

# 1 Migrations

Table 1: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Hispanic Migrants		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Dummy: Destination in TV Contour	−0.078 (0.108)	−0.123 (0.096)	−0.120 (0.096)
TV Dummy × Distance to Origin	−0.003* (0.002)	−0.004*** (0.001)	−0.004*** (0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance to Destination	−0.004*** (0.001)	−0.002 (0.001)	−0.002 (0.001)
Distance from Contor to Origin (KM)	−0.0003 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance from Contour to Destination (KM)	−0.001*** (0.0002)	−0.001*** (0.0003)	−0.001*** (0.0003)
Origin Log(Population)	0.164*** (0.017)	0.131*** (0.021)	0.094*** (0.026)
Destination Log(Population)	0.150*** (0.023)	0.128*** (0.020)	0.125*** (0.021)
Origin % Hispanic		1.328*** (0.295)	1.611*** (0.329)
Destination % Hispanic		1.485*** (0.293)	1.481*** (0.318)
Origin Log(Income)			0.407** (0.193)
Destination Log(Income)			0.003 (0.087)
Observations	4,062	4,062	4,062
R <sup>2</sup>	0.103	0.156	0.158
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.101	0.154	0.156

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 2: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Hispanic Migrants		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Dummy: Origin in TV Contour	−0.140 (0.152)	−0.194 (0.144)	−0.193 (0.144)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Destination	−0.004* (0.002)	−0.007*** (0.002)	−0.007*** (0.002)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Origin	−0.007** (0.003)	−0.004 (0.003)	−0.004 (0.003)
Distance from Contor to Destination (KM)	−0.0003 (0.002)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
Distance from Contour to Origin (KM)	−0.001*** (0.0004)	−0.002*** (0.0004)	−0.002*** (0.0004)
Destination Log(Population)	0.253*** (0.041)	0.169*** (0.023)	0.153*** (0.030)
Origin Log(Population)	0.182*** (0.035)	0.181*** (0.030)	0.181*** (0.034)
Destination % Hispanic		2.324*** (0.389)	2.471*** (0.411)
Origin % Hispanic		1.276** (0.602)	1.253** (0.584)
Destination Log(Income)			0.181 (0.196)
Origin Log(Income)			−0.015 (0.192)
Observations	1,659	1,659	1,659
R <sup>2</sup>	0.153	0.236	0.236
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.149	0.232	0.231

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 3: Effect of TV on Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Hispanic Migrants		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Dummy: Destination Outside TV Contour	−0.387*** (0.048)	−0.286*** (0.044)	−0.280*** (0.044)
TV Dummy × Distance to Origin	−0.003** (0.001)	−0.004*** (0.001)	−0.004*** (0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance to Destination	0.001 (0.001)	−0.002* (0.001)	−0.002 (0.001)
Distance from Contor to Origin (KM)	0.001 (0.002)	0.003* (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)
Distance from Contour to Destination (KM)	−0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
Origin Log(Population)	0.146*** (0.020)	0.161*** (0.017)	0.150*** (0.021)
Destination Log(Population)	0.150*** (0.014)	0.136*** (0.013)	0.125*** (0.016)
Origin % Hispanic		0.792*** (0.103)	0.881*** (0.141)
Destination % Hispanic		1.485*** (0.122)	1.573*** (0.141)
Origin Log(Income)			0.093 (0.094)
Destination Log(Income)			0.090 (0.078)
Observations	8,479	8,479	8,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.093	0.148	0.149
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.092	0.147	0.147

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 4: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Inside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Hispanic Migrants		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Dummy: Origin in TV Contour	−0.410*** (0.088)	−0.356*** (0.082)	−0.349*** (0.081)
TV Dummy × Distance to Destination	−0.007*** (0.003)	−0.008*** (0.003)	−0.008*** (0.003)
TV Dummy × Distance to Origin	−0.002 (0.002)	−0.004** (0.002)	−0.004* (0.002)
Distance from Contor to Destination (KM)	0.002 (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)
Distance from Contour to Origin (KM)	0.001 (0.002)	0.004 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)
Destination Log(Population)	0.179*** (0.019)	0.181*** (0.016)	0.175*** (0.019)
Origin Log(Population)	0.115*** (0.018)	0.117*** (0.017)	0.102*** (0.020)
Destination % Hispanic		1.384*** (0.183)	1.428*** (0.205)
Origin % Hispanic		0.813*** (0.182)	0.949*** (0.203)
Destination Log(Income)			0.041 (0.099)
Origin Log(Income)			0.138 (0.109)
Observations	4,338	4,338	4,338
R <sup>2</sup>	0.079	0.127	0.127
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.078	0.125	0.125

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 5: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	migLog		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	−0.246*** (0.055)	−0.326*** (0.048)	−0.346*** (0.049)
origLogPop	0.216*** (0.030)	0.196*** (0.018)	0.163*** (0.025)
destLogPop	0.211*** (0.031)	0.196*** (0.028)	0.173*** (0.030)
origpcHisp		1.540*** (0.216)	1.749*** (0.228)
destpcHisp		1.790*** (0.165)	1.979*** (0.177)
origLogInc			0.344* (0.179)
destLogInc			0.216** (0.092)
mi_to_county	−0.0005*** (0.0001)	−0.001*** (0.0001)	−0.001*** (0.0001)
Constant	−1.646*** (0.607)	−1.463*** (0.369)	−6.115*** (1.537)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
R <sup>2</sup>	0.130	0.204	0.207
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.129	0.203	0.205
Residual Std. Error	1.137 (df = 3699)	1.088 (df = 3697)	1.087 (df = 3695)

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 6: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	mig		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	−138.970*** (50.833)	−160.743*** (55.860)	−164.748*** (58.288)
origLogPop	55.128*** (16.276)	49.692*** (10.915)	54.916*** (17.009)
destLogPop	79.360** (31.339)	75.183** (29.864)	72.917** (28.813)
origpcHisp		424.714*** (149.604)	380.709*** (130.054)
destpcHisp		490.885*** (145.334)	518.338*** (159.358)
origLogInc			−58.140 (90.270)
destLogInc			29.220 (25.991)
mi_to_county	−0.181*** (0.061)	−0.219*** (0.064)	−0.220*** (0.065)
Constant	−1,446.295*** (520.832)	−1,395.887*** (457.051)	−1,156.459** (584.710)
Observations	3,704	3,704	3,704
R <sup>2</sup>	0.045	0.064	0.064
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.044	0.062	0.062
Residual Std. Error	646.360 (df = 3699)	640.108 (df = 3697)	640.222 (df = 3695)

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 7: Effect of TV on Reverse Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	revMig		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	−272.468*** (87.512)	−302.891*** (96.017)	−290.716*** (95.484)
origLogPop	161.229*** (59.972)	136.370*** (40.537)	138.851*** (47.270)
destLogPop	148.127** (63.158)	144.794** (64.019)	156.419** (66.248)
origpcHisp		894.758** (372.920)	890.891*** (323.861)
destpcHisp		683.396*** (191.365)	574.860*** (178.543)
origLogInc			−17.479 (161.210)
destLogInc			−121.820** (62.089)
mi_to_county	−0.442** (0.176)	−0.504*** (0.172)	−0.506*** (0.172)
Constant	−3,472.526** (1,386.592)	−3,281.295*** (1,181.058)	−2,122.032* (1,169.812)
Observations	1,526	1,526	1,526
R <sup>2</sup>	0.091	0.118	0.119
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.089	0.115	0.114
Residual Std. Error	1,015.579 (df = 1521)	1,001.034 (df = 1519)	1,001.478 (df = 1517)

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 8: Effect of TV on Log Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	migLog		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	−0.336*** (0.036)	−0.325*** (0.037)	−0.346*** (0.037)
origLogPop	0.208*** (0.013)	0.206*** (0.014)	0.157*** (0.018)
destLogPop	0.131*** (0.014)	0.136*** (0.015)	0.111*** (0.016)
origpcHisp		0.076 (0.268)	0.383 (0.272)
destpcHisp		−0.284* (0.153)	−0.130 (0.155)
origLogInc			0.498*** (0.123)
destLogInc			0.202*** (0.060)
mi_to_county	−0.001*** (0.00004)	−0.001*** (0.00004)	−0.001*** (0.00003)
Constant	0.173 (0.226)	0.151 (0.227)	−5.613*** (1.029)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
R <sup>2</sup>	0.086	0.086	0.091
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.085	0.086	0.090
Residual Std. Error	1.164 (df = 16208)	1.164 (df = 16206)	1.161 (df = 16204)

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 9: Effect of TV on Migration, Outside Sample Distance Dummy, Placebo

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	mig		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV	−115.357*** (15.867)	−122.427*** (18.276)	−125.001*** (17.904)
origLogPop	48.124*** (8.114)	44.512*** (5.138)	34.444*** (6.009)
destLogPop	52.948*** (10.943)	51.614*** (10.697)	47.937*** (11.042)
origpcHisp		238.308* (123.072)	304.169*** (116.669)
destpcHisp		160.862* (84.827)	180.496** (87.786)
origLogInc			103.236*** (36.142)
destLogInc			27.392 (26.837)
mi_to_county	−0.175*** (0.021)	−0.193*** (0.028)	−0.193*** (0.028)
Constant	−997.115*** (200.369)	−953.661*** (167.388)	−2,029.962*** (272.762)
Observations	16,213	16,213	16,213
R <sup>2</sup>	0.060	0.065	0.066
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.060	0.064	0.066
Residual Std. Error	411.701 (df = 16208)	410.745 (df = 16206)	410.443 (df = 16204)

Note:

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

## 2 Donations

Table 10: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.016*** (0.002)	0.013*** (0.002)	0.012*** (0.002)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0004* (0.0002)	0.0004** (0.0002)	0.001** (0.0002)
Log(Population)	0.081*** (0.001)	0.083*** (0.001)	0.058*** (0.001)
County % Hispanic		0.083*** (0.007)	0.264*** (0.008)
Log(Income)			0.00003*** (0.00000)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011
R <sup>2</sup>	0.019	0.019	0.022
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.019	0.019	0.022

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 11: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.019*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
Log(Population)		0.081*** (0.001)	0.084*** (0.001)	0.058*** (0.001)
County % Hispanic			0.084*** (0.007)	0.265*** (0.008)
Log(Income)				0.00003*** (0.00000)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011
R <sup>2</sup>	0.009	0.018	0.019	0.022
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.009	0.018	0.019	0.022
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 12: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations_dum			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.192*** (0.007)	0.147*** (0.007)	0.198*** (0.008)	0.178*** (0.009)
distance	-0.0001 (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.003*** (0.0005)	0.005*** (0.001)
logPop		1.000*** (0.008)	1.017*** (0.008)	0.826*** (0.009)
pcHispanic			-1.025*** (0.074)	0.660*** (0.085)
income				0.0001*** (0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.006*** (0.0002)	0.0003* (0.0002)	-0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)
Constant	-4.620*** (0.024)	-16.151*** (0.103)	-16.310*** (0.106)	-16.149*** (0.106)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011
Log Likelihood	-44,877.170	-35,054.140	-34,949.340	-34,232.540
Akaike Inf. Crit.	89,762.330	70,118.280	69,910.690	68,479.090

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 13: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.007 (0.005)	0.003 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.001** (0.0004)	-0.001** (0.0004)	-0.001** (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.0005 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Log(Population)	0.052*** (0.003)	0.055*** (0.003)	0.037*** (0.003)
County % Hispanic		0.101*** (0.019)	0.225*** (0.022)
Log(Income)			0.00002*** (0.00000)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011
R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.002
<i>Note:</i>			
*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 14: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.008** (0.004)	-0.014*** (0.004)	-0.019*** (0.004)	-0.020*** (0.004)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0004** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
Log(Population)		0.053*** (0.003)	0.056*** (0.003)	0.038*** (0.003)
County % Hispanic			0.106*** (0.019)	0.229*** (0.022)
Log(Income)				0.00002*** (0.00000)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011
R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 15: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations_dum			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.236*** (0.018)	0.213*** (0.020)	0.154*** (0.022)	0.136*** (0.023)
distance	0.007*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)
logPop		1.148*** (0.023)	1.128*** (0.022)	0.884*** (0.025)
pcHispanic			0.950*** (0.178)	3.770*** (0.222)
income				0.0002*** (0.00001)
intersects:distance	0.006*** (0.0004)	-0.001*** (0.0004)	-0.001 (0.0004)	0.0004 (0.0005)
Constant	-7.117*** (0.075)	-20.667*** (0.309)	-20.463*** (0.303)	-21.125*** (0.323)
Observations	619,011	619,011	619,011	619,011
Log Likelihood	-7,703.642	-6,092.903	-6,079.403	-5,842.863
Akaike Inf. Crit.	15,415.280	12,195.810	12,170.810	11,699.730
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 16: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	2.941*** (1.079)	2.506** (1.093)	2.175** (1.072)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.049 (0.083)	-0.039 (0.083)	-0.059 (0.082)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.061 (0.123)	0.062 (0.123)	0.068 (0.120)
Log(Population)	12.674*** (0.586)	12.919*** (0.595)	8.877*** (0.674)
County % Hispanic		9.646** (4.019)	37.604*** (4.584)
Log(Income)			0.004*** (0.0004)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.193	0.194	0.226
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.191	0.192	0.224
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		



