)	(0.315)			
origincome				0.00003			
				(0.00002)			
inside:distance	-0.002	-0.002	-0.005***	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)			
Constant	-6.591***	-14.701***	-7.811***	-7.756***			
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(0.224)	(0.898)	(0.860)	(0.861)			
Observations	100,095	99,975	99,975	99,975			
Log Likelihood	-4,606.295	-4,534.981	-4,450.675	-4,449.617			
Akaike Inf. Crit.	$9,\!220.589$	9,079.963	8,913.351	8,913.235			

Table 78: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		hispN	ameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
inside	$0.212^{*}$	-0.030	-0.030	-0.022		
	(0.123)	(0.142)	(0.142)	(0.143)		
distance	0.005	-0.001	-0.001	-0.0003		
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)		
logPop		0.359***	0.346**	0.317**		
		(0.116)	(0.146)	(0.157)		
origpcHisp			0.056	0.262		
01 1			(0.391)	(0.554)		
origincome				0.00002		
G				(0.00004)		
inside:distance	0.0004	0.002	0.002	0.001		
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)		
Constant	-5.387***	-9.523***	-9.362***	-9.349***		
	(0.444)	(1.432)	(1.815)	(1.820)		
Observations	35,632	35,619	35,619	35,619		
Log Likelihood	-2,122.827	,	,	-2,117.049		
Akaike Inf. Crit.	$4,\!253.653$	4,244.386	$4,\!246.365$	4,248.099		

Table 79: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

		$Dependent\ variable:$					
		hispN	ameD				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
inside	0.661***	0.319***	0.328***	0.348***			
	(0.064)	(0.076)	(0.072)	(0.073)			
distance	0.002	-0.004	-0.001	0.001			
	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)			
logPop		0.710***	0.142**	0.094			
		(0.074)	(0.071)	(0.078)			
origpcHisp			3.233***	3.532***			
			(0.247)	(0.319)			
origincome				0.00003			
C				(0.00002)			
inside:distance	-0.002	-0.003	-0.005***	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)			
Constant	$-6.671^{***}$	-15.119***	-7.944***	-7.890***			
	(0.228)	(0.920)	(0.875)	(0.877)			
Observations	100,095	99,975	99,975	99,975			
Log Likelihood	-4,532.963	$-4,\!459.076$	-4,373.162	,			
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,073.926	8,928.151	8,758.323	8,758.214			

Table 80: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Owned Businesses), 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# I	Hispanic (	Owned Bu	sinesses)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.261*** (0.014)	0.122*** (0.014)	0.112*** (0.014)	0.132*** (0.015)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.010*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.412*** (0.011)	0.388*** (0.012)	
County % Hispanic			1.261*** (0.133)	1.414*** (0.136)
Log(Income)				0.391*** (0.070)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	23,853 0.095 0.095	23,853 0.143 0.142	23,853 0.146 0.146	23,853 0.147 0.147
Note:	*	p<0.1; **	p<0.05; *	**p<0.01

Table 81: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

	Dependent variable:					
	IHS( $\#$ Hispanic Owned Businesses)			${\it hhispFoodNameD}$	nhispFoodNa	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TV Dummy	0.839***	0.638***	0.637***	0.769***	0.849***	0.775***
	(0.052)	(0.066)	(0.066)	(0.071)	(0.077)	(0.071)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.008***	0.002	0.002	0.0002	-0.0002	0.0002
_ ,	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.010**	0.021***	0.021***	0.031***	0.035***	0.031***
,	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Log(Population)		0.957***	0.979***	0.702***	0.761***	0.701***
,		(0.052)	(0.070)	(0.074)	(0.081)	(0.074)
County % Hispanic			-0.151	1.428***	1.514***	1.434***
			(0.312)	(0.367)	(0.388)	(0.368)
Log(Income)				2.350***	2.534***	2.356***
30( 33 3)				(0.319)	(0.344)	(0.320)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
Log Likelihood	-2,481.718	-2,261.043	-2,260.926	-2,235.719	*	-2,230.5
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,971.437	4,532.085	4,533.851	4,485.438	4,173.155	4,475.11

Note: \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p

Table 82: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses,  $100~\mathrm{KM}$  Radius

				Dependent	variable:		
	IHS(# Hisr	panic Owned	Businesses)	hhispNameD		hhispFoo	odNan
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(
TV Dummy	0.849*** (0.077)	1.071*** (0.115)	0.305*** (0.078)	1.164*** (0.077)	0.927*** (0.098)	0.596*** (0.118)	0.62 $(0.0$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0002 $(0.002)$	-0.008 (0.007)	-0.003 $(0.002)$	-0.002 $(0.002)$	-0.002 (0.004)	0.042*** (0.010)	0.0
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$0.035^{***}$ $(0.005)$	0.123*** (0.021)	0.013*** (0.005)	0.044*** (0.006)	0.049*** (0.012)	$-0.097^{***}$ $(0.035)$	0.02 $(0.0$
Total Businesses			0.023*** (0.001)				
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	95,373	20,404	14,386	10,
Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	-2,079.577 $4,173.155$	-2,057.114 $4,132.228$	-1,439.685 $2,895.371$	-3,335.795 $6,685.590$	-1,857.640 $3,729.280$	-1,222.360 $2,458.719$	-1,40 $2,95$

\*p<0.1; \*\*

Table 83: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched

	Dependent variable:			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.339 (38.601)	2.060 (38.398)	$6.709 \\ (39.135)$	
TV Dummy $\times$ County Distance to Boundary	-0.003 $(0.002)$	$-0.003^*$ $(0.002)$	$-0.003^*$ (0.002)	
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	3.378 (14.787)	10.029 (15.089)	14.134 (16.436)	
Log(Population)		$-192.723^*$ (97.980)		
County % Hispanic			-43.137 (68.030)	
Log(Income)	0.003 $(0.003)$	0.002 $(0.003)$	$0.002 \\ (0.003)$	
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	265 0.028 0.006	265 0.043 0.017	265 0.044 0.014	
Note:	*p<0	.1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01	

Table 84: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched

		Depend	lent variable	:		
	Minutes TV watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	-10.950	-12.675	-9.711	-2.048		
	(26.443)	(27.284)	(27.181)	(28.836)		
Log(Population)		3.901	10.329	15.430		
,		(14.778)	(15.063)	(16.365)		
County % Hispanic			$-189.355^*$	-241.228**		
· ·			(96.885)	(116.619)		
Log(Income)				-53.962		
,				(67.421)		
Observations	265	265	265	265		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.001	0.001	0.015	0.018		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	-0.003	-0.007	0.004	0.003		
Notes		*n <0	11. ** n < 0.0!	5. ***n <0.01		

Table 85: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, Hispanics

		Depender	nt variable:		
	Minutes TV watched				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	86.451 (93.580)	$62.727 \\ (94.627)$	75.375 (96.147)	114.239 (119.855)	
TV Dummy $\times$ County Distance to Boundary	0.002 $(0.007)$	-0.001 (0.007)	0.0001 $(0.007)$	0.001 $(0.007)$	
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	6.766 (32.143)	14.766 (32.480)	-1.950 $(37.966)$	-4.998 (39.632)	
Log(Population)		-177.358 (140.373)	-21.433 (229.662)	-276.700 $(209.013)$	
County % Hispanic			125.653 (146.121)	-19.187 (113.051)	
Log(Income)	0.007 $(0.019)$	0.006 $(0.019)$	$0.005 \\ (0.019)$	-0.019 $(0.015)$	
Observations $\mathbb{R}^2$	40	40	40	40	
Adjusted $R^2$	$0.066 \\ -0.104$	0.110 $-0.085$	0.131 $-0.094$	$0.153 \\ -0.065$	
$\overline{Note}$ :		- '	**p<0.05;	-	

Col 4 includes person weights

Table 86: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
-		Minutes TV watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV:hispanic_d	49.134	41.288	36.257	-22.531			
_	(74.525)	(74.295)	(74.922)	(73.747)			
TV	-7.256	-6.509	-1.341	86.746*			
	(41.276)	(41.084)	(42.137)	(44.976)			
hispanic_d	-47.622	-9.670	-7.338	52.451			
	(53.199)	(56.780)	(57.005)	(61.586)			
dist	-0.003	-0.003*	$-0.003^*$	-0.001			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)			
logPop	4.133	10.079	13.791	-0.840			
	(14.867)	(15.142)	(16.517)	(16.728)			
pcHisp		-203.124*	$-240.727^*$	-375.522***			
		(109.743)	(128.368)	(131.689)			
income			-38.959	-15.463			
			(68.745)	(66.716)			
TV:dist	0.003	0.003	0.003	-0.006*			
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)			
Observations	265	265	265	265			
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.031	0.044	0.046	0.078			
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.011	0.008	0.042			

Note: p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Col 4 includes person weights

Table 87: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD  $\,$ 

_		Depende	ent variable:	•
		Minutes	TV watched	d
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	80.260	72.972	68.228	15.742
	(70.828)	(70.580)	(71.197)	(71.683)
$\mathrm{TV}$	-3.705	-2.953	1.818	80.420*
	(39.047)	(38.854)	(39.854)	(43.060)
hispanic_d	-52.629	-16.089	-13.898	37.007
	(50.319)	(53.694)	(53.914)	(59.752)
dist	-0.002	-0.002	-0.002	0.0003
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
logPop	8.875	14.570	18.047	5.120
	(14.092)	(14.344)	(15.682)	(16.297)
pcHisp		-195.771*	-230.939*	-348.672***
		(103.928)	(121.993)	(127.083)
income			-36.219	-14.898
			(65.553)	(64.071)
age	-2.265	-1.833	-1.593	-0.988
	(4.283)	(4.268)	(4.295)	(3.802)
sexMale	63.510**	62.643**	63.817**	42.934
	(25.471)	(25.348)	(25.472)	(26.017)
age2	0.055	0.051	0.049	0.043
	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.038)
TV:dist	0.002	0.003	0.003	$-0.006^*$
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Observations	265	265	265	265
$ m R^2$	0.144	0.156	0.157	0.166
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.107	0.116	0.113	0.123

p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01 Col 4 includes person weights Note:

Table 88: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		Minutes T	V watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV:hispanic_d	171.916*	149.424	143.359	85.286		
	(97.243)	(98.016)	(98.803)	(108.387)		
TV:hispanic_d:dist	-0.0004	-0.001	-0.001	-0.010		
	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.015)		
$\mathrm{TV}$	-15.719	-13.661	-8.734	72.915		
	(40.366)	(40.270)	(41.355)	(44.358)		
hispanic_d	-136.762*	-84.167	-81.412	-17.933		
	(75.259)	(82.204)	(82.481)	(97.543)		
dist	-0.003	-0.003	-0.003	-0.0002		
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)		
logPop	6.330	11.734	15.166	2.457		
	(14.243)	(14.614)	(15.967)	(16.769)		
pcHisp		-169.145	-203.677	-332.146**		
		(107.935)	(125.728)	(132.663)		
income			-35.487	-12.212		
			(65.993)	(64.643)		
age	-1.493	-1.264	-1.017	-0.931		
	(4.336)	(4.326)	(4.356)	(3.878)		
sexMale	64.839**	63.415**	64.517**	45.081*		
	(25.770)	(25.711)	(25.829)	(26.328)		
age2	0.049	0.047	0.044	0.043		
	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.042)	(0.039)		
TV:dist	0.004	0.004	0.004	-0.005		
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.004)		
hispanic_d:dist	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.003		
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)		
Observations	265	265	265	265		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.154	0.162	0.163	0.169		
16						

