1 Migrations

Table 1: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

Dependent variable:			
# Hispanic Migrants			
(1)	(2)	(3)	
-0.078 (0.108)	-0.123 (0.096)	-0.120 (0.096)	
-0.003^* (0.002)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	
-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)	
-0.0003 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)	
0.164*** (0.017)	0.131*** (0.021)	0.094*** (0.026)	
0.150*** (0.023)	0.128*** (0.020)	0.125*** (0.021)	
	1.328*** (0.295)	1.611*** (0.329)	
	1.485*** (0.293)	1.481*** (0.318)	
		0.407** (0.193)	
		0.003 (0.087)	
4,062 0.103 0.101	4,062 0.156 0.154	4,062 0.158 0.156	
	# E (1) -0.078 (0.108) -0.003* (0.002) -0.004*** (0.001) -0.0003 (0.001) -0.001*** (0.0002) 0.164*** (0.017) 0.150*** (0.023)	# Hispanic Migra (1) (2) -0.078	

1

Table 2: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

Dependent variable:				
# I	# Hispanic Migrants			
(1)	(2)	(3)		
-0.140 (0.152)	-0.194 (0.144)	-0.193 (0.144)		
-0.004^* (0.002)	-0.007^{***} (0.002)	-0.007^{***} (0.002)		
-0.007^{**} (0.003)	-0.004 (0.003)	-0.004 (0.003)		
-0.0003 (0.002)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)		
-0.001^{***} (0.0004)	-0.002^{***} (0.0004)	-0.002^{***} (0.0004)		
0.253*** (0.041)	0.169*** (0.023)	0.153*** (0.030)		
0.182*** (0.035)	0.181*** (0.030)	0.181*** (0.034)		
	2.324*** (0.389)	2.471*** (0.411)		
	1.276** (0.602)	1.253** (0.584)		
		0.181 (0.196)		
		-0.015 (0.192)		
1,659 0.153	1,659 0.236	1,659 0.236		
	# I (1) -0.140 (0.152) -0.004* (0.002) -0.007** (0.003) -0.0003 (0.002) -0.001*** (0.0004) 0.253*** (0.041) 0.182*** (0.035)	# Hispanic Migr (1) (2) -0.140		

Table 3: Effect of TV on Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dep</i>	pendent varia	ble:
	# Hispanic Migrants		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Dummy: Destination Outside TV Contour	-0.387^{***}	-0.286***	-0.280***
	(0.048)	(0.044)	(0.044)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Origin	-0.003**	-0.004***	-0.004***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Destination	0.001	-0.002^*	-0.002
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance from Contor to Origin (KM)	0.001	0.003*	0.003
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Distance from Contour to Destination (KM)	-0.001	0.002	0.002
· ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Origin Log(Population)	0.146***	0.161***	0.150***
	(0.020)	(0.017)	(0.021)
Destination Log(Population)	0.150***	0.136***	0.125***
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.016)
Origin % Hispanic		0.792***	0.881***
		(0.103)	(0.141)
Destination % Hispanic		1.485***	1.573***
		(0.122)	(0.141)
Origin Log(Income)			0.093
			(0.094)
Destination Log(Income)			0.090
			(0.078)
Observations	8,479	8,479	8,479
\mathbb{R}^2	0.093	0.148	0.149
Adjusted R^2	0.092	0.147	0.147

Table 4: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

# Hispanic Migrants			
-0.410^{***} (0.088)	-0.356^{***} (0.082)	-0.349^{***} (0.081)	
-0.007^{***} (0.003)	-0.008^{***} (0.003)	-0.008^{***} (0.003)	
-0.002 (0.002)	-0.004^{**} (0.002)	-0.004^* (0.002)	
0.002 (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)	
0.001 (0.002)	0.004 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	
0.179*** (0.019)	0.181*** (0.016)	0.175*** (0.019)	
0.115*** (0.018)	0.117*** (0.017)	0.102*** (0.020)	
	1.384*** (0.183)	1.428*** (0.205)	
	0.813*** (0.182)	0.949*** (0.203)	
		0.041 (0.099)	
		0.138 (0.109)	
4,338 0.079	4,338 0.127	4,338 0.127	
	# F (1) -0.410*** (0.088) -0.007*** (0.003) -0.002 (0.002) 0.002 (0.002) 0.179*** (0.019) 0.115*** (0.018)	# Hispanic Migra (1) (2) -0.410*** -0.356*** (0.088) (0.082) -0.007*** -0.008*** (0.003) (0.003) -0.002 -0.004** (0.002) (0.002) 0.001 0.004 (0.002) (0.002) 0.179*** 0.181*** (0.019) (0.016) 0.115*** (0.016) 0.115*** (0.017) 1.384*** (0.183) 0.813*** (0.182)	

Table 5: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		migLog	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-0.246^{***}	-0.326***	-0.346***
	(0.055)	(0.048)	(0.049)
origLogPop	0.216***	0.196***	0.163***
	(0.030)	(0.018)	(0.025)
$\operatorname{destLogPop}$	0.211***	0.196***	0.173***
J 1	(0.031)	(0.028)	(0.030)
origpcHisp		1.540***	1.749***
		(0.216)	(0.228)
$\operatorname{destpcHisp}$		1.790***	1.979***
		(0.165)	(0.177)
m origLogInc			0.344*
			(0.179)
$\operatorname{destLogInc}$			0.216**
			(0.092)
$ m mi_to_county$	-0.0005***	-0.001^{***}	-0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	-1.646***	-1.463***	-6.115***
	(0.607)	(0.369)	(1.537)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
\mathbb{R}^2	0.130	0.204	0.207
Adjusted R^2	0.129	0.203	0.205
Residual Std. Error	1.137 (df = 3699)	1.088 (df = 3697)	1.087 (df = 3695)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 6: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		mig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-138.970***	-160.743^{***}	-164.748***
	(50.833)	(55.860)	(58.288)
origLogPop	55.128***	49.692***	54.916***
3 3 2	(16.276)	(10.915)	(17.009)
$\operatorname{destLogPop}$	79.360**	75.183**	72.917**
.	(31.339)	(29.864)	(28.813)
origpcHisp		424.714***	380.709***
		(149.604)	(130.054)
destpcHisp		490.885***	518.338***
		(145.334)	(159.358)
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-58.140
			(90.270)
$\operatorname{destLogInc}$			29.220
_			(25.991)
$ m mi_to_county$	-0.181***	-0.219***	-0.220***
	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.065)
Constant	-1,446.295***	-1,395.887***	-1,156.459**
	(520.832)	(457.051)	(584.710)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
\mathbb{R}^2	0.045	0.064	0.064
Adjusted R^2	0.044	0.062	0.062
Residual Std. Error	646.360 (df = 3699)	640.108 (df = 3697)	640.222 (df = 3695)

Table 7: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		revMig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-272.468***	-302.891***	-290.716***
	(87.512)	(96.017)	(95.484)
origLogPop	161.229***	136.370***	138.851***
	(59.972)	(40.537)	(47.270)
destLogPop	148.127**	144.794**	156.419**
5 -	(63.158)	(64.019)	(66.248)
origpcHisp		894.758**	890.891***
		(372.920)	(323.861)
destpcHisp		683.396***	574.860***
		(191.365)	(178.543)
origLogInc			-17.479
			(161.210)
destLogInc			-121.820**
g			(62.089)
mi_to_county	-0.442**	-0.504^{***}	-0.506***
·	(0.176)	(0.172)	(0.172)
Constant	-3,472.526**	-3,281.295***	$-2,122.032^*$
	(1,386.592)	(1,181.058)	(1,169.812)
Observations	1,526	1,526	1,526
\mathbb{R}^2	0.091	0.118	0.119
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.089	0.115	0.114
Residual Std. Error	1,015.579 (df = 1521)	1,001.034 (df = 1519)	1,001.478 (df = 1517)

Note: p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 8: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

		Dependent variable:	
		migLog	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-0.336***	-0.325***	-0.346***
	(0.036)	(0.037)	(0.037)
origLogPop	0.208***	0.206***	0.157***
	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.018)
destLogPop	0.131***	0.136***	0.111***
	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.016)
origpcHisp		0.076	0.383
<u>,</u>		(0.268)	(0.272)
destpcHisp		-0.284^{*}	-0.130
• •		(0.153)	(0.155)
m origLogInc			0.498***
			(0.123)
destLogInc			0.202***
_			(0.060)
mi_to_county	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
-	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00003)
Constant	0.173	0.151	-5.613***
	(0.226)	(0.227)	(1.029)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
\mathbb{R}^2	0.086	0.086	0.091
Adjusted R^2	0.085	0.086	0.090
Residual Std. Error	1.164 (df = 16208)	1.164 (df = 16206)	1.161 (df = 16204)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 9: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

		$Dependent\ variable:$	
		mig	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	-115.357***	-122.427^{***}	-125.001***
	(15.867)	(18.276)	(17.904)
origLogPop	48.124***	44.512***	34.444***
	(8.114)	(5.138)	(6.009)
destLogPop	52.948***	51.614***	47.937***
	(10.943)	(10.697)	(11.042)
origpcHisp		238.308*	304.169***
4		(123.072)	(116.669)
$\operatorname{destpcHisp}$		160.862*	180.496**
		(84.827)	(87.786)
origLogInc			103.236***
0 0			(36.142)
destLogInc			27.392
G			(26.837)
mi_to_county	-0.175***	-0.193***	-0.193***
Ü	(0.021)	(0.028)	(0.028)
Constant	-997.115***	-953.661***	$-2,029.962^{***}$
	(200.369)	(167.388)	(272.762)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
\mathbb{R}^2	0.060	0.065	0.066
Adjusted R ²	0.060	0.064	0.066
Residual Std. Error	411.701 (df = 16208)	410.745 (df = 16206)	410.443 (df = 16204)

2 Donations

Table 10: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	Dependent variable:			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.016***	0.013***	0.012***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)		(0.0001)	
Distance to Roundary (KM)	0.0004*	0.0004**	0.001**	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	(0.0004)		(0.0002)	
	()	()	()	
Log(Population)	0.081***	0.083^{***}	0.058***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
County % Hispanic		0.083***	0.264***	
		(0.007)	(0.008)	
Log(Ingomo)			0.00003***	
Log(Income)			(0.00003)	
			(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.019	0.019	0.022	
Adjusted R^2	0.019	0.019	0.022	
Note:	*1	p<0.1; **p<	(0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 11: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.019***	0.010***	0.007***	0.005***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0001	0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Log(Population)		0.081***	0.084***	0.058***
,		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
County % Hispanic			0.084***	0.265***
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			(0.007)	(0.008)
Log(Income)				0.00003***
200(111001110)				(0.00000)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011
\mathbb{R}^2	0.009	0.018	0.019	0.022
Adjusted R^2	0.009	0.018	0.019	0.022

Table 12: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	${\rm donations_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.192*** (0.007)	0.147^{***} (0.007)	0.198*** (0.008)	0.178^{***} (0.009)	
distance	-0.0001 (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.003*** (0.0005)	0.005*** (0.001)	
logPop		1.000*** (0.008)	1.017*** (0.008)	0.826*** (0.009)	
pcHispanic			-1.025^{***} (0.074)	0.660*** (0.085)	
income				0.0001*** (0.00000)	
intersects:distance	0.006*** (0.0002)	0.0003^* (0.0002)	-0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)	
Constant	-4.620^{***} (0.024)	$-16.151^{***} \\ (0.103)$	-16.310^{***} (0.106)	$-16.149^{***} (0.106)$	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	619,011 -44,877.170 89,762.330	619,011 -35,054.140 70,118.280	619,011 -34,949.340 69,910.690	619,011 -34,232.540 68,479.090	

Table 13: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.007	0.003	0.002	
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	
Ü	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0004	0.0005	0.001	
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Log(Population)	0.052***	0.055***	0.037***	
,	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
County % Hispanic		0.101***	0.225***	
		(0.019)	(0.022)	
Log(Income)			0.00002***	
			(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Note	*n		0.05· ***n<0.01	

Table 14: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	Dependent variable:				
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	-0.008**	-0.014***	-0.019***	-0.020***	
•	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0002	0.0004**	0.0004***	0.0004***	
2.1504.1100 00 2.04.144.1. (11.1.2)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Log(Population)		0.053***	0.056***	0.038***	
		(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
County % Hispanic			0.106***	0.229***	
country // Impulie			(0.019)	(0.022)	
Log(Income)				0.00002***	
208(111001110)				(0.00000)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Adjusted R^2	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	

Table 15: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	Dependent variable:				
	donations_dum				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.236***	0.213***	0.154***	0.136***	
	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.022)	(0.023)	
distance	0.007***	0.008***	0.007***	0.011***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
logPop		1.148***	1.128***	0.884***	
3 1		(0.023)	(0.022)	(0.025)	
pcHispanic			0.950***	3.770***	
r			(0.178)	(0.222)	
income				0.0002***	
				(0.00001)	
intersects:distance	0.006***	-0.001***	-0.001	0.0004	
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0005)	
Constant	-7.117***	-20.667***	-20.463***	-21.125***	
J	(0.075)	(0.309)	(0.303)	(0.323)	
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011	
Log Likelihood	-7,703.642	-6,092.903	-6,079.403	-5,842.863	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	15,415.280	12,195.810	12,170.810	11,699.730	

Table 16: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	2.941***	2.506**	2.175**	
	(1.079)	(1.093)	(1.072)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.049	-0.039	-0.059	
· ·	(0.083)	(0.083)	(0.082)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.061	0.062	0.068	
	(0.123)	(0.123)	(0.120)	
Log(Population)	12.674***	12.919***	8.877***	
,	(0.586)	(0.595)	(0.674)	
County % Hispanic		9.646**	37.604***	
		(4.019)	(4.584)	
Log(Income)			0.004***	
()			(0.0004)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.193	0.194	0.226	
Adjusted R ²	0.191	0.192	0.224	

Table 17: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	Dummy: Hispanic Campaign Contributo		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	1.767***	1.342*	1.191*
	(0.682)	(0.690)	(0.684)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.012	-0.003	-0.012
	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.052)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.024	0.025	0.028
	(0.078)	(0.077)	(0.077)
Log(Population)	6.643***	6.881***	5.039***
,	(0.371)	(0.376)	(0.430)
County % Hispanic		9.393***	22.133***
1		(2.538)	(2.923)
Log(Income)			0.002***
			(0.0002)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
\mathbb{R}^2	0.140	0.143	0.161
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.138	0.141	0.159

Table 18: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contribute			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.966	0.610	0.454	
	(0.777)	(0.787)	(0.781)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.066	-0.057	-0.067	
į į	(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.060)	
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.090	0.091	0.093	
,	(0.088)	(0.088)	(0.088)	
Log(Population)	5.182***	5.382***	3.480***	
,	(0.422)	(0.428)	(0.491)	
County % Hispanic		7.899***	21.049***	
1		(2.895)	(3.340)	
Log(Income)			0.002***	
@()			(0.0003)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.078	0.080	0.095	
Adjusted R^2	0.076	0.078	0.093	

Table 19: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$		
	Dummy: Hispanic Campaign Contribute		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.153	0.049	0.014
	(0.181)	(0.183)	(0.182)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003	0.005	0.003
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.009	0.009	0.009
,	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)
Log(Population)	1.274***	1.333***	0.900***
,	(0.098)	(0.100)	(0.114)
County % Hispanic		2.305***	5.296***
· ·		(0.673)	(0.777)
Log(Income)			0.0005***
			(0.0001)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
\mathbb{R}^2	0.084	0.087	0.102
Adjusted R^2	0.082	0.085	0.100

Table 20: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
intersects	5.098***	4.214***	3.896***	0.364		
	(0.780)	(0.819)	(0.804)	(1.107)		
distance	0.0001*	0.0001**	0.0001***	0.00005		
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
logPop	15.750***	16.071***	10.445***	9.941***		
	(0.746)	(0.750)	(0.905)	(0.909)		
pcHispanic		23.154***	56.794***	58.746***		
		(6.660)	(7.252)	(7.238)		
income			0.005***	0.005***		
			(0.0005)	(0.0005)		
intersects:distance				0.0002***		
				(0.00003)		
Constant	-161.767***	-167.135***	-170.310***	-162.019***		
	(8.086)	(8.217)	(8.062)	(8.231)		
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819	2,819		
R^2	0.189	0.193	0.224	0.230		
Adjusted R ²	0.189	0.192	0.223	0.228		
77 /		d.				