Table 17: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Dummy: Hispanic Campaign Contributors		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	1.767*** (0.682)	1.342* (0.690)	1.191* (0.684)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.012 (0.053)	-0.003 (0.053)	-0.012 (0.052)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.024 (0.078)	0.025 (0.077)	0.028 (0.077)
Log(Population)	6.643*** (0.371)	6.881*** (0.376)	5.039*** (0.430)
County % Hispanic		9.393*** (2.538)	22.133*** (2.923)
Log(Income)			0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.140	0.143	0.161
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.138	0.141	0.159
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 18: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Hispanic Campaign Contributors		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.966 (0.777)	0.610 (0.787)	0.454 (0.781)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.066 (0.060)	-0.057 (0.060)	-0.067 (0.060)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.090 (0.088)	0.091 (0.088)	0.093 (0.088)
Log(Population)	5.182*** (0.422)	5.382*** (0.428)	3.480*** (0.491)
County % Hispanic		7.899*** (2.895)	21.049*** (3.340)
Log(Income)			0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.078	0.080	0.095
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.076	0.078	0.093
<i>Note:</i>			
*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 19: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Dummy: Hispanic Campaign Contributors		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.153 (0.181)	0.049 (0.183)	0.014 (0.182)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003 (0.014)	0.005 (0.014)	0.003 (0.014)
Distance to Boundary (KM)	0.009 (0.021)	0.009 (0.021)	0.009 (0.020)
Log(Population)	1.274*** (0.098)	1.333*** (0.100)	0.900*** (0.114)
County % Hispanic		2.305*** (0.673)	5.296*** (0.777)
Log(Income)			0.0005*** (0.0001)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.084	0.087	0.102
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.082	0.085	0.100
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 20: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	5.098*** (0.780)	4.214*** (0.819)	3.896*** (0.804)	0.364 (1.107)
distance	0.0001* (0.00004)	0.0001** (0.00004)	0.0001*** (0.00004)	0.00005 (0.00004)
logPop	15.750*** (0.746)	16.071*** (0.750)	10.445*** (0.905)	9.941*** (0.909)
pcHispanic		23.154*** (6.660)	56.794*** (7.252)	58.746*** (7.238)
income			0.005*** (0.0005)	0.005*** (0.0005)
intersects:distance				0.0002*** (0.00003)
Constant	-161.767*** (8.086)	-167.135*** (8.217)	-170.310*** (8.062)	-162.019*** (8.231)
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819	2,819
R <sup>2</sup>	0.189	0.193	0.224	0.230
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.189	0.192	0.223	0.228

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 21: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	2.667*** (0.879)	1.164 (0.828)	0.765 (0.843)	0.352 (0.827)
distance	0.016 (0.033)	0.042 (0.031)	0.047 (0.031)	0.056* (0.031)
logPop		12.723*** (0.587)	12.976*** (0.595)	8.956*** (0.675)
pcHispanic			10.041** (4.022)	37.894*** (4.589)
income				0.004*** (0.0004)
intersects:distance	0.314*** (0.031)	0.191*** (0.029)	0.195*** (0.029)	0.186*** (0.029)
Constant	4.694** (1.863)	-125.783*** (6.266)	-129.868*** (6.472)	-140.110*** (6.404)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.080	0.190	0.192	0.223
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.080	0.189	0.190	0.222

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 22: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations_d			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	8.178 (7.072)	-7.089 (6.387)	-5.547 (6.505)	-10.352* (6.216)
distance	0.144 (0.269)	0.407* (0.242)	0.389 (0.242)	0.495** (0.232)
logPop		129.217*** (4.524)	128.239*** (4.591)	81.414*** (5.070)
pcHispanic			-38.745 (31.032)	285.640*** (34.482)
income				0.050*** (0.003)
intersects:distance	3.645*** (0.246)	2.394*** (0.225)	2.379*** (0.226)	2.283*** (0.215)
Constant	66.618*** (14.980)	-1,258.542*** (48.317)	-1,242.780*** (49.935)	-1,362.060*** (48.115)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.119	0.286	0.287	0.350
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.118	0.286	0.286	0.349

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 23: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 100 KM Radius Placebo

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	donations		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
intersects	26.508*** (5.249)	31.467*** (5.515)	28.248*** (5.272)
distance	0.001*** (0.0003)	0.001*** (0.0003)	0.001*** (0.0003)
logPop	144.097*** (5.021)	142.299*** (5.052)	85.334*** (5.939)
pcHispanic		-129.855*** (44.853)	210.748*** (47.579)
income			0.051*** (0.003)
Constant	-1,443.829*** (54.422)	-1,413.722*** (55.337)	-1,445.873*** (52.896)
Observations	2,819	2,819	2,819
R <sup>2</sup>	0.274	0.276	0.340
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.274	0.275	0.339
Residual Std. Error	379.873 (df = 2815)	379.376 (df = 2814)	362.391 (df = 2813)
F Statistic	354.664*** (df = 3; 2815)	268.791*** (df = 4; 2814)	289.855*** (df = 5; 2813)

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 24: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Trump, 25 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	donations		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
intersects	3.923*** (1.361)	2.809* (1.480)	2.497* (1.458)
distance	0.001*** (0.0004)	0.001*** (0.0004)	0.001*** (0.0004)
logPop	18.511*** (1.677)	19.150*** (1.708)	12.433*** (2.050)
pcHispanic		23.632* (12.407)	66.660*** (14.338)
income			0.006*** (0.001)
Constant	−200.071*** (18.347)	−208.550*** (18.855)	−209.086*** (18.563)
Observations	1,007	1,007	1,007
R <sup>2</sup>	0.147	0.150	0.177
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.144	0.147	0.173
Residual Std. Error	75.485 (df = 1003)	75.387 (df = 1002)	74.217 (df = 1001)
F Statistic	57.630*** (df = 3; 1003)	44.243*** (df = 4; 1002)	43.086*** (df = 5; 1001)

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01



Table 25: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.155 (0.607)	-0.461 (0.597)	-0.788 (0.607)	-0.981 (0.603)
distance	0.00002 (0.00002)	0.00003 (0.00002)	0.00004 (0.00002)	0.00004* (0.00002)
logPop		5.214*** (0.423)	5.421*** (0.429)	3.534*** (0.492)
pcHispanic			8.196*** (2.897)	21.271*** (3.344)
income				0.002*** (0.0003)
intersects:distance	0.0002*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
Constant	1.352 (1.287)	-52.121*** (4.514)	-55.455*** (4.661)	-60.263*** (4.666)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.034	0.075	0.077	0.092
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.034	0.074	0.076	0.091
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 26: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations_d			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	−0.148 (2.857)	−2.648 (2.822)	−3.011 (2.875)	−4.185 (2.838)
distance	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)
logPop		21.158*** (1.999)	21.389*** (2.029)	9.942*** (2.315)
pcHispanic			9.130 (13.713)	88.426*** (15.745)
income				0.012*** (0.001)
intersects:distance	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
Constant	3.590 (6.052)	−213.396*** (21.349)	−217.110*** (22.067)	−246.268*** (21.969)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
R <sup>2</sup>	0.023	0.054	0.054	0.080
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.022	0.053	0.053	0.078

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 27: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations_dum			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.240*** (0.066)	0.144* (0.080)	0.126 (0.083)	0.110 (0.085)
distance	0.022* (0.011)	0.036*** (0.013)	0.035*** (0.013)	0.038*** (0.014)
dist2	-0.0002** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)
logPop		1.108*** (0.060)	1.108*** (0.060)	0.872*** (0.068)
pcHispanic			0.316 (0.436)	2.125*** (0.519)
income				0.0002*** (0.00003)
intersects:distance	0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 (0.006)
intersects:dist2	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)
Constant	-3.278*** (0.226)	-15.972*** (0.790)	-15.986*** (0.789)	-15.837*** (0.790)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
Log Likelihood	-833.426	-591.832	-591.574	-572.170
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,678.852	1,197.663	1,199.148	1,162.339

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 28: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations_dum			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.240*** (0.066)	0.144* (0.080)	0.126 (0.083)	0.110 (0.085)
distance	0.022* (0.011)	0.036*** (0.013)	0.035*** (0.013)	0.038*** (0.014)
dist2	-0.0002** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)
logPop		1.108*** (0.060)	1.108*** (0.060)	0.872*** (0.068)
pcHispanic			0.316 (0.436)	2.125*** (0.519)
income				0.0002*** (0.00003)
intersects:distance	0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 (0.006)	0.002 (0.006)
intersects:dist2	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)
Constant	-3.278*** (0.226)	-15.972*** (0.790)	-15.986*** (0.789)	-15.837*** (0.790)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
Log Likelihood	-833.426	-591.832	-591.574	-572.170
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,678.852	1,197.663	1,199.148	1,162.339

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 29: Effect of TV on Hispanic Donations to Clinton, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	donations_dum			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.114** (0.052)	0.035 (0.061)	0.016 (0.064)	−0.002 (0.065)
distance	−0.0003 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)
logPop		1.099*** (0.060)	1.100*** (0.060)	0.863*** (0.068)
pcHispanic			0.396 (0.431)	2.192*** (0.515)
income				0.0002*** (0.00003)
intersects:distance	0.015*** (0.002)	0.009*** (0.002)	0.010*** (0.002)	0.010*** (0.002)
Constant	−2.963*** (0.152)	−15.351*** (0.740)	−15.390*** (0.741)	−15.214*** (0.737)
Observations	3,479	3,479	3,479	3,479
Log Likelihood	−837.460	−595.663	−595.251	−575.786
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,682.920	1,201.326	1,202.503	1,165.571

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

### 3 Education

Table 30: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	pcHispanic_ged			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV	−0.010 (0.040)	−0.023 (0.040)	−0.022 (0.041)	0.009 (0.029)
origdist	−0.001** (0.001)	−0.001** (0.001)	−0.001** (0.001)	−0.001** (0.0004)
origLogPop		0.002 (0.010)	0.003 (0.013)	0.011 (0.009)
origpcHispanic		0.472*** (0.107)	0.458*** (0.131)	0.363*** (0.091)
origLogInc			−0.015 (0.077)	0.049 (0.054)
pcTot_ged				0.734*** (0.036)
TV:origdist	0.004*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)
Constant	0.168*** (0.028)	0.096 (0.127)	0.221 (0.655)	−0.659 (0.458)
Observations	401	401	401	401
R <sup>2</sup>	0.036	0.084	0.084	0.558
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.029	0.073	0.070	0.550
Residual Std. Error	0.304 (df = 397)	0.297 (df = 395)	0.297 (df = 394)	0.207 (df = 393)
F Statistic	4.988*** (df = 3; 397)	7.276*** (df = 5; 395)	6.055*** (df = 6; 394)	70.892*** (df = 7; 393)

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01  
Distance in KM, 100 KM cutoff

”Distance in KM, 100 KM cutoff. Demographic controls at county level. Errors clustered by school district”

### 4 Firms

Table 31: Effect of TV on Hispanic % GED Completed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	pcHisp_ged			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV	−0.002 (0.047)	−0.019 (0.048)	−0.017 (0.049)	0.019 (0.030)
origdist	−0.001 (0.002)	−0.001 (0.002)	−0.002 (0.002)	−0.001 (0.001)
origLogPop		−0.001 (0.013)	0.001 (0.017)	0.006 (0.010)
origpcHisp		0.533*** (0.125)	0.515*** (0.158)	0.336*** (0.095)
origLogInc			−0.017 (0.094)	0.073 (0.057)
pcTot_ged				0.898*** (0.039)
TV:origdist	0.003 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)	0.002 (0.002)
Constant	0.165*** (0.034)	0.122 (0.160)	0.265 (0.795)	−0.865* (0.480)
Observations	300	300	300	300
R <sup>2</sup>	0.004	0.065	0.065	0.664
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	−0.006	0.049	0.046	0.656
Residual Std. Error	0.333 (df = 296)	0.324 (df = 294)	0.324 (df = 293)	0.195 (df = 292)
F Statistic	0.409 (df = 3; 296)	4.059*** (df = 5; 294)	3.377*** (df = 6; 293)	82.309*** (df = 7; 292)

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01  
Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 32: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	pcHisp_gifted			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV	−0.004* (0.002)	−0.010*** (0.002)	−0.012*** (0.002)	−0.005*** (0.001)
origdist	−0.00001 (0.00003)	−0.00001 (0.00003)	0.00000 (0.00003)	−0.00002 (0.00002)
origLogPop		0.004*** (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.0004)
origpcHisp		0.008* (0.004)	0.028*** (0.006)	−0.014*** (0.004)
origLogInc			0.019*** (0.004)	−0.040*** (0.003)
pcTot_gifted				0.796*** (0.005)
TV:origdist	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.00004)
Constant	0.066*** (0.001)	0.023*** (0.006)	−0.136*** (0.033)	0.305*** (0.023)
Observations	28,228	28,228	28,228	28,228
R <sup>2</sup>	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.529

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01  
Distance in KM, 100 KM cutoff



Table 33: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	pcHisp_gifted			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV	−0.008*** (0.002)	−0.015*** (0.002)	−0.017*** (0.002)	−0.005*** (0.001)
origdist	−0.0001** (0.0001)	−0.0002** (0.0001)	−0.0001** (0.0001)	−0.0001 (0.00005)
origLogPop		0.004*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.0004)
origpcHisp		0.010** (0.004)	0.032*** (0.006)	−0.011*** (0.004)
origLogInc			0.020*** (0.004)	−0.037*** (0.003)
pcTot_gifted				0.799*** (0.005)
TV:origdist	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.00002 (0.0001)
Constant	0.067*** (0.001)	0.025*** (0.006)	−0.145*** (0.034)	0.278*** (0.023)
Observations	22,788	22,788	22,788	22,788
R <sup>2</sup>	0.013	0.015	0.017	0.575
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.013	0.015	0.016	0.575

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01  
Distance in KM, 50 KM cutoff

Table 34: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Gifted

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	pcHisp_gifted			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV	−0.006*** (0.002)	−0.015*** (0.002)	−0.013*** (0.002)	−0.006*** (0.002)
origdist	−0.0003 (0.0002)	−0.0002 (0.0002)	−0.0002 (0.0002)	−0.0001 (0.0001)
origLogPop		0.004*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)
origpcHisp		0.016*** (0.004)	−0.001 (0.006)	−0.009** (0.004)
origLogInc			−0.016*** (0.004)	−0.034*** (0.003)
pcTot_gifted				0.797*** (0.006)
TV:origdist	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)
Constant	0.067*** (0.001)	0.020*** (0.007)	0.154*** (0.037)	0.252*** (0.026)
Observations	16,844	16,844	16,844	16,844
R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.514