Col 4 includes person weights

Table 89: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD  $\,$ 

-		Depender	nt variable:	
		Minutes 7	ΓV watched	l
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	159.092 (98.221)	131.238 (99.344)	127.367 (100.000)	$74.834 \\ (108.027)$
TV:hispanic_d:dist	0.001 $(0.020)$	0.001 $(0.020)$	0.001 $(0.020)$	-0.007 $(0.015)$
TV	-11.036 $(40.586)$	-8.977 $(40.467)$	-5.494 $(41.490)$	72.732 (44.292)
hispanic_d	-146.921* (78.448)	-98.465 $(83.564)$	-95.959 $(83.950)$	-54.677 (98.780)
dist	-0.003 $(0.002)$	-0.003 $(0.002)$	-0.003 $(0.002)$	-0.0002 $(0.002)$
logPop	8.069 $(14.355)$	13.590 (14.695)	16.061 (16.003)	1.479 (16.783)
pcHisp		-182.269 (111.002)	-207.264 (128.039)	$-345.355^{**}$ $(132.896)$
income			-26.157 $(66.435)$	12.754 (65.526)
age	-1.898 (4.375)	-1.838 (4.360)	-1.636 $(4.397)$	-1.820 (3.902)
sexMale	63.507** (25.841)	61.487** (25.782)	62.363** (25.922)	38.288 $(26.395)$
age 2	0.052 $(0.042)$	0.051 $(0.042)$	0.049 $(0.042)$	0.051 $(0.039)$
foreign	-60.101 $(50.443)$	-56.501 $(50.319)$	-54.721 $(50.608)$	-62.567 $(55.095)$
TV:dist	0.003 $(0.003)$	0.003 $(0.003)$	0.003 $(0.003)$	-0.005 $(0.004)$
hispanic_d:dist	0.008 $(0.007)$	0.006 $(0.007)$	0.006 $(0.007)$	0.004 $(0.007)$
${ m hispanic\_d:} { m foreign}$	84.480 (84.389)	106.720 (85.184)	103.233 (85.789)	186.594** (88.820)
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	265 0.159	265 0.168	265 0.169	265 0.184

850.107

0.104

0.121

0.101

 $\underline{\text{Adjusted R}^2}$ 

		Dependen	t variable:	
_		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	7.884* (4.468)	8.824** (4.475)	$4.035 \\ (4.475)$	-0.605 $(4.960)$
$TV:hispanic_d:dist$	0.00004 $(0.0004)$	-0.00002 $(0.0004)$	0.0001 $(0.0004)$	0.001 $(0.0005)$
TV	3.498 (2.300)	3.221 (2.301)	7.948*** (2.314)	9.926*** (2.266)
hispanic_d	13.648*** (3.689)	15.664*** (3.731)	16.329*** (3.723)	20.377*** (4.190)
dist	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)
logPop	-0.944 (0.630)	-0.059 $(0.676)$	5.034*** (0.739)	6.136*** (0.755)
pcHisp		-17.899*** (4.954)	-71.981*** (5.897)	$-90.272^{***}$ $(6.121)$
income			$-55.537^{***}$ $(3.301)$	$-60.347^{***}$ $(3.302)$
age	1.786*** (0.029)	1.788*** (0.029)	1.775*** (0.029)	1.887*** (0.034)
sexMale	2.551* (1.323)		$2.441^*$ (1.321)	
sexNIU (Not in universe)		104.524 (130.620)	108.119 (130.351)	-74.455 $(174.675)$
age2			$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	
foreign			$-38.909^{***}$ $(2.905)$	
TV:dist			$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	
${ m hispanic\_d:dist}$	-0.0003 $(0.0002)$		-0.0002 $(0.0002)$	
$hispanic_d:foreign$			13.123*** (4.326)	

Observations

68 373 68 373 68 373

Table 91: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD  $\,$ 

	Depender	nt variable:	
	Minutes 7	V watched	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
8.986** (4.472)	10.066** (4.479)	$4.946 \\ (4.478)$	1.256 $(4.969)$
-0.00000 $(0.0004)$	-0.0001 $(0.0004)$	0.0001 $(0.0004)$	0.001 $(0.0005)$
2.105 $(2.302)$	1.793 (2.303)	6.822*** (2.315)	8.769*** (2.269)
11.337*** (3.639)	13.718*** (3.681)	14.722*** (3.673)	15.050*** (4.103)
0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)
$-2.258^{***}$ $(0.627)$	$-1.206^*$ (0.674)	4.202*** (0.739)	5.075*** (0.754)
	$-21.041^{***}$ $(4.958)$	$-77.644^{***}$ $(5.894)$	$-96.516^{**}$ $(6.122)$
		$-58.293^{***}$ $(3.301)$	$-63.509^{**}$ $(3.304)$
1.533*** (0.037)	1.535*** (0.037)	1.527*** (0.037)	1.747*** (0.040)
2.602** (1.325)	2.590* (1.325)	$2.477^*$ (1.322)	3.680*** (1.344)
$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$
			$-1.969^{***}$ $(0.623)$
		-0.0001 $(0.0002)$	-0.0002 $(0.0003)$
68,373	68,373	68,373	68,373
	8.986** (4.472) -0.00000 (0.0004) 2.105 (2.302) 11.337*** (3.639) 0.0004*** (0.0001) -2.258*** (0.627)  1.533*** (0.037) 2.602** (1.325) 40.722 (130.885) -0.002*** (0.0001) -4.224*** (0.561) -0.001*** (0.0002) -0.0002 (0.0002)	(1) (2)  8.986** 10.066** (4.472) (4.479)  -0.00000 -0.0001 (0.0004) (0.0004)  2.105 1.793 (2.302) (2.303)  11.337*** 13.718*** (3.639) (3.681)  0.0004*** 0.0004*** (0.0001) (0.0001)  -2.258*** -1.206* (0.627) (0.674)  -21.041*** (4.958)  1.533*** (4.958)  1.533*** (0.037)  2.602** 2.590* (1.325) (1.325)  40.722 40.255 (130.885) (130.869)  -0.002*** (0.0037)  2.602** (0.0001)  -4.224*** -4.241*** (0.561) (0.561)  -0.001*** (0.0002)  -0.0002 (0.0002)  -0.0002 (0.0002)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

87 0.057

0.061

0.059

0.057

Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

Table 92: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

		Depender	nt variable:	
		Minutes 7	TV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	1.201	0.930	5.556**	6.385**
	(2.509)	(2.511)	(2.523)	(2.521)
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	6.832	7.720	3.118	1.694
	(4.897)	(4.905)	(4.903)	(4.900)
Hispanic dummy	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0002	0.0002	0.0003	0.0004
. ,	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
$TV \times Distance \times Hispanic$	14.671***	16.651***	17.640***	20.128***
•	(4.000)	(4.048)	(4.040)	(4.101)
$TV \times Distance$	0.0004***	0.0004***	0.0004***	0.0004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
$Hispanic \times Distance$	$-0.0005^*$	$-0.0005^*$	-0.0004	$-0.0004^*$
•	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Log(Population)	-1.241*	-0.389	4.831***	5.506***
	(0.690)	(0.740)	(0.810)	(0.811)
County % Hispanic		-16.977***	-72.137***	-67.336***
		(5.352)	(6.391)	(6.395)
Log(Income)			-56.819***	-54.411***
			(3.616)	(3.617)
Foregin-born				-34.888***
				(3.221)
Foreign-born Hispanic				14.261***
J				(4.749)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.053	0.053	0.057	0.060
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.053	0.053	0.057	0.060
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	5; ***p<0.01

Table 93: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

		Dependen	t variable:	
_		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-2.429	-1.508	-0.381	0.539
	(1.737)	(1.740)	(1.746)	(1.745)
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	10.942***	9.602***	11.902***	11.312***
	(3.293)	(3.300)	(3.323)	(3.319)
Hispanic dummy	2.534	8.958***	7.563***	9.437***
	(2.314)	(2.432)	(2.446)	(2.566)
Log(Population)			5.480***	6.084***
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			(0.765)	(0.766)
County % Hispanic	-33.572***	-45.626***	-57.040***	-54.549***
		(3.225)		
Log(Income)		-46.085***	-71.141***	-66.198***
		(5.390)	(6.482)	(6.483)
Foregin-born				-35.566***
G				(2.964)
Foreign-born Hispanic				14.829***
				(4.551)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.054	0.055	0.056	0.059
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.054	0.055	0.056	0.059
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 94: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched on foreign-born, DD

	Dependent variable:					
	Minu	ites TV wa	tched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
TV Dummy	6.843 $(5.802)$	6.843 $(5.807)$	9.054 (6.000)			
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	5.200 (8.489)	5.200 (8.493)	6.112 (8.496)			
Hispanic dummy		27.033*** (6.382)	27.046*** (6.385)			
Log(Population)			3.910* (2.153)			
County % Hispanic		$-17.602^*$ (9.364)				
Log(Income)			-15.634 (17.681)			
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	6,129 0.041 0.040	6,129 0.041 0.039	6,129 0.041 0.040			
Note:	*p<0.1	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 95: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with family,  ${\rm DD}$ 

		Depender	nt variable:	
		Minutes 7	ΓV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy			-3.637***	
	(1.086)	(1.088)	(1.133)	(1.135)
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	4.741**	$4.457^{*}$	3.400	3.334
	(2.331)	(2.334)	(2.336)	(2.337)
Hispanic dummy	4.533***	3.792**	4.213**	3.653**
	(1.722)	(1.753)	(1.753)	(1.841)
Log(Population)	-2.940***	-3.294***	-1.922***	-1.884***
	(0.415)	(0.467)	(0.504)	(0.505)
County % Hispanic		6.888*	-8.080*	$-7.797^*$
		(3.779)	(4.292)	(4.296)
Log(Income)			-15.159***	-15.063***
			(2.260)	(2.261)
Foregin-born				-3.169
_				(1.981)
Foreign-born Hispanic				4.618
				(3.167)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.036	0.036	0.037	0.037
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.036	0.036	0.037	0.037
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 96: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched socially, DD  $\,$ 

		Depende	nt variable:	
		Minutes '	ΓV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-6.452***	-6.514***	-4.380***	
	(1.172)	(1.174)	(1.222)	(1.224)
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	4.221*	$4.377^{*}$	3.150	3.061
	(2.476)	(2.482)	(2.487)	(2.487)
Hispanic dummy	7.563***	7.970***	8.460***	8.276***
	(1.829)	(1.865)	(1.865)	(1.961)
Log(Population)	-2.998***	-2.804***	-1.210**	-1.132**
	(0.442)	(0.494)	(0.538)	(0.539)
County % Hispanic		-3.776	-21.163***	$-20.546^{***}$
		(3.976)	(4.590)	(4.597)
Log(Income)			-17.609***	-17.327***
			(2.466)	(2.467)
Foregin-born				-5.120**
				(2.116)
Foreign-born Hispanic				4.133
				(3.366)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.026	0.026	0.027	0.027
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	5; ***p<0.01

Table 97: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with parent, DD

		Dependent	t variable:	
		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.417***	-0.433***	-0.423***	$-0.421^{***}$
	(0.155)	(0.157)	(0.155)	(0.155)
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	0.635**	0.659**	0.680**	0.677**
	(0.265)	(0.267)	(0.269)	(0.269)
Hispanic dummy	0.097	-0.016	-0.029	-0.089
	(0.181)	(0.195)	(0.197)	(0.204)
Log(Population)			0.051	0.050
- ,			(0.061)	(0.061)
County % Hispanic	-0.532**	-0.321	-0.426	-0.434
· -	(0.251)	(0.282)	(0.273)	(0.273)
Log(Income)		0.808	0.577	0.569
- (		(0.492)	(0.512)	(0.510)
Foregin-born				-0.047
<u> </u>				(0.237)
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.311
ı				(0.376)
Observations	56,449	56,449	56,449	56,449
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001
Note:		*p<0.1	; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 98: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with parent, DD

		Dependen	t variable:	
		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV	$-5.109^{***}$ $(0.962)$	$-5.109^{***}$ $(0.962)$	-0.846 $(0.985)$	-0.363 $(0.985)$
$hispanic_d$	-2.755** (1.288)	-1.923 (1.307)	-1.417 (1.306)	0.063 $(1.342)$
parent	-165.219*** $(0.838)$	$-165.219^{***}$ $(0.838)$	$-165.219^{***}$ $(0.837)$	-165.219*** $(0.837)$
logPop	$-0.749^{***}$ $(0.252)$	-0.324 $(0.276)$	2.610*** (0.313)	2.891*** (0.314)
pcHisp		$-8.591^{***}$ $(2.285)$	-38.256*** (2.733)	$-35.481^{***}$ $(2.736)$
income				$-29.076^{***}$ $(1.546)$
foreign				$-18.254^{***} $ (1.338)
$TV: hispanic\_d$	13.266*** (1.980)	13.653*** (1.983)	11.616*** (1.983)	11.349*** (1.983)
TV:parent	5.381*** (1.358)	5.381*** (1.358)	5.381*** (1.357)	5.381*** (1.356)
$hispanic\_d:parent$	15.276*** (1.784)	15.276*** (1.784)	15.276*** (1.782)	15.276*** (1.781)
$hispanic\_d: for eign$				4.689** (2.007)
$TV: hispanic\_d: parent$	$-16.891^{***} (2.792)$			$-16.891^{***}$ $(2.787)$
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	182,630 0.313 0.312	182,630 0.313 0.313	182,630 0.314 0.314	182,630 0.315 0.315
Note:		*p	<0.1; **p<0.0	05; ***p<0.01