Table 21: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
intersects	2.667***	1.164	0.765	0.352		
	(0.879)	(0.828)	(0.843)	(0.827)		
distance	0.016	0.042	0.047	0.056*		
	(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)		
logPop		12.723***	12.976***	8.956***		
		(0.587)	(0.595)	(0.675)		
pcHispanic			10.041**	37.894***		
			(4.022)	(4.589)		
income				0.004***		
				(0.0004)		
intersects:distance	0.314***	0.191***	0.195***	0.186***		
	(0.031)	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.029)		
Constant	4.694**	-125.783***	-129.868***	-140.110***		
	(1.863)	(6.266)	(6.472)	(6.404)		
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.080	0.190	0.192	0.223		
Adjusted R ²	0.080	0.189	0.190	0.222		

Table 22: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

(1) 8.178 (7.072)	(2) -7.089	nations_d (3)	(4)
8.178		(3)	(4)
	7.080		(*/
(7.072)	-1.009	-5.547	-10.352^*
(1.012)	(6.387)	(6.505)	(6.216)
0.144	0.407^{*}	0.389	0.495**
(0.269)	(0.242)	(0.242)	(0.232)
	129.217***	128.239***	81.414***
	(4.524)	(4.591)	(5.070)
		-38.745	285.640***
		(31.032)	(34.482)
			0.050***
			(0.003)
3.645***	2.394***	2.379***	2.283***
(0.246)	(0.225)	(0.226)	(0.215)
66.618***	-1.258.542***	-1.242.780***	-1.362.060***
(14.980)	(48.317)	(49.935)	(48.115)
3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
0.119	0.286	0.287	0.350
0.118	0.286	0.286	0.349
	3.645*** (0.246) 66.618*** (14.980) 3,479 0.119	$\begin{array}{cccc} (0.269) & (0.242) \\ & & 129.217^{***} \\ & & (4.524) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 23: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius Placebo

		Dependent variable:				
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
intersects	26.508***	31.467***	28.248***			
	(5.249)	(5.515)	(5.272)			
distance	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)			
logPop	144.097***	142.299***	85.334***			
	(5.021)	(5.052)	(5.939)			
pcHispanic		-129.855***	210.748***			
		(44.853)	(47.579)			
income			0.051***			
			(0.003)			
Constant	-1,443.829***	-1,413.722***	-1,445.873***			
	(54.422)	(55.337)	(52.896)			
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.274	0.276	0.340			
Adjusted R^2	0.274	0.275	0.339			
Residual Std. Error	379.873 (df = 2815)	379.376 (df = 2814)	362.391 (df = 2813)			
F Statistic	$354.664^{***} (df = 3; 2815)$	$268.791^{***} (df = 4; 2814)$	$289.855^{***} (df = 5; 2813)$			

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 24: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 25 KM Radius

		Dependent variable:				
	donations					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
intersects	3.923***	2.809^*	2.497*			
	(1.361)	(1.480)	(1.458)			
distance	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)			
logPop	18.511***	19.150***	12.433***			
	(1.677)	(1.708)	(2.050)			
pcHispanic		23.632*	66.660***			
-		(12.407)	(14.338)			
income			0.006***			
			(0.001)			
Constant	-200.071***	-208.550^{***}	-209.086***			
	(18.347)	(18.855)	(18.563)			
Observations	1,007	1,007	1,007			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.147	0.150	0.177			
Adjusted R^2	0.144	0.147	0.173			
Residual Std. Error	75.485 (df = 1003)	75.387 (df = 1002)	74.217 (df = 1001)			
F Statistic	$57.630^{***} (df = 3; 1003)$	$44.243^{***} (df = 4; 1002)$	$43.086^{***} (df = 5; 1001)$			

Table 25: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	donations				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.155	-0.461	-0.788	-0.981	
	(0.607)	(0.597)	(0.607)	(0.603)	
distance	0.00002	0.00003	0.00004	0.00004*	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
logPop		5.214***	5.421***	3.534***	
		(0.423)	(0.429)	(0.492)	
pcHispanic			8.196***	21.271***	
			(2.897)	(3.344)	
income				0.002***	
				(0.0003)	
intersects:distance	0.0002***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
Constant	1.352	-52.121***	-55.455***	-60.263***	
	(1.287)	(4.514)	(4.661)	(4.666)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
$ m R^2$	0.034	0.075	0.077	0.092	
Adjusted R ²	0.034	0.074	0.076	0.091	

Table 26: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	$\rm donations_d$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	-0.148	-2.648	-3.011	-4.185	
	(2.857)	(2.822)	(2.875)	(2.838)	
distance	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
logPop		21.158***	21.389***	9.942***	
		(1.999)	(2.029)	(2.315)	
pcHispanic			9.130	88.426***	
			(13.713)	(15.745)	
income				0.012***	
				(0.001)	
intersects:distance	0.001***	0.0005***	0.0005***	0.0004***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Constant	3.590	-213.396***	-217.110***	-246.268***	
	(6.052)	(21.349)	(22.067)	(21.969)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
$ m R^2$	0.023	0.054	0.054	0.080	
Adjusted R^2	0.022	0.053	0.053	0.078	

Table 27: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Depender	nt variable:	
_		donatio	ons_dum	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.240***	0.144*	0.126	0.110
	(0.066)	(0.080)	(0.083)	(0.085)
distance	0.022*	0.036***	0.035***	0.038***
	(0.011)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.014)
dist2	-0.0002**	-0.0004***	-0.0004***	-0.0004***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
logPop		1.108***	1.108***	0.872***
0 1		(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.068)
pcHispanic			0.316	2.125***
1			(0.436)	(0.519)
income				0.0002***
				(0.00003)
intersects:distance	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)
intersects:dist2	0.0002**	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Constant	-3.278***	-15.972***	-15.986***	-15.837***
	(0.226)	(0.790)	(0.789)	(0.790)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
Log Likelihood	-833.426	-591.832	-591.574	-572.170
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,678.852	1,197.663	1,199.148	1,162.339

Table 28: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

		Dependen	nt variable:		
-	${\rm donations_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.240*** (0.066)	0.144* (0.080)	0.126 (0.083)	0.110 (0.085)	
distance	0.022* (0.011)	0.036*** (0.013)	0.035*** (0.013)	0.038*** (0.014)	
dist2	-0.0002^{**} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	
logPop		1.108*** (0.060)	1.108*** (0.060)	0.872*** (0.068)	
pcHispanic			0.316 (0.436)	2.125*** (0.519)	
income				0.0002*** (0.00003)	
intersects:distance	0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 (0.006)	
intersects:dist2	0.0002** (0.0001)	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	0.0001 (0.0001)	
Constant	-3.278*** (0.226)	-15.972^{***} (0.790)	-15.986^{***} (0.789)	-15.837*** (0.790)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	3,479 -833.426 1,678.852	3,479 -591.832 1,197.663	3,479 -591.574 1,199.148	3,479 -572.170 $1,162.339$	
Note:		*n/(1. **n<0.05	5· ***n<0.01	

Table 29: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	${\rm donations_dum}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.114**	0.035	0.016	-0.002	
	(0.052)	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.065)	
distance	-0.0003	0.001	0.001	0.003	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
logPop		1.099***	1.100***	0.863***	
		(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.068)	
pcHispanic			0.396	2.192***	
			(0.431)	(0.515)	
income				0.0002***	
				(0.00003)	
intersects:distance	0.015***	0.009***	0.010***	0.010***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
Constant	-2.963***	-15.351***	-15.390***	-15.214***	
	(0.152)	(0.740)	(0.741)	(0.737)	
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479	
Log Likelihood	-837.460	-595.663	-595.251	-575.786	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,682.920	1,201.326	1,202.503	1,165.571	

3 Education

Table 30: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	Dependent variable:					
		рсНі	$_{ m isp_ged}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV	-0.010	-0.023	-0.022	0.009		
	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.041)	(0.029)		
origdist	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)		
$\operatorname{origLogPop}$		0.002	0.003	0.011		
		(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.009)		
origpcHisp		0.472***	0.458***	0.363***		
_		(0.107)	(0.131)	(0.091)		
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-0.015	0.049		
_			(0.077)	(0.054)		
$pcTot_ged$				0.734***		
				(0.036)		
TV:origdist	0.004***	0.004***	0.004***	0.003**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Constant	0.168***	0.096	0.221	-0.659		
	(0.028)	(0.127)	(0.655)	(0.458)		
Observations	401	401	401	401		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.036	0.084	0.084	0.558		
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.029	0.073	0.070	0.550		
Residual Std. Error	0.304 (df = 397)	0.297 (df = 395)	0.297 (df = 394)	0.207 (df = 393)		
F Statistic	$4.988^{***} (df = 3; 397)$	$7.276^{***} (df = 5; 395)$	$6.055^{***} (df = 6; 394)$	$70.892^{***} (df = 7; 39)$		

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.05 Distance in KM, 100 KM cuto

"Distance in KM, 100 KM cutoff. Demographic controls at county level. Errors clustered by school district"

4 Firms

Table 31: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	Dependent variable:				
		pcF	Hisp_ged		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV	-0.002	-0.019	-0.017	0.019	
	(0.047)	(0.048)	(0.049)	(0.030)	
origdist	-0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	
origLogPop		-0.001	0.001	0.006	
		(0.013)	(0.017)	(0.010)	
origpcHisp		0.533***	0.515***	0.336***	
		(0.125)	(0.158)	(0.095)	
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			-0.017	0.073	
			(0.094)	(0.057)	
$\operatorname{pcTot_ged}$				0.898***	
				(0.039)	
TV:origdist	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)	
Constant	0.165***	0.122	0.265	-0.865^{*}	
	(0.034)	(0.160)	(0.795)	(0.480)	
Observations	300	300	300	300	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.004	0.065	0.065	0.664	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	-0.006	0.049	0.046	0.656	
Residual Std. Error	0.333 (df = 296)	0.324 (df = 294)	0.324 (df = 293)	0.195 (df = 292)	
F Statistic	0.409 (df = 3; 296)	$4.059^{***} (df = 5; 294)$	$3.377^{***} (df = 6; 293)$	$82.309^{***} (df = 7; 292)$	

 $^*\mathrm{p}{<}0.1;~^{**}\mathrm{p}{<}0.05;~^{***}\mathrm{p}{<}0.01$ Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 32: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		$\operatorname{pcHisp_gifted}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV	-0.004*	-0.010***	-0.012***	-0.005***		
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)		
origdist	-0.00001	-0.00001	0.00000	-0.00002		
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00002)		
origLogPop		0.004***	0.002***	0.006***		
		(0.0005)	(0.001)	(0.0004)		
origpcHisp		0.008*	0.028***	-0.014***		
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)		
$\operatorname{origLogInc}$			0.019***	-0.040***		
			(0.004)	(0.003)		
pcTot_gifted				0.796***		
. 0				(0.005)		
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.00004		
Ü	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00004)		
Constant	0.066***	0.023***	-0.136***	0.305***		
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.033)	(0.023)		
Observations	28,228	28,228	28,228	28,228		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529		
Adjusted R ²	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529		

Table 33: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	t variable:				
		$\operatorname{pcHisp_gifted}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV	-0.008***	-0.015***	-0.017^{***}	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)			
origdist	-0.0001**	-0.0002**	-0.0001**	-0.0001			
J	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00005)			
$\operatorname{origLogPop}$		0.004***	0.002***	0.006***			
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.0004)			
origpcHisp		0.010**	0.032***	-0.011***			
OI I		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)			
origLogInc			0.020***	-0.037***			
0 0			(0.004)	(0.003)			
pcTot_gifted				0.799***			
I G				(0.005)			
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.00002			
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Constant	0.067***	0.025***	-0.145***	0.278***			
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.034)	(0.023)			
Observations	22,788	22,788	22,788	22,788			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.013	0.015	0.017	0.575			
Adjusted R ²	0.013	0.015	0.016	0.575			

p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 34: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

		Dependen	t variable:		
	$\operatorname{pcHisp_gifted}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$\overline{ ext{TV}}$	-0.006***	-0.015***	-0.013***	-0.006***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
origdist	-0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0001	
_	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	
origLogPop		0.004***	0.006***	0.006***	
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
origpcHisp		0.016***	-0.001	-0.009**	
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.004)	
origLogInc			-0.016***	-0.034***	
			(0.004)	(0.003)	
pcTot_gifted				0.797***	
1 0				(0.006)	
TV:origdist	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.0001	
Ü	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Constant	0.067***	0.020***	0.154***	0.252***	
	(0.001)	(0.007)	(0.037)	(0.026)	
Observations	16,844	16,844	16,844	16,844	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514	
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514	

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 Distance in KM, 25 KM cutoff

Table 35: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Depender	nt variable:	
		hisp_harass	VicRaceRat	se e
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.043	0.074**	0.065^{*}	0.069^{*}
	(0.033)	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.036)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.002^*	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.002**
_ ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001*	0.002**	0.002**	0.002**
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Log(Population)		-0.056***	-0.061***	-0.060***
,		(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.013)
% County Hispanic		-0.217***	-0.169**	-0.167**
		(0.039)	(0.072)	(0.070)
Log(Income)			0.051	0.059
-8((0.052)	(0.051)
# Teachers at School				-0.001**
11				(0.0003)
Observations	44,681	44,681	44,681	44,681
\mathbb{R}^2	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 36: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic # Harassment Victims)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# Hispanic Victims of Harassment)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.003** (0.001)	0.002^* (0.001)	0.002^* (0.001)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0001** (0.00002)	-0.00005^* (0.00002)	-0.00005^* (0.00002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)		
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.012	0.016	0.023		
Adjusted R ²	0.012	0.016	0.023		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 37: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic # Harassment Perpetrators)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Hispanic Perpetrators of Harassment)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.00001	-0.00001	-0.00000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0003***	-0.0003***	-0.0003***
,	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
# Hispanic Students	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
,,	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
\mathbb{R}^2	0.014	0.016	0.022
Adjusted R ²	0.014	0.016	0.021
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 38: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.011^{**} (0.005)	-0.018^{***} (0.005)	-0.016^{***} (0.005)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.002***	-0.002***		
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.003*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)		
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864		
R^2 Adjusted R^2	$0.321 \\ 0.321$	$0.348 \\ 0.348$	$0.407 \\ 0.407$		

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 39: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.067***	-0.073***	-0.074***		
•	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***		
- , ,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
# Hispanic Students	0.004***	0.003***	0.003***		
· ·	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.444	0.467	0.467		
Adjusted R^2	0.444	0.467	0.467		

Note:

Table 40: Effect of TV on APs Taken

	Dependent variable:				
	# IHS (Hispanic Students Taking A				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.072***	0.051***	0.047***		
	(0.016)	(0.015)	(0.015)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.004***	-0.004***		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***		
W	(0.00004)		(0.0001)		
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.530	0.588	0.614		
Adjusted R ²	0.529	0.587	0.613		
Note:	*.	p<0.1; **p<0	0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 41: Effect of TV on APs Passed

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing AP				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.034**	0.042***	0.039***		
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.013)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003		
_ ,	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002**	0.002*	0.001		
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)		
Observations	2,205	2,205	2,205		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.389	0.433	0.438		
Adjusted R ²	0.387	0.430	0.435		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 42: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

		Dependent v	variable:
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Limited	English Proficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.040***	0.039***	0.031***
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.002***	-0.002***
,	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0003)
# Hispanic Students	0.004***	0.004***	0.004***
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502
\mathbb{R}^2	0.430	0.431	0.486
Adjusted R ²	0.430	0.431	0.486

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 43: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Gifte	d Students)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
TV Dummy	0.016***	0.015**	0.013**			
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)			
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***			
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0002			
· · · /	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)			
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***			
" -	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)			
Observations	26,065	26,065	26,065			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.482	0.507	0.523			
Adjusted R^2	0.482	0.507	0.523			
Notes	*-> <0	1. *** < 0.05	. *** ~ < 0 (

Note:

Table 44: Robustness Check - APs Passed

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic APs Passed)					
		OLS		felm	OI	LS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TV Dummy	0.039^{***} (0.013)	0.049^{***} (0.017)	0.044*** (0.016)	0.044^{***} (0.017)	0.036^{***} (0.013)	0.032^* (0.018)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001* (0.0004)	0.0001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.001)	0.012*** (0.003)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.003** (0.002)	0.001 (0.004)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Total APs Passed					0.003*** (0.0001)	
Observations	2,205	2,205	1,525	1,525	1,525	1,095
$ m R^2$ Adjusted $ m R^2$	$0.438 \\ 0.435$	$0.444 \\ 0.441$	$0.481 \\ 0.477$	$0.481 \\ 0.477$	$0.649 \\ 0.646$	$0.516 \\ 0.510$

Table 45: Robustness Check - Gifted Students

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(Hispan	nic Gifted	Students)	
	0.	LS	felm	0.	LS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.013** (0.006)	0.035^{***} (0.007)	0.035 (0.023)	0.035^{***} (0.007)	0.030^{***} (0.008)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001* (0.001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001** (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002 (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
Total Gifted Students				0.011*** (0.0003)	
Observations	26,065	16,442	16,442	16,442	11,344
R^2 Adjusted R^2	$0.523 \\ 0.523$	$0.534 \\ 0.534$	$0.534 \\ 0.534$	$0.566 \\ 0.565$	$0.549 \\ 0.549$
Note:			*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01

41

Table 46: Spatial Robustness - Harassment

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# His	panic Victims of	Harassment)		
	OLS $spatial$ $spatia$ $autoregressive$ $error$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.003** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.003* (0.002)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0001^{**} (0.00002)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)	-0.0001^{**} (0.00003)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,811 0.012 0.012	40,811	40,811		
Log Likelihood σ^2		-4,304.916 0.072	-4,299.820 0.072		
Akaike Inf. Crit. Wald Test $(df = 1)$ LR Test $(df = 1)$		8,629.833 686.149*** 657.312***	8,619.640 686.981*** 667.505***		

Table 47: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

_		$D\epsilon$	pendent varial	ble:	
	D.	ummy for Hisp	oanic Out of So	chool Suspensi	on
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	0.092*** (0.030)	0.204*** (0.031)	0.064^* (0.033)	-0.006 (0.035)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** (0.0004)	-0.004^{***} (0.0004)	-0.004^{***} (0.0004)	-0.004^{***} (0.0005)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)
Log(Population)		0.074*** (0.007)	0.138*** (0.008)	0.135*** (0.009)	0.102*** (0.010)
% County Hispanic		1.714*** (0.069)	1.127*** (0.081)	1.210*** (0.088)	-1.383^{***} (0.109)
Log(Income)			-0.664^{***} (0.046)	-1.180^{***} (0.050)	-1.024^{***} (0.054)
# Teachers at School				0.031*** (0.0005)	0.010*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students					0.005*** (0.0001)
Total Students					0.0004*** (0.0001)
Contains Grade 1					-0.887^{***} (0.027)
Contains Grade 6					0.299*** (0.024)
Contains Grade 9					0.126*** (0.031)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$45,947 \\ -30,733.950 \\ 61,475.890$	$45,947 \\ -30,315.250 \\ 60,642.500$	$45,947 \\ -30,211.380 \\ 60,436.760$	$45,947 \\ -27,500.700 \\ 55,017.410$	$45,947 \\ -24,898.820 \\ 49,823.650$

Table 48: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

_	Dependent variable:						
		hisp_O(OSDum				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	-0.236^{***} (0.031)	-0.194^{***} (0.031)	-0.006 (0.035)			
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** (0.0004)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)	-0.003^{***} (0.0005)			
# Teachers at School		0.008*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)			
# Hispanic Students		0.004*** (0.0001)	0.005*** (0.0001)	0.005*** (0.0001)			
Total Students		0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)			
Contains Grade 1			-0.860^{***} (0.027)	-0.887^{***} (0.027)			
Contains Grade 6			0.318*** (0.024)	0.299*** (0.024)			
Contains Grade 9			0.133*** (0.031)	0.126*** (0.031)			
Log(Population)				0.102*** (0.010)			
% County Hispanic				-1.383^{***} (0.109)			
Log(Income)				-1.024^{***} (0.054)			
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	45,947 -30,733.950 61,475.890	$45,947 \\ -26,122.150 \\ 52,258.300$	$45,947 \\ -25,092.940 \\ 50,205.880$	$45,947 \\ -24,898.820 \\ 49,823.650$			

Table 49: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# Hi	ispanic Out	of School Su	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.343***	-0.061***	-0.024*	0.057***
	(0.016)	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.015)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001**	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***
	(0.0005)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.002***
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
# Teachers at School		0.006***	0.004***	0.006***
		(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
		(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00003)
Total Students		0.0002***	0.0001***	0.00004*
		(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.550***	-0.559***
			(0.011)	(0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.206***	0.191***
			(0.010)	(0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.019	0.009
			(0.013)	(0.013)
Log(Population)				0.064***
				(0.004)
% County Hispanic				-0.535***
				(0.041)
Log(Income)				-0.571***
				(0.022)
Observations	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947
\mathbb{R}^2	0.033	0.337	0.394	0.403
Adjusted R ²	0.033	0.337	0.394	0.403
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 50: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic Out of School Suspension) $\,$

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# H	lispanic Out	of School Sus	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.282*** (0.018)	-0.081^{***} (0.015)	-0.047^{***} (0.014)	0.033** (0.016)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.012*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance2	-0.0002^{***} (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)	-0.00004^{**} (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.008^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.006^{***} (0.001)
Distance2	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00005*** (0.00001)
# Teachers at School		0.006*** (0.0003)	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0002*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00004^* (0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.549^{***} (0.011)	-0.558^{***} (0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.207*** (0.010)	0.192*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.020 (0.013)	0.010 (0.013)
Log(Population)				0.067*** (0.004)
% County Hispanic				-0.550^{***} (0.042)
Log(Income)				-0.575^{***} (0.022)
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	45,947 0.034	45,947 0.337	45,947 0.395	45,947 0.404
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.034	0.337	0.395	0.403