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01  
Distance in KM, 25 KM cutoff

Table 35: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hisp_harassVicRaceRate			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	−0.043 (0.033)	0.074** (0.037)	0.065* (0.037)	0.069* (0.036)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	−0.002* (0.001)	−0.002** (0.001)	−0.002** (0.001)	−0.002** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001* (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)
Log(Population)		−0.056*** (0.012)	−0.061*** (0.013)	−0.060*** (0.013)
% County Hispanic		−0.217*** (0.039)	−0.169** (0.072)	−0.167** (0.070)
Log(Income)			0.051 (0.052)	0.059 (0.051)
# Teachers at School				−0.001** (0.0003)
Observations	44,681	44,681	44,681	44,681
R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 36: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic # Harassment Victims)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Hispanic Victims of Harassment)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.003** (0.001)	0.002* (0.001)	0.002* (0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0001** (0.00002)	-0.00005* (0.00002)	-0.00005* (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)
# Hispanic Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
R <sup>2</sup>	0.012	0.016	0.023
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.012	0.016	0.023
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 37: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic # Harassment Perpetrators)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Hispanic Perpetrators of Harassment)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.00001 (0.00002)	-0.00001 (0.00002)	-0.00000 (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)
# Hispanic Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0001*** (0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
R <sup>2</sup>	0.014	0.016	0.022
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.014	0.016	0.021
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 38: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	−0.011** (0.005)	−0.018*** (0.005)	−0.016*** (0.005)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.002*** (0.0002)	−0.002*** (0.0002)	−0.002*** (0.0002)
# Hispanic Students	0.003*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864
R <sup>2</sup>	0.321	0.348	0.407
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.321	0.348	0.407
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 39: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	−0.067*** (0.006)	−0.073*** (0.006)	−0.074*** (0.006)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.006*** (0.0003)	−0.006*** (0.0003)	−0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students	0.004*** (0.00003)	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.003*** (0.00004)
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869
R <sup>2</sup>	0.444	0.467	0.467
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.444	0.467	0.467
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 40: Effect of TV on APs Taken

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# IHS(Hispanic Students Taking AP)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.072*** (0.016)	0.051*** (0.015)	0.047*** (0.015)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089
R <sup>2</sup>	0.530	0.588	0.614
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.529	0.587	0.613
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 41: Effect of TV on APs Passed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing AP)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.034** (0.014)	0.042*** (0.013)	0.039*** (0.013)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0003 (0.0003)	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002** (0.001)	0.002* (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
Observations	2,205	2,205	2,205
R <sup>2</sup>	0.389	0.433	0.438
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.387	0.430	0.435
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 42: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic # Limited English Proficiency)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.040*** (0.007)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.031*** (0.007)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.0001)	0.003*** (0.0001)	0.003*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002*** (0.0004)	-0.002*** (0.0004)	-0.002*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students	0.004*** (0.00003)	0.004*** (0.00004)	0.004*** (0.00004)
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502
R <sup>2</sup>	0.430	0.431	0.486
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.430	0.431	0.486
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 43: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic # Gifted Students)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.016*** (0.006)	0.015** (0.006)	0.013** (0.006)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002 (0.0003)	-0.0002 (0.0003)	-0.0002 (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students	0.003*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00004)
Observations	26,065	26,065	26,065
R <sup>2</sup>	0.482	0.507	0.523
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.482	0.507	0.523
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 44: Robustness Check - APs Passed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>					
	IHS(Hispanic APs Passed)					
		<i>OLS</i>		<i>felm</i>	<i>OLS</i>	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TV Dummy	0.039*** (0.013)	0.049*** (0.017)	0.044*** (0.016)	0.044*** (0.017)	0.036*** (0.013)	0.032* (0.018)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001* (0.0004)	0.0001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.001)	0.012*** (0.003)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.003** (0.002)	0.001 (0.004)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Total APs Passed					0.003*** (0.0001)	
Observations	2,205	2,205	1,525	1,525	1,525	1,095
R <sup>2</sup>	0.438	0.444	0.481	0.481	0.649	0.516
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.435	0.441	0.477	0.477	0.646	0.510

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 45: Robustness Check - Gifted Students

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(Hispanic Gifted Students)				
	<i>OLS</i>		<i>felm</i>		<i>OLS</i>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.013** (0.006)	0.035*** (0.007)	0.035 (0.023)	0.035*** (0.007)	0.030*** (0.008)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001* (0.001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001** (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002 (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
Total Gifted Students				0.011*** (0.0003)	
Observations	26,065	16,442	16,442	16,442	11,344
R <sup>2</sup>	0.523	0.534	0.534	0.566	0.549
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.523	0.534	0.534	0.565	0.549

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 46: Spatial Robustness - Harassment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Hispanic Victims of Harassment)		
	<i>OLS</i>	<i>spatial autoregressive</i>	<i>spatial error</i>
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.003** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.003* (0.002)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0001** (0.00002)	-0.0001*** (0.00001)	-0.0001** (0.00003)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
R <sup>2</sup>	0.012		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.012		
Log Likelihood		-4,304.916	-4,299.820
$\sigma^2$		0.072	0.072
Akaike Inf. Crit.		8,629.833	8,619.640
Wald Test (df = 1)		686.149***	686.981***
LR Test (df = 1)		657.312***	667.505***
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 47: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	Dummy for Hispanic Out of School Suspension				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	0.092*** (0.030)	0.204*** (0.031)	0.064* (0.033)	−0.006 (0.035)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.005*** (0.0004)	−0.004*** (0.0004)	−0.004*** (0.0004)	−0.004*** (0.0005)	−0.003*** (0.0005)
Log(Population)		0.074*** (0.007)	0.138*** (0.008)	0.135*** (0.009)	0.102*** (0.010)
% County Hispanic		1.714*** (0.069)	1.127*** (0.081)	1.210*** (0.088)	−1.383*** (0.109)
Log(Income)			−0.664*** (0.046)	−1.180*** (0.050)	−1.024*** (0.054)
# Teachers at School				0.031*** (0.0005)	0.010*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students					0.005*** (0.0001)
Total Students					0.0004*** (0.0001)
Contains Grade 1					−0.887*** (0.027)
Contains Grade 6					0.299*** (0.024)
Contains Grade 9					0.126*** (0.031)
Observations	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947
Log Likelihood	−30,733.950	−30,315.250	−30,211.380	−27,500.700	−24,898.820
Akaike Inf. Crit.	61,475.890	60,642.500	60,436.760	55,017.410	49,823.650

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 48: Effect of TV on Hispanic Out of School Suspension Dummy

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hisp_OOSDum			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.397*** (0.027)	−0.236*** (0.031)	−0.194*** (0.031)	−0.006 (0.035)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.005*** (0.0004)	−0.003*** (0.0005)	−0.003*** (0.0005)	−0.003*** (0.0005)
# Teachers at School		0.008*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students		0.004*** (0.0001)	0.005*** (0.0001)	0.005*** (0.0001)
Total Students		0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
Contains Grade 1			−0.860*** (0.027)	−0.887*** (0.027)
Contains Grade 6			0.318*** (0.024)	0.299*** (0.024)
Contains Grade 9			0.133*** (0.031)	0.126*** (0.031)
Log(Population)				0.102*** (0.010)
% County Hispanic				−1.383*** (0.109)
Log(Income)				−1.024*** (0.054)
Observations	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947
Log Likelihood	−30,733.950	−26,122.150	−25,092.940	−24,898.820
Akaike Inf. Crit.	61,475.890	52,258.300	50,205.880	49,823.650

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 49: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.343*** (0.016)	-0.061*** (0.014)	-0.024* (0.013)	0.057*** (0.015)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001** (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0004)	0.003*** (0.0004)	0.002*** (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.002*** (0.0002)
# Teachers at School		0.006*** (0.0003)	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0002*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00004* (0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.550*** (0.011)	-0.559*** (0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.206*** (0.010)	0.191*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.019 (0.013)	0.009 (0.013)
Log(Population)				0.064*** (0.004)
% County Hispanic				-0.535*** (0.041)
Log(Income)				-0.571*** (0.022)
Observations	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947
R <sup>2</sup>	0.033	0.337	0.394	0.403
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.033	0.337	0.394	0.403

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 50: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.282*** (0.018)	-0.081*** (0.015)	-0.047*** (0.014)	0.033** (0.016)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.012*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance2	-0.0002*** (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)	-0.00004** (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.008*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.006*** (0.001)
Distance2	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00005*** (0.00001)
# Teachers at School		0.006*** (0.0003)	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00002)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0002*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00004* (0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.549*** (0.011)	-0.558*** (0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.207*** (0.010)	0.192*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.020 (0.013)	0.010 (0.013)
Log(Population)				0.067*** (0.004)
% County Hispanic				-0.550*** (0.042)
Log(Income)				-0.575*** (0.022)
Observations	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947
R <sup>2</sup>	0.034	0.337	0.395	0.404
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.034	0.337	0.395	0.403

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 51: Effect of TV on APs Taken

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	# IHS(Hispanic Students Taking AP)			
		<i>OLS</i>		<i>felm</i>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	1.536*** (0.059)	0.556*** (0.062)	0.293*** (0.048)	0.240*** (0.048)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001 (0.002)	0.010*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.007*** (0.001)	-0.007*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.211*** (0.016)	0.087*** (0.013)	0.158*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic		4.406*** (0.157)	3.278*** (0.137)	2.327*** (0.147)
Log(Income)		0.474*** (0.088)	0.713*** (0.069)	0.942*** (0.082)
# Teachers at School			-0.0002 (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students			0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)
Total Students			0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1			-1.111*** (0.092)	-1.066*** (0.085)
Contains Grade 6			-0.348*** (0.062)	-0.487*** (0.057)
Contains Grade 9			0.295*** (0.088)	0.291*** (0.083)
Observations	6,863	6,863	6,863	6,863
R <sup>2</sup>	0.199	0.340	0.612	0.675
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.199	0.339	0.611	0.672

Note:

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 52: Effect of TV on APs Taken

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	# IHS(Hispanic Students Taking AP)			
		<i>OLS</i>		<i>felm</i>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.833*** (0.046)	0.872*** (0.045)	0.293*** (0.048)	0.240*** (0.048)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)
# Teachers at School	0.0003 (0.001)	-0.0004 (0.001)	-0.0002 (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)
Total Students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1		-1.223*** (0.097)	-1.111*** (0.092)	-1.066*** (0.085)
Contains Grade 6		-0.163** (0.065)	-0.348*** (0.062)	-0.487*** (0.057)
Contains Grade 9		0.397*** (0.093)	0.295*** (0.088)	0.291*** (0.083)
Log(Population)			0.087*** (0.013)	0.158*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic			3.278*** (0.137)	2.327*** (0.147)
Log(Income)			0.713*** (0.069)	0.942*** (0.082)
Observations	6,863	6,863	6,863	6,863
R <sup>2</sup>	0.541	0.562	0.612	0.675
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.540	0.561	0.611	0.672

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 53: Effect of TV on APs Passed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	# IHS(Hispanic Students Passing AP)			
		<i>OLS</i>		<i>felm</i>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.469*** (0.058)	0.212*** (0.056)	0.155*** (0.048)	0.226*** (0.050)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002 (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.002* (0.001)	-0.001 (0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.002** (0.001)	-0.0005 (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.144*** (0.015)	0.102*** (0.013)	0.103*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic		1.390*** (0.127)	1.053*** (0.122)	0.978*** (0.130)
Log(Income)		-0.166** (0.075)	0.153** (0.065)	0.388*** (0.082)
# Teachers at School			-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.002*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students			0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0005*** (0.00004)
Total Students			0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1			-0.254* (0.136)	-0.087 (0.129)
Contains Grade 6			-0.237*** (0.074)	-0.294*** (0.070)
Contains Grade 9			0.169** (0.085)	-0.049 (0.089)
Observations	2,342	2,342	2,342	2,342
R <sup>2</sup>	0.069	0.224	0.446	0.520
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.068	0.222	0.443	0.511

Note:

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 54: Effect of TV on APs Passed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	# IHS(Hispanic Students Passing AP)			
		<i>OLS</i>		<i>felm</i>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.331*** (0.047)	0.336*** (0.047)	0.155*** (0.048)	0.226*** (0.050)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002* (0.001)	-0.001 (0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002** (0.001)	-0.0005 (0.001)
# Teachers at School	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.002*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0005*** (0.00004)
Total Students	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Contains Grade 1		-0.272* (0.141)	-0.254* (0.136)	-0.087 (0.129)
Contains Grade 6		-0.090 (0.076)	-0.237*** (0.074)	-0.294*** (0.070)
Contains Grade 9		0.203** (0.088)	0.169** (0.085)	-0.049 (0.089)
Log(Population)			0.102*** (0.013)	0.103*** (0.014)
% County Hispanic			1.053*** (0.122)	0.978*** (0.130)
Log(Income)			0.153** (0.065)	0.388*** (0.082)
Observations	2,342	2,342	2,342	2,342
R <sup>2</sup>	0.394	0.398	0.446	0.520
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.393	0.396	0.443	0.511

Note:

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 55: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(Hispanic # Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.979*** (0.025)	0.287*** (0.021)	0.221*** (0.020)	0.068*** (0.022)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.005*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.008*** (0.0004)	-0.005*** (0.0003)	-0.005*** (0.0003)	-0.005*** (0.0003)
# Teachers at School		0.0004 (0.0005)	0.003*** (0.0005)	0.003*** (0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005*** (0.00004)	0.005*** (0.00004)	0.004*** (0.00004)
Total Students		0.00005 (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338*** (0.016)	0.334*** (0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.280*** (0.015)	-0.281*** (0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.836*** (0.019)	-0.840*** (0.019)
Log(Population)				0.020*** (0.006)
% County Hispanic				0.994*** (0.063)
Log(Income)				0.191*** (0.033)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
R <sup>2</sup>	0.100	0.424	0.475	0.479
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.099	0.424	0.475	0.479

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 56: Effect of TV on Hispanic % Harassment Victims