Table 99: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with children, DD

_		Depender	nt variable:	
_		Minutes 7	TV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.040	0.225	0.454	0.517
	(0.663)	(0.663)	(0.669)	(0.670)
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	3.350**	3.092**	3.540**	3.499**
	(1.565)	(1.564)	(1.568)	(1.568)
Hispanic dummy	5.238***	6.446***	6.164***	6.541***
· ·	(1.118)	(1.159)	(1.158)	(1.245)
Log(Population)			1.118***	1.167***
O( 1 /			(0.318)	(0.319)
County % Hispanic	-8.636***	-10.922***	-13.290***	-13.065***
J	(1.301)			(1.527)
Log(Income)		-8.549***	-13.603***	-13.191***
		(2.290)	(2.828)	(2.839)
Foregin-born				-2.563***
Ü				(0.989)
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.039
				(1.842)
Observations	45,076	45,076	45,076	45,076
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044

Table 100: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with parent, DD

	Dependent variable:			
	Min	utes TV	watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.434	-0.372	-0.372	
	(0.484)	(0.490)	(0.501)	
TV Dummy × Hispanic	0.556	0.472	0.472	
•	(0.700)	(0.702)	(0.702)	
Hispanic dummy	0.480	0.306	0.306	
1		(0.534)	(0.534)	
Log(Population)	0.147	0.055	0.055	
O( 1 /	(0.164)	(0.203)	(0.210)	
County % Hispanic		1.968	1.963	
The state of the s		(1.769)		
Log(Income)			-0.004	
208(11001110)			(0.819)	
Observations	6,129	6,129	6,129	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.004	0.005	0.005	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.003	0.003	0.003	
Note:	*p<0.1;	**p<0.05	5; ***p<0.01	

Table 101: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	$-0.075^{***}$ $(0.008)$	$-0.092^{***}$ $(0.008)$	$-0.079^{***}$ (0.008)	$-0.083^{***}$ $(0.008)$	$-0.099^{***}$ $(0.008)$		
% Programs on Education		$-5.364^{***}$ $(0.310)$			$-12.950^{***}$ $(1.361)$		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			$-3.281^{***}$ $(0.517)$		8.200*** (0.787)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				$-16.838^{***}$ $(1.031)$	13.267*** (4.204)		
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791		
Note:			*p<	<0.1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01		

Table 102: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	$-0.075^{***}$ $(0.008)$	$-0.075^{***}$ $(0.008)$	$-0.077^{***}$ $(0.008)$	$-0.073^{***}$ $(0.008)$	$-0.069^{***}$ $(0.008)$		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0002 $(0.0002)$	0.0002 $(0.0002)$	0.0001 $(0.0002)$	0.0003 $(0.0002)$	0.0005*** (0.0002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.003^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.001)$		
% Programs on Education		$-0.797^{**}$ $(0.371)$			1.568 $(1.982)$		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			3.733*** (0.591)		10.420*** (1.129)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				$-5.399^{***}$ $(1.114)$	$-23.592^{***}$ $(4.976)$		
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	26,791 0.437 0.437	26,791 0.438 0.437	26,791 0.438 0.438	26,791 0.438 0.438	26,791 0.442 0.441		

Table 103: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	Dependent variable:						
	IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.0004	-0.001	0.004	-0.0005	-0.0001		
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.0003**	0.0002*	0.0005***	0.0002*	0.001***		
, and the second	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002	0.0002	-0.0003	0.0001	-0.001		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)		
% Programs on Education		-0.355			-2.700**		
g		(0.247)			(1.082)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			3.141***		8.119***		
			(0.409)		(0.626)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				-1.801**	-4.570		
,,,8				(0.820)	(3.343)		
Observations	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.415	0.415	0.416	0.415	0.419		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.415	0.415	0.416	0.415	0.419		

Table 104: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(	# Hispani	c Out of S	chool Susp	pension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.0004 $(0.006)$	-0.0004 $(0.006)$	-0.002 $(0.006)$	-0.0001 $(0.006)$	$0.005 \\ (0.006)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0003** (0.0001)	0.0002 $(0.0001)$	0.0002 $(0.0001)$	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002 (0.0004)	0.0005 $(0.0004)$	0.001 (0.0004)	0.0003 $(0.0004)$	-0.001 $(0.0005)$
% Programs on Education		1.275*** (0.294)			3.710** (1.567)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			5.793*** (0.467)		9.058*** (0.892)
% Programs with Good Role Models				0.935 $(0.883)$	$-21.686^{***}$ $(3.935)$
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	26,786 0.415 0.415	26,786 0.416 0.415	26,786 0.418 0.418	26,786 0.415 0.415	26,786 0.421 0.421
Note:			*p<0.1	1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01

Table 105: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on  $\operatorname{IHS}(\operatorname{LEP})$ 

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.098*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.101*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.096*** (0.009)		
% Programs on Education		-0.205 $(0.343)$			$-3.184^{**}$ $(1.509)$		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			2.969*** (0.568)		7.412*** (0.871)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				-1.078 (1.138)	-1.319 (4.662)		
Observations	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147		
Note:			*p<0.1:	**p<0.05;	***p<0.01		

Table 106: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on  $\operatorname{IHS}(\operatorname{LEP})$ 

Dependent variable:  IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)					
0.098*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.096*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.120*** (0.009)	
0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	
0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	
	1.653*** (0.407)			24.006*** (2.175)	
		4.223*** (0.648)		-1.639 (1.240)	
			0.619 $(1.224)$	$-66.924^{***}$ $(5.465)$	
27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	
0.488 0.488	0.488 0.488	0.489 0.488	0.488 0.488	0.491 0.491	
	(1) 0.098*** (0.008) 0.001*** (0.0002) 0.006*** (0.001) 27,147 0.488	IHS(# Hispanic (1) (2) 0.098*** 0.097*** (0.008) (0.008) 0.001*** 0.001*** (0.0002) (0.0002) 0.006*** 0.006*** (0.001) (0.001) 1.653*** (0.407) 27,147 27,147 0.488 0.488	IHS(# Hispanic Limited II) (1) (2) (3)  0.098*** 0.097*** 0.096*** (0.008) (0.008) (0.008)  0.001*** 0.001*** 0.001*** (0.0002) (0.0002) (0.0002)  0.006*** 0.006*** 0.006*** (0.001) (0.001) (0.001)  1.653*** (0.407)  4.223*** (0.648)  27,147 27,147 27,147 0.488 0.488 0.489	IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Processing (1) (2) (3) (4)  0.098*** 0.097*** 0.096*** 0.097*** (0.008) (0.008) (0.008) (0.008)  0.001*** 0.001*** 0.001*** 0.001*** (0.0002) (0.0002) (0.0002) (0.0002)  0.006*** 0.006*** 0.006*** 0.006*** (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001)  1.653*** (0.407)  4.223*** (0.648)  27,147 27,147 27,147 27,147 0.488 0.488 0.489 0.488	

Table 107: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

		De	pendent var	riable:	
		IHS(# Hisp	oanic Chron	ically Absent	)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV	-0.075***	0.542***	0.454***	0.777***	0.880***
	(0.008)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.051)	(0.055)
TV:origdist	0.0002	-0.002***	-0.001***	-0.002***	-0.002***
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
TV:word_edu_mean		-3.882***			4.093***
		(0.255)			(0.745)
TV:word_latin_mean			-4.783***		-4.942***
			(0.370)		(0.535)
TV:word_rolemodel_mean				-15.917***	-20.446***
				(0.939)	(2.558)
origdist	-0.003***	0.001*	-0.001*	0.0004	0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
word_edu_mean		0.775			-25.798***
		(0.507)			(2.439)
word_latin_mean			3.934***		18.160***
			(0.760)		(1.340)
word_rolemodel_mean				6.984***	61.266***
				(1.740)	(6.936)
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.437	0.448	0.442	0.449	0.453
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.437	0.448	0.442	0.449	0.453

Table 108: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on  $\mathrm{IHS}(\mathrm{LEP})$ 

	$Dependent\ variable:$							
	$IHS(\#\ Hispanic\ Limited\ English\ Proficiency)$							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
TV	0.098*** (0.008)	0.714*** (0.047)	0.535*** (0.046)	0.759*** (0.057)	0.723*** (0.061)			
TV:origdist	0.001*** (0.0002)	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	-0.0001 $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0002)$			
TV:word_edu_mean		-3.778*** $(0.283)$			$-3.823^{***}$ $(0.830)$			
TV:word_latin_mean			$-3.886^{***}$ $(0.408)$		-1.399** (0.596)			
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$				$-12.240^{***}$ $(1.042)$	2.927 $(2.851)$			
origdist	0.006*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)			
word_edu_mean		5.758*** (0.562)			6.132** (2.712)			
word_latin_mean			8.823*** (0.837)		8.194*** (1.491)			
word_rolemodel_mean				17.216*** (1.927)	-15.299** (7.711)			
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	27,147 0.488 0.488	27,147 0.491 0.491	27,147 0.490 0.490	27,147 0.490 0.490	27,147 0.492 0.492			

Table 109: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims)

$Dependent\ variable:$						
IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims)						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
-0.0003 $(0.002)$	-0.0001 $(0.002)$	-0.001 $(0.002)$	-0.00005 $(0.002)$	-0.002 $(0.002)$		
0.00003 $(0.00004)$	0.00003 $(0.00004)$	-0.00004 $(0.00004)$	0.00004 $(0.00004)$	-0.0001** (0.00004)		
$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.001^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0003^{**}$ $(0.0001)$		
	0.055 $(0.071)$			$-0.520^*$ (0.310)		
		$-0.830^{***}$ $(0.117)$		$-1.939^{***}$ (0.180)		
			0.573** (0.234)	4.982*** (0.956)		
26,734 0.026 0.025	26,734 0.026 0.025	26,734 0.028 0.027	26,734 0.026	26,734 0.032 0.031		
	(1) -0.0003 (0.002) 0.00003 (0.00004) -0.001*** (0.0001)	IHS(# Hispa (1) (2) -0.0003 -0.0001 (0.002) (0.002) 0.00003 0.00003 (0.00004) (0.00004) -0.001*** -0.001*** (0.0001) (0.0001) 0.055 (0.071) 26,734 26,734 0.026 0.026	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims (1) (2) (3) (4) (2) $-0.0003$ $-0.0001$ $-0.001$ $-0.00005$ $(0.002)$ $(0.002)$ $(0.002)$ $(0.002)$ $(0.002)$ $(0.002)$ $(0.002)$ $(0.0004)$ $(0.00004)$ $(0.00004)$ $(0.00004)$ $(0.00004)$ $(0.00004)$ $(0.0001)$ $(0.0001)$ $(0.0001)$ $(0.0001)$ $(0.0001)$ $(0.0001)$ $(0.0001)$ $(0.573^{**}$ $(0.234)$ $(0.26, 0.026, 0.028, 0.026)$		

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 110: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted Students)

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	IHS(# Hispanic Gifted Students)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	$0.033^{***}$ $(0.007)$	0.039*** (0.007)	0.043*** (0.007)	$0.037^{***}$ (0.007)	0.030*** (0.008)		
% Programs on Education		1.699*** (0.287)			-8.613*** (1.386)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			5.567*** (0.495)		9.431*** (0.828)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				6.139*** (0.948)	20.200*** (4.227)		
Observations	16,866	16,866	16,866	16,866	16,866		
Note:	·	·	*p<0.1;	**p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 111: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
TV Dummy	0.096*** (0.018)	0.097*** (0.018)	0.103*** (0.018)	0.098*** (0.018)	$0.070^{***}$ $(0.019)$	
% Programs on Education		0.439 $(0.777)$			$-21.669^{***}$ $(3.337)$	
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			4.440*** (1.279)		10.318*** (1.926)	
% Programs with Good Role Models				4.704* $(2.586)$	60.015*** (10.347)	
Observations	3,945	3,945	3,945	3,945	3,945	
Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01						

Table 112: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Asian Chronically Absent					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
TV Dummy	0.002	-0.004	-0.004			
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)			
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***			
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0001	0.0003	0.0003			
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)			
# Asian Students	0.007***	0.006***	0.006***			
"	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869			
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.399	0.449	0.452			
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.399	0.449	0.451			
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01					