Table 51: Effect of TV on APs Taken

-		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(Hispanic St	udents Taki	ng AP)
	OLS			felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	1.536*** (0.059)	0.556*** (0.062)	0.293*** (0.048)	0.240*** (0.048)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001 (0.002)	0.010*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.007^{***} (0.001)	-0.007^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.211*** (0.016)	0.087*** (0.013)	0.158*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic		4.406*** (0.157)	3.278*** (0.137)	2.327*** (0.147)
Log(Income)		0.474*** (0.088)	0.713*** (0.069)	0.942*** (0.082)
# Teachers at School			-0.0002 (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students			0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)
Total Students			0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1			-1.111^{***} (0.092)	-1.066^{***} (0.085)
Contains Grade 6			-0.348^{***} (0.062)	-0.487^{***} (0.057)
Contains Grade 9			0.295*** (0.088)	0.291*** (0.083)
Observations R^2	6,863 0.199	6,863 0.340	6,863 0.612	6,863 0.675
Adjusted R^2	0.199	0.339	0.611	0.679

Table 52: Effect of TV on APs Taken

_		Dependen	t variable:		
	# IHS	(Hispanic St	udents Taki	ng AP)	
		OLS		felm	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	0.833*** (0.046)	0.872*** (0.045)	0.293*** (0.048)	0.240*** (0.048)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	
# Teachers at School	0.0003 (0.001)	-0.0004 (0.001)	-0.0002 (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)	
Total Students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	
Contains Grade 1		-1.223^{***} (0.097)	-1.111^{***} (0.092)	-1.066^{***} (0.085)	
Contains Grade 6		-0.163^{**} (0.065)	-0.348^{***} (0.062)	-0.487^{***} (0.057)	
Contains Grade 9		0.397*** (0.093)	0.295*** (0.088)	0.291*** (0.083)	
Log(Population)			0.087*** (0.013)	0.158*** (0.014)	
% County Hispanic			3.278*** (0.137)	2.327*** (0.147)	
Log(Income)			0.713*** (0.069)	0.942*** (0.082)	
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	6,863 0.541	6,863 0.562	6,863 0.612	6,863 0.675	
Adjusted R^2	0.540	0.561	0.611	0.672	

Table 53: Effect of TV on APs Passed

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(Hispanic St	udents Passi	ing AP)
		OLS		felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.469*** (0.058)	0.212*** (0.056)	0.155*** (0.048)	0.226*** (0.050)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002 (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.002^* (0.001)	-0.001 (0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.002** (0.001)	-0.0005 (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.144*** (0.015)	0.102*** (0.013)	0.103*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic		1.390*** (0.127)	1.053*** (0.122)	0.978*** (0.130)
Log(Income)		-0.166** (0.075)	0.153** (0.065)	0.388*** (0.082)
# Teachers at School			-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)
# Hispanic Students			0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0005*** (0.00004)
Total Students			0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1			-0.254^* (0.136)	-0.087 (0.129)
Contains Grade 6			-0.237^{***} (0.074)	-0.294*** (0.070)
Contains Grade 9			0.169** (0.085)	-0.049 (0.089)
Observations R ²	2,342 0.069	2,342 0.224	2,342 0.446	2,342 0.520
Adjusted R^2	0.068	0.222	0.443	0.511

Table 54: Effect of TV on APs Passed

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	# IHS(Hispanic St	udents Passi	ing AP)
		OLS		felm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.331*** (0.047)	0.336*** (0.047)	0.155*** (0.048)	0.226*** (0.050)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002^* (0.001)	-0.001 (0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002^{**} (0.001)	-0.0005 (0.001)
# Teachers at School	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0005*** (0.00004)
Total Students	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1		-0.272^* (0.141)	-0.254^* (0.136)	-0.087 (0.129)
Contains Grade 6		-0.090 (0.076)	-0.237^{***} (0.074)	-0.294^{***} (0.070)
Contains Grade 9		0.203** (0.088)	0.169** (0.085)	-0.049 (0.089)
Log(Population)			0.102*** (0.013)	0.103*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic			1.053*** (0.122)	0.978*** (0.130)
Log(Income)			0.153** (0.065)	0.388*** (0.082)
Observations R ²	2,342 0.394	2,342 0.398	2,342 0.446	2,342 0.520
Adjusted R ²	0.393	0.396	0.443	0.511

Table 55: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(Hispa	nic # Limite	ed English F	Proficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.979***	0.287***	0.221***	0.068***
	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.020)	(0.022)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.005***	0.009***	0.008***	0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.008***	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.005***
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
# Teachers at School		0.0004	0.003***	0.003***
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005***	0.005***	0.004***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.00005	0.0002***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338***	0.334***
			(0.016)	(0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.280***	-0.281***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.836***	-0.840***
			(0.019)	(0.019)
Log(Population)				0.020***
3(1				(0.006)
% County Hispanic				0.994***
, o o o all o				(0.063)
Log(Income)				0.191***
Log(meome)				(0.033)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
\mathbb{R}^2	0.100	0.424	0.475	0.479
Adjusted R^2	0.099	0.424	0.475	0.479

Table 56: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

	Dependent variable:					
	Hispan	nic # Limite	d English Pro	oficiency		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	37.382***	-1.607**	-3.552***	-0.728		
	(1.171)	(0.798)	(0.779)	(0.869)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.213***			0.364***		
	(0.034)	(0.023)	(0.022)	(0.023)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.155***	0.037***	0.036***	0.010		
	(0.018)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)		
# Teachers at School		-0.058***	-0.0001	0.041**		
		(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)		
# Hispanic Students		0.318***	0.314***	0.322***		
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)		
Total Students		-0.036***	-0.032***	-0.037***		
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Contains Grade 1			16.884***	16.220***		
			(0.649)	(0.647)		
Contains Grade 6			-7.925***	-8.592***		
			(0.593)	(0.591)		
Contains Grade 9			-15.944***	-15.841***		
			(0.764)	(0.761)		
Log(Population)				3.729***		
				(0.234)		
% County Hispanic				-45.583***		
				(2.465)		
Log(Income)				-20.967***		
G(** *)				(1.315)		
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.059	0.583	0.604	0.608		
Adjusted R ²	0.059	0.583	0.604	0.608		

Table 57: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# H	ispanic Out	of School Su	spension)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.189*** (0.020)	0.053*** (0.016)	0.072*** (0.016)	0.033** (0.016)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.013*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance2	-0.0002^{***} (0.00002)	-0.00001 (0.00002)	-0.00003 (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.006^{***} (0.001)
Distance2	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00005*** (0.00001)
% County Hispanic	1.356*** (0.044)	-0.300^{***} (0.041)	-0.326^{***} (0.040)	-0.550^{***} (0.042)
Log(Population)	-0.218^{***} (0.023)	-0.430^{***} (0.019)	-0.371^{***} (0.019)	-0.575^{***} (0.022)
# Teachers at School		0.007*** (0.0003)	0.005*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00004^* (0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.545^{***} (0.011)	-0.558^{***} (0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.202*** (0.010)	0.192*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.011 (0.013)	0.010 (0.013)
Log(Income)				0.067*** (0.004)
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	45,947 0.067	45,947 0.344	45,947 0.400	45,947 0.404
Adjusted R ²	0.067	0.344	0.400	0.403

Table 58: Effect of TV on IHS (Hispanic # Harassment Victims)

		Depender	nt variable:	
	IHS(# Hispanic Vi	ctims of Haras	ssment)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.0003 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.0005 (0.002)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$
TV Dummy \times Distance ²	-0.00000^* (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)
Distance ²	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)
% County Hispanic	0.028** (0.012)	0.006 (0.013)	$0.005 \\ (0.013)$	0.016 (0.013)
Log(Population)	0.066*** (0.005)	0.051*** (0.005)	0.055^{***} (0.005)	0.069*** (0.006)
# Teachers at School		0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
# Hispanic Students		0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)
Total Students		-0.00003^{***} (0.00001)	-0.00003^{***} (0.00001)	-0.00002^{***} (0.00001)
Contains Grade 1			-0.037^{***} (0.003)	-0.036^{***} (0.003)
Contains Grade 6			0.028*** (0.003)	0.029*** (0.003)
Contains Grade 9			-0.010^{***} (0.004)	-0.010^{**} (0.004)
Log(Income)				-0.005^{***} (0.001)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,811 0.009 0.009	40,811 0.016 0.016	40,811 0.023 0.023	40,811 0.023 0.023

Table 59: Effect of TV on IHS(APs Taken)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(AI	Ps Taken by	Hispanic St	udents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.307***	0.223***	0.232***	0.166***
	(0.065)	(0.048)	(0.047)	(0.047)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.016***	0.007^{*}	0.006*	0.008**
	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
$\Gamma V Dummy \times Distance 2$	-0.0001^*	-0.00002	-0.00002	-0.00002
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002	0.003	0.003	-0.002
- ,	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Distance2	-0.00005	-0.0001*	-0.0001**	-0.00002
	(0.00005)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
% County Hispanic	2.358***	1.012***	1.042***	0.764***
v r	(0.124)	(0.108)	(0.107)	(0.111)
Log(Population)	-0.319***	-0.033	-0.044	-0.266***
	(0.072)	(0.054)	(0.054)	(0.060)
# Teachers at School		-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.005***
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
.,,		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Total Students		0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.532***	-0.564***
0.53.00			(0.126)	(0.124)
Contains Grade 6			-0.170**	-0.225***
0.1000			(0.068)	(0.067)
Contains Grade 9			0.153*	0.189**
Convenie Grade 9			(0.079)	(0.078)
Log(Income)				0.098***
rog(meome)				(0.012)
Observations	2,342	2,342	2,342	2,342
R^2	0.311	0.626	0.634	0.644
Adjusted R ²	0.309	0.624	0.632	0.642

Table 60: Effect of TV on IHS(APs Passed)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(A	Ps Passed by	Hispanic Str	udents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.305***	0.242***	0.251***	0.184***
	(0.061)	(0.052)	(0.052)	(0.052)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.005	-0.003	-0.004	-0.002
	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
TV Dummy × Distance2	-0.00004	0.00005	0.0001	0.00005
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.005	0.007**	0.008**	0.003
	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Distance2	-0.0001*	-0.0001***	-0.0001***	-0.0001
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
% County Hispanic	1.902***	1.306***	1.332***	1.053***
	(0.118)	(0.117)	(0.117)	(0.122)
Log(Population)	0.144**	0.383***	0.377***	0.153**
2 · · · ·	(0.069)	(0.058)	(0.059)	(0.065)
# Teachers at School		-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.004***
		(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Hispanic Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.0004***	0.0004***	0.0004***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.216	-0.248^*
			(0.137)	(0.136)
Contains Grade 6			-0.186**	-0.241***
			(0.074)	(0.074)
Contains Grade 9			0.133	0.169**
			(0.086)	(0.085)
Log(Income)				0.098***
,				(0.013)
Observations	2 242	2 242	2 242	2 242
R^2	$2,342 \\ 0.195$	$2,342 \\ 0.429$	$2,342 \\ 0.433$	$2,342 \\ 0.447$
Adjusted R^2	0.193	0.426	0.430	0.443

Table 61: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(Hispa	anic # Limite	ed English Pr	roficiency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.248***	0.047^{*}	0.014	0.002
	(0.030)	(0.025)	(0.024)	(0.024)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.038***	0.023***	0.020***	0.020***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
$\Gamma V Dummy \times Distance^2$	-0.0004***	-0.0002^{***}	-0.0002^{***}	-0.0002***
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.013***	-0.011^{***}	-0.010^{***}	-0.010***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance ²	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
	(0.00002)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
% County Hispanic	4.251***	0.986***	1.068***	0.995***
	(0.066)	(0.062)	(0.060)	(0.063)
Log(Population)	0.572***	0.375***	0.261***	0.194***
,	(0.035)	(0.029)	(0.028)	(0.034)
# Teachers at School		-0.0001	0.002***	0.003***
		(0.001)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005***	0.004***	0.004***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.0001***	0.0003***	0.0003***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338***	0.334***
			(0.016)	(0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.277***	-0.280***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.837***	-0.837***
			(0.019)	(0.019)
Log(Income)				0.022***
· ,				(0.006)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
$ m R^2$	0.178	0.428	0.479	0.479
Adjusted R^2	0.177	0.428	0.479	0.479

Table 62: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

(1) 0.388*** (0.027) 0.013*** (0.001)	(2) 0.123*** (0.023) 0.010*** (0.001)	ed English F (3) 0.079*** (0.022) 0.009***	Proficiency) (4) 0.068*** (0.022)
0.388*** (0.027) 0.013***	0.123*** (0.023) 0.010***	0.079*** (0.022)	0.068***
(0.027) 0.013***	(0.023) 0.010***	(0.022)	
0.013***	0.010***	,	(0.022)
		0.009***	
(0.001)	(0.001)		0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
-0.006***	-0.005***	-0.004***	-0.005***
(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
4.237***	0.977***	1.061***	0.994***
(0.066)	(0.062)	(0.060)	(0.063)
0.561***	0.367***	0.253***	0.191***
(0.035)	(0.029)	(0.028)	(0.033)
	-0.0001	0.002***	0.003***
	(0.001)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
	0.005***	0.004***	0.004***
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
	0.0001***	0.0003***	0.0003***
	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
		0.338***	0.334***
		(0.016)	(0.016)
		-0.278***	-0.281***
		(0.015)	(0.015)
		-0.840***	-0.840***
		(0.019)	(0.019)
			0.020***
			(0.006)
46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
0.175	0.427	0.479	0.479
0.175	0.427	0.479	0.479
	-0.006*** (0.0004) 4.237*** (0.066) 0.561*** (0.035)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 63: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

_		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS	(Hispanic #	Gifted Stude	nts)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.228***	0.074***	0.080***	0.068***
	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.029***	0.022***	0.022***	0.022***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
TV Dummy \times Distance2	-0.0003***	-0.0002^{***}	-0.0002^{***}	-0.0002***
	(0.00003)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.009***	-0.008***	-0.008***	-0.009***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance2	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***
	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)
% County Hispanic	4.585***	2.582***	2.644***	2.531***
	(0.059)	(0.057)	(0.056)	(0.060)
Log(Population)	0.952***	0.563***	0.630***	0.524***
	(0.036)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.037)
# Teachers at School		0.002***	0.001	0.001
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.441^{***}	-0.445^{***}
			(0.017)	(0.017)
Contains Grade 6			0.062***	0.061***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.297^{***}	-0.292***
			(0.021)	(0.021)
Log(Income)				0.030***
- ` '				(0.006)
Observations	28,577	28,577	28,577	28,577
R^2	0.309	0.516	0.532	0.533
Adjusted R^2	0.309	0.516	0.532	0.532

Table 64: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

		Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(Hispanic #	Gifted Stud	ents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.333***	0.149***	0.155***	0.144***
	(0.024)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.020)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.009***	0.008***	0.008***	0.008***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
% County Hispanic	4.584***	2.578***	2.640***	2.530***
	(0.059)	(0.057)	(0.056)	(0.060)
Log(Population)	0.960***	0.565***	0.630***	0.527***
	(0.036)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.037)
# Teachers at School		0.002***	0.001	0.001*
		(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.002***	0.002***	0.002***
··· -		(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Total Students		0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
		(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.442***	-0.446***
			(0.017)	(0.017)
Contains Grade 6			0.059***	0.058***
			(0.015)	(0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.303***	-0.298***
			(0.021)	(0.021)
Log(Income)				0.029***
· /				(0.006)
Observations	28,577	28,577	28,577	28,577
\mathbb{R}^2	0.306	0.514	0.531	0.531
Adjusted R^2	0.306	0.514	0.530	0.531

Table 65: Effect of TV on Hispanic Owned Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$				
		1	ousn		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	-629.356 (710.094)	-890.860 (723.788)	$-972.827 \\ (723.167)$	$ \begin{array}{c} -1,034.754 \\ (730.745) \end{array} $	
intersects:distance	273.627*** (59.975)	262.200*** (60.284)	227.195*** (60.435)	226.714*** (60.441)	
intersects:dist2	-4.708^{***} (1.054)	-4.592^{***} (1.056)	-3.760^{***} (1.062)	-3.753^{***} (1.062)	
distance	-48.278 (89.462)	-49.697 (89.461)	-54.057 (89.374)	-53.414 (89.382)	
dist2	$0.700 \\ (0.976)$	0.789 (0.977)	$1.028 \\ (0.977)$	0.986 (0.979)	
logPop		806.583* (432.786)	177.398 (441.730)	338.654 (519.367)	
pcHispanic			35,519.770*** (5,109.858)	35,021.800*** (5,179.078)	
income				-0.105 (0.177)	
Constant	$-603.995 \\ (1,547.216)$	-9,743.664* (5,142.300)	-5,111.201 $(5,180.251)$	-5,430.772 $(5,208.528)$	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	23,853 0.002 0.002	23,853 0.002 0.002	23,853 0.004 0.004	23,853 0.004 0.004	
Note:	0.002		*p<0.1; **p<0		

61

Table 66: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

		Dep	pendent vario	able:	
-			ihs(busn)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
intersects	0.263*** (0.020)	0.113*** (0.020)	0.113*** (0.020)	0.127*** (0.020)	0.139*** (0.018)
distance	0.036*** (0.003)	0.036*** (0.002)	0.036*** (0.002)	0.035*** (0.002)	0.034*** (0.002)
dist2	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00002)
logPop		0.463*** (0.012)	0.459*** (0.012)	0.421*** (0.014)	0.356*** (0.013)
pcHispanic			0.239* (0.142)	0.354** (0.144)	-0.687^{***} (0.127)
income				0.00002*** (0.00000)	0.00002*** (0.00000)
busnCount					0.014*** (0.0002)
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.001)
intersects:dist2	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0001** (0.00003)
Constant	-0.204^{***} (0.044)	-5.448^{***} (0.143)	-5.417^{***} (0.144)	-5.344^{***} (0.145)	-4.401^{***} (0.128)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	23,853 0.114 0.114	23,853 0.166 0.166	23,853 0.166 0.166	23,853 0.167 0.167	23,853 0.356 0.356

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 67: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses (50% threshold), 100 KM Radius

		Dependen	t variable:		
-	ihs(busnD)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
intersects	0.232*** (0.019)	0.103*** (0.019)	0.101*** (0.019)	0.113*** (0.019)	
distance	0.029*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	
dist2	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	
logPop		0.396*** (0.011)	0.378*** (0.012)	0.345*** (0.014)	
pcHispanic			1.026*** (0.134)	1.127*** (0.136)	
income				0.00002*** (0.00000)	
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	0.017^{***} (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	
intersects:dist2	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0003^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00003)	
Constant	-0.242^{***} (0.042)	-4.733^{***} (0.135)	-4.599^{***} (0.136)	-4.534^{***} (0.137)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	23,853 0.107 0.107	23,853 0.151 0.151	23,853 0.153 0.153	23,853 0.154 0.153	