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Hispanic	# Limited English Proficiency		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	37.382*** (1.171)	-1.607** (0.798)	-3.552*** (0.779)	-0.728 (0.869)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.213*** (0.034)	0.460*** (0.023)	0.434*** (0.022)	0.364*** (0.023)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.155*** (0.018)	0.037*** (0.012)	0.036*** (0.012)	0.010 (0.012)
# Teachers at School		-0.058*** (0.019)	-0.0001 (0.019)	0.041** (0.019)
# Hispanic Students		0.318*** (0.001)	0.314*** (0.001)	0.322*** (0.002)
Total Students		-0.036*** (0.001)	-0.032*** (0.001)	-0.037*** (0.001)
Contains Grade 1			16.884*** (0.649)	16.220*** (0.647)
Contains Grade 6			-7.925*** (0.593)	-8.592*** (0.591)
Contains Grade 9			-15.944*** (0.764)	-15.841*** (0.761)
Log(Population)				3.729*** (0.234)
% County Hispanic				-45.583*** (2.465)
Log(Income)				-20.967*** (1.315)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
R <sup>2</sup>	0.059	0.583	0.604	0.608
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.059	0.583	0.604	0.608

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 57: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.189*** (0.020)	0.053*** (0.016)	0.072*** (0.016)	0.033** (0.016)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.013*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance2	-0.0002*** (0.00002)	-0.00001 (0.00002)	-0.00003 (0.00002)	-0.00002 (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.006*** (0.001)
Distance2	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00005*** (0.00001)
% County Hispanic	1.356*** (0.044)	-0.300*** (0.041)	-0.326*** (0.040)	-0.550*** (0.042)
Log(Population)	-0.218*** (0.023)	-0.430*** (0.019)	-0.371*** (0.019)	-0.575*** (0.022)
# Teachers at School		0.007*** (0.0003)	0.005*** (0.0003)	0.006*** (0.0003)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.002*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00004* (0.00002)
Contains Grade 1			-0.545*** (0.011)	-0.558*** (0.011)
Contains Grade 6			0.202*** (0.010)	0.192*** (0.010)
Contains Grade 9			0.011 (0.013)	0.010 (0.013)
Log(Income)				0.067*** (0.004)
Observations	45,947	45,947	45,947	45,947
R <sup>2</sup>	0.067	0.344	0.400	0.404
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.067	0.344	0.400	0.403

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 58: Effect of TV on IHS(Hispanic # Harassment Victims)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Hispanic Victims of Harassment)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-0.0003 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.0005 (0.002)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance <sup>2</sup>	-0.00000* (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)	-0.00000** (0.00000)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)
Distance <sup>2</sup>	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)	0.00001*** (0.00000)
% County Hispanic	0.028** (0.012)	0.006 (0.013)	0.005 (0.013)	0.016 (0.013)
Log(Population)	0.066*** (0.005)	0.051*** (0.005)	0.055*** (0.005)	0.069*** (0.006)
# Teachers at School		0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
# Hispanic Students		0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)
Total Students		-0.00003*** (0.00001)	-0.00003*** (0.00001)	-0.00002*** (0.00001)
Contains Grade 1			-0.037*** (0.003)	-0.036*** (0.003)
Contains Grade 6			0.028*** (0.003)	0.029*** (0.003)
Contains Grade 9			-0.010*** (0.004)	-0.010** (0.004)
Log(Income)				-0.005*** (0.001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811	40,811
R <sup>2</sup>	0.009	0.016	0.023	0.023
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.009	0.016	0.023	0.023

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 59: Effect of TV on IHS(APs Taken)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(APs Taken by Hispanic Students)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.307*** (0.065)	0.223*** (0.048)	0.232*** (0.047)	0.166*** (0.047)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.016*** (0.005)	0.007* (0.004)	0.006* (0.004)	0.008** (0.004)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance2	-0.0001* (0.0001)	-0.00002 (0.0001)	-0.00002 (0.0001)	-0.00002 (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002 (0.004)	0.003 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)	-0.002 (0.003)
Distance2	-0.00005 (0.00005)	-0.0001* (0.00003)	-0.0001** (0.00003)	-0.00002 (0.00003)
% County Hispanic	2.358*** (0.124)	1.012*** (0.108)	1.042*** (0.107)	0.764*** (0.111)
Log(Population)	-0.319*** (0.072)	-0.033 (0.054)	-0.044 (0.054)	-0.266*** (0.060)
# Teachers at School		-0.005*** (0.0005)	-0.005*** (0.0005)	-0.005*** (0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)
Total Students		0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.532*** (0.126)	-0.564*** (0.124)
Contains Grade 6			-0.170** (0.068)	-0.225*** (0.067)
Contains Grade 9			0.153* (0.079)	0.189** (0.078)
Log(Income)				0.098*** (0.012)
Observations	2,342	2,342	2,342	2,342
R <sup>2</sup>	0.311	0.626	0.634	0.644
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.624	0.632	0.642

Note:

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 60: Effect of TV on IHS(APs Passed)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(APs Passed by Hispanic Students)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.305*** (0.061)	0.242*** (0.052)	0.251*** (0.052)	0.184*** (0.052)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.005 (0.005)	-0.003 (0.004)	-0.004 (0.004)	-0.002 (0.004)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance2	-0.00004 (0.0001)	0.00005 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.00005 (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.005 (0.004)	0.007** (0.003)	0.008** (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)
Distance2	-0.0001* (0.00004)	-0.0001*** (0.00004)	-0.0001*** (0.00004)	-0.0001 (0.00004)
% County Hispanic	1.902*** (0.118)	1.306*** (0.117)	1.332*** (0.117)	1.053*** (0.122)
Log(Population)	0.144** (0.069)	0.383*** (0.058)	0.377*** (0.059)	0.153** (0.065)
# Teachers at School		-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students		0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
Total Students		0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0004*** (0.00003)	0.0004*** (0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.216 (0.137)	-0.248* (0.136)
Contains Grade 6			-0.186** (0.074)	-0.241*** (0.074)
Contains Grade 9			0.133 (0.086)	0.169** (0.085)
Log(Income)				0.098*** (0.013)
Observations	2,342	2,342	2,342	2,342
R <sup>2</sup>	0.195	0.429	0.433	0.447
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.193	0.426	0.430	0.443

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 61: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(Hispanic # Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.248*** (0.030)	0.047* (0.025)	0.014 (0.024)	0.002 (0.024)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.038*** (0.002)	0.023*** (0.002)	0.020*** (0.002)	0.020*** (0.002)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance <sup>2</sup>	-0.0004*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.013*** (0.001)	-0.011*** (0.001)	-0.010*** (0.001)	-0.010*** (0.001)
Distance <sup>2</sup>	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0001*** (0.00001)
% County Hispanic	4.251*** (0.066)	0.986*** (0.062)	1.068*** (0.060)	0.995*** (0.063)
Log(Population)	0.572*** (0.035)	0.375*** (0.029)	0.261*** (0.028)	0.194*** (0.034)
# Teachers at School		-0.0001 (0.001)	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.003*** (0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005*** (0.00004)	0.004*** (0.00004)	0.004*** (0.00004)
Total Students		0.0001*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338*** (0.016)	0.334*** (0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.277*** (0.015)	-0.280*** (0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.837*** (0.019)	-0.837*** (0.019)
Log(Income)				0.022*** (0.006)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
R <sup>2</sup>	0.178	0.428	0.479	0.479
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.177	0.428	0.479	0.479

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 62: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(Hispanic # Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.388*** (0.027)	0.123*** (0.023)	0.079*** (0.022)	0.068*** (0.022)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.013*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006*** (0.0004)	-0.005*** (0.0003)	-0.004*** (0.0003)	-0.005*** (0.0003)
% County Hispanic	4.237*** (0.066)	0.977*** (0.062)	1.061*** (0.060)	0.994*** (0.063)
Log(Population)	0.561*** (0.035)	0.367*** (0.029)	0.253*** (0.028)	0.191*** (0.033)
# Teachers at School		-0.0001 (0.001)	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.003*** (0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.005*** (0.00004)	0.004*** (0.00004)	0.004*** (0.00004)
Total Students		0.0001*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			0.338*** (0.016)	0.334*** (0.016)
Contains Grade 6			-0.278*** (0.015)	-0.281*** (0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.840*** (0.019)	-0.840*** (0.019)
Log(Income)				0.020*** (0.006)
Observations	46,709	46,709	46,709	46,709
R <sup>2</sup>	0.175	0.427	0.479	0.479
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.175	0.427	0.479	0.479

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 63: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(Hispanic # Gifted Students)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.228*** (0.025)	0.074*** (0.021)	0.080*** (0.021)	0.068*** (0.021)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.029*** (0.002)	0.022*** (0.002)	0.022*** (0.002)	0.022*** (0.002)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance2	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00002)	-0.0002*** (0.00002)	-0.0002*** (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.009*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.001)	-0.009*** (0.001)
Distance2	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0001*** (0.00001)
% County Hispanic	4.585*** (0.059)	2.582*** (0.057)	2.644*** (0.056)	2.531*** (0.060)
Log(Population)	0.952*** (0.036)	0.563*** (0.031)	0.630*** (0.031)	0.524*** (0.037)
# Teachers at School		0.002*** (0.0005)	0.001 (0.0005)	0.001 (0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00004)
Total Students		0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.441*** (0.017)	-0.445*** (0.017)
Contains Grade 6			0.062*** (0.015)	0.061*** (0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.297*** (0.021)	-0.292*** (0.021)
Log(Income)				0.030*** (0.006)
Observations	28,577	28,577	28,577	28,577
R <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.516	0.532	0.533
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.516	0.532	0.532

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 64: Effect of TV on IHS(Gifted)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(Hispanic # Gifted Students)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.333*** (0.024)	0.149*** (0.020)	0.155*** (0.020)	0.144*** (0.020)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003*** (0.0003)	-0.003*** (0.0003)	-0.003*** (0.0003)	-0.003*** (0.0003)
% County Hispanic	4.584*** (0.059)	2.578*** (0.057)	2.640*** (0.056)	2.530*** (0.060)
Log(Population)	0.960*** (0.036)	0.565*** (0.031)	0.630*** (0.031)	0.527*** (0.037)
# Teachers at School		0.002*** (0.0005)	0.001 (0.0005)	0.001* (0.0005)
# Hispanic Students		0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00004)
Total Students		0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)
Contains Grade 1			-0.442*** (0.017)	-0.446*** (0.017)
Contains Grade 6			0.059*** (0.015)	0.058*** (0.015)
Contains Grade 9			-0.303*** (0.021)	-0.298*** (0.021)
Log(Income)				0.029*** (0.006)
Observations	28,577	28,577	28,577	28,577
R <sup>2</sup>	0.306	0.514	0.531	0.531
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.306	0.514	0.530	0.531

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 65: Effect of TV on Hispanic Owned Businesses, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	busn			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	-629.356 (710.094)	-890.860 (723.788)	-972.827 (723.167)	-1,034.754 (730.745)
intersects:distance	273.627*** (59.975)	262.200*** (60.284)	227.195*** (60.435)	226.714*** (60.441)
intersects:dist2	-4.708*** (1.054)	-4.592*** (1.056)	-3.760*** (1.062)	-3.753*** (1.062)
distance	-48.278 (89.462)	-49.697 (89.461)	-54.057 (89.374)	-53.414 (89.382)
dist2	0.700 (0.976)	0.789 (0.977)	1.028 (0.977)	0.986 (0.979)
logPop		806.583* (432.786)	177.398 (441.730)	338.654 (519.367)
pcHispanic			35,519.770*** (5,109.858)	35,021.800*** (5,179.078)
income				-0.105 (0.177)
Constant	-603.995 (1,547.216)	-9,743.664* (5,142.300)	-5,111.201 (5,180.251)	-5,430.772 (5,208.528)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.004
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.004

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 66: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	ihs(busn)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
intersects	0.263*** (0.020)	0.113*** (0.020)	0.113*** (0.020)	0.127*** (0.020)	0.139*** (0.018)
distance	0.036*** (0.003)	0.036*** (0.002)	0.036*** (0.002)	0.035*** (0.002)	0.034*** (0.002)
dist2	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0003*** (0.00002)
logPop		0.463*** (0.012)	0.459*** (0.012)	0.421*** (0.014)	0.356*** (0.013)
pcHispanic			0.239* (0.142)	0.354** (0.144)	-0.687*** (0.127)
income				0.00002*** (0.00000)	0.00002*** (0.00000)
busnCount					0.014*** (0.0002)
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.001)
intersects:dist2	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)	-0.0001** (0.00003)
Constant	-0.204*** (0.044)	-5.448*** (0.143)	-5.417*** (0.144)	-5.344*** (0.145)	-4.401*** (0.128)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
R <sup>2</sup>	0.114	0.166	0.166	0.167	0.356
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.114	0.166	0.166	0.167	0.356

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 67: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses (50% threshold), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	ihs(busnD)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.232*** (0.019)	0.103*** (0.019)	0.101*** (0.019)	0.113*** (0.019)
distance	0.029*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)	0.028*** (0.002)
dist2	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)
logPop		0.396*** (0.011)	0.378*** (0.012)	0.345*** (0.014)
pcHispanic			1.026*** (0.134)	1.127*** (0.136)
income				0.00002*** (0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	0.017*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)
intersects:dist2	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0003*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)
Constant	-0.242*** (0.042)	-4.733*** (0.135)	-4.599*** (0.136)	-4.534*** (0.137)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
R <sup>2</sup>	0.107	0.151	0.153	0.154
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.107	0.151	0.153	0.153