Table 113: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# White Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	$-0.024^{***}$ $(0.006)$	$-0.026^{***}$ $(0.006)$	$-0.028^{***}$ $(0.006)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0002 $(0.0001)$	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.0004^{***} \\ (0.0001) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.0004^{***} \\ (0.0001) \end{array} $
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0003)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0003)$	$-0.002^{***}$ $(0.0003)$
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00002)	0.003*** (0.00003)	0.003*** (0.00003)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	40,869 0.413 0.413	40,869 0.427 0.427	40,869 0.429 0.429
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 114: Effect of TV on IHS(# Black Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Black Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.140***	-0.154***	$-0.152^{***}$
	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0002	-0.0003*	-0.0002
v	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***
,	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
# Asian Students	0.001***	-0.003***	-0.003***
,,	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.172	0.279	0.282
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.171	0.279	0.282
Note:	*p<0.	.1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 115: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Suspended)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Asian Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.002 $(0.002)$	-0.001 $(0.002)$	-0.001 $(0.002)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.00001 (0.00004)	$-0.0001^*$ $(0.00004)$	-0.00004 $(0.00004)$
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0001 $(0.0001)$	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)
# Asian Students	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	40,864 0.140 0.140	40,864 0.198 0.198	40,864 0.217 0.217
Note:	*p<0.1	; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 116: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Suspended)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# White Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.026***	$-0.027^{***}$	-0.026***
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0001	-0.0004***	-0.0003***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004	-0.0002	-0.0001
,	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
# White Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
,,	(0.00002)	(0.00003)	(0.00002)
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.313	0.346	0.412
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.313	0.346	0.412
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 117: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian reported bullying)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Asian reported bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0001***	-0.0001***	-0.0001***
v	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***
- ,	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
# Asian Students	0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0003***
<i>n</i>	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.042	0.045	0.049
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.041	0.045	0.049

*Note:* \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 118: Effect of TV on IHS(# White reported bullying)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# White reported bullying)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.001 $(0.001)$	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.00004 $(0.00003)$	-0.00001 $(0.00003)$	-0.00001 $(0.00003)$	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.0004^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0004^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0004^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	
# White Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	40,811 0.023 0.022	40,811 0.026 0.026	40,811 0.032 0.032	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 119: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian victim bullying)

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# Asian victim bullying)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	$-0.00003^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	$-0.00003^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	$-0.00003^{***}$ $(0.00001)$	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.0001^{***}$ $(0.00002)$	$-0.0001^{***}$ $(0.00002)$	$-0.0001^{***}$ $(0.00002)$	
# Asian Students	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	
Observations $R^2$	40,811 0.028	40,811 0.030	40,811 0.033	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.028	0.030	0.033	

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Note:

Table 120: Effect of TV on IHS(# White victim bullying)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# White victim bullying)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.004** (0.002)	0.003 $(0.002)$	$0.003^*$ $(0.002)$		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	$-0.0001^{***}$ (0.00003)	-0.00004 $(0.00003)$	-0.00003 $(0.00003)$		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.0001)$		
# White Students	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)		
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.042	0.050	0.062		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.042	0.050	0.062		

Table 121: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Taken)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Asian APs Taken)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.039***	0.033***	0.030***	
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.009)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001**	0.001**	0.001*	
	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	
# Asian Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
ihs(asian_students)	0.831***	0.782***	0.774***	
,	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
hisp_students	0.0001***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***	
1	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00003)	
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.811	0.816	0.828	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.811	0.815	0.828	

Table 122: Effect of TV on IHS(# White APs Taken)

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(# White APs Taken)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.046*** (0.017)	0.034** (0.017)	$0.029^*$ $(0.016)$	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0002 $(0.0003)$	-0.0001 $(0.0003)$	0.00001 (0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 $(0.001)$	0.001 $(0.001)$	0.0005 $(0.001)$	
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.00005)	
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	6,089 0.526 0.525	6,089 0.543 0.542	6,089 0.584 0.583	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 123: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Asian APs Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.069***	0.085***	0.082***	
	(0.016)	(0.021)	(0.021)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0003	0.0001	0.0002	
, , ,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003***	0.004***	0.004***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Asian Students	0.001***	0.003***	0.003***	
"	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
ihs(asian_students)	0.792***			
	(0.026)			
Observations	1,552	1,552	1,552	
$R^2$	0.702	0.527	0.536	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.701	0.524	0.533	
N	* -0.1 *	* -0.05 *	*** -0.01	

Note:

Table 124: 50 KM Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable:		
	IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	$0.035^{***}$ $(0.013)$		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0004 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)
# Asian Students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	$-0.026^*$ (0.013)		
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	1,759 0.360 0.357	1,759 0.364 0.361	1,759 0.365 0.361
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01

Table 125: 25 KM Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.135*** (0.030)		0.161*** (0.038)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.003 $(0.002)$	$-0.005^*$ $(0.003)$	$-0.006^*$ $(0.003)$
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.016** (0.007)	0.026*** (0.009)	0.027*** (0.009)
# Asian Students	0.0005*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	0.763*** (0.040)		
Observations	587	587	587
$R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	$0.686 \\ 0.681$	$0.495 \\ 0.487$	$0.509 \\ 0.499$
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 126: Effect of TV on IHS(# White APs Passed)

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# White APs Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.005	-0.013	-0.022	
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.015)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001**	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001	0.001	0.001	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# White Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
Observations	3,543	3,543	3,543	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.472	0.479	0.515	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.471	0.478	0.514	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 127: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Limited English Proficiency)

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# Asi	IHS(# Asian Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	$-0.016^{***}$	-0.020***	-0.025***		
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
, and the second	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***		
,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)		
# Asian Students	0.008***	0.006***	0.006***		
"	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.309	0.342	0.392		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.341	0.392		
Note:		*p<0.1; **	p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 128: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Limited English Proficiency)

		$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# W	IHS(# White Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.004	0.001	-0.002		
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***		
,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.0001***	-0.00001		
" -	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)		
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.157	0.206	0.263		
Adjusted $R^2$	0.157	0.206	0.262		
Note:		*p<0.1; '	**p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 129: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Gifted)

	$D\epsilon$	iable:		
	IHS( $\#$ Asian Gifted)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	$0.005 \\ (0.006)$	0.003 $(0.005)$	0.001 $(0.005)$	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	$-0.0002^*$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	$-0.0003^{***}$ $(0.0001)$	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	
# Asian Students	0.012*** (0.0001)	0.010*** (0.0001)	0.010*** (0.0001)	
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	26,065 0.497 0.497	26,065 0.537 0.536	26,065 0.551 0.551	
Note:	*n<0.1: **n<0.05: ***n<0.01			

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 130: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Gifted)

$\_$ $Dep$	able:		
IHS(# White Gifted)			
(1)	(2)	(3)	
-0.004 $(0.007)$	-0.008 $(0.006)$	-0.010 $(0.006)$	
0.00005 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	
0.001 $(0.0003)$	0.0004 $(0.0003)$	0.0004 $(0.0003)$	
0.003*** (0.00003)	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.003*** (0.00004)	
26,065	26,065	26,065	
$0.460 \\ 0.459$	$0.464 \\ 0.464$	$0.494 \\ 0.494$	
	IHS( (1)  -0.004 (0.007)  0.00005 (0.0001)  0.001 (0.0003)  0.003*** (0.00003)  26,065 0.460	$\begin{array}{c cccc} (1) & (2) \\ \hline -0.004 & -0.008 \\ (0.007) & (0.006) \\ \hline 0.00005 & 0.0001 \\ (0.0001) & (0.0001) \\ \hline 0.001 & 0.0004 \\ (0.0003) & (0.0003) \\ \hline 0.003^{***} & 0.003^{***} \\ (0.00003) & (0.00004) \\ \hline 26,065 & 26,065 \\ 0.460 & 0.464 \\ \hline \end{array}$	

Note:

Table 131: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr8Passed

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(Hispan	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing Gr 8 Algebra		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.032***	0.029***	$0.016^*$	
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0004**	-0.0004**	-0.0004**	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
III III pellie seddelles	(0.00005)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	2,402	2,402	2,402	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.368	0.371	0.424	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.366	0.369	0.421	
Note:		*p<0.1;	**p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 132: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr9-10 Passed

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispa	$\operatorname{IHS}(\operatorname{Hispanic}$ Students Passing Gr 9-10 Algebra			
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.004	-0.006	-0.013		
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.008)		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.001**		
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.00002)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)		
Observations	4,533	4,533	4,533		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.580	0.584	0.616		
Adjusted $R^2$	0.580	0.583	0.615		

Table 133: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr $11\mbox{-}12$  Passed

		$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(Hispa	nic Students l	Passing Gr 11-12 Algebra)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.027	0.033	0.033		
	(0.023)	(0.023)	(0.023)		
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.001	-0.001*	$-0.001^*$		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001	0.002	0.002		
,	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.0001***	0.0002***	0.0002***		
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Observations	446	446	446		
$R^2$	0.050	0.067	0.080		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.035	0.048	0.054		

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 134: Effect of TV on AP Math Enrollment

	Dependent variable:  IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled AP Math)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.010	0.003	-0.003	
	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.014)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.003***	-0.003***	
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
" 1	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
Observations	4,921	4,921	4,921	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.486	0.513	0.529	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.485	0.512	0.528	

Note:

Table 135: Effect of TV on AP Science Enrollment

		$Dependent \ v$	ariable:
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled AP Science)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.075***	0.062***	0.059***
	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.015)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002**	-0.002***	-0.003***
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	4,630	4,630	4,630
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.519	0.542	0.558
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.518	0.541	0.557

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 136: Effect of TV on Adv. Math Enrollment

	Dependent variable:  IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Adv. Math)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.006	-0.020	-0.027**
	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.013)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
, and the second	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.005***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	7,177	7,177	7,177
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.468	0.534	0.557
Adjusted $R^2$	0.467	0.533	0.556

Note:

Table 137: Effect of TV on Calculus Enrollment

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Calculus		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.014	0.021	0.020
	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.016)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.005***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
,, .,,	(0.00005)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	5,730	5,730	5,730
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.465	0.506	0.520
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.464	0.505	0.519
Note:		*p<0.1; **p	p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 138: Effect of TV on Biology Enrollment

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Biology			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.022*	-0.036***	-0.044***	
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006***	-0.007***	-0.007***	
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.00005)	
Observations	9,504	9,504	9,504	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.494	0.589	0.620	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.493	0.589	0.619	
Note:		*p<0.1; **p	<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 139: Effect of TV on Chemisty Enrollment

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Chemistry)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.012	0.004	-0.001	
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
į	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005***	-0.006***	-0.006***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
Observations	8,236	8,236	8,236	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.544	0.616	0.639	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.544	0.615	0.638	

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 140: Effect of TV on Physics Enrollment

		$Dependent\ variable:$		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Physics)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.043***	0.035***	0.031**	
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.004***	
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	6,976	6,976	6,976	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.538	0.567	0.581	
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.537	0.567	0.580	

Note:

Table 141: Effect of TV on SAT/ACT Enrollment

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled SAT/ACT)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	$-0.029^*$	$-0.042^{***}$	$-0.052^{***}$
	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.013)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
į į	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.005***	-0.006***
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.00005)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	10,805	10,805	10,805
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.345	0.465	0.521
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.344	0.464	0.521

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 142: Effect of TV on GED Credit

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(Hispanic Students GED Credit)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.204***	-0.206***	-0.206***	
	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***	
v	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.013***	-0.014***	-0.014***	
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	-0.0001***	-0.0003***	-0.0003***	
" ·	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
Observations	4,829	4,829	4,829	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.823	0.824	0.824	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.823	0.823	0.823	

Note:

Table 143: Effect of TV on GED Participation

	Dependent variable:  IHS(Hispanic Students GED Participation)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.021	-0.019	-0.015
	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*	0.0004	0.0001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.024***	-0.023***	-0.023***
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.0002***	0.0003***	0.0003***
" ·	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	9,720	9,720	9,720
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.670	0.682	0.683
Adjusted $R^2$	0.670	0.682	0.683