Table 68: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Name Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:	
		ihs(hispFe	oodName)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	-0.0003 (0.003)	-0.005^* (0.003)	-0.005^* (0.003)	-0.005 (0.003)
distance	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)	-0.002^{***} (0.001)
dist2	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
logPop		0.025*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)
pcHispanic			0.408*** (0.018)	0.411*** (0.018)
income				0.00000 (0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.005*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)
intersects:dist2	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00001)
Constant	0.001 (0.007)	-0.286^{***} (0.021)	-0.220^{***} (0.021)	-0.217^{***} (0.021)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	20,404 0.055 0.055	20,404 0.064 0.064	20,404 0.087 0.087	20,404 0.087 0.087

Table 69: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

		Dependen	t variable:	
-		hispFood	dNameD	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.794*** (0.078)	0.790*** (0.098)	0.787*** (0.099)	0.905*** (0.103)
distance	0.051*** (0.016)	0.094*** (0.019)	0.094*** (0.019)	0.100*** (0.019)
dist2	-0.0004^{**} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)
logPop		0.920*** (0.055)	0.949*** (0.071)	0.750*** (0.075)
pcHispanic			-0.204 (0.312)	1.014*** (0.361)
income				0.0001*** (0.00002)
intersects:distance	0.029*** (0.005)	0.001 (0.006)	0.001 (0.006)	-0.002 (0.006)
intersects:dist2	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0002^{**} (0.0001)	-0.0002^{**} (0.0001)	-0.0001^* (0.0001)
Constant	-6.785^{***} (0.282)	-18.626^{***} (0.819)	-18.971^{***} (0.982)	-18.690^{***} (0.974)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$23,853 \\ -2,421.045 \\ 4,854.090$	$23,853 \\ -2,234.297 \\ 4,482.593$	$23,853 \\ -2,234.083 \\ 4,484.165$	$23,853 \\ -2,216.667 \\ 4,451.333$
Note:	*n<0.1: **n<0.05: ***n<0.01			

Table 70: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses, $50~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Depender	nt variable:	
		ihs(bus	snCount)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.104***	0.048***	0.047***	0.040**
	(0.018)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)
distance	-0.018***	-0.007^*	-0.008*	-0.007^*
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
dist2	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
logPop		0.280***	0.310***	0.331***
		(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.012)
pcHispanic			-1.483***	-1.554***
-			(0.105)	(0.107)
income				-0.00001***
				(0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.022***	0.012***	0.014***	0.014***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
intersects:dist2	-0.0003***	-0.0001***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***
	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)
Constant	0.426***	-2.825***	-3.067***	-3.120***
	(0.041)	(0.122)	(0.122)	(0.123)
Observations	20,404	20,404	20,404	20,404
\mathbb{R}^2	0.110	0.143	0.152	0.152
Adjusted R^2	0.109	0.143	0.151	0.152

Table 71: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, $50~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:	
		hispFoo	dNameD	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.345*** (0.095)	0.458*** (0.116)	0.449*** (0.116)	0.555*** (0.122)
distance	-0.160^{***} (0.036)	-0.064 (0.041)	-0.067 (0.041)	-0.051 (0.041)
dist2	0.004*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)
logPop		0.884*** (0.058)	0.951*** (0.078)	0.784*** (0.085)
pcHispanic			-0.433 (0.324)	0.522 (0.398)
income				0.0001*** (0.00002)
intersects:distance	0.094*** (0.011)	0.046*** (0.013)	0.046*** (0.013)	0.040*** (0.013)
intersects:dist2	-0.002^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)	-0.001^{***} (0.0003)
Constant	-5.275*** (0.312)	-16.934^{***} (0.893)	-17.725^{***} (1.090)	-17.264^{***} (1.074)
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$20,404 \\ -2,144.218 \\ 4,300.437$	$20,404 \\ -1,993.553 \\ 4,001.106$	$20,404 \\ -1,992.652 \\ 4,001.304$	$ 20,404 \\ -1,985.296 \\ 3,988.591 $

Table 72: Effect of TV on Hispanic Owned Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Dependen	nt variable:		
_	busnCount				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.018 (0.024)	-0.048^* (0.026)	-0.051^{**} (0.026)	-0.041 (0.026)	
distance	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.007^* (0.004)	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.006 (0.004)	
dist2	0.000** (0.000)	0.000** (0.000)	0.000* (0.000)	0.000^* (0.000)	
logPop		0.132*** (0.018)	0.058*** (0.019)	0.032 (0.020)	
origpcHisp			0.840*** (0.090)	1.026*** (0.103)	
origincome				0.00002*** (0.00001)	
inside:distance	0.012*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	
inside:dist2	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	
Constant	1.916*** (0.074)	0.375^* (0.218)	1.271*** (0.238)	1.231*** (0.238)	
Observations R ² Adjusted R ²	138,553 0.002 0.002	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.004 0.004	

Table 73: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

-		Dependen	t variable:			
	${\bf hispFoodName}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
inside	0.005^{***} (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)		
distance	0.00004 (0.0002)	-0.00000 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)		
dist2	0.000 (0.000)	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)		
logPop		0.007*** (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)		
origpcHisp			0.072^{***} (0.005)	0.071*** (0.005)		
origincome				-0.00000 (0.00000)		
inside:distance	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)		
inside:dist2	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000^{***} (0.000)		
Constant	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.085^{***} (0.011)	-0.008 (0.013)	-0.008 (0.013)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	138,553 0.002 0.002	138,411 0.003 0.003	138,411 0.005 0.004	138,411 0.005 0.004		
Noto		*n <0.1	. **-> <0.05.	*** ~ < 0 01		

Table 74: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_		Dependen	t variable:		
	hispFoodNameD				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.429*** (0.076)	0.207** (0.083)	0.219*** (0.081)	0.236*** (0.083)	
distance	0.001 (0.015)	0.012 (0.017)	0.012 (0.016)	0.014 (0.016)	
dist2	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
logPop		0.512*** (0.061)	0.177*** (0.065)	0.142** (0.070)	
origpcHisp			1.740*** (0.204)	1.973*** (0.276)	
origincome				0.00002 (0.00002)	
inside:distance	0.011** (0.005)	0.004 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	
inside:dist2	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000** (0.000)	-0.000^* (0.000)	-0.000^* (0.000)	
Constant	-6.266*** (0.268)	-12.443^{***} (0.803)	-8.218*** (0.831)	-8.190*** (0.833)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,727 \\ -6,768.276 \\ 13,548.550 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,711.180 \\ 13,436.360 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,674.295 \\ 13,364.590 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,673.528 \\ 13,365.060 \end{array} $	

Table 75: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (No Food), $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	Dependent variable: hispNameD				
-					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.448*** (0.077)	0.217** (0.085)	0.228*** (0.083)	0.246*** (0.085)	
distance	0.003 (0.015)	$0.015 \\ (0.017)$	0.015 (0.016)	0.016 (0.016)	
dist2	$0.000 \\ (0.000)$	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	
logPop		0.537*** (0.062)	0.190*** (0.066)	0.154** (0.072)	
origpcHisp			1.768*** (0.207)	2.006*** (0.279)	
origincome				0.00002 (0.00002)	
inside:distance	0.011** (0.005)	0.004 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)	
inside:dist2	-0.000^{***} (0.000)	-0.000** (0.000)	-0.000^* (0.000)	-0.000^* (0.000)	
Constant	-6.356*** (0.273)	-12.841^{***} (0.823)	-8.456*** (0.851)	-8.432^{***} (0.853)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,727 \\ -6,659.847 \\ 13,331.690 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,600.211 \\ 13,214.420 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,563.025 \\ 13,142.050 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 135,594 \\ -6,562.247 \\ 13,142.500 \end{array} $	

Table 76: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

_	Dependent variable: hispFoodNameD				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
inside	0.198	-0.028	-0.027	-0.020	
	(0.122)	(0.141)	(0.141)	(0.142)	
distance	0.003	-0.002	-0.002	-0.002	
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
logPop		0.334***	0.312**	0.285^{*}	
		(0.114)	(0.142)	(0.153)	
origpcHisp			0.096	0.282	
			(0.385)	(0.549)	
origincome				0.00002	
				(0.00004)	
inside:distance	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
Constant	-5.323***	-9.163***	-8.890***	-8.870***	
	(0.440)	(1.399)	(1.762)	(1.766)	
Observations	35,632	35,619	35,619	35,619	
Log Likelihood	-2,158.311	-2,153.251	-2,153.220	-2,153.111	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,324.622	4,316.502	4,318.440	4,320.221	

Table 77: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$						
		${\bf hispFoodNameD}$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
inside	0.643***	0.312***	0.320***	0.339***			
	(0.063)	(0.075)	(0.070)	(0.072)			
distance	0.001	-0.005	-0.001	-0.0001			
	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)			
logPop		0.682***	0.137^{*}	0.089			
		(0.072)	(0.070)	(0.077)			
origpcHisp			3.170***	3.464***			
			(0.245)	(0.315)			
origincome				0.00003			
				(0.00002)			
inside:distance	-0.002	-0.002	-0.005***	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)			
Constant	-6.591***	-14.701***	-7.811***	-7.756***			
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(0.224)	(0.898)	(0.860)	(0.861)			
Observations	100,095	99,975	99,975	99,975			
Log Likelihood	-4,606.295	-4,534.981	-4,450.675	-4,449.617			
Akaike Inf. Crit.	$9,\!220.589$	9,079.963	8,913.351	8,913.235			

Table 78: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		hispN	ameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
inside	0.212^{*}	-0.030	-0.030	-0.022		
	(0.123)	(0.142)	(0.142)	(0.143)		
distance	0.005	-0.001	-0.001	-0.0003		
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)		
logPop		0.359***	0.346**	0.317**		
		(0.116)	(0.146)	(0.157)		
origpcHisp			0.056	0.262		
01 1			(0.391)	(0.554)		
origincome				0.00002		
G				(0.00004)		
inside:distance	0.0004	0.002	0.002	0.001		
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)		
Constant	-5.387***	-9.523***	-9.362***	-9.349***		
	(0.444)	(1.432)	(1.815)	(1.820)		
Observations	35,632	35,619	35,619	35,619		
Log Likelihood	-2,122.827	,	,	-2,117.049		
Akaike Inf. Crit.	$4,\!253.653$	4,244.386	$4,\!246.365$	4,248.099		

Table 79: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	${\rm hispNameD}$						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
inside	0.661***	0.319***	0.328***	0.348***			
	(0.064)	(0.076)	(0.072)	(0.073)			
distance	0.002	-0.004	-0.001	0.001			
	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)			
logPop		0.710***	0.142**	0.094			
		(0.074)	(0.071)	(0.078)			
origpcHisp			3.233***	3.532***			
			(0.247)	(0.319)			
origincome				0.00003			
C				(0.00002)			
inside:distance	-0.002	-0.003	-0.005***	-0.005***			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)			
Constant	-6.671^{***}	-15.119***	-7.944***	-7.890***			
	(0.228)	(0.920)	(0.875)	(0.877)			
Observations	100,095	99,975	99,975	99,975			
Log Likelihood	-4,532.963	$-4,\!459.076$	-4,373.162	,			
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,073.926	8,928.151	8,758.323	8,758.214			

Table 80: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Owned Businesses), 100 KM Radius

		Dependen	t $variable$:
	IHS(# I	Hispanic (Owned Bu	sinesses)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.261*** (0.014)	0.122*** (0.014)	0.112*** (0.014)	0.132*** (0.015)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.010*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.412*** (0.011)	0.388*** (0.012)	
County % Hispanic			1.261*** (0.133)	1.414*** (0.136)
Log(Income)				0.391*** (0.070)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	23,853 0.095 0.095	23,853 0.143 0.142	23,853 0.146 0.146	23,853 0.147 0.147
Note:	*	p<0.1; **	p<0.05; *	**p<0.01

Table 81: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	IHS(# Hispanic (Owned Busin	esses)	${\it hhispFoodNameD}$	nhispFoodNa
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TV Dummy	0.839***	0.638***	0.637***	0.769***	0.849***	0.775***
	(0.052)	(0.066)	(0.066)	(0.071)	(0.077)	(0.071)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.008***	0.002	0.002	0.0002	-0.0002	0.0002
_ ,	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.010**	0.021***	0.021***	0.031***	0.035***	0.031***
,	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Log(Population)		0.957***	0.979***	0.702***	0.761***	0.701***
,		(0.052)	(0.070)	(0.074)	(0.081)	(0.074)
County % Hispanic			-0.151	1.428***	1.514***	1.434***
			(0.312)	(0.367)	(0.388)	(0.368)
Log(Income)				2.350***	2.534***	2.356***
30(33 3)				(0.319)	(0.344)	(0.320)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
Log Likelihood	-2,481.718	-2,261.043	-2,260.926	-2,235.719	*	-2,230.5
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,971.437	4,532.085	4,533.851	4,485.438	4,173.155	4,475.11

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p

Table 82: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, $100~\mathrm{KM}$ Radius

				Dependent	variable:		
	IHS(# Hisr	panic Owned	Businesses)	hhispNameD		hhispFoo	odNan
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(
TV Dummy	0.849*** (0.077)	1.071*** (0.115)	0.305*** (0.078)	1.164*** (0.077)	0.927*** (0.098)	0.596*** (0.118)	0.62 $(0.0$
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0002 (0.002)	-0.008 (0.007)	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.004)	0.042*** (0.010)	0.0
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.035^{***} (0.005)	0.123*** (0.021)	0.013*** (0.005)	0.044*** (0.006)	0.049*** (0.012)	-0.097^{***} (0.035)	0.02 $(0.0$
Total Businesses			0.023*** (0.001)				
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	95,373	20,404	14,386	10,
Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	-2,079.577 $4,173.155$	-2,057.114 $4,132.228$	-1,439.685 $2,895.371$	-3,335.795 $6,685.590$	-1,857.640 $3,729.280$	-1,222.360 $2,458.719$	-1,40 $2,95$

*p<0.1; **

Table 83: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched

	Dependent variable:			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-11.969 (7.846)	-11.325 (7.851)	-7.570 (7.887)	
TV Dummy \times County Distance to Boundary	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.0001)	
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	-2.693 (2.286)	-0.795 (2.498)	4.915* (2.836)	
Log(Population)			-95.812^{***} (24.228)	
County % Hispanic			-59.224^{***} (13.994)	
Log(Income)	0.0001 (0.001)	0.00000 (0.001)	0.0002 (0.001)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	4,780 0.002 0.001	4,780 0.003 0.001	4,780 0.006 0.005	
Note:	*p<0.1	; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01	

Table 84: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched

_	Dependent variable: Minutes TV watched					
_						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	-10.540*	-10.538*	-9.826*	-2.533		
	(5.392)	(5.392)	(5.406)	(5.690)		
Log(Population)		-2.835	-1.034	4.365		
J (2		(2.268)	(2.493)	(2.824)		
County % Hispanic			-33.840*	-88.946***		
v -			(19.476)	(23.732)		
Log(Income)				-55.728***		
				(13.758)		
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780	4,780		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.005		
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.004		
Note:		*p<0.1	l; **p<0.05	5; ***p<0.01		

Table 85: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, Hispanics

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	Minutes TV watched				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV Dummy	8.877 (15.588)	8.570 (15.656)	8.348 (15.663)	2.639 (13.939)	
TV Dummy \times County Distance to Boundary	0.00004 (0.0002)	0.00004 (0.0002)	0.00002 (0.0002)	-0.00003 (0.0002)	
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	-2.103 (4.604)	-2.249 (4.651)	-0.046 (5.689)	-1.589 (5.078)	
Log(Population)		6.435 (28.516)	-18.810 (47.130)	9.043 (43.265)	
County % Hispanic			-21.588 (32.082)	-8.609 (29.090)	
Log(Income)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.003** (0.001)	
Observations R^2	960	960	960	960	
Adjusted R^2	$0.009 \\ 0.003$	$0.009 \\ 0.002$	$0.010 \\ 0.002$	$0.012 \\ 0.004$	
Note:				***p<0.01	

Col 4 includes person weights

Table 86: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		Minutes T	V watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV:hispanic_d	11.671	12.598	8.463	8.959		
	(13.498)	(13.531)	(13.544)	(12.730)		
TV	-13.758	-13.809	-9.023	-8.444		
	(8.589)	(8.589)	(8.650)	(8.254)		
hispanic_d	-23.810***	-21.561**	-19.866**	-28.054***		
	(9.042)	(9.330)	(9.322)	(8.773)		
dist	0.0001	0.0001	0.00004	0.00005		
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
logPop	-1.426	-0.487	5.067^{*}	1.836		
	(2.331)	(2.521)	(2.845)	(2.763)		
pcHisp		-21.123	-78.271***	-57.893**		
		(21.579)	(25.508)	(25.079)		
income			-58.683***	-50.733***		
			(14.027)	(13.548)		
TV:dist	0.00005	0.00001	0.0002	0.001**		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780	4,780		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.010		
Adjusted R ²	0.002	0.002	0.006	0.008		

p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01Col 4 includes person weights

Table 87: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD $\,$

_		Dependent	nt variable:				
		Minutes TV watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV:hispanic_d	$14.817 \\ (12.894)$	15.884 (12.926)	$12.400 \\ (12.944)$	13.849 (12.279)			
TV	-16.195** (8.205)	-16.255** (8.205)	-12.236 (8.268)	-9.762 (7.962)			
hispanic_d	-9.354 (8.698)	-6.777 (8.969)	-5.407 (8.965)	-12.744 (8.547)			
dist	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	0.0001 (0.0001)	$0.0001 \\ (0.0001)$	0.0001 (0.0001)			
logPop	-1.667 (2.228)	-0.588 (2.409)	4.063 (2.719)	2.570 (2.665)			
pcHisp		-24.273 (20.616)	-72.145^{***} (24.380)				
income			-49.183^{***} (13.414)	-45.907*** (13.066)			
age	-2.853^{***} (0.759)	-2.859^{***} (0.759)	-2.786^{***} (0.758)	-3.226^{***} (0.670)			
sexMale	43.513*** (5.081)	43.614*** (5.082)	43.405*** (5.076)	37.027*** (4.866)			
age2	0.056*** (0.008)	0.056*** (0.008)	0.055*** (0.008)	0.056*** (0.007)			
TV:dist	0.0004 (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.0005 (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)			
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	4,780 0.092 0.089	4,780 0.092 0.089	4,780 0.094 0.092	4,780 0.080 0.078			

p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 Col 4 includes person weights