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 68: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Name Businesses, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	ihs(hispFoodName)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	−0.0003 (0.003)	−0.005* (0.003)	−0.005* (0.003)	−0.005 (0.003)
distance	−0.003*** (0.001)	−0.002*** (0.001)	−0.002*** (0.001)	−0.002*** (0.001)
dist2	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
logPop		0.025*** (0.002)	0.016*** (0.002)	0.015*** (0.002)
pcHispanic			0.408*** (0.018)	0.411*** (0.018)
income				0.00000 (0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.005*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)	0.004*** (0.0004)
intersects:dist2	−0.0001*** (0.00001)	−0.0001*** (0.00001)	−0.0001*** (0.00001)	−0.0001*** (0.00001)
Constant	0.001 (0.007)	−0.286*** (0.021)	−0.220*** (0.021)	−0.217*** (0.021)
Observations	20,404	20,404	20,404	20,404
R <sup>2</sup>	0.055	0.064	0.087	0.087
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.055	0.064	0.087	0.087

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 69: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispFoodNameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.794*** (0.078)	0.790*** (0.098)	0.787*** (0.099)	0.905*** (0.103)
distance	0.051*** (0.016)	0.094*** (0.019)	0.094*** (0.019)	0.100*** (0.019)
dist2	-0.0004** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)
logPop		0.920*** (0.055)	0.949*** (0.071)	0.750*** (0.075)
pcHispanic			-0.204 (0.312)	1.014*** (0.361)
income				0.0001*** (0.00002)
intersects:distance	0.029*** (0.005)	0.001 (0.006)	0.001 (0.006)	-0.002 (0.006)
intersects:dist2	-0.001*** (0.0001)	-0.0002** (0.0001)	-0.0002** (0.0001)	-0.0001* (0.0001)
Constant	-6.785*** (0.282)	-18.626*** (0.819)	-18.971*** (0.982)	-18.690*** (0.974)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
Log Likelihood	-2,421.045	-2,234.297	-2,234.083	-2,216.667
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,854.090	4,482.593	4,484.165	4,451.333

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 70: Effect of TV on IHS Hispanic Owned Businesses, 50 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	ihs(busnCount)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.104*** (0.018)	0.048*** (0.017)	0.047*** (0.017)	0.040** (0.017)
distance	-0.018*** (0.004)	-0.007* (0.004)	-0.008* (0.004)	-0.007* (0.004)
dist2	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
logPop		0.280*** (0.010)	0.310*** (0.010)	0.331*** (0.012)
pcHispanic			-1.483*** (0.105)	-1.554*** (0.107)
income				-0.00001*** (0.00000)
intersects:distance	0.022*** (0.002)	0.012*** (0.002)	0.014*** (0.002)	0.014*** (0.002)
intersects:dist2	-0.0003*** (0.00005)	-0.0001*** (0.00005)	-0.0002*** (0.00005)	-0.0002*** (0.00005)
Constant	0.426*** (0.041)	-2.825*** (0.122)	-3.067*** (0.122)	-3.120*** (0.123)
Observations	20,404	20,404	20,404	20,404
R <sup>2</sup>	0.110	0.143	0.152	0.152
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.109	0.143	0.151	0.152

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 71: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, 50 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispFoodNameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
intersects	0.345*** (0.095)	0.458*** (0.116)	0.449*** (0.116)	0.555*** (0.122)
distance	-0.160*** (0.036)	-0.064 (0.041)	-0.067 (0.041)	-0.051 (0.041)
dist2	0.004*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002** (0.001)
logPop		0.884*** (0.058)	0.951*** (0.078)	0.784*** (0.085)
pcHispanic			-0.433 (0.324)	0.522 (0.398)
income				0.0001*** (0.00002)
intersects:distance	0.094*** (0.011)	0.046*** (0.013)	0.046*** (0.013)	0.040*** (0.013)
intersects:dist2	-0.002*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0003)	-0.001*** (0.0003)	-0.001*** (0.0003)
Constant	-5.275*** (0.312)	-16.934*** (0.893)	-17.725*** (1.090)	-17.264*** (1.074)
Observations	20,404	20,404	20,404	20,404
Log Likelihood	-2,144.218	-1,993.553	-1,992.652	-1,985.296
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,300.437	4,001.106	4,001.304	3,988.591

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 72: Effect of TV on Hispanic Owned Businesses, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	busnCount			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
inside	0.018 (0.024)	-0.048* (0.026)	-0.051** (0.026)	-0.041 (0.026)
distance	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.007* (0.004)	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.006 (0.004)
dist2	0.000** (0.000)	0.000** (0.000)	0.000* (0.000)	0.000* (0.000)
logPop		0.132*** (0.018)	0.058*** (0.019)	0.032 (0.020)
origpcHisp			0.840*** (0.090)	1.026*** (0.103)
origincome				0.00002*** (0.00001)
inside:distance	0.012*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)
inside:dist2	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)
Constant	1.916*** (0.074)	0.375* (0.218)	1.271*** (0.238)	1.231*** (0.238)
Observations	138,553	138,411	138,411	138,411
R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 73: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispFoodName			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
inside	0.005*** (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
distance	0.00004 (0.0002)	-0.00000 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)
dist2	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)
logPop		0.007*** (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
origpcHisp			0.072*** (0.005)	0.071*** (0.005)
origincome				-0.00000 (0.00000)
inside:distance	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)
inside:dist2	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)
Constant	-0.006 (0.004)	-0.085*** (0.011)	-0.008 (0.013)	-0.008 (0.013)
Observations	138,553	138,411	138,411	138,411
R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.005
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.004

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 74: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispFoodNameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
inside	0.429*** (0.076)	0.207** (0.083)	0.219*** (0.081)	0.236*** (0.083)
distance	0.001 (0.015)	0.012 (0.017)	0.012 (0.016)	0.014 (0.016)
dist2	0.000 (0.000)	−0.000 (0.000)	−0.000 (0.000)	−0.000 (0.000)
logPop		0.512*** (0.061)	0.177*** (0.065)	0.142** (0.070)
origpcHisp			1.740*** (0.204)	1.973*** (0.276)
origincome				0.00002 (0.00002)
inside:distance	0.011** (0.005)	0.004 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)
inside:dist2	−0.000*** (0.000)	−0.000** (0.000)	−0.000* (0.000)	−0.000* (0.000)
Constant	−6.266*** (0.268)	−12.443*** (0.803)	−8.218*** (0.831)	−8.190*** (0.833)
Observations	135,727	135,594	135,594	135,594
Log Likelihood	−6,768.276	−6,711.180	−6,674.295	−6,673.528
Akaike Inf. Crit.	13,548.550	13,436.360	13,364.590	13,365.060

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 75: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (No Food), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispNameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
inside	0.448*** (0.077)	0.217** (0.085)	0.228*** (0.083)	0.246*** (0.085)
distance	0.003 (0.015)	0.015 (0.017)	0.015 (0.016)	0.016 (0.016)
dist2	0.000 (0.000)	−0.000 (0.000)	−0.000 (0.000)	−0.000 (0.000)
logPop		0.537*** (0.062)	0.190*** (0.066)	0.154** (0.072)
origpcHisp			1.768*** (0.207)	2.006*** (0.279)
origincome				0.00002 (0.00002)
inside:distance	0.011** (0.005)	0.004 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)
inside:dist2	−0.000*** (0.000)	−0.000** (0.000)	−0.000* (0.000)	−0.000* (0.000)
Constant	−6.356*** (0.273)	−12.841*** (0.823)	−8.456*** (0.851)	−8.432*** (0.853)
Observations	135,727	135,594	135,594	135,594
Log Likelihood	−6,659.847	−6,600.211	−6,563.025	−6,562.247
Akaike Inf. Crit.	13,331.690	13,214.420	13,142.050	13,142.500

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 76: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispFoodNameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
inside	0.198 (0.122)	-0.028 (0.141)	-0.027 (0.141)	-0.020 (0.142)
distance	0.003 (0.011)	-0.002 (0.011)	-0.002 (0.011)	-0.002 (0.011)
logPop		0.334*** (0.114)	0.312** (0.142)	0.285* (0.153)
origpcHisp			0.096 (0.385)	0.282 (0.549)
origincome				0.00002 (0.00004)
inside:distance	0.001 (0.003)	0.002 (0.003)	0.002 (0.003)	0.002 (0.003)
Constant	-5.323*** (0.440)	-9.163*** (1.399)	-8.890*** (1.762)	-8.870*** (1.766)
Observations	35,632	35,619	35,619	35,619
Log Likelihood	-2,158.311	-2,153.251	-2,153.220	-2,153.111
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,324.622	4,316.502	4,318.440	4,320.221

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 77: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispFoodNameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
inside	0.643*** (0.063)	0.312*** (0.075)	0.320*** (0.070)	0.339*** (0.072)
distance	0.001 (0.006)	−0.005 (0.005)	−0.001 (0.005)	−0.0001 (0.005)
logPop		0.682*** (0.072)	0.137* (0.070)	0.089 (0.077)
origpcHisp			3.170*** (0.245)	3.464*** (0.315)
origincome				0.00003 (0.00002)
inside:distance	−0.002 (0.002)	−0.002 (0.002)	−0.005*** (0.002)	−0.005*** (0.002)
Constant	−6.591*** (0.224)	−14.701*** (0.898)	−7.811*** (0.860)	−7.756*** (0.861)
Observations	100,095	99,975	99,975	99,975
Log Likelihood	−4,606.295	−4,534.981	−4,450.675	−4,449.617
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,220.589	9,079.963	8,913.351	8,913.235

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 78: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispNameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
inside	0.212* (0.123)	-0.030 (0.142)	-0.030 (0.142)	-0.022 (0.143)
distance	0.005 (0.011)	-0.001 (0.011)	-0.001 (0.011)	-0.0003 (0.011)
logPop		0.359*** (0.116)	0.346** (0.146)	0.317** (0.157)
origpcHisp			0.056 (0.391)	0.262 (0.554)
origincome				0.00002 (0.00004)
inside:distance	0.0004 (0.003)	0.002 (0.003)	0.002 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)
Constant	-5.387*** (0.444)	-9.523*** (1.432)	-9.362*** (1.815)	-9.349*** (1.820)
Observations	35,632	35,619	35,619	35,619
Log Likelihood	-2,122.827	-2,117.193	-2,117.183	-2,117.049
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,253.653	4,244.386	4,246.365	4,248.099

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 79: Effect of TV on Hispanic Name Businesses (Food), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	hispNameD			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
inside	0.661*** (0.064)	0.319*** (0.076)	0.328*** (0.072)	0.348*** (0.073)
distance	0.002 (0.006)	−0.004 (0.005)	−0.001 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)
logPop		0.710*** (0.074)	0.142** (0.071)	0.094 (0.078)
origpcHisp			3.233*** (0.247)	3.532*** (0.319)
origincome				0.00003 (0.00002)
inside:distance	−0.002 (0.002)	−0.003 (0.002)	−0.005*** (0.002)	−0.005*** (0.002)
Constant	−6.671*** (0.228)	−15.119*** (0.920)	−7.944*** (0.875)	−7.890*** (0.877)
Observations	100,095	99,975	99,975	99,975
Log Likelihood	−4,532.963	−4,459.076	−4,373.162	−4,372.107
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,073.926	8,928.151	8,758.323	8,758.214

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 80: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Owned Businesses), 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Hispanic Owned Businesses)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	0.261*** (0.014)	0.122*** (0.014)	0.112*** (0.014)	0.132*** (0.015)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.010*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)	0.011*** (0.001)
Log(Population)		0.412*** (0.011)	0.388*** (0.012)	0.342*** (0.014)
County % Hispanic			1.261*** (0.133)	1.414*** (0.136)
Log(Income)				0.391*** (0.070)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
R <sup>2</sup>	0.095	0.143	0.146	0.147
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.095	0.142	0.146	0.147
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01				

Table 81: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>					
	IHS(# Hispanic Owned Businesses)				hhispFoodNameD	nhispFoodNameD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
TV Dummy	0.839*** (0.052)	0.638*** (0.066)	0.637*** (0.066)	0.769*** (0.071)	0.849*** (0.077)	0.775*** (0.071)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.008*** (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.0002 (0.002)	−0.0002 (0.002)	0.0002 (0.002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.010** (0.004)	0.021*** (0.004)	0.021*** (0.005)	0.031*** (0.005)	0.035*** (0.005)	0.031*** (0.005)
Log(Population)		0.957*** (0.052)	0.979*** (0.070)	0.702*** (0.074)	0.761*** (0.081)	0.701*** (0.074)
County % Hispanic			−0.151 (0.312)	1.428*** (0.367)	1.514*** (0.388)	1.434*** (0.368)
Log(Income)				2.350*** (0.319)	2.534*** (0.344)	2.356*** (0.320)
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853	23,853
Log Likelihood	−2,481.718	−2,261.043	−2,260.926	−2,235.719	−2,079.577	−2,230.577
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,971.437	4,532.085	4,533.851	4,485.438	4,173.155	4,475.111

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 82: Effect of TV on Binomial Hispanic Name Businesses, 100 KM Radius

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>						
	IHS(# Hispanic Owned Businesses)			hhispNameD		hhispFoodName	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
TV Dummy	0.849*** (0.077)	1.071*** (0.115)	0.305*** (0.078)	1.164*** (0.077)	0.927*** (0.098)	0.596*** (0.118)	0.62*** (0.098)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0002 (0.002)	-0.008 (0.007)	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.004)	0.042*** (0.010)	0.042*** (0.010)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.035*** (0.005)	0.123*** (0.021)	0.013*** (0.005)	0.044*** (0.006)	0.049*** (0.012)	-0.097*** (0.035)	0.02*** (0.005)
Total Businesses			0.023*** (0.001)				
Observations	23,853	23,853	23,853	95,373	20,404	14,386	10,404
Log Likelihood	-2,079.577	-2,057.114	-1,439.685	-3,335.795	-1,857.640	-1,222.360	-1,404.360
Akaike Inf. Crit.	4,173.155	4,132.228	2,895.371	6,685.590	3,729.280	2,458.719	2,958.719

Note:

\*p<0.1; \*\*

Table 83: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Minutes TV watched		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-11.969 (7.846)	-11.325 (7.851)	-7.570 (7.887)
TV Dummy $\times$ County Distance to Boundary	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.0001)
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	-2.693 (2.286)	-0.795 (2.498)	4.915* (2.836)
Log(Population)		-37.564* (19.974)	-95.812*** (24.228)
County % Hispanic			-59.224*** (13.994)
Log(Income)	0.0001 (0.001)	0.00000 (0.001)	0.0002 (0.001)
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780
R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.003	0.006
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.001	0.005
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 84: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-10.540* (5.392)	-10.538* (5.392)	-9.826* (5.406)	-2.533 (5.690)
Log(Population)		-2.835 (2.268)	-1.034 (2.493)	4.365 (2.824)
County % Hispanic			-33.840* (19.476)	-88.946*** (23.732)
Log(Income)				-55.728*** (13.758)
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780	4,780
R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.005
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.004
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		



Table 85: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, Hispanics

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	8.877 (15.588)	8.570 (15.656)	8.348 (15.663)	2.639 (13.939)
TV Dummy $\times$ County Distance to Boundary	0.00004 (0.0002)	0.00004 (0.0002)	0.00002 (0.0002)	-0.00003 (0.0002)
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	-2.103 (4.604)	-2.249 (4.651)	-0.046 (5.689)	-1.589 (5.078)
Log(Population)		6.435 (28.516)	-18.810 (47.130)	9.043 (43.265)
County % Hispanic			-21.588 (32.082)	-8.609 (29.090)
Log(Income)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.003** (0.001)
Observations	960	960	960	960
R <sup>2</sup>	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.012
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.004

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01  
Col 4 includes person weights

Table 86: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	11.671 (13.498)	12.598 (13.531)	8.463 (13.544)	8.959 (12.730)
TV	-13.758 (8.589)	-13.809 (8.589)	-9.023 (8.650)	-8.444 (8.254)
hispanic_d	-23.810*** (9.042)	-21.561** (9.330)	-19.866** (9.322)	-28.054*** (8.773)
dist	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.0001)	0.00005 (0.0001)
logPop	-1.426 (2.331)	-0.487 (2.521)	5.067* (2.845)	1.836 (2.763)
pcHisp		-21.123 (21.579)	-78.271*** (25.508)	-57.893** (25.079)
income			-58.683*** (14.027)	-50.733*** (13.548)
TV:dist	0.00005 (0.001)	0.00001 (0.001)	0.0002 (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780	4,780
R <sup>2</sup>	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.010
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.006	0.008

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01  
Col 4 includes person weights

Table 87: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	14.817 (12.894)	15.884 (12.926)	12.400 (12.944)	13.849 (12.279)
TV	-16.195** (8.205)	-16.255** (8.205)	-12.236 (8.268)	-9.762 (7.962)
hispanic_d	-9.354 (8.698)	-6.777 (8.969)	-5.407 (8.965)	-12.744 (8.547)
dist	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)
logPop	-1.667 (2.228)	-0.588 (2.409)	4.063 (2.719)	2.570 (2.665)
pcHisp		-24.273 (20.616)	-72.145*** (24.380)	-52.846** (24.184)
income			-49.183*** (13.414)	-45.907*** (13.066)
age	-2.853*** (0.759)	-2.859*** (0.759)	-2.786*** (0.758)	-3.226*** (0.670)
sexMale	43.513*** (5.081)	43.614*** (5.082)	43.405*** (5.076)	37.027*** (4.866)
age2	0.056*** (0.008)	0.056*** (0.008)	0.055*** (0.008)	0.056*** (0.007)
TV:dist	0.0004 (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.0005 (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780	4,780
R <sup>2</sup>	0.092	0.092	0.094	0.080
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.089	0.089	0.092	0.078

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Col 4 includes person weights

Table 88: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	28.880 (17.781)	30.371* (17.834)	24.891 (17.876)	19.202 (16.960)
TV:hispanic_d:dist	0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)
TV	-20.327** (8.613)	-20.487** (8.614)	-16.061* (8.690)	-12.353 (8.386)
hispanic_d	-24.319* (13.549)	-21.948 (13.725)	-19.635 (13.722)	-21.198 (12.939)
dist	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)
logPop	-1.407 (2.228)	-0.420 (2.407)	4.149 (2.718)	2.650 (2.665)
pcHisp		-22.436 (20.718)	-69.298*** (24.436)	-50.905** (24.246)
income			-48.396*** (13.423)	-44.856*** (13.074)
age	-2.901*** (0.759)	-2.908*** (0.759)	-2.832*** (0.758)	-3.269*** (0.670)
sexMale	43.478*** (5.078)	43.579*** (5.079)	43.367*** (5.073)	36.907*** (4.864)
age2	0.056*** (0.008)	0.056*** (0.008)	0.055*** (0.008)	0.057*** (0.007)
TV:dist	0.0003 (0.001)	0.0002 (0.001)	0.0003 (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)
hispanic_d:dist	0.00001 (0.0002)	0.00001 (0.0002)	-0.00000 (0.0002)	-0.0001 (0.0002)
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780	4,780
R <sup>2</sup>	0.094	0.094	0.096	0.082
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.091	0.091	0.093	0.079

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01  
Col 4 includes person weights

Table 89: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	27.618 (17.754)	28.900 (17.806)	24.167 (17.852)	17.954 (16.937)
TV:hispanic_d:dist	0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)
TV	-18.770** (8.604)	-18.928** (8.606)	-15.147* (8.680)	-10.703 (8.380)
hispanic_d	-24.806* (14.686)	-22.280 (14.927)	-19.975 (14.931)	-28.266** (14.019)
dist	0.00005 (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.0001)	0.00002 (0.0001)	0.00004 (0.0001)
logPop	0.198 (2.253)	1.049 (2.426)	4.968* (2.721)	3.490 (2.668)
pcHisp		-19.648 (20.760)	-61.220** (24.551)	-42.356* (24.299)
income			-42.648*** (13.476)	-40.364*** (13.098)
age	-2.564*** (0.764)	-2.567*** (0.764)	-2.523*** (0.763)	-3.064*** (0.678)
sexMale	43.026*** (5.069)	43.117*** (5.070)	42.964*** (5.066)	36.426*** (4.857)
age2	0.052*** (0.008)	0.052*** (0.008)	0.052*** (0.008)	0.054*** (0.007)
foreign	-38.594*** (8.827)	-38.178*** (8.838)	-35.262*** (8.877)	-36.185*** (8.431)
TV:dist	0.0003 (0.001)	0.0003 (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)
hispanic_d:dist	0.00004 (0.0002)	0.00004 (0.0002)	0.00002 (0.0002)	-0.00005 (0.0002)
hispanic_d:foreign	26.540* (14.300)	25.346* (14.356)	22.933 (14.362)	37.668*** (13.617)
Observations	4,780	4,780	4,780	4,780
R <sup>2</sup>	0.098	0.098	0.100	0.086
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.094	0.094	0.096	0.082

Table 90: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	13.584*** (3.817)	14.477*** (3.824)	8.929** (3.828)	3.979 (4.248)
TV:hispanic_d:dist	-0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.0001 (0.0004)	0.0005 (0.0004)
TV	0.124 (1.943)	-0.077 (1.944)	5.097*** (1.959)	6.739*** (1.918)
hispanic_d	8.200*** (2.876)	10.281*** (2.925)	11.419*** (2.920)	15.899*** (3.314)
dist	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.00005*** (0.00002)
logPop	-0.484 (0.504)	0.348 (0.548)	5.946*** (0.621)	7.063*** (0.636)
pcHisp		-18.065*** (4.644)	-75.363*** (5.525)	-91.911*** (5.712)
income			-58.894*** (3.091)	-63.136*** (3.099)
age	1.769*** (0.026)	1.771*** (0.026)	1.757*** (0.026)	1.871*** (0.031)
sexMale	2.348** (1.146)	2.351** (1.146)	2.265** (1.144)	1.724 (1.162)
sexNIU (Not in universe)	63.836 (129.939)	62.914 (129.929)	65.460 (129.673)	-186.634 (172.975)
age2	-0.002*** (0.0001)	-0.002*** (0.0001)	-0.002*** (0.0001)	-0.002*** (0.0002)
foreign	-39.897*** (2.639)	-39.545*** (2.640)	-37.284*** (2.638)	-36.285*** (2.365)
TV:dist	-0.0003** (0.0002)	-0.0003** (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0004** (0.0002)
hispanic_d:dist	0.00001 (0.00004)	0.00001 (0.00004)	0.00000 (0.00004)	-0.00003 (0.00005)
hispanic_d:foreign	10.744*** (3.966)	10.567*** (3.966)	10.295*** (3.958)	1.308 (3.973)
Observations	91 315	91 315	91 315	91 315

Table 91: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV:hispanic_d	13.610*** (3.825)	14.638*** (3.831)	8.777** (3.834)	4.967 (4.258)
TV:hispanic_d:dist	-0.0002 (0.0004)	-0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.00001 (0.0004)	0.0004 (0.0004)
TV	-1.170 (1.944)	-1.392 (1.945)	4.096** (1.960)	5.751*** (1.920)
hispanic_d	6.482** (2.807)	8.919*** (2.858)	10.360*** (2.853)	10.619*** (3.204)
dist	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
logPop	-1.595*** (0.502)	-0.618 (0.546)	5.294*** (0.621)	6.170*** (0.636)
pcHisp		-21.004*** (4.647)	-80.740*** (5.521)	-98.166*** (5.712)
income			-61.591*** (3.090)	-66.351*** (3.100)
age	1.535*** (0.032)	1.538*** (0.032)	1.531*** (0.032)	1.753*** (0.036)
sexMale	2.377** (1.148)	2.378** (1.148)	2.285** (1.145)	1.802 (1.164)
sexNIU (Not in universe)	-8.507 (130.179)	-9.257 (130.165)	-3.657 (129.884)	-281.820 (173.511)
age2	-0.001*** (0.0001)	-0.001*** (0.0001)	-0.001*** (0.0001)	-0.001*** (0.0002)
cases	-3.966*** (0.491)	-3.962*** (0.491)	-3.896*** (0.490)	-1.480*** (0.547)
TV:dist	-0.0003** (0.0002)	-0.0004** (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0004*** (0.0002)
hispanic_d:dist	0.00002 (0.00004)	0.00001 (0.00004)	0.00000 (0.00004)	-0.00002 (0.00005)
Observations	91,315	91,315	91,315	91,315
R <sup>2</sup>	0.057	0.057	0.061	0.058
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.056	0.057	0.061	0.058

Table 92: Effect of TV on Amount of TV Watched, DD

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Minutes TV watched			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV Dummy	-1.170 (1.944)	-1.392 (1.945)	4.096** (1.960)	5.030** (1.958)
TV Dummy $\times$ Hispanic	13.610*** (3.825)	14.638*** (3.831)	8.777** (3.834)	7.911** (3.829)
Hispanic dummy	-0.0003** (0.0002)	-0.0004** (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)
County Distance to Boundary (KM)	-0.0002 (0.0004)	-0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.00001 (0.0004)	-0.00001 (0.0004)
TV $\times$ Distance $\times$ Hispanic	6.482** (2.807)	8.919*** (2.858)	10.360*** (2.853)	13.476*** (2.932)
TV $\times$ Distance	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
Hispanic $\times$ Distance	0.00002 (0.00004)	0.00001 (0.00004)	0.00000 (0.00004)	0.00000 (0.00004)
Log(Population)	-1.595*** (0.502)	-0.618 (0.546)	5.294*** (0.621)	5.865*** (0.621)
County % Hispanic		-21.004*** (4.647)	-80.740*** (5.521)	-75.214*** (5.524)
Log(Income)			-61.591*** (3.090)	-58.764*** (3.090)
Foregin-born				-36.735*** (2.638)
Foreign-born Hispanic				9.724** (3.957)
Observations	91,315	91,315	91,315	91,315
R <sup>2</sup>	0.057	0.057	0.061	0.064
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.056	0.057	0.061	0.063

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 93: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	-0.075*** (0.008)	-0.092*** (0.008)	-0.079*** (0.008)	-0.083*** (0.008)	-0.099*** (0.008)
% Programs on Education		-5.364*** (0.310)			-12.950*** (1.361)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			-3.281*** (0.517)		8.200*** (0.787)
% Programs with Good Role Models				-16.838*** (1.031)	13.267*** (4.204)
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791
<i>Note:</i>			*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 94: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	-0.075*** (0.008)	-0.075*** (0.008)	-0.077*** (0.008)	-0.073*** (0.008)	-0.069*** (0.008)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0002 (0.0002)	0.0002 (0.0002)	0.0001 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0005*** (0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.003*** (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)
% Programs on Education		-0.797** (0.371)			1.568 (1.982)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			3.733*** (0.591)		10.420*** (1.129)
% Programs with Good Role Models				-5.399*** (1.114)	-23.592*** (4.976)
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791
R <sup>2</sup>	0.437	0.438	0.438	0.438	0.442
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.437	0.437	0.438	0.438	0.441

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 95: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.0004 (0.006)	−0.001 (0.006)	0.004 (0.006)	−0.0005 (0.006)	−0.0001 (0.006)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.0003** (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002 (0.0004)	0.0002 (0.0004)	−0.0003 (0.0004)	0.0001 (0.0004)	−0.001 (0.0004)
% Programs on Education		−0.355 (0.247)			−2.700** (1.082)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			3.141*** (0.409)		8.119*** (0.626)
% Programs with Good Role Models				−1.801** (0.820)	−4.570 (3.343)
Observations	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786
R <sup>2</sup>	0.415	0.415	0.416	0.415	0.419
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.415	0.415	0.416	0.415	0.419
<i>Note:</i>			*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 96: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Out of School Suspension)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.0004 (0.006)	−0.0004 (0.006)	−0.002 (0.006)	−0.0001 (0.006)	0.005 (0.006)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.0003** (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0005*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0002 (0.0004)	0.0005 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)	0.0003 (0.0004)	−0.001 (0.0005)
% Programs on Education		1.275*** (0.294)			3.710** (1.567)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			5.793*** (0.467)		9.058*** (0.892)
% Programs with Good Role Models				0.935 (0.883)	−21.686*** (3.935)
Observations	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786	26,786
R <sup>2</sup>	0.415	0.416	0.418	0.415	0.421
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.415	0.415	0.418	0.415	0.421
<i>Note:</i>			*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 97: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.098*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.101*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.096*** (0.009)
% Programs on Education		−0.205 (0.343)			−3.184** (1.509)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			2.969*** (0.568)		7.412*** (0.871)
% Programs with Good Role Models				−1.078 (1.138)	−1.319 (4.662)
Observations	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147
<i>Note:</i>			*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 98: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.098*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.096*** (0.008)	0.097*** (0.008)	0.120*** (0.009)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)
% Programs on Education		1.653*** (0.407)			24.006*** (2.175)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			4.223*** (0.648)		-1.639 (1.240)
% Programs with Good Role Models				0.619 (1.224)	-66.924*** (5.465)
Observations	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147
R <sup>2</sup>	0.488	0.488	0.489	0.488	0.491
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.488	0.488	0.488	0.488	0.491