Table 144: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Gifted)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.239***	0.239***	0.239***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy	-0.107***	-0.098***	-0.099***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
Hispanic	0.326***	0.326***	0.326***	
_	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
-	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
asian_students	0.007***	0.005***	0.005***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	52,130	52,130	52,130	
$R^2$	0.409	0.434	0.449	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.409	0.434	0.449	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 145: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# AP Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.079***	0.081***	0.080***	
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	
TV Dummy	-0.002	-0.0001	0.0001	
v	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
Hispanic	-0.219***	-0.211***	-0.202***	
•	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.041)	
hisp_students	0.0005***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
•	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
asian_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	3,757	3,757	3,757	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.305	0.312	0.317	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.304	0.310	0.315	
Noto	*~ <0	1. **- <0.05	**** <0.01	

Table 146: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic GEDs) vs. Asian

	Dep	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# GEDs)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.566***	-0.566***	-0.564***		
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)		
TV Dummy	0.470***	0.470***	0.469***		
-	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.012)		
Hispanic	3.394***	3.395***	3.391***		
•	(0.025)	(0.024)	(0.026)		
hisp_students	-0.0001***	-0.0001**	-0.0001**		
•	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
asian_students	0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0003***		
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
Observations	6,685	6,685	6,685		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.837	0.837	0.837		
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.837	0.837	0.837		
λτ <i>ι</i>	* -0	1 ** -0.05	*** -0.01		

Table 147: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:			
	$IHS(\#\ Chronic\ Absent)$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.231***	0.231***	0.231***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy	-0.137***	-0.135***	-0.135***	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
Hispanic	1.394***	1.394***	1.394***	
-	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	81,738	81,738	81,738	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.515	0.534	0.535	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.514	0.534	0.535	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 148: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	$IHS(\# \ Suspended)$		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.122***	0.122***	0.122***
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
TV Dummy	-0.058***	-0.057***	-0.056***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Hispanic	0.591***	0.591***	0.591***
•	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
_	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
asian_students	0.001***	0.0001	0.0001**
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	81,728	81,728	81,728
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.324	0.347	0.379
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.324	0.347	0.379
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 149: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Bullied)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
$TV \times Hispanic$	$0.001^*$	$0.001^*$	$0.001^{*}$
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy	0.001**	0.001***	0.001***
-	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
Hispanic	0.019***	0.019***	0.019***
•	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
hisp_students	0.00001***	-0.00001	-0.00001
•	(0.00000)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
asian_students	0.0001***	0.0001**	0.0001**
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Observations	52,068	52,068	52,068
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.008	0.011	0.017
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.008	0.011	0.016
Note:	*n<0.1	· **p<0.05·	***n<0.01

Table 150: Poisson Differential Effect of TV on # Hispanic Bullied vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:		
		# Bullied	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
$TV \times Hispanic$	$-0.141^{***}$ $(0.025)$	$-0.139^{***}$ $(0.025)$	$-0.140^{***}$ $(0.025)$
TV Dummy	0.260*** (0.021)	0.260*** (0.021)	$0.257^{***}$ $(0.021)$
TV Dummy × Distance × Hispanic	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.004^{***}$ $(0.001)$
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary $\times$ Hispanic	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)
Hispanic	0.997*** (0.074)	0.993*** (0.074)	0.995*** (0.074)
origdist	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.002)$	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.002)$	$-0.005^{***}$ $(0.002)$
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$81,622 \\ -17,523.890 \\ 35,073.780$	81,622 -17,484.320 34,996.630	81,622 -16,848.550 33,731.110

Table 151: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullying) vs. Asian

	Dep	pendent varie	able:	
	IHS(# Bullying)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	
TV Dummy	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.001	
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Hispanic	0.027***	0.027***	0.027***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
hisp_students	0.00005***	0.00004***	0.00004***	
	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	
asian_students	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
Observations	81,622	81,622	81,622	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.017	0.018	0.022	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.017	0.018	0.022	
NT /	* -(	) 1 ** <0.05	**** -0.01	

Table 152: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# APs Taken)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.310***	0.310***	0.310***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy	-0.046***	-0.054***	-0.054***	
	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
Hispanic	0.422***	0.422***	0.422***	
•	(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.030)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
_	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	12,178	12,178	12,178	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.466	0.533	$0.\overline{553}$	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.466	0.533	0.553	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 153: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:  IHS(# Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.304***	0.304***	0.304***	
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
TV Dummy	-0.092***	-0.091***	-0.100***	
v	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
Hispanic	1.132***	1.132***	1.132***	
•	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
hisp_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
•	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.003***	0.003***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	83,004	83,004	83,004	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.432	0.435	0.477	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.432	0.435	0.477	
Note:	*n/	-0.1·**n/0.0	)5· ***n/0.01	

Table 154: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Passing Algebra) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(#	Passing A	lgebra)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.008	0.009	0.012	
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy	0.013	0.012	-0.002	
-	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
Hispanic	0.102***	0.095***	0.104***	
•	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.035)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	3,495	3,495	3,495	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.324	0.326	0.364	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.323	0.324	0.362	
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01	

Table 155: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

	Dep	endent varie	able:	
	IHS	S(# AP Ma	th)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.220***	0.220***	0.220***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy	-0.051***	-0.056***	-0.058***	
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
Hispanic	-0.071**	-0.071**	-0.071**	
-	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.029)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	9,842	9,842	9,842	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.374	0.413	0.428	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.374	0.412	0.427	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 156: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

	Dep	pendent vari	able:	
	IHS	S(# AP Scie	nce)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.270***	0.270***	0.270***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy	-0.031**	-0.038***	-0.037***	
Ü	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
Hispanic	-0.040	-0.040	-0.040	
•	(0.034)	(0.033)	(0.032)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0004***	0.0004***	
_	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	9,260	9,260	9,260	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.397	0.433	0.447	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.396	0.432	0.446	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 157: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Advanced Math) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(#	Advanced	Math)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.250***	0.250***	0.250***	
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
TV Dummy	-0.100***	-0.097***	-0.099***	
-	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
Hispanic	0.739***	0.739***	0.739***	
•	(0.027)	(0.025)	(0.025)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
•	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	14,354	14,354	14,354	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.463	0.530	0.547	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.462	0.530	0.547	
Note:	*p<0.1. **p<0.05. ***p<0.01			

Table 158: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Calculus) vs. Asian

	Dep	endent varie	able:	
	IH	S(# Calcul	ıs)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.272***	0.272***	0.272***	
-	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy	-0.098***	-0.094***	-0.097***	
·	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
Hispanic	0.410***	0.410***	0.410***	
1	(0.030)	(0.029)	(0.029)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
1	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	11,460	11,460	11,460	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.437	0.478	0.491	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.436	0.477	0.490	
Notes	*n<0	1. **n < 0.05.	**** > < 0.01	

Table 159: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Biology) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
-	IF	IS(# Biolog	y)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.260***	0.260***	0.260***		
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)		
TV Dummy	-0.099***	-0.098***	-0.100***		
Ü	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)		
Hispanic	1.247***	1.247***	1.247***		
•	(0.025)	(0.022)	(0.022)		
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0003***	0.0003***		
•	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.005***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	19,008	19,008	19,008		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.529	0.620	0.639		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.529	0.620	0.639		
Notes	*~ <0	*** <0.1. *** <0.05. **** <0.01			

Table 160: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chemistry) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS	S(# Chemis	try)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.290***	0.290***	0.290***		
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)		
TV Dummy	-0.094***	-0.090***	-0.091***		
	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)		
Hispanic	0.888***	0.888***	0.888***		
•	(0.026)	(0.023)	(0.023)		
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0004***	0.0004***		
_	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	16,472	16,472	16,472		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.528	0.602	0.619		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.528	0.601	0.618		
Note:	*p<0.	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 161: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Physics) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	II	IS(# Physic	es)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.311***	0.311***	0.311***	
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
TV Dummy	-0.070***	-0.068***	-0.068***	
	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)	
Hispanic	0.626***	0.626***	0.626***	
_	(0.027)	(0.026)	(0.026)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	13,952	13,952	13,952	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.499	0.537	0.548	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.498	0.537	0.547	
Note:	*n<0.1: **n<0.05: ***n<0.01			

Table 162: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS	S(# SAT/A	CT)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.160***	0.160***	0.160***	
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
TV Dummy	-0.057***	-0.055***	-0.059***	
	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)	
Hispanic	0.694***	0.694***	0.694***	
	(0.025)	(0.022)	(0.022)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0002**	0.0003***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.005***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.385	0.498	0.537	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.384	0.498	0.537	
Note:	*n<0	1· **n<0.05	***n<0.01	

Table 163: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic GED Participate) vs. Asian

	Depe	endent varia	ble:	
	$\mathrm{IHS}(\#$	GED Partic	cipate)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.377***	0.377***	0.377***	
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
TV Dummy	-0.106***	-0.127***	-0.129***	
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
Hispanic	1.508***	1.508***	1.508***	
•	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.034)	
hisp_students	-0.0002***	0.0001	0.0001*	
_	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.0004***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	19,440	19,440	19,440	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.694	0.703	0.705	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.693	0.703	0.704	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

143

		Dependent	nt variable:	
	IHS(# SAT/AG)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity	2.313** (0.943)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		-0.516 $(0.626)$		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-2.085 (2.151)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.144 (3.036)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	-0.060 $(0.099)$	0.264*** (0.096)	0.293*** (0.109)	0.178 $(0.109)$
TV Dummy	-0.028 $(0.059)$	$-0.115^*$ (0.061)	0.071 $(0.066)$	0.140** (0.066)
Hispanic	-0.333 $(0.563)$			
$TV:word\_edu\_mean$		0.299 $(0.407)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			$-2.952^{**}$ $(1.315)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-6.144^{***}$ $(1.872)$
eth	1.088*** (0.213)	0.532** (0.216)		0.749*** (0.207)
eth:word_latin_mean	-4.631** (1.883)			
$eth: word\_edu\_mean$		0.273 $(1.329)$		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			3.427 $(3.902)$	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				-4.471 (5.369)
word_latin_mean	2.951*** (1.124)			

144

 $word\_edu\_mean$ 

0.909

		Dependent	t variable:	
		IHS(# AI	Passed)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.721 \\     (1.280)   \end{array} $			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		0.903 $(0.922)$		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-1.184 (2.989)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				4.523 (4.778)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.120 (0.134)	-0.054 (0.137)	0.153 $(0.150)$	-0.091 (0.169)
TV Dummy	0.219* (0.119)	$0.225^*$ $(0.123)$	0.063 $(0.131)$	0.327** (0.153)
Hispanic	$-1.900^*$ (1.143)			
$TV:word\_edu\_mean$		$-1.650^{**}$ $(0.833)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			-1.819 (2.629)	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-9.323^{**}$ $(4.351)$
eth	1.088*** (0.418)			1.000** (0.428)
eth:word_latin_mean	$-11.551^{***}$ $(3.606)$			
$eth: word\_edu\_mean$		$-6.587^{***}$ $(2.339)$		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			-11.299 $(7.884)$	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				$-32.927^{***}$ $(11.119)$
word_latin_mean	14.620*** (3.113)			

word\_edu\_mean 145 6.396\*\*\*

		Depender	nt variable:	
	IHS(	# Limited E	English Profi	ciency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	0.726*** (0.281)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		1.016** (0.463)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			0.759 $(0.977)$	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				8.036*** (2.184)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.237*** (0.044)	$0.243^{***}$ $(0.050)$	0.300*** (0.051)	0.186*** (0.046)
TV Dummy	0.304*** (0.032)	0.438*** (0.036)	0.346*** (0.038)	0.387*** (0.035)
Hispanic	$-2.867^{***}$ $(0.208)$			
TV:word_latin_mean		$-5.334^{***}$ $(0.339)$		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			$-9.436^{***}$ $(0.747)$	
TV:word_bad_mean				-25.796** $(1.697)$
eth	0.640*** (0.116)	0.541*** (0.130)	0.707*** (0.119)	0.641*** (0.116)
eth:word_edu_mean	2.168*** (0.711)			
eth:word_latin_mean		3.768*** (1.141)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			5.475** (2.271)	
eth:word_bad_mean				16.057*** (5.280)
word_edu_mean	2.641*** (0.452)			