Table 88: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
_	Minutes TV watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV:hispanic_d	28.880	30.371*	24.891	19.202		
	(17.781)	(17.834)	(17.876)	(16.960)		
TV:hispanic_d:dist	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002		
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)		
TV	-20.327**	-20.487**	-16.061*	-12.353		
	(8.613)	(8.614)	(8.690)	(8.386)		
${ m hispanic_d}$	-24.319^*	-21.948	-19.635	-21.198		
	(13.549)	(13.725)	(13.722)	(12.939)		
dist	0.0001	0.0001	0.00004	0.0001		
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
logPop	-1.407	-0.420	4.149	2.650		
	(2.228)	(2.407)	(2.718)	(2.665)		
pcHisp		-22.436	-69.298***	-50.905**		
		(20.718)	(24.436)			
income			-48.396***	-44.856***		
			(13.423)	(13.074)		
age	-2.901***	-2.908***	-2.832***	-3.269***		
	(0.759)	(0.759)	(0.758)	(0.670)		
sexMale	43.478***	43.579***	43.367***	36.907***		
	(5.078)	(5.079)	(5.073)	(4.864)		
age 2	0.056***	0.056***	0.055***	0.057***		
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.007)		
TV:dist	0.0003	0.0002	0.0003	0.001*		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
hispanic_d:dist	0.00001	0.00001	-0.00000	-0.0001		
r	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780	4,780		
R^2	0.094	0.094	0.096	0.082		
Adjusted R^2	0.091	0.091	0.093	0.079		

 $^{*}p<0.1; ^{**}p<0.05; ^{***}p<0.01$ Col 4 includes person weights

Table 89: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD $\,$

		Dependen	t variable:	
-		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	$27.618 \\ (17.754)$	28.900 (17.806)	$24.167 \\ (17.852)$	17.954 (16.937)
TV:hispanic_d:dist	0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)
TV	-18.770^{**} (8.604)	-18.928** (8.606)	-15.147^* (8.680)	-10.703 (8.380)
$ m hispanic_d$	-24.806^* (14.686)	-22.280 (14.927)	-19.975 (14.931)	-28.266^{**} (14.019)
dist	0.00005 (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.0001)	0.00002 (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.0001)
logPop	0.198 (2.253)	1.049 (2.426)	4.968* (2.721)	3.490 (2.668)
pcHisp		-19.648 (20.760)	-61.220^{**} (24.551)	-42.356^* (24.299)
income			-42.648^{***} (13.476)	-40.364^{***} (13.098)
age	-2.564^{***} (0.764)	-2.567^{***} (0.764)	-2.523^{***} (0.763)	-3.064^{***} (0.678)
sexMale	43.026*** (5.069)	43.117*** (5.070)	42.964*** (5.066)	36.426*** (4.857)
age 2	0.052*** (0.008)	0.052*** (0.008)	0.052*** (0.008)	0.054*** (0.007)
foreign	-38.594*** (8.827)	-38.178*** (8.838)	-35.262*** (8.877)	-36.185^{***} (8.431)
TV:dist	0.0003 (0.001)	0.0003 (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)
hispanic_d:dist	0.00004 (0.0002)	0.00004 (0.0002)	0.00002 (0.0002)	-0.00005 (0.0002)
hispanic_d:foreign	26.540* (14.300)	25.346* (14.356)	22.933 (14.362)	37.668*** (13.617)
Observations R^2	4,780 0.098	4,780 0.098	4,780 0.100	4,780 0.086

 $85^{0.094}$

0.096

0.082

0.094

Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2

_		Dependen	t variable:	
		Minutes T	V watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	13.584*** (3.817)	14.477*** (3.824)	8.929** (3.828)	3.979 (4.248)
TV:hispanic_d:dist	-0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.0001 (0.0004)	0.0005 (0.0004)
TV	0.124 (1.943)	-0.077 (1.944)	5.097*** (1.959)	6.739*** (1.918)
$ m hispanic_d$	8.200*** (2.876)	10.281*** (2.925)	11.419*** (2.920)	15.899*** (3.314)
dist	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00005*** (0.00002)
$\log Pop$	-0.484 (0.504)	0.348 (0.548)	5.946*** (0.621)	7.063*** (0.636)
pcHisp		-18.065^{***} (4.644)	-75.363^{***} (5.525)	
income			-58.894^{***} (3.091)	
age	1.769*** (0.026)	1.771*** (0.026)	1.757*** (0.026)	1.871*** (0.031)
sexMale	2.348** (1.146)	2.351** (1.146)	2.265** (1.144)	1.724 (1.162)
sexNIU (Not in universe)	63.836 (129.939)	62.914 (129.929)	65.460 (129.673)	
age2		-0.002^{***} (0.0001)		
foreign		-39.545^{***} (2.640)		
$ ext{TV:dist}$		-0.0003^{**} (0.0002)		
${ m hispanic_d:dist}$		0.00001 (0.00004)		-0.00003 (0.00005)
${ m hispanic_d:} { m foreign}$		10.567*** (3.966)		

Observations

Table 91: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD $\,$

-		Dependent variable:				
		Minutes T	V watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$TV:hispanic_d$	13.610*** (3.825)	14.638*** (3.831)	8.777** (3.834)	$4.967 \\ (4.258)$		
$TV: hispanic_d: dist$	-0.0002 (0.0004)	-0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.00001 (0.0004)	0.0004 (0.0004)		
TV	-1.170 (1.944)	-1.392 (1.945)	4.096** (1.960)	5.751*** (1.920)		
hispanic_d	6.482** (2.807)	8.919*** (2.858)	10.360*** (2.853)	10.619*** (3.204)		
dist	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)		
logPop	-1.595^{***} (0.502)	-0.618 (0.546)	5.294*** (0.621)	6.170*** (0.636)		
pcHisp		-21.004^{***} (4.647)	-80.740^{***} (5.521)	-98.166*** (5.712)		
income			-61.591^{***} (3.090)	-66.351^{***} (3.100)		
age	1.535*** (0.032)	1.538*** (0.032)	1.531*** (0.032)	1.753*** (0.036)		
sexMale	2.377** (1.148)	2.378** (1.148)	2.285** (1.145)	1.802 (1.164)		
sexNIU (Not in universe)		-9.257 (130.165)				
age2	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)		
cases		-3.962^{***} (0.491)		-1.480^{***} (0.547)		
TV:dist		-0.0004^{**} (0.0002)		-0.0004^{***} (0.0002)		
hispanic_d:dist	0.00002 (0.00004)					
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	91,315 0.057	91,315 0.057	91,315 0.061	91,315 0.058		

87 0.057

0.056

0.058

0.061

Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2

Table 92: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

_		Depender	Dependent variable:					
		Minutes T	V watched					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
TV Dummy	-1.170	-1.392	4.096**	5.030**				
	(1.944)	(1.945)	(1.960)	(1.958)				
TV Dummy × Hispanic	13.610***	14.638***	8.777**	7.911**				
	(3.825)	(3.831)	(3.834)	(3.829)				
Hispanic dummy	-0.0003**	-0.0004**	-0.0002	-0.0002				
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)				
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	-0.0002	-0.0003	-0.00001	-0.00001				
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)				
$TV \times Distance \times Hispanic$	6.482**	8.919***	10.360***	13.476***				
	(2.807)	(2.858)	(2.853)	(2.932)				
$TV \times Distance$	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***				
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)				
Hispanic × Distance	0.00002	0.00001	0.00000	0.00000				
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)				
Log(Population)	-1.595***	-0.618	5.294***	5.865***				
	(0.502)	(0.546)	(0.621)	(0.621)				
County % Hispanic		-21.004***	-80.740***	-75.214***				
		(4.647)	(5.521)	(5.524)				
Log(Income)			-61.591***	-58.764***				
			(3.090)	(3.090)				
Foregin-born				-36.735***				
				(2.638)				
Foreign-born Hispanic				9.724**				
				(3.957)				
Observations	91,315	91,315	91,315	91,315				
\mathbb{R}^2	0.057	0.057	0.061	0.064				
Adjusted R^2	0.056	0.057	0.061	0.063				

Table 93: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

		$Dependent\ variable:$					
_		Minutes 7	TV watched				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
TV Dummy	-4.863***	-4.862***	3.746***	4.740***			
	(1.347)	(1.347)	(1.425)	(1.426)			
TV Dummy × Hispanic	10.569***	11.377***	7.246***	6.643**			
	(2.748)	(2.753)	(2.761)	(2.758)			
Hispanic dummy	7.557***	9.304***	10.370***	13.361***			
	(1.765)	(1.818)	(1.815)	(1.909)			
Log(Population)	-1.375***	-0.487	5.438***	6.008***			
,	(0.499)	(0.549)	(0.625)	(0.626)			
County % Hispanic		-17.970***	-77.870***	-72.191***			
· -		(4.573)	(5.493)	(5.489)			
Log(Income)			-61.603***	-58.683***			
			(3.048)	(3.041)			
Foregin-born				-37.520***			
g				(2.419)			
Foreign-born Hispanic				10.388***			
- ·				(3.773)			
Observations	91,315	91,315	91,315	91,315			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.055	0.055	0.059	0.062			
Adjusted R ²	0.055	0.055	0.059	0.062			

Table 94: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched on foreign-born, DD

	Dependent variable:				
	Minu	tes TV wa	tched		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.910	0.950	2.695		
	(4.581)	(4.581)	(4.743)		
TV Dummy × Hispanic	12.248*	11.822*	11.268		
	(6.955)	(6.957)	(6.989)		
Hispanic dummy	22.155***	20.681***	20.885***		
	(4.785)				
Log(Population)	1.354	0.614	2.051		
8((1.496)				
County % Hispanic		15.126	-3.025		
County // IIIspanie		(11.678)			
Log(Ingomo)			-16.121*		
Log(Income)			-10.121 (8.681)		
Observations	8,929	8,929	8,929		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.039	0.040	0.040		
Adjusted R ²	0.039	0.039	0.039		
Note:	*p<0.1;	**p<0.05;	***p<0.01		

Table 95: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with family, ${\rm DD}$

		Depender	nt variable:	
		Minutes 7	ΓV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy			-1.964**	
	(0.859)	(0.859)	(0.912)	(0.914)
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	2.716	2.408	1.413	1.202
	(1.916)	(1.918)	(1.921)	(1.922)
Hispanic dummy	5.025***	4.365***	4.612***	3.970***
	(1.275)	(1.303)	(1.303)	(1.375)
Log(Population)	-2.975***	-3.312***	-1.879***	-1.808***
,	(0.331)	(0.372)	(0.412)	(0.412)
County % Hispanic		6.825**	-7.668**	-7.135^*
		(3.179)	(3.652)	(3.655)
Log(Income)			-14.904***	-14.653***
- ((1.929)	(1.931)
Foregin-born				-5.831***
_				(1.576)
Foreign-born Hispanic				7.131***
				(2.603)
Observations	91,315	91,315	91,315	91,315
\mathbb{R}^2	0.035	0.035	0.036	0.036
Adjusted R ²	0.035	0.035	0.036	0.036
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	s; ***p<0.01

Table 96: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched socially, DD $\,$

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		Minutes 7	ΓV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	-5.213***	-5.213***	-2.769***	-2.516**		
	(0.928)	(0.928)	(0.985)	(0.987)		
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	2.267	2.350	1.183	0.953		
	(2.033)	(2.037)	(2.042)	(2.043)		
Hispanic dummy	7.691***	7.871***	8.161***	7.926***		
	(1.349)	(1.382)	(1.382)	(1.460)		
Log(Population)	-3.024***	-2.933***	-1.251***	-1.135**		
,	(0.353)	(0.394)	(0.441)	(0.442)		
County % Hispanic		-1.852	-18.855***	-17.853***		
		(3.347)	(3.906)	(3.910)		
Log(Income)			-17.485***	-16.988***		
			(2.101)	(2.102)		
Foregin-born				-8.529***		
				(1.681)		
Foreign-born Hispanic				6.872**		
				(2.756)		
Observations	91,315	91,315	91,315	91,315		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.025	0.025	0.026	0.026		
Adjusted R ²	0.025	0.025	0.026	0.026		
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	5; ***p<0.01		

Table 97: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with parent, DD

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	M	inutes TV	watched	l		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
TV Dummy	-0.217**	-0.217**	-0.165	-0.164		
	(0.110)	(0.110)	(0.116)	(0.116)		
TV Dummy × Hispanic	0.490**	0.434**	0.409*	0.402*		
	(0.218)	(0.217)	(0.217)	(0.218)		
Hispanic dummy	0.239*	0.119	0.125	0.053		
	(0.129)	(0.135)	(0.135)	(0.139)		
Log(Population)	0.064	0.003	0.039	0.037		
	(0.044)	(0.046)	(0.049)	(0.049)		
County % Hispanic		1.240***	0.879**	0.851**		
		(0.383)	(0.437)	(0.434)		
Log(Income)			-0.372	-0.387		
			(0.238)	(0.236)		
Foregin-born				0.038		
				(0.205)		
Foreign-born Hispanic				0.339		
				(0.316)		
Observations	91,315	91,315	91,315	91,315		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001		
Adjusted R ²	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001		
Note:	*1	o<0.1; **p<	<0.05; **	*p<0.01		

Table 98: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with parent, DD

	Dependent variable:				
		Minutes T	V watched		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV	-5.109^{***} (0.962)	-5.109^{***} (0.962)	-0.846 (0.985)	-0.363 (0.985)	
$hispanic_d$	-2.755** (1.288)	-1.923 (1.307)	-1.417 (1.306)	0.063 (1.342)	
parent	-165.219*** (0.838)	-165.219^{***} (0.838)	-165.219^{***} (0.837)	-165.219*** (0.837)	
logPop	-0.749^{***} (0.252)	-0.324 (0.276)	2.610*** (0.313)	2.891*** (0.314)	
pcHisp		-8.591^{***} (2.285)	-38.256*** (2.733)	-35.481^{***} (2.736)	
income				-29.076^{***} (1.546)	
foreign				$-18.254^{***} $ (1.338)	
$TV: hispanic_d$	13.266*** (1.980)	13.653*** (1.983)	11.616*** (1.983)	11.349*** (1.983)	
TV:parent	5.381*** (1.358)	5.381*** (1.358)	5.381*** (1.357)	5.381*** (1.356)	
$hispanic_d:parent$	15.276*** (1.784)	15.276*** (1.784)	15.276*** (1.782)	15.276*** (1.781)	
$hispanic_d: for eign$				4.689** (2.007)	
$TV: hispanic_d: parent$	$-16.891^{***} (2.792)$			-16.891^{***} (2.787)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	182,630 0.313 0.312	182,630 0.313 0.313	182,630 0.314 0.314	182,630 0.315 0.315	
Note:		*p	<0.1; **p<0.0	05; ***p<0.01	

Table 99: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched with children, DD

		Depende	nt variable:	
		Minutes '	TV watched	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-1.340***	-1.340***	0.560	0.598
	(0.501)	(0.501)	(0.538)	(0.539)
TV Dummy \times Hispanic	3.885***	3.881***	2.975**	2.977**
	(1.297)	(1.297)	(1.300)	(1.300)
Hispanic dummy	6.306***	6.296***	6.525***	6.991***
	(0.844)	(0.872)	(0.872)	(0.947)
Log(Population)	-0.326	-0.331	0.988***	1.022***
	(0.202)	(0.229)	(0.260)	(0.260)
County % Hispanic		0.094	-13.258***	-12.856***
		(2.058)	(2.388)	(2.396)
Log(Income)			-13.759***	-13.541***
			(1.267)	(1.267)
Foregin-born				-1.913**
				(0.812)
Foreign-born Hispanic				-0.966
				(1.503)
Observations	72,751	72,751	72,751	72,751
\mathbb{R}^2	0.042	0.042	0.044	0.044
Adjusted R ²	0.042	0.042	0.043	0.044
Note:		*p<0	0.1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 100: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	-0.075^{***} (0.008)	-0.092^{***} (0.008)	-0.079^{***} (0.008)	-0.083^{***} (0.008)	-0.099^{***} (0.008)
% Programs on Education		-5.364^{***} (0.310)			-12.950^{***} (1.361)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			-3.281^{***} (0.517)		8.200*** (0.787)
% Programs with Good Role Models				-16.838^{***} (1.031)	13.267*** (4.204)
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791
Note:			*p<	(0.1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01

Table 101: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	$Dependent\ variable:$							
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
TV Dummy	-0.075^{***} (0.008)	-0.075^{***} (0.008)	-0.077^{***} (0.008)	-0.073^{***} (0.008)	-0.069^{***} (0.008)			
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0002 (0.0002)	0.0002 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0005*** (0.0002)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.003^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)			
% Programs on Education		-0.797^{**} (0.371)			1.568 (1.982)			
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			3.733*** (0.591)		10.420*** (1.129)			
% Programs with Good Role Models				-5.399^{***} (1.114)	-23.592^{***} (4.976)			
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	26,791 0.437 0.437	26,791 0.438 0.437	26,791 0.438 0.438	26,791 0.438 0.438	26,791 0.442 0.441			

Table 102: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.0004	-0.001	0.004	-0.0005	-0.0001		
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.0003**	0.0002*	0.0005***	0.0002*	0.001***		
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002	0.0002	-0.0003	0.0001	-0.001		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)		
% Programs on Education		-0.355			-2.700**		
g		(0.247)			(1.082)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			3.141***		8.119***		
			(0.409)		(0.626)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				-1.801**	-4.570		
,,,8				(0.820)	(3.343)		
Observations	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.415	0.415	0.416	0.415	0.419		
Adjusted R ²	0.415	0.415	0.416	0.415	0.419		

Table 103: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.0004 (0.006)	-0.0004 (0.006)	-0.002 (0.006)	-0.0001 (0.006)	$0.005 \\ (0.006)$		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0003** (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002 (0.0004)	0.0005 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)	0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.001 (0.0005)		
% Programs on Education		1.275*** (0.294)			3.710** (1.567)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			5.793*** (0.467)		9.058*** (0.892)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				0.935 (0.883)	-21.686^{***} (3.935)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	26,786 0.415 0.415	26,786 0.416 0.415	26,786 0.418 0.418	26,786 0.415 0.415	26,786 0.421 0.421		
Note:			*p<0.1	1; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01		

Table 104: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

	$Dependent\ variable:$						
	IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.098*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.101*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.096*** (0.009)		
% Programs on Education		-0.205 (0.343)			-3.184^{**} (1.509)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			2.969*** (0.568)		7.412*** (0.871)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				-1.078 (1.138)	-1.319 (4.662)		
Observations	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147		
Note:			*p<0.1:	**p<0.05;	***p<0.01		

Table 105: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on $\operatorname{IHS}(\operatorname{LEP})$

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
TV Dummy	0.098*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.096*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.120*** (0.009)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	
% Programs on Education		1.653*** (0.407)			24.006*** (2.175)	
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			4.223*** (0.648)		-1.639 (1.240)	
% Programs with Good Role Models				0.619 (1.224)	-66.924*** (5.465)	
Observations	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	
R^2 Adjusted R^2	0.488 0.488	0.488 0.488	0.489 0.488	0.488 0.488	$0.491 \\ 0.491$	

Table 106: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable:								
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
TV	-0.075***	0.542***	0.454***	0.777***	0.880***				
	(0.008)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.051)	(0.055)				
TV:origdist	0.0002	-0.002***	-0.001***	-0.002***	-0.002***				
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)				
TV:word_edu_mean		-3.882***			4.093***				
		(0.255)			(0.745)				
TV:word_latin_mean			-4.783***		-4.942***				
			(0.370)		(0.535)				
TV:word_rolemodel_mean				-15.917***	-20.446***				
				(0.939)	(2.558)				
origdist	-0.003***	0.001*	-0.001*	0.0004	0.001				
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)				
word_edu_mean		0.775			-25.798***				
		(0.507)			(2.439)				
word_latin_mean			3.934***		18.160***				
			(0.760)		(1.340)				
word_rolemodel_mean				6.984***	61.266***				
				(1.740)	(6.936)				
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791				
\mathbb{R}^2	0.437	0.448	0.442	0.449	0.453				
Adjusted R ²	0.437	0.448	0.442	0.449	0.453				