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 99: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Chronically Absent)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV	−0.075*** (0.008)	0.542*** (0.042)	0.454*** (0.042)	0.777*** (0.051)	0.880*** (0.055)
TV:origdist	0.0002 (0.0002)	−0.002*** (0.0002)	−0.001*** (0.0002)	−0.002*** (0.0002)	−0.002*** (0.0002)
TV:word_edu_mean		−3.882*** (0.255)			4.093*** (0.745)
TV:word_latin_mean			−4.783*** (0.370)		−4.942*** (0.535)
TV:word_rolemodel_mean				−15.917*** (0.939)	−20.446*** (2.558)
origdist	−0.003*** (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)	−0.001* (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
word_edu_mean		0.775 (0.507)			−25.798*** (2.439)
word_latin_mean			3.934*** (0.760)		18.160*** (1.340)
word_rolemodel_mean				6.984*** (1.740)	61.266*** (6.936)
Observations	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791	26,791
R <sup>2</sup>	0.437	0.448	0.442	0.449	0.453
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.437	0.448	0.442	0.449	0.453

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 100: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(LEP)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV	0.098*** (0.008)	0.714*** (0.047)	0.535*** (0.046)	0.759*** (0.057)	0.723*** (0.061)
TV:origdist	0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.0001 (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)	-0.001*** (0.0002)
TV:word_edu_mean		-3.778*** (0.283)			-3.823*** (0.830)
TV:word_latin_mean			-3.886*** (0.408)		-1.399** (0.596)
TV:word_rolemodel_mean				-12.240*** (1.042)	2.927 (2.851)
origdist	0.006*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.007*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)
word_edu_mean		5.758*** (0.562)			6.132** (2.712)
word_latin_mean			8.823*** (0.837)		8.194*** (1.491)
word_rolemodel_mean				17.216*** (1.927)	-15.299** (7.711)
Observations	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147	27,147
R <sup>2</sup>	0.488	0.491	0.490	0.490	0.492
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.488	0.491	0.490	0.490	0.492

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 101: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Harassment Victims)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	−0.0003 (0.002)	−0.0001 (0.002)	−0.001 (0.002)	−0.00005 (0.002)	−0.002 (0.002)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.00003 (0.00004)	0.00003 (0.00004)	−0.00004 (0.00004)	0.00004 (0.00004)	−0.0001** (0.00004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.001*** (0.0001)	−0.001*** (0.0001)	−0.001*** (0.0001)	−0.001*** (0.0001)	−0.0003** (0.0001)
% Programs on Education		0.055 (0.071)			−0.520* (0.310)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			−0.830*** (0.117)		−1.939*** (0.180)
% Programs with Good Role Models				0.573** (0.234)	4.982*** (0.956)
Observations	26,734	26,734	26,734	26,734	26,734
R <sup>2</sup>	0.026	0.026	0.028	0.026	0.032
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.025	0.025	0.027	0.026	0.031

Note:

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 102: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted Students)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic Gifted Students)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.033*** (0.007)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.043*** (0.007)	0.037*** (0.007)	0.030*** (0.008)
% Programs on Education		1.699*** (0.287)			−8.613*** (1.386)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			5.567*** (0.495)		9.431*** (0.828)
% Programs with Good Role Models				6.139*** (0.948)	20.200*** (4.227)
Observations	16,866	16,866	16,866	16,866	16,866

Note:

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 103: Mechanisms: Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
TV Dummy	0.096*** (0.018)	0.097*** (0.018)	0.103*** (0.018)	0.098*** (0.018)	0.070*** (0.019)
% Programs on Education		0.439 (0.777)			-21.669*** (3.337)
% Programs on Hispanic Identity			4.440*** (1.279)		10.318*** (1.926)
% Programs with Good Role Models				4.704* (2.586)	60.015*** (10.347)
Observations	3,945	3,945	3,945	3,945	3,945
<i>Note:</i>			*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 104: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Chronically Absent)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.002 (0.004)	-0.004 (0.004)	-0.004 (0.004)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.001*** (0.0001)	-0.001*** (0.0001)	-0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0001 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)	0.0003 (0.0002)
# Asian Students	0.007*** (0.0001)	0.006*** (0.0001)	0.006*** (0.0001)
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869
R <sup>2</sup>	0.399	0.449	0.452
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.399	0.449	0.451
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 105: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Chronically Absent)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# White Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.024*** (0.006)	-0.026*** (0.006)	-0.028*** (0.006)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0002 (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002*** (0.0003)	-0.002*** (0.0003)	-0.002*** (0.0003)
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00002)	0.003*** (0.00003)	0.003*** (0.00003)
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869
R <sup>2</sup>	0.413	0.427	0.429
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.413	0.427	0.429
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 106: Effect of TV on IHS(# Black Chronically Absent)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Black Chronically Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	−0.140*** (0.008)	−0.154*** (0.007)	−0.152*** (0.007)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.0002 (0.0002)	−0.0003* (0.0001)	−0.0002 (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.003*** (0.0004)	−0.003*** (0.0004)	−0.003*** (0.0004)
# Asian Students	0.001*** (0.0001)	−0.003*** (0.0001)	−0.003*** (0.0001)
Observations	40,869	40,869	40,869
R <sup>2</sup>	0.172	0.279	0.282
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.171	0.279	0.282
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 107: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Suspended)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.002 (0.002)	−0.001 (0.002)	−0.001 (0.002)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.00001 (0.00004)	−0.0001* (0.00004)	−0.00004 (0.00004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)
# Asian Students	0.002*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864
R <sup>2</sup>	0.140	0.198	0.217
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.140	0.198	0.217
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 108: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Suspended)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# White Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.026*** (0.005)	-0.027*** (0.005)	-0.026*** (0.005)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0001 (0.0001)	-0.0004*** (0.0001)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0004 (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0001 (0.0002)
# White Students	0.002*** (0.00002)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00002)
Observations	40,864	40,864	40,864
R <sup>2</sup>	0.313	0.346	0.412
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.313	0.346	0.412
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 109: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian reported bullying)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian reported bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.003*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0001*** (0.00002)	-0.0001*** (0.00002)	-0.0001*** (0.00002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0002*** (0.00004)	-0.0002*** (0.00004)	-0.0002*** (0.00004)
# Asian Students	0.0003*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
R <sup>2</sup>	0.042	0.045	0.049
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.041	0.045	0.049
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 110: Effect of TV on IHS(# White reported bullying)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# White reported bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	−0.001 (0.001)	−0.001 (0.001)	−0.001 (0.001)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	−0.00004 (0.00003)	−0.00001 (0.00003)	−0.00001 (0.00003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.0004*** (0.0001)	−0.0004*** (0.0001)	−0.0004*** (0.0001)
# White Students	0.0001*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
R <sup>2</sup>	0.023	0.026	0.032
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.022	0.026	0.032
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 111: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian victim bullying)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian victim bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	−0.00003*** (0.00001)	−0.00003*** (0.00001)	−0.00003*** (0.00001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.0001*** (0.00002)	−0.0001*** (0.00002)	−0.0001*** (0.00002)
# Asian Students	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0002*** (0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
R <sup>2</sup>	0.028	0.030	0.033
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.028	0.030	0.032
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 112: Effect of TV on IHS(# White victim bullying)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# White victim bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.004** (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)	0.003* (0.002)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0001*** (0.00003)	-0.00004 (0.00003)	-0.00003 (0.00003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)
# White Students	0.0002*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)	0.0003*** (0.00001)
Observations	40,811	40,811	40,811
R <sup>2</sup>	0.042	0.050	0.062
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.042	0.050	0.062
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 113: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Taken)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian APs Taken)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.039*** (0.010)	0.033*** (0.010)	0.030*** (0.009)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001** (0.0005)	0.001* (0.0005)
# Asian Students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	0.831*** (0.008)	0.782*** (0.009)	0.774*** (0.009)
hisp_students	0.0001*** (0.00003)	-0.0002*** (0.00004)	-0.0002*** (0.00003)
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089
R <sup>2</sup>	0.811	0.816	0.828
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.811	0.815	0.828
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 114: Effect of TV on IHS(# White APs Taken)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# White APs Taken)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.046*** (0.017)	0.034** (0.017)	0.029* (0.016)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0002 (0.0003)	-0.0001 (0.0003)	0.00001 (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.0005 (0.001)
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.002*** (0.00005)
Observations	6,089	6,089	6,089
R <sup>2</sup>	0.526	0.543	0.584
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.525	0.542	0.583
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 115: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.069*** (0.016)	0.085*** (0.021)	0.082*** (0.021)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0003 (0.0003)	0.0001 (0.0003)	0.0002 (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)
# Asian Students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.003*** (0.0001)	0.003*** (0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	0.792*** (0.026)		
Observations	1,552	1,552	1,552
R <sup>2</sup>	0.702	0.527	0.536
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.701	0.524	0.533
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		



Table 116: 50 KM Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.035*** (0.013)	0.028** (0.013)	0.026** (0.013)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.0004 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)	0.001 (0.0004)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)	0.004*** (0.002)
# Asian Students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
ihs(asian_students)	-0.026* (0.013)		
Observations	1,759	1,759	1,759
R <sup>2</sup>	0.360	0.364	0.365
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.357	0.361	0.361
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 117: 25 KM Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian APs Passed)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.135*** (0.030)	0.158*** (0.038)	0.161*** (0.038)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.005* (0.003)	-0.006* (0.003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.016** (0.007)	0.026*** (0.009)	0.027*** (0.009)
# Asian Students	0.0005*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
ihb(asian_students)	0.763*** (0.040)		
Observations	587	587	587
R <sup>2</sup>	0.686	0.495	0.509
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.681	0.487	0.499
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 118: Effect of TV on IHS(# White APs Passed)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# White APs Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.005 (0.016)	-0.013 (0.016)	-0.022 (0.015)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001** (0.0003)	0.001*** (0.0003)	0.001*** (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
# White Students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
Observations	3,543	3,543	3,543
R <sup>2</sup>	0.472	0.479	0.515
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.471	0.478	0.514
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 119: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Limited English Proficiency)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian Limited English Proficiency)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.016*** (0.005)	-0.020*** (0.005)	-0.025*** (0.005)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0002)
# Asian Students	0.008*** (0.0001)	0.006*** (0.0001)	0.006*** (0.0001)
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502
R <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.342	0.392
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.341	0.392
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 120: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Limited English Proficiency)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# White Limited English Proficiency)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.004 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)	-0.002 (0.005)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0002)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.0001*** (0.00003)	-0.00001 (0.00003)
Observations	41,502	41,502	41,502
R <sup>2</sup>	0.157	0.206	0.263
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.157	0.206	0.262
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 121: Effect of TV on IHS(# Asian Gifted)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Asian Gifted)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.005 (0.006)	0.003 (0.005)	0.001 (0.005)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0002* (0.0001)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)	-0.0003*** (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
# Asian Students	0.012*** (0.0001)	0.010*** (0.0001)	0.010*** (0.0001)
Observations	26,065	26,065	26,065
R <sup>2</sup>	0.497	0.537	0.551
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.497	0.536	0.551
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 122: Effect of TV on IHS(# White Gifted)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# White Gifted)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.004 (0.007)	-0.008 (0.006)	-0.010 (0.006)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.00005 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.0003)	0.0004 (0.0003)	0.0004 (0.0003)
# White Students	0.003*** (0.00003)	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.003*** (0.00004)
Observations	26,065	26,065	26,065
R <sup>2</sup>	0.460	0.464	0.494
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.459	0.464	0.494
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 123: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr 8 Passed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing Gr 8 Algebra)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.032*** (0.009)	0.029*** (0.009)	0.016* (0.009)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.0004** (0.0002)	-0.0004** (0.0002)	-0.0004** (0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.001*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	2,402	2,402	2,402
R <sup>2</sup>	0.368	0.371	0.424
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.366	0.369	0.421
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 124: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr 9-10 Passed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing Gr 9-10 Algebra)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.004 (0.009)	-0.006 (0.009)	-0.013 (0.008)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001* (0.001)	-0.001** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00002)	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.001*** (0.00003)
Observations	4,533	4,533	4,533
R <sup>2</sup>	0.580	0.584	0.616
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.580	0.583	0.615
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 125: Effect of TV on Algebra Gr 11-12 Passed