 $word\_latin\_mean$ 

7.466\*\*\*

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Chro	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	0.012 $(0.220)$			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		-0.026 $(0.339)$		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			$-2.454^{***}$ $(0.812)$	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.948 $(1.096)$
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.221*** (0.034)	0.232*** (0.036)	0.347*** (0.042)	0.192*** (0.040)
TV Dummy	$-0.185^{***}$ $(0.022)$	$-0.082^{***}$ $(0.025)$	$-0.102^{***}$ $(0.027)$	-0.026 $(0.028)$
Hispanic	0.053 $(0.148)$			
TV:word_latin_mean		$-0.875^{***}$ $(0.235)$		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			$-1.390^{***}$ $(0.536)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-4.259^{**}$ $(0.778)$
eth	1.409*** (0.091)	1.099*** (0.093)	1.287*** (0.094)	1.284*** (0.090)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.107 $(0.555)$			
eth:word_latin_mean		2.843*** (0.820)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			2.650 (1.799)	
eth:word_bad_mean				3.694 $(2.332)$
word_edu_mean	$-2.706^{***}$ $(0.320)$			

 $word\_latin\_mean$ 

-2.007\*\*\*

		Dependent	nt variable:	
		IHS(# Chr	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	$-0.070^{***}$ $(0.019)$			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		$-0.031^*$ (0.018)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			$-0.137^{***}$ $(0.019)$	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				$-0.079^{***}$ $(0.024)$
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.086** (0.037)	0.153*** (0.042)	$-0.191^{***}$ $(0.057)$	-0.041 (0.082)
TV Dummy	$-0.304^{***}$ $(0.024)$	$-0.177^{***}$ $(0.028)$	$-0.470^{***}$ (0.036)	$-0.685^{***}$ $(0.055)$
Hispanic	$-0.068^{***}$ $(0.012)$			
TV:word_latin_log		-0.001 $(0.012)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_log$			$-0.100^{***}$ $(0.012)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_log$				$-0.153^{***}$ $(0.016)$
$\operatorname{eth}$	1.660*** (0.062)	1.769*** (0.117)	2.024*** (0.088)	1.962*** (0.128)
eth:word_edu_log	0.124*** (0.031)			
eth:word_latin_log		0.154*** (0.051)		
$eth: word\_role model\_log$			0.200*** (0.028)	
$eth: word\_bad\_log$				0.162*** (0.038)
word_edu_log	0.004 $(0.019)$			

word\_latin\_log

-0.242\*\*\*

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(#	Gifted)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on education	2.107*** (0.228)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		3.256*** (0.386)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			6.469*** (0.878)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				12.920*** (1.153)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.024 $(0.036)$	-0.044 (0.041)	-0.040 (0.046)	$-0.166^{***}$ $(0.042)$
TV Dummy	0.119*** (0.028)	0.206*** (0.030)	0.188*** (0.035)	0.298*** (0.033)
Hispanic	$-1.764^{***}$ $(0.183)$			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.338*** $(0.286)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			$-6.592^{***}$ $(0.683)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-12.406^{**}$ $(0.915)$
eth	0.089 $(0.083)$	0.045 $(0.096)$	0.218** (0.087)	0.222** (0.087)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.103 $(0.509)$			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.175 $(0.846)$		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			-2.053 (1.666)	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				-3.420 (2.253)
word_edu_mean	2.657*** (0.369)			

 $word\_latin\_mean$ 

5.099\*\*\*

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Sı	uspended)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	$-1.099^{***}$ $(0.197)$			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		-3.098*** $(0.308)$		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			$-6.174^{***}$ $(0.728)$	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				$-6.206^{***}$ $(1.003)$
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.290*** (0.030)	0.455*** (0.033)	0.433*** (0.037)	0.350*** (0.036)
TV Dummy	$-0.033^{**}$ $(0.015)$	$-0.051^{***}$ $(0.016)$	-0.013 (0.018)	0.043** (0.019)
Hispanic	-0.200** (0.101)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.104 $(0.156)$		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			$-0.966^{***}$ $(0.369)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-3.048^{***}$ $(0.542)$
eth	0.098 $(0.063)$	$-0.424^{***}$ $(0.067)$	0.073 $(0.066)$	$-0.140^{**}$ $(0.066)$
eth:word_edu_mean	3.148*** (0.390)			
eth:word_latin_mean		9.186*** (0.596)		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			10.181*** (1.271)	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				19.462*** (1.726)
word_edu_mean	-0.244 (0.178)			

 $word\_latin\_mean$ 

-0.936\*\*\*

Table 171: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied Ethnicity) vs. Asian

		Dependent	t variable:	
	Ι	HS(# Bullie	d Ethnicity	)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on education	0.039 $(0.028)$			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		0.111** (0.055)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-0.012 (0.100)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.408** (0.161)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.005 $(0.004)$	$-0.012^{**}$ (0.006)	0.002 $(0.005)$	$-0.014^{**}$ (0.006)
TV Dummy	$-0.027^{***}$ $(0.002)$	$-0.029^{***}$ $(0.002)$	$-0.025^{***}$ $(0.002)$	$-0.033^{***}$ $(0.002)$
Hispanic	0.189*** (0.012)			
TV:word_latin_mean		0.280*** (0.022)		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			0.530*** (0.043)	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				0.960*** (0.067)
eth	0.034*** (0.012)	0.105*** (0.016)	0.011 $(0.013)$	0.069*** (0.014)
eth:word_edu_mean	-0.058 $(0.076)$			
eth:word_latin_mean		$-0.714^{***}$ (0.138)		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			0.273 $(0.256)$	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				$-1.175^{***}$ $(0.359)$
word_edu_mean	$-0.234^{***}$ $(0.030)$			

 $word\_latin\_mean$ 

-0.715\*\*\*

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(#	Bullies)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	0.014 $(0.020)$			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		0.123*** (0.040)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			0.032 $(0.079)$	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.213** (0.102)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.003 $(0.003)$	$-0.015^{***}$ $(0.004)$	-0.003 $(0.004)$	-0.009** $(0.004)$
TV Dummy	$-0.016^{***}$ $(0.002)$	$-0.015^{***}$ (0.002)	$-0.017^{***}$ $(0.002)$	$-0.019^{***}$ $(0.002)$
Hispanic	0.111*** (0.011)			
TV:word_latin_mean		0.145*** (0.018)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			0.348*** (0.040)	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				0.552*** (0.060)
eth	0.038*** (0.010)	0.108*** (0.014)	0.013 (0.011)	0.070*** (0.012)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.011 $(0.064)$			
eth:word_latin_mean		$-0.605^{***}$ $(0.116)$		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			0.528** (0.218)	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				$-0.785^{***}$ $(0.288)$
word_edu_mean	$-0.120^{***}$ $(0.017)$			

 $word\_latin\_mean$ 

-0.312\*\*\*

		$\underline{Depende}$	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	P enrolled)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	1.300* (0.701)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		2.685** (1.107)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			3.547 $(2.578)$	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				9.904*** (3.529)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.179 $(0.109)$	0.097 $(0.118)$	0.189 $(0.132)$	0.023 $(0.128)$
TV Dummy	0.252*** (0.090)	0.409*** (0.093)	0.454*** (0.108)	0.589*** (0.106)
Hispanic	$-2.286^{***}$ $(0.594)$			
TV:word_latin_mean		$-4.985^{***}$ (0.888)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			$-11.315^{***}$ $(2.150)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-19.934^{**}$ $(2.987)$
eth	-0.058 $(0.296)$	0.069 $(0.308)$	-0.039 (0.298)	0.130 (0.291)
eth:word_edu_mean	1.481 (1.817)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.675 $(2.706)$		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			4.343 (5.716)	
eth:word_bad_mean				1.002 (7.513)
word_edu_mean	3.120** (1.325)			

word\_latin\_mean 153 7.669\*\*\*

Table 174: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gr 8 Algebra) vs. Asian

		Dependen	nt variable:	
		IHS(# Gr	8 Algebra)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	$-1.649^{**}$ $(0.725)$			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		-1.994** $(0.854)$		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-5.916**  (2.418)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				-8.112*, $(3.925)$
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.262** (0.103)	0.176** (0.087)	0.299** (0.116)	0.282** (0.129)
TV Dummy	-0.080 $(0.092)$	-0.067 $(0.074)$	-0.142 (0.103)	-0.135 $(0.119)$
Hispanic	0.764 $(0.658)$			
TV:word_latin_mean		1.123 $(0.739)$		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			3.427 $(2.158)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				5.073 (3.646)
eth	$-1.094^{***}$ (0.338)		$-0.884^{***}$ $(0.324)$	
eth:word_edu_mean	7.598*** (2.055)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-1.896 (2.768)		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			19.561*** (6.254)	
eth:word_bad_mean				19.089** (7.558)
word_edu_mean	0.183 $(1.572)$			

3.661\*

word\_latin\_mean 154

Table 175: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	AP Math)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	0.822 $(0.705)$			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		0.683 $(1.085)$		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			$1.174 \\ (2.612)$	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				$6.062^*$ $(3.500)$
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.171 (0.108)	0.222* (0.116)	$0.227^*$ $(0.132)$	0.081 $(0.126)$
TV Dummy	0.122 $(0.086)$	0.194** (0.088)	0.235** (0.104)	0.340*** (0.101)
Hispanic	$-1.514^{***}$ $(0.576)$			
TV:word_latin_mean		$-3.021^{***}$ $(0.841)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			$-7.026^{***}$ $(2.075)$	
TV:word_bad_mean				$-13.102^{***}$ $(2.864)$
eth	$-0.576^{**}$ $(0.264)$	$-0.597^{**}$ $(0.286)$	-0.415 $(0.270)$	$-0.514^*$ (0.267)
eth:word_edu_mean	1.368 $(1.633)$			
eth:word_latin_mean		2.025 $(2.511)$		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			1.249 (5.255)	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				3.858 $(6.938)$
word_edu_mean	1.842 (1.258)			

3.518\*

 $word\_latin\_mean$ 

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Science)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	1.813** (0.706)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		1.740 (1.095)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			5.720** (2.606)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				10.519*** (3.546)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.073 $(0.110)$	0.167 $(0.117)$	0.049 $(0.133)$	-0.025 $(0.129)$
TV Dummy	0.236*** (0.092)	0.276*** (0.094)	0.365*** (0.111)	0.470*** (0.108)
Hispanic	$-2.075^{***}$ $(0.601)$			
TV:word_latin_mean		$-3.615^{***}$ $(0.895)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			$-9.122^{***}$ $(2.199)$	
TV:word_bad_mean				$-16.107^{***}$ $(3.026)$
eth	-0.353 (0.318)	-0.487 (0.343)	0.0001 $(0.334)$	-0.330 $(0.333)$
$eth:word\_edu\_mean$	0.025 $(1.953)$			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.975 $(2.989)$		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			-6.651 $(6.426)$	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				-0.888 (8.547)
word_edu_mean	3.739** (1.523)			

 $word\_latin\_mean$ 

4.594\*\*

		Depende	ent variable:	
		IHS(# ad	vanced math	n)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity	2.162** (1.007)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		1.645*** (0.601)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			4.840** (2.225)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				11.410*** (3.175)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.084 (0.106)	0.062 $(0.092)$	0.051 $(0.113)$	-0.095 $(0.114)$
TV Dummy	0.224*** (0.078)	0.004 $(0.071)$	0.122 $(0.086)$	0.295*** (0.089)
Hispanic	$-3.519^{***}$ $(0.754)$			
TV:word_edu_mean		$-0.818^*$ (0.476)		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			$-5.249^{***}$ $(1.725)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-12.363^{***}$ $(2.554)$
eth	0.127 $(0.217)$	0.137 $(0.196)$	0.206 $(0.200)$	0.322 $(0.205)$
eth:word_latin_mean	3.472* (1.945)			
eth:word_edu_mean		2.565** (1.219)		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			6.938* (3.880)	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				5.473 (5.383)
word_latin_mean	6.458*** (1.358)			

word\_edu\_mean

157

2.548\*\*\*

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(#	calculus)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity	2.788*** (1.034)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		0.829 $(0.666)$		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			1.616 $(2.463)$	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				6.648* (3.441)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.035 $(0.108)$	0.198** (0.101)	$0.236^*$ $(0.125)$	0.088 $(0.122)$
TV Dummy	0.075 $(0.083)$	0.167** (0.077)	0.339*** (0.094)	0.378*** (0.093)
Hispanic	$-2.152^{***}$ $(0.799)$			
$TV:word\_edu\_mean$		$-2.108^{***}$ $(0.524)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			$-9.796^{***}$ $(1.880)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				-15.316*** $(2.677)$
eth	0.181 $(0.232)$	0.134 (0.216)	0.081 $(0.215)$	0.219 $(0.223)$
eth:word_latin_mean	0.051 (2.086)			
eth:word_edu_mean		0.530 $(1.349)$		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			2.797 $(4.199)$	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				-0.228 (5.880)
word_latin_mean	1.761 (1.451)			