Note: p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 107: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on $\mathrm{IHS}(\mathrm{LEP})$

	Dependent variable:								
	IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
TV	0.098*** (0.008)	0.714^{***} (0.047)	0.535*** (0.046)	0.759*** (0.057)	0.723*** (0.061)				
TV:origdist	0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.0001 (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)	-0.001^{***} (0.0002)				
$TV:word_edu_mean$		-3.778^{***} (0.283)			-3.823^{***} (0.830)				
TV:word_latin_mean			-3.886^{***} (0.408)		-1.399** (0.596)				
$TV: word_role model_mean$				$-12.240^{***} (1.042)$	2.927 (2.851)				
origdist	0.006*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)				
word_edu_mean		5.758*** (0.562)			6.132** (2.712)				
word_latin_mean			8.823*** (0.837)		8.194*** (1.491)				
word_rolemodel_mean				17.216*** (1.927)	-15.299** (7.711)				
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	27,147 0.488 0.488	27,147 0.491 0.491	27,147 0.490 0.490	27,147 0.490 0.490	27,147 0.492 0.492				

Table 108: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims)

$Dependent\ variable:$						
IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims)						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
-0.0003 (0.002)	-0.0001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.00005 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)		
0.00003 (0.00004)	0.00003 (0.00004)	-0.00004 (0.00004)	0.00004 (0.00004)	-0.0001** (0.00004)		
-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0003^{**} (0.0001)		
	0.055 (0.071)			-0.520^* (0.310)		
		-0.830^{***} (0.117)		-1.939^{***} (0.180)		
			0.573** (0.234)	4.982*** (0.956)		
26,734 0.026 0.025	26,734 0.026 0.025	26,734 0.028 0.027	26,734 0.026	26,734 0.032 0.031		
	(1) -0.0003 (0.002) 0.00003 (0.00004) -0.001*** (0.0001)	IHS(# Hispa (1) (2) -0.0003 -0.0001 (0.002) (0.002) 0.00003 0.00003 (0.00004) (0.00004) -0.001*** -0.001*** (0.0001) (0.0001) 0.055 (0.071) 26,734 26,734 0.026 0.026	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims (1) (2) (3) (4) (2) -0.0003 -0.0001 -0.001 -0.00005 (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.002) (0.0004) (0.00004) (0.00004) (0.00004) (0.00004) (0.00004) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) (0.0001) $(0.573^{**}$ (0.234) $(0.26, 0.026, 0.028, 0.026)$		

Table 109: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted Students)

	Dependent variable:						
	IHS(# Hispanic Gifted Students)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.033^{***} (0.007)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.043*** (0.007)	0.037^{***} (0.007)	0.030^{***} (0.008)		
% Programs on Education		1.699*** (0.287)			-8.613^{***} (1.386)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			5.567*** (0.495)		9.431*** (0.828)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				6.139*** (0.948)	20.200*** (4.227)		
Observations	16,866	16,866	16,866	16,866	16,866		
Note:	·	·	*p<0.1;	**p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 110: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
TV Dummy	0.096*** (0.018)	0.097*** (0.018)	0.103*** (0.018)	0.098*** (0.018)	0.070^{***} (0.019)		
% Programs on Education		0.439 (0.777)			-21.669^{***} (3.337)		
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			4.440*** (1.279)		10.318*** (1.926)		
% Programs with Good Role Models				4.704* (2.586)	60.015*** (10.347)		
Observations	3,945	3,945	3,945	3,945	3,945		
Note:			*p<0.1	l; **p<0.0	5; ***p<0.01		

Table 111: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.002 (0.004)	-0.004 (0.004)	-0.004 (0.004)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)	-0.001^{***} (0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0001 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)		
# Asian Students	0.007*** (0.0001)	0.006*** (0.0001)	0.006*** (0.0001)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,869 0.399 0.399	40,869 0.449 0.449	40,869 0.452 0.451		
Note:	*p<0	.1; **p<0.05	b: ***p<0.01		

Table 112: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Chronically Absent)

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	IHS(# W	IHS(# White Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
TV Dummy	-0.024^{***} (0.006)	-0.026^{***} (0.006)	-0.028^{***} (0.006)			
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0002 (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)			
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002^{***} (0.0003)	-0.002^{***} (0.0003)	-0.002^{***} (0.0003)			
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00002)	0.003*** (0.00003)	0.003*** (0.00003)			
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,869 0.413 0.413	40,869 0.427 0.427	40,869 0.429 0.429			

Table 113: Effect of TV on IHS(# Black Chronically Absent)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Black Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.140***	-0.154***	-0.152^{***}
	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0002	-0.0003*	-0.0002
v	(0.0002)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.003***
,	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)
# Asian Students	0.001***	-0.003***	-0.003***
,,	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869
\mathbb{R}^2	0.172	0.279	0.282
Adjusted R ²	0.171	0.279	0.282
Note:	*p<0.	.1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01

Table 114: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Suspended)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.002	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.00001	-0.0001^*	-0.00004
	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0001	0.0002**	0.0002**
,	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
# Asian Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
"	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864
\mathbb{R}^2	0.140	0.198	0.217
Adjusted R ²	0.140	0.198	0.217
Note:	*p<0.1	; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 115: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Suspended)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.026***	-0.027^{***}	-0.026***
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0001	-0.0004***	-0.0003***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004	-0.0002	-0.0001
,	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
# White Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***
,,	(0.00002)	(0.00003)	(0.00002)
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864
\mathbb{R}^2	0.313	0.346	0.412
Adjusted R ²	0.313	0.346	0.412
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 116: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian reported bullying)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian reported bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00004)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00004)	-0.0002^{***} (0.00004)
# Asian Students	0.0003*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,811 0.042 0.041	40,811 0.045 0.045	40,811 0.049 0.049
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 117: Effect of TV on IHS(# White reported bullying)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White reported bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.00004 (0.00003)	-0.00001 (0.00003)	-0.00001 (0.00003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0004^{***} (0.0001)
# White Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,811 0.023 0.022	40,811 0.026 0.026	40,811 0.032 0.032
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 118: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian victim bullying)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian victim bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.00003*** (0.00001)	-0.00003*** (0.00001)	-0.00003*** (0.00001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)	-0.0001^{***} (0.00002)
# Asian Students	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)
Observations \mathbb{R}^2	40,811	40,811	40,811
Adjusted R^2	$0.028 \\ 0.028$	$0.030 \\ 0.030$	0.033 0.032

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Note:

Table 119: Effect of TV on IHS(# White victim bullying)

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(# White victim bullying)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.004** (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	0.003^* (0.002)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0001^{***} (0.00003)	-0.00004 (0.00003)	-0.00003 (0.00003)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)		
# White Students	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	40,811 0.042 0.042	40,811 0.050 0.050	40,811 0.062 0.062		

Table 120: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Taken)

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(7	# Asian APs	Taken)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.039***	0.033***	0.030***	
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.009)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001**	0.001**	0.001*	
	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	
# Asian Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
ihs(asian_students)	0.831***	0.782***	0.774***	
,	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
hisp_students	0.0001***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***	
1	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00003)	
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.811	0.816	0.828	
Adjusted R ²	0.811	0.815	0.828	

Table 121: Effect of TV on IHS(# White APs Taken)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White APs Taken)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.046*** (0.017)	0.034** (0.017)	0.029^* (0.016)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0002 (0.0003)	-0.0001 (0.0003)	0.00001 (0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.0005 (0.001)	
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.00005)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	6,089 0.526 0.525	6,089 0.543 0.542	6,089 0.584 0.583	
Note:	*p<0.1	; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01	

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 122: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.069***	0.085***	0.082***
	(0.016)	(0.021)	(0.021)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0003	0.0001	0.0002
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003***	0.004***	0.004***
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# Asian Students	0.001***	0.003***	0.003***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	0.792***		
	(0.026)		
Observations	1,552	1,552	1,552
\mathbb{R}^2	0.702	0.527	0.536
Adjusted R ²	0.701	0.524	0.533
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01

111

Table 123: 50 KM Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable:		
	IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.035^{***} (0.013)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.0004 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)
# Asian Students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	-0.026^* (0.013)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	1,759 0.360 0.357	1,759 0.364 0.361	1,759 0.365 0.361
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01

Table 124: 25 KM Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	Dependent variable:		
	IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.135***	0.158***	0.161***
	(0.030)	(0.038)	(0.038)
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.003	-0.005^*	-0.006*
, and the second	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.016**	0.026***	0.027***
	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.009)
# Asian Students	0.0005***	0.002***	0.002***
"	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	0.763***		
	(0.040)		
Observations	587	587	587
\mathbb{R}^2	0.686	0.495	0.509
Adjusted R ²	0.681	0.487	0.499
Note:	*p<0.1; *	**p<0.05; *	***p<0.01

Table 125: Effect of TV on IHS(# White APs Passed)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.005	-0.013	-0.022
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.015)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001**	0.001***	0.001***
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001	0.001	0.001
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
# White Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
"	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)
Observations	3,543	3,543	3,543
\mathbb{R}^2	0.472	0.479	0.515
Adjusted R^2	0.471	0.478	0.514
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 126: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Limited English Proficiency)

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# Asi	an Limited E	nglish Proficiency)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.016^{***}	-0.020***	-0.025***	
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
, and the second	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002***	0.003***	0.002***	
,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	
# Asian Students	0.008***	0.006***	0.006***	
"	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.309	0.342	0.392	
Adjusted R ²	0.309	0.341	0.392	
Note:		*p<0.1; **	p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 127: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Limited English Proficiency)

		$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# W	hite Limited	English Proficiency)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.004	0.001	-0.002		
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
v	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***		
,	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.0001***	-0.00001		
" -	(0.00003)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)		
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.157	0.206	0.263		
Adjusted R^2	0.157	0.206	0.262		
Note:		*p<0.1; '	**p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 128: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Gifted)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# Asian Gifted)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	$0.005 \\ (0.006)$	0.003 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.0002^* (0.0001)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)	-0.0003^{***} (0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	
# Asian Students	0.012*** (0.0001)	0.010*** (0.0001)	0.010*** (0.0001)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	26,065 0.497 0.497	26,065 0.537 0.536	26,065 0.551 0.551	
Note:	*n<	1. **p<0.0	5· ***n<0.01	

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 129: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Gifted)

	Dependent variable: IHS(# White Gifted)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.004	-0.008	-0.010	
	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.006)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.00005	0.0001	0.0001	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001	0.0004	0.0004	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
# White Students	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***	
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
Observations	26,065	26,065	26,065	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.460	0.464	0.494	
Adjusted R ²	0.459	0.464	0.494	
Notes	*n<0.1. **n<0.05. ***n<0.01			

Note:

Table 130: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr8 Passed

	Dependent variable:			
	IHS(Hispan	nic Students Pa	assing Gr 8 Algebra)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.032***	0.029***	0.016^*	
•	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	-0.0004**	-0.0004**	-0.0004**	
, and the second	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00005)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	2,402	2,402	2,402	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.368	0.371	0.424	
Adjusted R ²	0.366	0.369	0.421	
Note:		*p<0.1;	**p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 131: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr9-10 Passed

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing Gr 9-10 Algebra				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	-0.004	-0.006	-0.013		
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.008)		
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
, and the second	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.001**		
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***		
"	(0.00002)	(0.00003)	(0.00003)		
Observations	4,533	4,533	4,533		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.580	0.584	0.616		
Adjusted R ²	0.580	0.583	0.615		

Table 132: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr $11\mbox{-}12$ Passed

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing Gr 11-12 Algebra				
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
TV Dummy	0.027	0.033	0.033		
	(0.023)	(0.023)	(0.023)		
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.001^*		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001	0.002	0.002		
,	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)		
# Hispanic Students	0.0001***	0.0002***	0.0002***		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Observations	446	446	446		
R^2	0.050	0.067	0.080		
Adjusted R ²	0.035	0.048	0.054		

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 133: Effect of TV on AP Math Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled AP Math)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.010	0.003	-0.003	
	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.014)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
v v	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002***	-0.003***	-0.003***	
Ţ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
" 1	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
Observations	4,921	4,921	4,921	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.486	0.513	0.529	
Adjusted R^2	0.485	0.512	0.528	

Note:

Table 134: Effect of TV on AP Science Enrollment

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled AP Science)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.075***	0.062***	0.059***	
	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.015)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
, and the second	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002**	-0.002***	-0.003***	
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	4,630	4,630	4,630	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.519	0.542	0.558	
Adjusted R ²	0.518	0.541	0.557	
Note:		*p<0.1;	**p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 135: Effect of TV on Adv. Math Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Adv. Math)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.006	-0.020	-0.027**	
	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.013)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.005***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
II Tisponio stadenos	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	7,177	7,177	7,177	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.468	0.534	0.557	
Adjusted R ²	0.467	0.533	0.556	
Note:		*p<0.1;	**p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 136: Effect of TV on Calculus Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Calculus)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.014 (0.017)	0.021 (0.016)	$0.020 \\ (0.016)$	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0003)	0.001*** (0.0003)	0.001*** (0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	-0.005^{***} (0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	5,730 0.465 0.464	5,730 0.506 0.505	5,730 0.520 0.519	
Note:		*p<0.1; **	p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 137: Effect of TV on Biology Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Biology)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.022^*	-0.036***	-0.044***	
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.003***	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006***	-0.007***	-0.007***	
, ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	
III	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.00005)	
Observations	9,504	9,504	9,504	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.494	0.589	0.620	
Adjusted R ²	0.493	0.589	0.619	
Note:		*p<0.1; **p	<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 138: Effect of TV on Chemisty Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Chemistry)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.012	0.004	-0.001	
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005***	-0.006***	-0.006***	
,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
Observations	8,236	8,236	8,236	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.544	0.616	0.639	
Adjusted R ²	0.544	0.615	0.638	

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 139: Effect of TV on Physics Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Physics)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	0.043***	0.035***	0.031**	
	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***	
į į	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.004***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	6,976	6,976	6,976	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.538	0.567	0.581	
Adjusted R^2	0.537	0.567	0.580	

Note:

Table 140: Effect of TV on SAT/ACT Enrollment

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled SAT/ACT)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.029^*	-0.042^{***}	-0.052***	
•	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.013)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004***	-0.005***	-0.006***	
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.003***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.00005)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	10,805	10,805	10,805	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.345	0.465	0.521	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.344	0.464	0.521	

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 141: Effect of TV on GED Credit

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students GED Credit)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.204***	-0.206***	-0.206***	
	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)	
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003***	0.003***	0.003***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.013***	-0.014***	-0.014***	
- , ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	-0.0001***	-0.0003***	-0.0003***	
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
Observations	4,829	4,829	4,829	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.823	0.824	0.824	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.823	0.823	0.823	

Note:

Table 142: Effect of TV on GED Participation

	Dependent variable: IHS(Hispanic Students GED Participation)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
TV Dummy	-0.021	-0.019	-0.015	
	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	
TV Dummy \times Distance to Boundary	0.001*	0.0004	0.0001	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.024***	-0.023***	-0.023***	
- ,	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
# Hispanic Students	0.0002***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
" ·	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	9,720	9,720	9,720	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.670	0.682	0.683	
Adjusted R^2	0.670	0.682	0.683	

Table 143: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	$\mathrm{IHS}(\#\ \mathrm{Gifted})$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.239***	0.239***	0.239***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy	-0.107***	-0.098***	-0.099***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
Hispanic	0.326***	0.326***	0.326***	
_	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
-	(0.00004)	(0.00005)	(0.00005)	
asian_students	0.007***	0.005***	0.005***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	52,130	52,130	52,130	
R^2	0.409	0.434	0.449	
Adjusted R ²	0.409	0.434	0.449	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

123

Table 144: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable: IHS(# AP Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.079***	0.081***	0.080***	
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	
TV Dummy	-0.002	-0.0001	0.0001	
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
Hispanic	-0.219***	-0.211***	-0.202***	
•	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.041)	
hisp_students	0.0005***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
•	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
asian_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	3,757	3,757	3,757	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.305	0.312	0.317	
Adjusted R ²	0.304	0.310	0.315	
Note:	*n/0.1· **n/0.05· ***n/0.01			

Table 145: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic GEDs) vs. Asian

	Dep	endent varia	ble:	
	IHS(# GEDs)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.566***	-0.566***	-0.564***	
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)	
TV Dummy	0.470***	0.470***	0.469***	
-	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.012)	
Hispanic	3.394***	3.395***	3.391***	
•	(0.025)	(0.024)	(0.026)	
hisp_students	-0.0001***	-0.0001**	-0.0001**	
•	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
asian_students	0.0003***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
	(0.00003)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
Observations	6,685	6,685	6,685	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.837	0.837	0.837	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.837	0.837	0.837	
λτ <i>ι</i>	* <0.1 ** <0.05 *** <0.01			

Table 146: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# Chronic Absent)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.231***	0.231***	0.231***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
TV Dummy	-0.137***	-0.135***	-0.135***	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
Hispanic	1.394***	1.394***	1.394***	
-	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	81,738	81,738	81,738	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.515	0.534	0.535	
Adjusted R ²	0.514	0.534	0.535	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 147: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	$IHS(\# \ Suspended)$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.122***	0.122***	0.122***	
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
TV Dummy	-0.058***	-0.057***	-0.056***	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
Hispanic	0.591***	0.591***	0.591***	
•	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.001***	0.001***	
_	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	(0.00004)	
asian_students	0.001***	0.0001	0.0001**	
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
Observations	81,728	81,728	81,728	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.324	0.347	0.379	
Adjusted R ²	0.324	0.347	0.379	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 148: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# Bullied)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.001^*	0.001^*	0.001^*	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
TV Dummy	0.001**	0.001***	0.001***	
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Hispanic	0.019***	0.019***	0.019***	
_	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
hisp_students	0.00001***	-0.00001	-0.00001	
	(0.00000)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	
asian_students	0.0001***	0.0001**	0.0001**	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
Observations	52,068	52,068	52,068	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.008	0.011	0.017	
Adjusted R ²	0.008	0.011	0.016	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

128

Table 149: Poisson Differential Effect of TV on # Hispanic Bullied vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:			
		# Bullied		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.141^{***} (0.025)	-0.139^{***} (0.025)	-0.140^{***} (0.025)	
TV Dummy	0.260*** (0.021)	0.260*** (0.021)	0.257^{***} (0.021)	
TV Dummy × Distance × Hispanic	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	-0.004^{***} (0.001)	
TV Dummy \times Distance	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	
Distance to Boundary \times Hispanic	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	
Hispanic	0.997*** (0.074)	0.993*** (0.074)	0.995*** (0.074)	
origdist	-0.005^{***} (0.002)	-0.005^{***} (0.002)	-0.005^{***} (0.002)	
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	
asian_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	
Observations Log Likelihood Akaike Inf. Crit.	$81,622 \\ -17,523.890 \\ 35,073.780$	81,622 -17,484.320 34,996.630	81,622 -16,848.550 33,731.110	

Table 150: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullying) vs. Asian

	Dep	pendent varie	able:	
	IHS(# Bullying)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	
TV Dummy	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.001	
	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Hispanic	0.027***	0.027***	0.027***	
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
hisp_students	0.00005***	0.00004***	0.00004***	
	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	(0.00001)	
asian_students	0.0001***	0.0001***	0.0001***	
	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	(0.00002)	
Observations	81,622	81,622	81,622	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.017	0.018	0.022	
Adjusted R ²	0.017	0.018	0.022	
NT /	* <0.1 ** <0.05 *** <0.01			

Table 151: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:			
	$IHS(\# \ APs \ Taken)$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.310***	0.310***	0.310***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy	-0.046***	-0.054***	-0.054***	
·	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
Hispanic	0.422***	0.422***	0.422***	
•	(0.033)	(0.031)	(0.030)	
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	12,178	12,178	12,178	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.466	0.533	$0.\overline{553}$	
Adjusted R ²	0.466	0.533	0.553	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 152: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.304***	0.304***	0.304***	
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
TV Dummy	-0.092***	-0.091***	-0.100***	
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	
Hispanic	1.132***	1.132***	1.132***	
•	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
hisp_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
•	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.004***	0.003***	0.003***	
	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	83,004	83,004	83,004	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.432	0.435	0.477	
Adjusted R ²	0.432	0.435	0.477	
37 .	ale.	.0.1 ** .0.6	NF *** .0.01	

Table 153: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Passing Algebra) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	$IHS(\# \ Passing \ Algebra)$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$\overline{\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}}$	0.008	0.009	0.012	
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.011)	
TV Dummy	0.013	0.012	-0.002	
v	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
Hispanic	0.102***	0.095***	0.104***	
r	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.035)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***	
. r	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.002***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	
Observations	3,495	3,495	3,495	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.324	0.326	0.364	
Adjusted R ²	0.323	0.324	0.362	
Note:	*p<0.1: **p<0.05: ***p<0.01			

Table 154: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# AP Math)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.220***	0.220***	0.220***	
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	
TV Dummy	-0.051***	-0.056***	-0.058***	
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)	
Hispanic	-0.071**	-0.071**	-0.071**	
-	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.029)	
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***	
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***	
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	
Observations	9,842	9,842	9,842	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.374	0.413	0.428	
Adjusted R ²	0.374	0.412	0.427	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 155: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS	S(# AP Scie	ence)		
	$(1) \qquad (2)$		(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.270***	0.270***	0.270***		
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)		
TV Dummy	-0.031**	-0.038***	-0.037***		
	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)		
Hispanic	-0.040	-0.040	-0.040		
	(0.034)	(0.033)	(0.032)		
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0004***	0.0004***		
	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	9,260	9,260	9,260		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.397	0.433	0.447		
Adjusted R ²	0.396	0.432	0.446		
Note:	*n<0.1· **n<0.05· ***n<0.01				

Table 156: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Advanced Math) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:				
	IHS(#	$IHS(\# \ Advanced \ Math)$			
	(1)	$(2) \qquad (3)$			
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.250***	0.250***	0.250***		
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)		
TV Dummy	-0.100***	-0.097***	-0.099***		
·	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)		
Hispanic	0.739***	0.739***	0.739***		
-	(0.027)	(0.025)	(0.025)		
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***		
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	14,354	14,354	14,354		
$ m R^2$	0.463	0.530	0.547		
Adjusted R ²	0.462	0.530	0.547		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 157: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Calculus) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
-	IH	S(# Calculi	ıs)		
	$(1) \qquad (2)$		(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.272***	0.272***	0.272***		
	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.011)		
TV Dummy	-0.098***	-0.094***	-0.097***		
v	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)		
Hispanic	0.410***	0.410***	0.410***		
•	(0.030)	(0.029)	(0.029)		
hisp_students	0.001***	0.0003***	0.0003***		
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.003***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	11,460	11,460	11,460		
R^2	0.437	0.478	0.491		
Adjusted R ²	0.436	0.477	0.490		
Notes	*** < 0 1. *** < 0 05. *** > 0 01				

Table 158: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Biology) vs. Asian

	Dep	endent varie	able:			
	IH	IS(# Biolog	y)			
	$(1) \qquad (2)$		(3)			
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.260***	0.260***	0.260***			
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)			
TV Dummy	-0.099***	-0.098***	-0.100***			
	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)			
Hispanic	1.247***	1.247***	1.247***			
	(0.025)	(0.022)	(0.022)			
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0003***	0.0003***			
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)			
asian_students	0.005***	0.002***	0.002***			
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)			
Observations	19,008	19,008	19,008			
\mathbb{R}^2	0.529	0.620	0.639			
Adjusted R ²	0.529	0.620	0.639			
NT 1	* <0.1 ** <0.05 *** <0.01					

Table 159: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chemistry) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# Chemistry)				
	$(1) \qquad (2)$		(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.290***	0.290***	0.290***		
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)		
TV Dummy	-0.094***	-0.090***	-0.091***		
	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)		
Hispanic	0.888***	0.888***	0.888***		
•	(0.026)	(0.023)	(0.023)		
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0004***	0.0004***		
-	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	16,472	16,472	16,472		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.528	0.602	0.619		
Adjusted R ²	0.528	0.601	0.618		

139

Table 160: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Physics) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	II	IS(# Physic	es)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.311***	0.311***	0.311***		
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)		
TV Dummy	-0.070***	-0.068***	-0.068***		
	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)		
Hispanic	0.626***	0.626***	0.626***		
•	(0.027)	(0.026)	(0.026)		
hisp_students	0.001***	0.001***	0.001***		
•	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.004***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	13,952	13,952	13,952		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.499	0.537	0.548		
Adjusted R ²	0.498	0.537	0.547		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

140

Table 161: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

	Dep	endent varie	able:		
	IHS	S(# SAT/AG	CT)		
	$(1) \qquad (2)$		(3)		
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	0.160***	0.160***	0.160***		
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)		
TV Dummy	-0.057***	-0.055***	-0.059***		
v	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)		
Hispanic	0.694***	0.694***	0.694***		
1	(0.025)	(0.022)	(0.022)		
hisp_students	0.002***	0.0002**	0.0003***		
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.005***	0.002***	0.002***		
	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.385	0.498	0.537		
Adjusted R ²	0.384	0.498	0.537		
Notes	*n < 0.1 · **n < 0.05 · ***n < 0.01				

Table 162: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic GED Participate) vs. Asian

	Dependent variable:				
	$\mathrm{IHS}(\#$	GED Partic	cipate)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.377***	0.377***	0.377***		
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)		
TV Dummy	-0.106***	-0.127***	-0.129***		
	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.009)		
Hispanic	1.508***	1.508***	1.508***		
•	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.034)		
hisp_students	-0.0002***	0.0001	0.0001*		
_	(0.00004)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
asian_students	0.0004***	0.001***	0.001***		
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)		
Observations	19,440	19,440	19,440		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.694	0.703	0.705		
Adjusted R ²	0.693	0.703	0.704		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
	IHS(# SAT/ACT)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	-0.516 (0.626)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		2.313** (0.943)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-2.085 (2.151)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				-3.284 (4.930)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.264*** (0.096)	-0.060 (0.099)	0.293*** (0.109)	0.251** (0.100)
TV Dummy	-0.115^* (0.061)	-0.028 (0.059)	0.071 (0.066)	0.115^* (0.061)
Hispanic	0.299 (0.407)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.333 (0.563)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-2.952^{**} (1.315)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-9.758*** (3.029)
eth	0.532** (0.216)	1.088*** (0.213)		0.601*** (0.200)
$eth:word_edu_mean$	0.273 (1.329)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-4.631^{**} (1.883)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			3.427 (3.902)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-1.152 (9.199)
word_edu_mean	0.909 (0.839)			

143

 $word_latin_mean$

2.951***

		$Dependent\ variable:$			
		IHS(# Al	P Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	0.903 (0.922)				
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		1.721 (1.280)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-1.184 (2.989)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				7.580 (7.776)	
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	-0.054 (0.137)	-0.120 (0.134)	0.153 (0.150)	-0.075 (0.156)	
TV Dummy	0.225^* (0.123)	0.219^* (0.119)	0.063 (0.131)	0.313** (0.140)	
Hispanic	-1.650** (0.833)				
TV:word_latin_mean		-1.900^* (1.143)			
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-1.819 (2.629)		
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-16.313^{**} (7.057)	
eth	0.750** (0.375)	1.088*** (0.418)	0.296 (0.406)	0.890** (0.411)	
eth:word_edu_mean	-6.587^{***} (2.339)				
eth:word_latin_mean		-11.551^{***} (3.606)			
$eth: word_role model_mean$			-11.299 (7.884)		
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-54.451^{***} (18.988)	
word_edu_mean	6.396*** (1.945)				

word_latin_mean 144 14.620***

		Depender	nt variable:	
	IHS(# Limited E	English Profi	ciency)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on education	0.726*** (0.281)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		1.016** (0.463)		
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with role models			0.759 (0.977)	
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with bad content				8.036*** (2.184)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.237*** (0.044)	0.243^{***} (0.050)	0.300*** (0.051)	0.186*** (0.046)
TV Dummy	0.304*** (0.032)	0.438*** (0.036)	0.346*** (0.038)	0.387*** (0.035)
Hispanic	-2.867^{***} (0.208)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-5.334^{***} (0.339)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-9.436^{***} (0.747)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-25.796** (1.697)
eth	0.640*** (0.116)	0.541*** (0.130)	0.707*** (0.119)	0.641*** (0.116)
eth:word_edu_mean	2.168*** (0.711)			
eth:word_latin_mean		3.768*** (1.141)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			5.475** (2.271)	
eth:word_bad_mean				16.057*** (5.280)
word_edu_mean	2.641*** (0.452)			

 $word_latin_mean$

7.466***

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Chro	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on education	0.012 (0.220)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		-0.026 (0.339)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-2.454^{***} (0.812)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.948 (1.096)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.221*** (0.034)	0.232*** (0.036)	0.347*** (0.042)	0.192*** (0.040)
TV Dummy	-0.185^{***} (0.022)	-0.082^{***} (0.025)	-0.102^{***} (0.027)	-0.026 (0.028)
Hispanic	0.053 (0.148)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.875^{***} (0.235)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-1.390^{***} (0.536)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-4.259^{**} (0.778)
eth	1.409*** (0.091)	1.099*** (0.093)	1.287*** (0.094)	1.284*** (0.090)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.107 (0.555)			
eth:word_latin_mean		2.843*** (0.820)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			2.650 (1.799)	
eth:word_bad_mean				3.694 (2.332)
word_edu_mean	-2.706^{***} (0.320)			

 $word_latin_mean$

-2.007***

		Dependent	nt variable:	
		IHS(# Chr	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	-0.070^{***} (0.019)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		-0.031^* (0.018)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-0.137^{***} (0.019)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				-0.079^{***} (0.024)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.086** (0.037)	0.153*** (0.042)	-0.191^{***} (0.057)	-0.041 (0.082)
TV Dummy	-0.304^{***} (0.024)	-0.177^{***} (0.028)	-0.470^{***} (0.036)	-0.685^{***} (0.055)
Hispanic	-0.068^{***} (0.012)			
TV:word_latin_log		-0.001 (0.012)		
$TV: word_role model_log$			-0.100^{***} (0.012)	
$TV:word_bad_log$				-0.153^{***} (0.016)
eth	1.660*** (0.062)	1.769*** (0.117)	2.024*** (0.088)	1.962*** (0.128)
eth:word_edu_log	0.124*** (0.031)			
eth:word_latin_log		0.154*** (0.051)		
$eth: word_role model_log$			0.200*** (0.028)	
$eth: word_bad_log$				0.162*** (0.038)
word_edu_log	0.004 (0.019)			

word_latin_log

-0.242***

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(#	Gifted)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on education	2.107*** (0.228)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		3.256*** (0.386)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			6.469*** (0.878)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				12.920*** (1.153)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.024 (0.036)	-0.044 (0.041)	-0.040 (0.046)	-0.166^{***} (0.042)
TV Dummy	0.119*** (0.028)	0.206*** (0.030)	0.188*** (0.035)	0.298*** (0.033)
Hispanic	-1.764^{***} (0.183)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.338*** (0.286)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-6.592^{***} (0.683)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-12.406^{**} (0.915)
eth	0.089 (0.083)	0.045 (0.096)	0.218** (0.087)	0.222** (0.087)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.103 (0.509)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.175 (0.846)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			-2.053 (1.666)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-3.420 (2.253)
word_edu_mean	2.657*** (0.369)			

 $word_latin_mean$

5.099***

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Sı	uspended)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	-1.099^{***} (0.197)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		-3.098*** (0.308)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-6.174^{***} (0.728)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				-6.206^{***} (1.003)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.290*** (0.030)	0.455*** (0.033)	0.433*** (0.037)	0.350*** (0.036)
TV Dummy	-0.033^{**} (0.015)	-0.051^{***} (0.016)	-0.013 (0.018)	0.043** (0.019)
Hispanic	-0.200** (0.101)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.104 (0.156)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-0.966^{***} (0.369)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-3.048^{***} (0.542)
eth	0.098 (0.063)	-0.424^{***} (0.067)	0.073 (0.066)	-0.140^{**} (0.066)
eth:word_edu_mean	3.148*** (0.390)			
eth:word_latin_mean		9.186*** (0.596)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			10.181*** (1.271)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				19.462*** (1.726)
word_edu_mean	-0.244 (0.178)			

 $word_latin_mean$

-0.936***

		Dependent	t variable:	
-	Ι	HS(# Bullie	ed Ethnicity)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on education	0.039 (0.028)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		0.111** (0.055)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			-0.012 (0.100)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.408** (0.161)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.005 (0.004)	-0.012^{**} (0.006)	0.002 (0.005)	-0.014^{**} (0.006)
TV Dummy	-0.027^{***} (0.002)	-0.029^{***} (0.002)	-0.025^{***} (0.002)	-0.033^{***} (0.002)
Hispanic	0.189*** (0.012)			
TV:word_latin_mean		0.280*** (0.022)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			0.530*** (0.043)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				0.960*** (0.067)
eth	0.034*** (0.012)	0.105*** (0.016)	0.011 (0.013)	0.069*** (0.014)
eth:word_edu_mean	-0.058 (0.076)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-0.714^{***} (0.138)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			0.273 (0.256)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-1.175^{***} (0.359)
word_edu_mean	-0.234^{***} (0.030)			

 $word_latin_mean$

-0.715***

Table 171: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullies) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(#	Bullies)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	0.014 (0.020)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		0.123*** (0.040)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			0.032 (0.079)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				0.213** (0.102)
$TV \times Hispanic$	-0.003 (0.003)	-0.015^{***} (0.004)	-0.003 (0.004)	-0.009** (0.004)
TV Dummy	-0.016^{***} (0.002)	-0.015^{***} (0.002)	-0.017^{***} (0.002)	-0.019^{***} (0.002)
Hispanic	0.111*** (0.011)			
TV:word_latin_mean		0.145*** (0.018)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			0.348*** (0.040)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				0.552*** (0.060)
eth	0.038*** (0.010)	0.108*** (0.014)	0.013 (0.011)	0.070*** (0.012)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.011 (0.064)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-0.605^{***} (0.116)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			0.528** (0.218)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-0.785^{***} (0.288)
word_edu_mean	-0.120^{***} (0.017)			

 $word_latin_mean$

-0.312***

		$\underline{Depende}$	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	P enrolled)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on education	1.300* (0.701)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		2.685** (1.107)		
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with role models			3.547 (2.578)	
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with bad content				9.904*** (3.529)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.179 (0.109)	0.097 (0.118)	0.189 (0.132)	0.023 (0.128)
TV Dummy	0.252*** (0.090)	0.409*** (0.093)	0.454*** (0.108)	0.589*** (0.106)
Hispanic	-2.286^{***} (0.594)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-4.985^{***} (0.888)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-11.315^{***} (2.150)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				-19.934^{**} (2.987)
eth	-0.058 (0.296)	0.069 (0.308)	-0.039 (0.298)	0.130 (0.291)
eth:word_edu_mean	1.481 (1.817)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.675 (2.706)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			4.343 (5.716)	
eth:word_bad_mean				1.002 (7.513)
word_edu_mean	3.120** (1.325)			

word_latin_mean 152 7.669***

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Gr	8 Algebra)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$TV \times Hispanic \times \%$ programs on education	-1.649^{**} (0.725)			
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs on identity		-1.994^{**} (0.854)		
TV \times Hispanic \times % programs with role models			-5.916^{**} (2.418)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				-8.112^{**} (3.925)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.262** (0.103)	0.176** (0.087)	0.299** (0.116)	0.282** (0.129)
TV Dummy	-0.080 (0.092)	-0.067 (0.074)	-0.142 (0.103)	-0.135 (0.119)
Hispanic	0.764 (0.658)			
TV:word_latin_mean		1.123 (0.739)		
$TV: word_rolemodel_mean$			3.427 (2.158)	
$TV:word_bad_mean$				5.073 (3.646)
eth	-1.094^{***} (0.338)		-0.884^{***} (0.324)	
eth:word_edu_mean	7.598*** (2.055)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-1.896 (2.768)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			19.561*** (6.254)	
eth:word_bad_mean				19.089** (7.558)
word_edu_mean	0.183 (1.572)			

word_latin_mean 153 3.661*

Table 174: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	AP Math)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	0.822 (0.705)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		0.683 (1.085)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			$1.174 \\ (2.612)$	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				6.062^* (3.500)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.171 (0.108)	0.222* (0.116)	0.227^* (0.132)	0.081 (0.126)
TV Dummy	0.122 (0.086)	0.194** (0.088)	0.235** (0.104)	0.340*** (0.101)
Hispanic	-1.514^{***} (0.576)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.021^{***} (0.841)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-7.026^{***} (2.075)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-13.102^{***} (2.864)
eth	-0.576^{**} (0.264)	-0.597^{**} (0.286)	-0.415 (0.270)	-0.514^* (0.267)
eth:word_edu_mean	1.368 (1.633)			
eth:word_latin_mean		2.025 (2.511)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			1.249 (5.255)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				3.858 (6.938)
word_edu_mean	1.842 (1.258)			

 $word_latin_mean$

3.518*

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Science)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV × Hispanic × % programs on education	1.813** (0.706)			
TV × Hispanic × % programs on identity		1.740 (1.095)		
TV × Hispanic × % programs with role models			5.720** (2.606)	
TV × Hispanic × % programs with bad content				10.519*** (3.546)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.073 (0.110)	0.167 (0.117)	0.049 (0.133)	-0.025 (0.129)
TV Dummy	0.236*** (0.092)	0.276*** (0.094)	0.365*** (0.111)	0.470*** (0.108)
Hispanic	-2.075^{***} (0.601)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.615^{***} (0.895)		
$TV: word_role model_mean$			-9.122^{***} (2.199)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-16.107^{***} (3.026)
eth	-0.353 (0.318)	-0.487 (0.343)	0.0001 (0.334)	-0.330 (0.333)
$eth:word_edu_mean$	0.025 (1.953)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.975 (2.989)		
$eth: word_role model_mean$			-6.651 (6.426)	
$eth: word_bad_mean$				-0.888 (8.547)
word_edu_mean	3.739** (1.523)			