 $word\_edu\_mean$ 

1.759\*

		Depende	ent variable:	
		IHS	(# bio)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on identity	2.215** (0.879)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		1.108** (0.560)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			3.126 (1.985)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				8.667*** (2.834)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.061 $(0.093)$	0.129 (0.086)	0.131 (0.101)	-0.014 (0.101)
TV Dummy	0.240*** (0.070)	-0.022 $(0.069)$	0.222*** (0.081)	0.314*** (0.082)
Hispanic	$-3.733^{***}$ $(0.673)$			
TV:word_edu_mean		-0.660 $(0.463)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			$-7.213^{***}$ $(1.629)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-13.052^{***}$ $(2.340)$
eth	1.147*** (0.213)	0.857*** (0.204)	0.823*** (0.200)	1.131*** (0.204)
eth:word_latin_mean	-0.386 (1.904)			
eth:word_edu_mean		1.693 (1.257)		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			6.049 (3.851)	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				-0.302 (5.340)
word_latin_mean	$2.212^*$ $(1.312)$			

word\_edu\_mean 159 0.432

		Depende	ent variable:	
_		IHS(	# chem)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on identity	1.822** (0.911)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education		1.048* $(0.557)$		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			3.268 (2.018)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				7.707*** (2.887)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.140 $(0.096)$	0.173** (0.086)	0.156 $(0.103)$	0.057 $(0.103)$
TV Dummy	0.182** (0.072)	-0.012 $(0.069)$	0.212*** (0.082)	0.297*** (0.083)
Hispanic	$-3.065^{***}$ $(0.690)$			
TV:word_edu_mean		-0.732 $(0.462)$		
$TV: word\_role model\_mean$			$-6.862^{***}$ $(1.646)$	
$TV:word\_bad\_mean$				$-12.343^{***}$ $(2.387)$
eth	0.499** (0.215)	0.388* (0.200)	0.430** (0.197)	0.556*** (0.201)
eth:word_latin_mean	2.016 (1.915)			
eth:word_edu_mean		2.278* (1.238)		
$eth: word\_role model\_mean$			6.403* (3.802)	
$eth: word\_bad\_mean$				4.902 (5.265)
word_latin_mean	2.511* (1.293)			

word\_edu\_mean 160 0.665

Table 181: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# SA	AT/ACT)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.116** (0.453)			
% programs on identity		2.054*** (0.678)		
% programs with role models			1.601 $(1.259)$	
% programs with bad content				-0.490 (1.740)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.186*** (0.014)	0.186*** (0.014)	0.186*** (0.013)	0.186*** (0.013)
TV Dummy	$-0.070^{***}$ $(0.011)$	$-0.065^{***}$ $(0.010)$	$-0.076^{***}$ $(0.010)$	$-0.078^{***}$ $(0.010)$
Hispanic	0.579*** (0.048)	0.579*** (0.043)	0.579*** (0.042)	0.579*** (0.042)
$hisp\_students$	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)
asian_students	0.005*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	13,480 0.383 0.383	13,480 0.488 0.488	13,480 0.539 0.538	13,480 0.539 0.538

Table 182: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Passed)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	-0.132 (0.666)			
% programs on identity		5.475*** (1.079)		
% programs with role models			-0.554 (2.384)	
% programs with bad content				6.064** (3.000)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.100*** (0.019)	0.092*** (0.019)	0.101*** (0.018)	0.097*** (0.018)
TV Dummy	$-0.034^*$ (0.018)	-0.003 (0.018)	$-0.033^*$ (0.017)	-0.021 (0.018)
Hispanic	$-0.298^{***}$ $(0.060)$	$-0.262^{***}$ $(0.060)$	$-0.284^{***}$ $(0.060)$	$-0.270^{***}$ $(0.059)$
hisp_students	0.0004*** (0.00004)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0003*** (0.00005)
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	3,168 0.274 0.272	3,168 0.284 0.282	3,168 0.286 0.283	3,168 0.287 0.284

Table 183: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(#	Limited E	nglish Profic	iency)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
% programs on education	$-0.693^{***}$ (0.238)				
% programs on identity		0.813** (0.391)			
% programs with role models			$-6.026^{***}$ $(0.765)$		
% programs with bad content				0.365 $(1.019)$	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	
TV Dummy	$-0.117^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$-0.110^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$-0.124^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$-0.118^{***}$ $(0.005)$	
Hispanic	0.984*** (0.022)	0.984*** (0.022)	0.984*** (0.021)	0.984*** (0.021)	
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	
Observations $R^2$	54,294 0.443	54,294 0.444	54,294 0.491	54,294 0.490	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.443	0.444	0.491	0.490	
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01	

Table 184: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# Chr	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	$-2.547^{***}$ (0.191)			
% programs on identity		$-2.164^{***}$ $(0.298)$		
% programs with role models			$-10.418^{***}$ $(0.624)$	
% programs with bad content				$-9.754^{***}$ $(0.819)$
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	$0.222^{***}$ $(0.005)$
TV Dummy	$-0.177^{***}$ $(0.004)$	$-0.169^{***}$ $(0.004)$	$-0.170^{***}$ $(0.004)$	$-0.174^{***}$ $(0.004)$
Hispanic	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	53,582 0.527 0.526	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.539 0.539	53,582 0.538 0.538
Note:	0.020		0.005 0.1; **p<0.05;	

Table 185: Differential Log Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Chro	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	$0.222^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$0.222^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$0.222^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$0.222^{***}$ $(0.005)$
% programs on identity	$-0.166^{***}$ $(0.004)$	$-0.172^{***}$ $(0.004)$	$-0.163^{***}$ $(0.004)$	$-0.165^{***}$ $(0.004)$
% programs with role models	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)
% programs with bad content	$-0.078^{***}$ $(0.009)$			
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$		$-0.203^{***}$ $(0.018)$		
TV Dummy			$-0.081^{***}$ $(0.008)$	
Hispanic				$-0.110^{***}$ $(0.011)$
$hisp\_students$	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	53,582 0.526 0.526	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.538 0.538
Note:			1; **p<0.05;	

Table 186: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(#	Gifted)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.490*** (0.180)			
% programs on identity		2.159*** (0.313)		
% programs with role models			2.149*** (0.571)	
% programs with bad content				5.824*** (0.781)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)
TV Dummy	$-0.141^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$-0.135^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$-0.142^{***}$ $(0.005)$	$-0.136^{***}$ $(0.005)$
Hispanic	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
$asian\_students$	0.007*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	33,732 0.401 0.401	33,732 0.415 0.415	33,732 0.415 0.415	33,732 0.415 0.415

Table 187: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Su	ispended)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.004 (0.134)			
% programs on identity		0.720*** (0.216)		
% programs with role models			$-1.749^{***}$ $(0.428)$	
% programs with bad content				-0.440 $(0.584)$
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)
TV Dummy	$-0.058^{***}$ $(0.003)$	$-0.054^{***}$ $(0.003)$	$-0.059^{***}$ $(0.003)$	$-0.058^{***}$ $(0.003)$
Hispanic	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
$asian\_students$	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	53,572 0.335 0.335	53,572 0.355 0.355	53,572 0.355 0.355	53,572 0.355 0.355

Table 188: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied Ethnicity) vs. Asian

		Dependent	t variable:	
	I	HS(# Bullie	ed Ethnicity	)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.107*** (0.027)			
% programs on identity		$-0.478^{***}$ $(0.052)$		
% programs with role models			0.661*** (0.093)	
% programs with bad content				$-0.516^{***}$ $(0.117)$
$TV \times Hispanic$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.001)	$-0.001^*$ (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)	$0.00004 \\ (0.001)$
Hispanic	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)
hisp_students	0.00003*** (0.00000)	$-0.00001^*$ $(0.00001)$	-0.00001 $(0.00001)$	$-0.00001^*$ $(0.00001)$
asian_students	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	53,468 0.021 0.021	53,468 0.024 0.024	53,468 0.024 0.024	53,468 0.024 0.024
Note:	0.021		1; **p<0.05	

Table 189: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullies) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(#	Bullies)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
% programs on education	0.095*** (0.023)				
% programs on identity		$-0.249^{***}$ $(0.044)$			
% programs with role models			0.585*** (0.080)		
% programs with bad content				$-0.187^*$ (0.097)	
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	$-0.001^{**}$ $(0.001)$	$-0.001^{**}$ (0.001)	$-0.001^{**}$ (0.001)	$-0.001^{**}$ (0.001)	
TV Dummy	0.001 $(0.0004)$	$-0.001^*$ (0.0004)	0.001* (0.0004)	-0.0001 $(0.0004)$	
Hispanic	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	
hisp_students	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	
asian_students	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	53,468 0.018 0.018	53,468 0.019 0.019	53,468 0.019 0.019	53,468 0.019 0.018	
Note:	0.010		0.019 0.1; **p<0.05		

Table 190: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP enrolled) vs. Asian

		$Dependent\ variable:$					
		IHS(# AF	enrolled)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
% programs on education	0.896 (0.640)						
% programs on identity		1.471 (1.046)					
% programs with role models			-3.377 (2.110)				
% programs with bad content				0.287 $(2.853)$			
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)			
TV Dummy	$-0.086^{***}$ $(0.015)$	$-0.103^{***}$ $(0.015)$	$-0.112^{***}$ $(0.015)$	-0.108*** $(0.015)$			
Hispanic	0.174*** (0.057)	0.174*** (0.055)	0.174*** (0.055)	0.174*** (0.055)			
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)			
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)			
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	7,890 0.442 0.442	7,890 0.476 0.475	7,890 0.476 0.475	7,890 0.475 0.475			

Table 191: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gr 8 Algebra) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:				
		IHS(# G	r 8 Algebra	)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
% programs on education	3.958*** (0.646)				
% programs on identity		0.733 $(1.024)$			
% programs with role models			10.331*** (1.997)		
% programs with bad content				13.496*** (2.780)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.007 $(0.013)$	0.004 $(0.013)$	0.001 (0.013)	-0.005 $(0.013)$	
TV Dummy	0.047*** (0.013)	0.018 $(0.013)$	0.028** (0.012)	0.040*** (0.013)	
Hispanic	0.154*** (0.048)	0.113** (0.047)	0.124*** (0.047)	0.140*** (0.047)	
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	3,012 0.309 0.306	3,012 0.303 0.300	3,012 0.306 0.304	3,012 0.306 0.304	
Note:	0.300		; **p<0.05;		

Table 192: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Math)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\frac{1}{\%}$ programs on education	0.445 $(0.554)$			
% programs on identity		-0.406 (0.934)		
% programs with role models			-2.679 (1.839)	
% programs with bad content				-1.244 (2.466)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	$-0.099^{***}$ $(0.015)$	$-0.114^{***}$ $(0.015)$	$-0.115^{***}$ $(0.014)$	$-0.114^{***}$ $(0.014)$
Hispanic	$-0.351^{***}$ $(0.055)$	$-0.351^{***}$ $(0.054)$	$-0.351^{***}$ $(0.054)$	$-0.351^{***}$ $(0.054)$
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	6,388 0.336 0.335	6,388 0.357 0.356	6,388 0.357 0.356	6,388 0.357 0.356

Table 193: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# AI	P Science)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.363** (0.660)			
% programs on identity		-0.317 (1.129)		
% programs with role models			0.053 $(2.249)$	
% programs with bad content				-0.123 (3.116)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	$-0.072^{***}$ $(0.016)$	$-0.095^{***}$ $(0.016)$	$-0.094^{***}$ $(0.015)$	$-0.094^{***}$ $(0.016)$
Hispanic	$-0.350^{***}$ $(0.058)$	$-0.350^{***}$ $(0.057)$	$-0.350^{***}$ $(0.057)$	$-0.350^{***}$ $(0.057)$
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations $R^2$ Adjusted $R^2$	6,210 0.362 0.362	6,210 0.387 0.386	6,210 0.387 0.386	6,210 0.387 0.386

Table 194: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to education) vs. non-Hispanic

		Dependen	t variable:	:			
-		IHS(# `	Visitors)				
	OLS		felm				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-2.084***	-2.084***	-2.084***	-2.084***			
-	(0.139)	(0.136)	(0.136)	(0.133)			
TV Dummy	4.019***	4.019***	4.019***	4.019***			
v	(0.083)	(0.081)	(0.082)	(0.080)			
Hispanic	0.809***	0.809***	0.809***	0.809***			
1	(0.098)	(0.097)	(0.094)	(0.093)			
Observations	2,104	2,104	2,104	2,104			
$ m R^2$	0.498	0.522	0.517	0.540			
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.497	0.518	0.510	0.531			
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	: ***p<0.01			

Table 195: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to recreation) vs. non-Hispanic

		$Dependent\ variable:$					
		IHS(#	Visitors)				
	OLS		felm				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-2.611***	-2.611***	-2.611***	-2.611***			
	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.030)			
TV Dummy	2.703***	2.703***	2.703***	2.703***			
v	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)			
Hispanic	1.307***	1.307***	1.307***	1.307***			
1	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)			
Observations	69,980	69,980	69,980	69,980			
$ m R^2$	0.188	0.198	0.200	0.211			
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.188	0.198	0.200	0.210			
Note:		*n<0	1· **n/0.05	· ***n<0.01			

Table 196: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to restaurants) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(# V	Visitors)		
	OLS		felm		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$\overline{\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}}$	-2.731***	-2.731***	-2.731***	-2.731***	
	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.018)	
TV Dummy	2.757***	2.757***	2.757***	2.757***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
Hispanic	1.458***	1.458***	1.458***	1.458***	
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
Observations	203,236	203,236	203,236	203,236	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.186	0.194	0.204	0.211	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.185	0.194	0.203	0.210	
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01	

Table 197: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to information) vs. non-Hispanic

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# '	Visitors)	
	OLS		felm	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-1.951***	-1.951***	-1.951***	-1.951***
	(0.075)	(0.073)	(0.075)	(0.073)
TV Dummy	2.055***	2.055***	2.055***	2.055***
·	(0.051)	(0.049)	(0.050)	(0.049)
Hispanic	0.984***	0.984***	0.984***	0.984***
1	(0.051)	(0.050)	(0.051)	(0.050)
Observations	10,172	10,172	10,172	10,172
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.131	0.169	0.140	0.178
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.131	0.168	0.137	0.174
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 198: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to finance) vs. non-Hispanic

		$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(# '	Visitors)			
	OLS		felm			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	-1.976***	-1.976***	-1.976***	-1.976***		
	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.033)		
TV Dummy	1.876***	1.876***	1.876***	1.876***		
	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)		
Hispanic	0.951***	0.951***	0.951***	0.951***		
-	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.023)	(0.023)		
Observations	37,716	37,716	37,716	37,716		
$R^2$	0.150	0.161	0.157	0.168		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.150	0.160	0.156	0.166		
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 199: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to Hispanic places) vs. non-Hispanic

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# '	Visitors)	
	OLS		felm	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-1.882***	-1.882***	-1.882***	-1.882***
	(0.070)	(0.069)	(0.069)	(0.069)
TV Dummy	2.626***	2.626***	2.626***	2.626***
·	(0.047)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.046)
Hispanic	1.072***	1.072***	1.072***	1.072***
•	(0.050)	(0.049)	(0.049)	(0.049)
Observations	13,976	13,976	13,976	13,976
$R^2$	0.180	0.199	0.195	0.212
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.180	0.197	0.193	0.208
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05;	****p<0.01

Table 200: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to Hispanic food) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		IHS(# '	Visitors)			
	OLS		felm			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$\overline{\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}}$	-1.960*** (0.054)	-1.960*** (0.052)	-1.960*** (0.053)	-1.960*** (0.052)		
	(0.054)	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.053)		
TV Dummy	2.719***	2.719***	2.719***	2.719***		
	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.036)		
Hispanic	1.103***	1.103***	1.103***	1.103***		
	(0.039)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.038)		
Observations	23,776	23,776	23,776	23,776		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.188	0.201	0.202	0.214		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.188	0.201	0.201	0.213		
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 201: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to non-Hispanic food) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	IHS(# Visitors)					
	OLS		felm			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***		
	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)		
TV Dummy	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***		
Ů	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)		
Hispanic	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***		
•	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)		
Observations	179,460	179,460	179,460	179,460		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.188	0.196	0.206	0.213		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.188	0.196	0.206	0.213		
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 202: Visitors to restaurants

	IHS(Visitors)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	-
Panel A: Hispanic food				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Hispanic food$	0.872***	0.872***	0.872***	0.872***
	(0.057)	(0.057)	(0.057)	(0.056)
$Hispanic \times TV$	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***
	(0.020)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)
$Hispanic \times Hispanic food$	-0.403***	-0.403***	-0.403***	-0.403***
	(0.041)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.041)
$TV \times Hispanic food$	-0.044	-0.044	-0.044	-0.044
	(0.039)	(0.039)	(0.039)	(0.038)
Hispanic	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)
TV dummy	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)
Hispanic food	0.075***	0.027	0.027	0.017
N	(0.026)	(0.026)	(0.026)	(0.025)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel B: Greek food				
$\operatorname{Hispanic} \times \operatorname{TV} \times \operatorname{Greek}  \operatorname{food} $	-0.305	-0.305	-0.305	-0.305
	(0.215)	(0.214)	(0.214)	(0.211)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel C: Japanese food				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Japanese food$	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
	(0.120)	(0.120)	(0.120)	(0.119)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel D: Brazilian food				
$\frac{1}{1} \text{Hispanic} \times \text{TV} \times \text{Brazilian food}$	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.058
	(0.525)	(0.530)	(0.530)	(0.526)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel E: Korean food				
$ ext{Hispanic} \times  ext{TV} \times  ext{Korean food}$	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233
	(0.225)	(0.225)	(0.225)	(0.223)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
County FE	No	Yes	No	Yes
NAICS FE	No	No	Yes	Yes

 $\it Notes:$  Regressions are at the location-visitor demographic level. Standard errors are robust.

Table 203: Visitors to entertainment

	IHS(Visitors)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	•
Panel A: Hispanic brands				
$\operatorname{Hispanic} \times \operatorname{TV} \times \operatorname{Hispanic}$ brand	0.569*	0.569*	0.569*	0.569*
	(0.303)	(0.304)	(0.304)	(0.302)
$Hispanic \times TV$	-2.617***	-2.617***	-2.617***	-2.617***
TT: . TT: . 1 1	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.030)
$Hispanic \times Hispanic brand$	-0.230	-0.230	-0.230	-0.230
TV v Historia brand	$(0.210) \\ 0.316$	(0.211) $0.316$	(0.211) $0.316$	$(0.207) \\ 0.316$
$TV \times Hispanic brand$	(0.209)	(0.210)	(0.210)	(0.208)
Hispanic	1.310***	1.310***	1.310***	1.310***
mspame	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)
TV dummy	2.699***	2.699***	2.699***	2.699***
1 · dammy	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)
Hispanic brand	0.098	-0.013	-0.024	0.028
•	(0.131)	(0.130)	(0.130)	(0.128)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel B: Greek brands				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Greek brand$	-0.286	-0.286	-0.286	-0.286
	(4.317)	(4.460)	(4.397)	(3.905)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel C: Japanese brands				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Japanese brand$	0.702	0.702	0.702	0.702
•	(1.085)	(1.062)	(1.061)	(1.046)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel D: Brazilian brands				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Brazilian brand$	0.328	0.328	0.328	0.328
•	(0.598)	(0.598)	(0.599)	(0.610)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel E: Korean brands				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Korean brand$	0.190	0.190	0.190	0.190
-	(1.020)	(0.989)	(0.977)	(0.804)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
County FE	No	Yes	No	Yes
NAICS FE	No	No	Yes	Yes

 $Notes\colon$  Regressions are at the location-visitor demographic level. Standard errors are robust.

Table 204: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD, 18 or under

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
_	Minutes TV watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	-1.816	-0.815	-0.358	-0.209		
	(2.087)	(2.093)	(2.110)	(2.110)		
TV Dummy × Hispanic	5.400	3.928	4.598	4.493		
	(3.902)	(3.921)	(3.943)	(3.940)		
Hispanic dummy	14.805***	20.157***	19.680***	19.064***		
	(2.688)	(2.851)	(2.865)	(2.909)		
Log(Population)			1.832**	1.907**		
,			(0.908)	(0.908)		
County % Hispanic	-23.854***	-35.069***	-39.129***	-38.785***		
• •	(3.444)	(3.818)	(4.293)	(4.287)		
Log(Income)		-40.745***	-49.268***	-48.578***		
-		(6.510)	(7.864)	(7.868)		
Foregin-born				-18.896***		
g				(5.237)		
Foreign-born Hispanic				19.438**		
				(9.008)		
Observations	28,161	28,161	28,161	28,161		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.014	0.015	0.016	0.016		
Adjusted $R^2$	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015		

Table 205: Effect of TV on Child care, DD  $\,$ 

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	Child care				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	-0.475 $(0.377)$			-0.435 (0.381)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	$1.231^*$ $(0.742)$		0.998 $(0.746)$	0.950 $(0.746)$	
Hispanic dummy		$-3.878^{***}$ $(0.576)$			
Log(Population)			-0.355** $(0.164)$	$-0.342^{**}$ (0.165)	
County % Hispanic	2.844*** (0.610)	2.088*** (0.698)			
Log(Income)		-2.890** (1.135)			
Foregin-born				$-1.692^{***}$ $(0.482)$	
Foreign-born Hispanic				4.130*** (0.792)	
Observations R <sup>2</sup>	56,449 0.075	56,449 0.075	56,449 0.075	56,449 0.075	
$\frac{\text{Adjusted R}^2}{Note:}$	0.074 0.075 0.075 0.075 *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 206: Effect of TV on Child care, DD  $\,$ 

_	Dependent variable:				
	Child care				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
TV Dummy × Hispanic	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Hispanic dummy	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Log(Population)			0.000	0.000	
36( 31 3 3 3 7			(0.000)	(0.000)	
County % Hispanic	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Transfer of the second	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Log(Income)		0.000	0.000	0.000	
36( 33 3)		(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Foregin-born				0.000	
rorogin born				(0.000)	
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.000	
Poreign-born Hispanic				(0.000)	
Observations	68,373	68,373	68,373	68,373	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 207: Effect of TV on Child edu, DD  $\,$ 

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	Child edu				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.306	0.285	0.332*	0.321	
	(0.197)	(0.198)	(0.197)	(0.197)	
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	-0.001	0.025	0.108	0.119	
	(0.362)	(0.363)	(0.367)	(0.367)	
Hispanic dummy	-0.668**	-0.787***	-0.840***	-0.929***	
1	(0.261)	(0.277)	(0.279)	(0.302)	
Log(Population)			0.213**	0.204**	
3( 1 3 3 7			(0.084)	(0.085)	
County % Hispanic	0.376	0.609*	0.160	0.113	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0.314)	(0.355)	(0.402)	(0.403)	
Log(Income)		0.857	-0.100	-0.175	
8()		(0.580)	(0.663)	(0.666)	
Foregin-born				0.473	
Torogin born				(0.403)	
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.095	
Toroign born mapaine				(0.488)	
Observations	45,627	45,627	45,627	45,627	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Dependent Variable:	ihs(sch_satact)			
Model:	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Variables				
TV dummy $\times$ Hispanic	0.1598***	0.1598***	0.1598***	
	(0.0210)	(0.0210)	(0.0210)	
eth	0.6938***	0.6938***	0.6938***	
	(0.0314)	(0.0314)	(0.0314)	
$hisp\_students$	$0.0021^{***}$	-0.0002*	$-7.84 \times 10^{-5}$	
	(0.0002)	$(9.33 \times 10^{-5})$	$(9.53 \times 10^{-5})$	
$asian\_students$	$0.0041^{***}$	0.0006	0.0008	
	(0.0014)	(0.0008)	(0.0007)	
SCH_TEACHERS_CURR_TOT		-0.0025	-0.0003	
		(0.0042)	(0.0024)	
total_students		$0.0017^{***}$	$0.0014^{***}$	
		(0.0002)	(0.0001)	
$SCH\_GRADE\_G01Yes$			-0.4825***	
			(0.0623)	
$SCH\_GRADE\_G06Yes$			-0.7008***	
			(0.1024)	
$SCH\_GRADE\_G09Yes$			0.4593	
			(0.3712)	
Fixed-effects				
LEAID	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fit statistics				
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610	
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.61475	0.68984	0.70841	
Within R <sup>2</sup>	0.36544	0.48912	0.51972	

Clustered (LEAID) standard-errors in parentheses Signif. Codes: \*\*\*: 0.01, \*\*: 0.05, \*: 0.1