 $word_latin_mean$

4.594**

Table 176: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# SA	AT/ACT)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.116** (0.453)			
% programs on identity		2.054*** (0.678)		
% programs with role models			1.601 (1.259)	
% programs with bad content				-0.490 (1.740)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.186*** (0.014)	0.186*** (0.014)	0.186*** (0.013)	0.186*** (0.013)
TV Dummy	-0.070^{***} (0.011)	-0.065^{***} (0.010)	-0.076^{***} (0.010)	-0.078^{***} (0.010)
Hispanic	0.579*** (0.048)	0.579*** (0.043)	0.579*** (0.042)	0.579*** (0.042)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)
asian_students	0.005*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	13,480 0.383 0.383	13,480 0.488 0.488	13,480 0.539 0.538	13,480 0.539 0.538

Table 177: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Passed)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	-0.132 (0.666)			
% programs on identity		5.475*** (1.079)		
% programs with role models			-0.554 (2.384)	
% programs with bad content				6.064** (3.000)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.100*** (0.019)	0.092*** (0.019)	0.101*** (0.018)	0.097*** (0.018)
TV Dummy	-0.034^* (0.018)	-0.003 (0.018)	-0.033^* (0.017)	-0.021 (0.018)
Hispanic	-0.298^{***} (0.060)	-0.262^{***} (0.060)	-0.284^{***} (0.060)	-0.270^{***} (0.059)
hisp_students	0.0004*** (0.00004)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0003*** (0.00005)
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	3,168 0.274 0.272	3,168 0.284 0.282	3,168 0.286 0.283	3,168 0.287 0.284

Table 178: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	Dependen	t variable:	
IHS(#	Limited Er	nglish Profic	iency)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
-0.693^{***} (0.238)			
	0.813** (0.391)		
		-6.026^{***} (0.765)	
0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)
-0.117^{***} (0.005)	-0.110^{***} (0.005)	-0.124^{***} (0.005)	-0.118^{***} (0.005)
0.984*** (0.022)	0.984*** (0.022)	0.984*** (0.021)	0.984*** (0.021)
			0.365 (1.019)
0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)
54,294 0.443 0.443	54,294 0.444 0.444	54,294 0.491 0.491	54,294 0.490 0.490
	(1) -0.693*** (0.238) 0.338*** (0.006) -0.117*** (0.005) 0.984*** (0.022) 0.002*** (0.0001) 0.003*** (0.0002) 54,294 0.443	IHS(# Limited En (1) (2) -0.693*** (0.238) 0.813** (0.391) 0.338*** (0.391) 0.338*** (0.006) -0.117*** (0.006) -0.117*** (0.005) 0.984*** (0.005) 0.984*** (0.022) 0.002*** (0.0022) 0.002*** (0.0001) 0.003*** (0.0001) 0.003*** (0.0002) 54,294 (0.443 (0.444)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 179: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

		Depender	nt variable:	
		IHS(# Chr	onic Absent)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	-2.547^{***} (0.191)			
% programs on identity		-2.164^{***} (0.298)		
% programs with role models			-10.418^{***} (0.624)	
% programs with bad content				-9.754^{***} (0.819)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222^{***} (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)
TV Dummy	-0.177^{***} (0.004)	-0.169^{***} (0.004)	-0.170^{***} (0.004)	-0.174^{***} (0.004)
Hispanic	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,582 0.527 0.526	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.539 0.539	53,582 0.538 0.538
Adjusted R ² Note:	0.526	0.538 *p<0	0.539 0.1; **p<0.05;	0.538 ****p<0.0

Table 180: Differential Log Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	Dependen	t variable:	
	IHS(# Chro	onic Absent)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222^{***} (0.005)
-0.166^{***} (0.004)	-0.172^{***} (0.004)	-0.163^{***} (0.004)	-0.165^{***} (0.004)
1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)
-0.078^{***} (0.009)			
	-0.203^{***} (0.018)		
		-0.081^{***} (0.008)	
			-0.110^{***} (0.011)
0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
0.003*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
53,582 0.526 0.526	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.538 0.538	53,582 0.538 0.538
	(1) 0.222*** (0.005) -0.166*** (0.004) 1.426*** (0.018) -0.078*** (0.009) 0.002*** (0.0005) 0.003*** (0.0002) 53,582 0.526	$ (1) \qquad (2) $ $ 0.222^{***} \qquad 0.222^{***} $ $ (0.005) \qquad (0.005) $ $ -0.166^{***} \qquad -0.172^{***} $ $ (0.004) \qquad (0.004) $ $ 1.426^{***} \qquad 1.426^{***} $ $ (0.018) \qquad (0.018) $ $ -0.078^{***} \qquad (0.009) $ $ -0.203^{***} \qquad (0.018) $ $ 0.002^{***} \qquad (0.018) $ $ 0.002^{***} \qquad (0.001) $ $ 0.003^{***} \qquad 0.002^{***} $ $ (0.0002) \qquad (0.0001) $ $ 53,582 \qquad 53,582 $ $ 0.526 \qquad 0.538 $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 181: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(#	Gifted)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.490*** (0.180)			
% programs on identity		2.159*** (0.313)		
% programs with role models			2.149*** (0.571)	
% programs with bad content				5.824*** (0.781)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)
TV Dummy	-0.141^{***} (0.005)	-0.135^{***} (0.005)	-0.142^{***} (0.005)	-0.136^{***} (0.005)
Hispanic	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
$asian_students$	0.007*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	33,732 0.401 0.401	33,732 0.415 0.415	33,732 0.415 0.415	33,732 0.415 0.415

Table 182: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# Su	ispended)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.004 (0.134)			
% programs on identity		0.720*** (0.216)		
% programs with role models			-1.749^{***} (0.428)	
% programs with bad content				-0.440 (0.584)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)
TV Dummy	-0.058^{***} (0.003)	-0.054^{***} (0.003)	-0.059^{***} (0.003)	-0.058^{***} (0.003)
Hispanic	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
$asian_students$	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,572 0.335 0.335	53,572 0.355 0.355	53,572 0.355 0.355	53,572 0.355 0.355

Table 183: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied Ethnicity) vs. Asian

		Dependent	t variable:	
	I	HS(# Bullie	ed Ethnicity)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.107*** (0.027)			
% programs on identity		-0.478^{***} (0.052)		
% programs with role models			0.661*** (0.093)	
% programs with bad content				-0.516^{***} (0.117)
$TV \times Hispanic$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$	$0.001 \\ (0.001)$
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.001)	-0.001^* (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)	$0.00004 \\ (0.001)$
Hispanic	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)
hisp_students	0.00003*** (0.00000)	-0.00001^* (0.00001)	-0.00001 (0.00001)	-0.00001^* (0.00001)
asian_students	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,468 0.021 0.021	53,468 0.024 0.024	53,468 0.024 0.024	53,468 0.024 0.024
Note:	0.021		1; **p<0.05	

Table 184: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullies) vs. Asian

		$Dependent\ variable:$				
		IHS(#	Bullies)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
% programs on education	0.095*** (0.023)					
% programs on identity		-0.249^{***} (0.044)				
% programs with role models			0.585*** (0.080)			
% programs with bad content				-0.187^* (0.097)		
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	-0.001^{**} (0.001)	-0.001^{**} (0.001)	-0.001^{**} (0.001)	-0.001^{**} (0.001)		
TV Dummy	0.001 (0.0004)	-0.001^* (0.0004)	0.001* (0.0004)	-0.0001 (0.0004)		
Hispanic	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)		
hisp_students	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)		
asian_students	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)		
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	53,468 0.018 0.018	53,468 0.019 0.019	53,468 0.019 0.019	53,468 0.019 0.018		
Note:	0.010		0.019 0.1; **p<0.05			

Table 185: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP enrolled) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# AF	enrolled)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.896 (0.640)			
% programs on identity		1.471 (1.046)		
% programs with role models			-3.377 (2.110)	
% programs with bad content				0.287 (2.853)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	-0.086^{***} (0.015)	-0.103^{***} (0.015)	-0.112^{***} (0.015)	-0.108^{***} (0.015)
Hispanic	0.174*** (0.057)	0.174*** (0.055)	0.174*** (0.055)	0.174*** (0.055)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	7,890 0.442 0.442	7,890 0.476 0.475	7,890 0.476 0.475	7,890 0.475 0.475

Table 186: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gr 8 Algebra) vs. Asian

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
		IHS(# G	r 8 Algebra)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	3.958*** (0.646)			
% programs on identity		0.733 (1.024)		
% programs with role models			10.331*** (1.997)	
% programs with bad content				13.496*** (2.780)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	-0.007 (0.013)	0.004 (0.013)	0.001 (0.013)	-0.005 (0.013)
TV Dummy	0.047*** (0.013)	0.018 (0.013)	0.028** (0.012)	0.040*** (0.013)
Hispanic	0.154*** (0.048)	0.113** (0.047)	0.124*** (0.047)	0.140*** (0.047)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	3,012 0.309 0.306	3,012 0.303 0.300	3,012 0.306 0.304	3,012 0.306 0.304
Note:			; **p<0.05;	

Table 187: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# A	P Math)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
$\frac{1}{\%}$ programs on education	0.445 (0.554)			
% programs on identity		-0.406 (0.934)		
% programs with role models			-2.679 (1.839)	
% programs with bad content				-1.244 (2.466)
$\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}$	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	-0.099^{***} (0.015)	-0.114^{***} (0.015)	-0.115^{***} (0.014)	-0.114^{***} (0.014)
Hispanic	-0.351^{***} (0.055)	-0.351^{***} (0.054)	-0.351^{***} (0.054)	-0.351^{***} (0.054)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	6,388 0.336 0.335	6,388 0.357 0.356	6,388 0.357 0.356	6,388 0.357 0.356

Table 188: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

		Dependen	t variable:	
		IHS(# AI	P Science)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.363** (0.660)			
% programs on identity		-0.317 (1.129)		
% programs with role models			0.053 (2.249)	
% programs with bad content				-0.123 (3.116)
$TV \times Hispanic$	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	-0.072^{***} (0.016)	-0.095^{***} (0.016)	-0.094^{***} (0.015)	-0.094^{***} (0.016)
Hispanic	-0.350^{***} (0.058)	-0.350^{***} (0.057)	-0.350^{***} (0.057)	-0.350^{***} (0.057)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	6,210 0.362 0.362	6,210 0.387 0.386	6,210 0.387 0.386	6,210 0.387 0.386

Table 189: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to education) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		IHS(# Visitors)				
	OLS		felm			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-2.084***	-2.084***	-2.084***	-2.084***		
-	(0.139)	(0.136)	(0.136)	(0.133)		
TV Dummy	4.019***	4.019***	4.019***	4.019***		
v	(0.083)	(0.081)	(0.082)	(0.080)		
Hispanic	0.809***	0.809***	0.809***	0.809***		
•	(0.098)	(0.097)	(0.094)	(0.093)		
Observations	2,104	2,104	2,104	2,104		
R^2	0.498	0.522	0.517	0.540		
Adjusted R ²	0.497	0.518	0.510	0.531		
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	: ***p<0.01		

Table 190: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to recreation) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# Visitors)				
	OLS $felm$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	-2.611***	-2.611***	-2.611***	-2.611***	
-	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.030)	
TV Dummy	2.703***	2.703***	2.703***	2.703***	
Ů	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)	
Hispanic	1.307***	1.307***	1.307***	1.307***	
•	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	
Observations	69,980	69,980	69,980	69,980	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.188	0.198	0.200	0.211	
Adjusted R ²	0.188	0.198	0.200	0.210	

Table 191: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to restaurants) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$					
		$\mathrm{IHS}(\#\ \mathrm{Visitors})$				
	OLS		felm			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-2.731***	-2.731***	-2.731***	-2.731***		
_	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.018)		
TV Dummy	2.757***	2.757***	2.757***	2.757***		
, and the second	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)		
Hispanic	1.458***	1.458***	1.458***	1.458***		
1	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)		
Observations	203,236	203,236	203,236	203,236		
R^2	0.186	0.194	0.204	0.211		
Adjusted R ²	0.185	0.194	0.203	0.210		
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01		

Table 192: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to information) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# Visitors)				
	OLS		felm		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	-1.951***	-1.951***	-1.951***	-1.951***	
	(0.075)	(0.073)	(0.075)	(0.073)	
TV Dummy	2.055***	2.055***	2.055***	2.055***	
·	(0.051)	(0.049)	(0.050)	(0.049)	
Hispanic	0.984***	0.984***	0.984***	0.984***	
1	(0.051)	(0.050)	(0.051)	(0.050)	
Observations	10,172	10,172	10,172	10,172	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.131	0.169	0.140	0.178	
Adjusted R ²	0.131	0.168	0.137	0.174	
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01	

Table 193: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to finance) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# Visitors)				
	OLS		felm		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$\overline{\mathrm{TV} \times \mathrm{Hispanic}}$	-1.976^{***} (0.033)	-1.976^{***} (0.033)	-1.976^{***} (0.033)	-1.976^{***} (0.033)	
TV Dummy	1.876*** (0.022)	1.876*** (0.022)	1.876*** (0.022)	1.876*** (0.022)	
Hispanic	0.951*** (0.022)	0.951*** (0.022)	0.951*** (0.023)	0.951*** (0.023)	
Observations R^2 Adjusted R^2	37,716 0.150 0.150	37,716 0.161 0.160	37,716 0.157 0.156	37,716 0.168 0.166	
Note:			1; **p<0.05		

Table 194: Differential Effect of TV on IHS (# Hispanic Visitors to Hispanic places) vs. non-Hispanic

	Dependent variable:				
-	IHS(# Visitors)				
	OLS	LS $felm$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$TV \times Hispanic$	-1.882***	-1.882***	-1.882***	-1.882***	
_	(0.070)	(0.069)	(0.069)	(0.069)	
TV Dummy	2.626***	2.626***	2.626***	2.626***	
·	(0.047)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.046)	
Hispanic	1.072***	1.072***	1.072***	1.072***	
1	(0.050)	(0.049)	(0.049)	(0.049)	
Observations	13,976	13,976	13,976	13,976	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.180	0.199	0.195	0.212	
Adjusted R ²	0.180	0.197	0.193	0.208	
\overline{Note} :		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01	

Table 195: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to Hispanic food) vs. non-Hispanic

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	IHS(# Visitors)				
	OLS		felm		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
$\overline{\text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic}}$	-1.960***	-1.960***	-1.960***	-1.960***	
	(0.054)	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.053)	
TV Dummy	2.719***	2.719***	2.719***	2.719***	
J	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.036)	
Hispanic	1.103***	1.103***	1.103***	1.103***	
1	(0.039)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.038)	
Observations	23,776	23,776	23,776	23,776	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.188	0.201	0.202	0.214	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.188	0.201	0.201	0.213	
Note:		*p<0.	1; **p<0.05	; ***p<0.01	

Table 196: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Visitors to non-Hispanic food) vs. non-Hispanic

_	$Dependent\ variable:$					
	IHS(# Visitors)					
	OLS $felm$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
$TV \times Hispanic$	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***		
	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)		
TV Dummy	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***		
-	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)		
Hispanic	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***		
•	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)		
Observations	179,460	179,460	179,460	179,460		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.188	0.196	0.206	0.213		
Adjusted R ²	0.188	0.196	0.206	0.213		

Table 197: Visitors to restaurants

	j	IHS(Visitors)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	-
Panel A: Hispanic food				
$\frac{1}{\text{Hispanic} \times \text{TV} \times \text{Hispanic food}}$	0.872***	0.872***	0.872***	0.872***
	(0.056)	(0.056)	(0.056)	(0.056)
$Hispanic \times TV$	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***	-2.833***
	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)
Hispanic × Hispanic food	-0.403***	-0.403***	-0.403***	-0.403***
	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.041)
$TV \times Hispanic food$	-0.044	-0.044	-0.044	-0.044
	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.038)
Hispanic	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***	1.506***
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)
TV dummy	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***	2.762***
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.013)
Hispanic food	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.025)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel B: Greek food				
${\rm Hispanic}\times{\rm TV}\times{\rm Greek}\ {\rm food}$	-0.305	-0.305	-0.305	-0.305
	(0.214)	(0.214)	(0.214)	(0.211)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel C: Japanese food				
$Hispanic \times TV \times Japanese food$	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
	(0.120)	(0.120)	(0.120)	(0.119)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
Panel D: Brazilian food				
$\overline{\text{Hispanic} \times \text{TV} \times \text{Brazilian food}}$	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.058
	(0.529)	(0.530)	(0.530)	(0.526)
N	203236	203236	203236	203236
County FE	No	Yes	No	Yes
NAICS FE	No	No	Yes	Yes

 $\it Notes:$ Regressions are at the location-visitor demographic level. Standard errors are robust.

Table 198: Visitors to entertainment

	$\mathit{IHS}(\mathit{Visitors})$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	-
Panel A: Hispanic brands				
$\operatorname{Hispanic} \times \operatorname{TV} \times \operatorname{Hispanic} \operatorname{brand}$	0.569*	0.569*	0.569*	0.569*
	(0.304)	(0.304)	(0.304)	(0.302)
$Hispanic \times TV$	-2.617***	-2.617***	-2.617***	-2.617***
	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.030)
Hispanic × Hispanic brand	-0.230	-0.230	-0.230	-0.230
	(0.211)	(0.211)	(0.211)	(0.207)
$TV \times Hispanic brand$	0.316	0.316	0.316	0.316
	(0.211)	(0.210)	(0.210)	(0.208)
Hispanic	1.310***	1.310***	1.310***	1.310***
	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.022)
TV dummy	2.699***	2.699***	2.699***	2.699***
	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)
Hispanic brand	-0.001	-0.013	-0.024	0.028
	(0.130)	(0.130)	(0.130)	(0.128)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel B: Greek brands				
$\operatorname{Hispanic} \times \operatorname{TV} \times \operatorname{Greek} \operatorname{brand}$	-0.286	-0.286	-0.286	-0.286
	(4.275)	(4.460)	(4.397)	(3.905)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel C: Japanese brands				
Hispanic \times TV \times Japanese brand	0.702	0.702	0.702	0.702
	(1.073)	(1.062)	(1.061)	(1.046)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
Panel D: Brazilian brands				
$ ext{Hispanic} \times ext{TV} \times ext{Brazilian brand}$	0.328	0.328	0.328	0.328
-	(0.596)	(0.598)	(0.599)	(0.610)
N	69980	69980	69980	69980
County FE	No	Yes	No	Yes
NAICS FE	No	No	Yes	Yes

 $\it Notes:$ Regressions are at the location-visitor demographic level. Standard errors are robust.