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Passing Gr 11-12 Algebra)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.027 (0.023)	0.033 (0.023)	0.033 (0.023)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001* (0.001)	-0.001* (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)
# Hispanic Students	0.0001*** (0.00004)	0.0002*** (0.0001)	0.0002*** (0.0001)
Observations	446	446	446
R <sup>2</sup>	0.050	0.067	0.080
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.035	0.048	0.054
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 126: Effect of TV on AP Math Enrollment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled AP Math)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.010 (0.015)	0.003 (0.014)	-0.003 (0.014)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002*** (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.00005)
Observations	4,921	4,921	4,921
R <sup>2</sup>	0.486	0.513	0.529
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.485	0.512	0.528
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 127: Effect of TV on AP Science Enrollment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled AP Science)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.075*** (0.015)	0.062*** (0.015)	0.059*** (0.015)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.002** (0.001)	-0.002*** (0.001)	-0.003*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	4,630	4,630	4,630
R <sup>2</sup>	0.519	0.542	0.558
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.518	0.541	0.557
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 128: Effect of TV on Adv. Math Enrollment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Adv. Math)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.006 (0.015)	-0.020 (0.014)	-0.027** (0.013)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	7,177	7,177	7,177
R <sup>2</sup>	0.468	0.534	0.557
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.467	0.533	0.556
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 129: Effect of TV on Calculus Enrollment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Calculus)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.014 (0.017)	0.021 (0.016)	0.020 (0.016)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.001*** (0.0003)	0.001*** (0.0003)	0.001*** (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	5,730	5,730	5,730
R <sup>2</sup>	0.465	0.506	0.520
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.464	0.505	0.519
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 130: Effect of TV on Biology Enrollment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Biology)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.022* (0.013)	-0.036*** (0.012)	-0.044*** (0.011)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.006*** (0.001)	-0.007*** (0.001)	-0.007*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.00005)
Observations	9,504	9,504	9,504
R <sup>2</sup>	0.494	0.589	0.620
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.493	0.589	0.619
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	



Table 131: Effect of TV on Chemistry Enrollment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Chemistry)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.012 (0.013)	0.004 (0.012)	-0.001 (0.012)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.006*** (0.001)	-0.006*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.003*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.00005)
Observations	8,236	8,236	8,236
R <sup>2</sup>	0.544	0.616	0.639
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.544	0.615	0.638
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 132: Effect of TV on Physics Enrollment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled Physics)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	0.043*** (0.014)	0.035*** (0.013)	0.031** (0.013)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.004*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	6,976	6,976	6,976
R <sup>2</sup>	0.538	0.567	0.581
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.537	0.567	0.580
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 133: Effect of TV on SAT/ACT Enrollment

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students Enrolled SAT/ACT)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.029* (0.015)	-0.042*** (0.014)	-0.052*** (0.013)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.006*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.003*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	10,805	10,805	10,805
R <sup>2</sup>	0.345	0.465	0.521
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.344	0.464	0.521
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 134: Effect of TV on GED Credit

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students GED Credit)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	-0.204*** (0.017)	-0.206*** (0.017)	-0.206*** (0.017)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance to Boundary	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	-0.013*** (0.001)	-0.014*** (0.001)	-0.014*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	-0.0001*** (0.00003)	-0.0003*** (0.00004)	-0.0003*** (0.00004)
Observations	4,829	4,829	4,829
R <sup>2</sup>	0.823	0.824	0.824
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.823	0.823	0.823
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 135: Effect of TV on GED Participation

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(Hispanic Students GED Participation)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV Dummy	−0.021 (0.021)	−0.019 (0.021)	−0.015 (0.021)
TV Dummy × Distance to Boundary	0.001* (0.001)	0.0004 (0.001)	0.0001 (0.001)
Distance to Boundary (meters)	−0.024*** (0.001)	−0.023*** (0.001)	−0.023*** (0.001)
# Hispanic Students	0.0002*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)
Observations	9,720	9,720	9,720
R <sup>2</sup>	0.670	0.682	0.683
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.670	0.682	0.683
<i>Note:</i>		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 136: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Gifted)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.239*** (0.004)	0.239*** (0.004)	0.239*** (0.004)
TV Dummy	-0.107*** (0.004)	-0.098*** (0.004)	-0.099*** (0.004)
Hispanic	0.326*** (0.013)	0.326*** (0.012)	0.326*** (0.012)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.00005)
asian_students	0.007*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)
Observations	52,130	52,130	52,130
R <sup>2</sup>	0.409	0.434	0.449
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.409	0.434	0.449
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 137: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# AP Passed)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.079*** (0.014)	0.081*** (0.014)	0.080*** (0.014)
TV Dummy	-0.002 (0.013)	-0.0001 (0.013)	0.0001 (0.013)
Hispanic	-0.219*** (0.041)	-0.211*** (0.041)	-0.202*** (0.041)
hisp_students	0.0005*** (0.00004)	0.0003*** (0.00004)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)
Observations	3,757	3,757	3,757
R <sup>2</sup>	0.305	0.312	0.317
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.304	0.310	0.315
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 138: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic GEDs) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# GEDs)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	−0.566*** (0.008)	−0.566*** (0.008)	−0.564*** (0.008)
TV Dummy	0.470*** (0.011)	0.470*** (0.011)	0.469*** (0.012)
Hispanic	3.394*** (0.025)	3.395*** (0.024)	3.391*** (0.026)
hisp_students	−0.0001*** (0.00003)	−0.0001** (0.00004)	−0.0001** (0.00004)
asian_students	0.0003*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00004)	0.0003*** (0.00004)
Observations	6,685	6,685	6,685
R <sup>2</sup>	0.837	0.837	0.837
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.837	0.837	0.837
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 139: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Chronic Absent)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.231*** (0.004)	0.231*** (0.004)	0.231*** (0.004)
TV Dummy	-0.137*** (0.003)	-0.135*** (0.003)	-0.135*** (0.003)
Hispanic	1.394*** (0.011)	1.394*** (0.011)	1.394*** (0.011)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations	81,738	81,738	81,738
R <sup>2</sup>	0.515	0.534	0.535
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.514	0.534	0.535
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 140: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Suspended)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.122*** (0.003)	0.122*** (0.003)	0.122*** (0.003)
TV Dummy	-0.058*** (0.002)	-0.057*** (0.002)	-0.056*** (0.002)
Hispanic	0.591*** (0.008)	0.591*** (0.007)	0.591*** (0.007)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
asian_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001** (0.0001)
Observations	81,728	81,728	81,728
R <sup>2</sup>	0.324	0.347	0.379
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.324	0.347	0.379
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		



Table 141: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Bullied)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.001* (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)	0.001* (0.001)
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.0004)	0.001*** (0.0004)	0.001*** (0.0004)
Hispanic	0.019*** (0.002)	0.019*** (0.002)	0.019*** (0.002)
hisp_students	0.00001*** (0.00000)	−0.00001 (0.00001)	−0.00001 (0.00001)
asian_students	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001** (0.00002)	0.0001** (0.00002)
Observations	52,068	52,068	52,068
R <sup>2</sup>	0.008	0.011	0.017
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.008	0.011	0.016
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 142: Poisson Differential Effect of TV on # Hispanic Bullied vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	# Bullied		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	−0.141*** (0.025)	−0.139*** (0.025)	−0.140*** (0.025)
TV Dummy	0.260*** (0.021)	0.260*** (0.021)	0.257*** (0.021)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance $\times$ Hispanic	−0.004*** (0.001)	−0.004*** (0.001)	−0.004*** (0.001)
TV Dummy $\times$ Distance	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)
Distance to Boundary $\times$ Hispanic	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)	0.005*** (0.002)
Hispanic	0.997*** (0.074)	0.993*** (0.074)	0.995*** (0.074)
origdist	−0.005*** (0.002)	−0.005*** (0.002)	−0.005*** (0.002)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00003)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	81,622	81,622	81,622
Log Likelihood	−17,523.890	−17,484.320	−16,848.550
Akaike Inf. Crit.	35,073.780	34,996.630	33,731.110

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 143: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullying) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Bullying)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0005)	0.002*** (0.0005)
TV Dummy	-0.001 (0.0004)	-0.001* (0.0004)	-0.001 (0.0004)
Hispanic	0.027*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)	0.027*** (0.001)
hisp_students	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)	0.00004*** (0.00001)
asian_students	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
Observations	81,622	81,622	81,622
R <sup>2</sup>	0.017	0.018	0.022
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.017	0.018	0.022
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 144: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Taken) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# APs Taken)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.310*** (0.012)	0.310*** (0.012)	0.310*** (0.012)
TV Dummy	-0.046*** (0.012)	-0.054*** (0.011)	-0.054*** (0.011)
Hispanic	0.422*** (0.033)	0.422*** (0.031)	0.422*** (0.030)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	12,178	12,178	12,178
R <sup>2</sup>	0.466	0.533	0.553
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.466	0.533	0.553
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 145: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Limited English Proficiency)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.304*** (0.005)	0.304*** (0.005)	0.304*** (0.005)
TV Dummy	-0.092*** (0.004)	-0.091*** (0.004)	-0.100*** (0.004)
Hispanic	1.132*** (0.013)	1.132*** (0.013)	1.132*** (0.013)
hisp_students	0.003*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)
Observations	83,004	83,004	83,004
R <sup>2</sup>	0.432	0.435	0.477
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.432	0.435	0.477
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01			

Table 146: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Passing Algebra) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Passing Algebra)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.008 (0.011)	0.009 (0.011)	0.012 (0.011)
TV Dummy	0.013 (0.010)	0.012 (0.010)	-0.002 (0.010)
Hispanic	0.102*** (0.036)	0.095*** (0.036)	0.104*** (0.035)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations	3,495	3,495	3,495
R <sup>2</sup>	0.324	0.326	0.364
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.323	0.324	0.362
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 147: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# AP Math)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.220*** (0.012)	0.220*** (0.012)	0.220*** (0.012)
TV Dummy	-0.051*** (0.011)	-0.056*** (0.010)	-0.058*** (0.010)
Hispanic	-0.071** (0.030)	-0.071** (0.030)	-0.071** (0.029)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	9,842	9,842	9,842
R <sup>2</sup>	0.374	0.413	0.428
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.374	0.412	0.427
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 148: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# AP Science)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.270*** (0.012)	0.270*** (0.012)	0.270*** (0.012)
TV Dummy	-0.031** (0.012)	-0.038*** (0.011)	-0.037*** (0.011)
Hispanic	-0.040 (0.034)	-0.040 (0.033)	-0.040 (0.032)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	9,260	9,260	9,260
R <sup>2</sup>	0.397	0.433	0.447
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.396	0.432	0.446
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		



Table 149: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Advanced Math) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Advanced Math)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.250*** (0.011)	0.250*** (0.010)	0.250*** (0.010)
TV Dummy	-0.100*** (0.010)	-0.097*** (0.009)	-0.099*** (0.009)
Hispanic	0.739*** (0.027)	0.739*** (0.025)	0.739*** (0.025)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	14,354	14,354	14,354
R <sup>2</sup>	0.463	0.530	0.547
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.462	0.530	0.547
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 150: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Calculus) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Calculus)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.272*** (0.012)	0.272*** (0.011)	0.272*** (0.011)
TV Dummy	-0.098*** (0.010)	-0.094*** (0.010)	-0.097*** (0.010)
Hispanic	0.410*** (0.030)	0.410*** (0.029)	0.410*** (0.029)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	11,460	11,460	11,460
R <sup>2</sup>	0.437	0.478	0.491
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.436	0.477	0.490
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 151: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Biology) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Biology)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.260*** (0.010)	0.260*** (0.009)	0.260*** (0.009)
TV Dummy	-0.099*** (0.009)	-0.098*** (0.008)	-0.100*** (0.008)
Hispanic	1.247*** (0.025)	1.247*** (0.022)	1.247*** (0.022)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.005*** (0.0004)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	19,008	19,008	19,008
R <sup>2</sup>	0.529	0.620	0.639
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.529	0.620	0.639
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 152: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chemistry) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Chemistry)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.290*** (0.010)	0.290*** (0.009)	0.290*** (0.009)
TV Dummy	-0.094*** (0.009)	-0.090*** (0.008)	-0.091*** (0.008)
Hispanic	0.888*** (0.026)	0.888*** (0.023)	0.888*** (0.023)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.0004*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	16,472	16,472	16,472
R <sup>2</sup>	0.528	0.602	0.619
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.528	0.601	0.618
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 153: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Physics) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# Physics)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.311*** (0.010)	0.311*** (0.010)	0.311*** (0.010)
TV Dummy	-0.070*** (0.009)	-0.068*** (0.008)	-0.068*** (0.008)
Hispanic	0.626*** (0.027)	0.626*** (0.026)	0.626*** (0.026)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	13,952	13,952	13,952
R <sup>2</sup>	0.499	0.537	0.548
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.498	0.537	0.547
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 154: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# SAT/ACT)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.160*** (0.011)	0.160*** (0.010)	0.160*** (0.010)
TV Dummy	-0.057*** (0.008)	-0.055*** (0.007)	-0.059*** (0.007)
Hispanic	0.694*** (0.025)	0.694*** (0.022)	0.694*** (0.022)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0003*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.005*** (0.0004)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	21,610	21,610	21,610
R <sup>2</sup>	0.385	0.498	0.537
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.384	0.498	0.537
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 155: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic GED Participate) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	IHS(# GED Participate)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.377*** (0.013)	0.377*** (0.013)	0.377*** (0.013)
TV Dummy	-0.106*** (0.010)	-0.127*** (0.009)	-0.129*** (0.009)
Hispanic	1.508*** (0.034)	1.508*** (0.034)	1.508*** (0.034)
hisp_students	-0.0002*** (0.00004)	0.0001 (0.0001)	0.0001* (0.0001)
asian_students	0.0004*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
Observations	19,440	19,440	19,440
R <sup>2</sup>	0.694	0.703	0.705
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.693	0.703	0.704
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 156: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# SAT/ACT)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	-0.516 (0.626)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		2.313** (0.943)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-2.085 (2.151)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				-3.284 (4.930)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.264*** (0.096)	-0.060 (0.099)	0.293*** (0.109)	0.251** (0.100)
TV Dummy	-0.115* (0.061)	-0.028 (0.059)	0.071 (0.066)	0.115* (0.061)
Hispanic	0.299 (0.407)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.333 (0.563)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-2.952** (1.315)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-9.758*** (3.029)
eth	0.532** (0.216)	1.088*** (0.213)	0.399** (0.201)	0.601*** (0.200)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.273 (1.329)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-4.631** (1.883)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			3.427 (3.902)	
eth:word_bad_mean				-1.152 (9.199)
word_edu_mean	0.909 (0.839)			
word_latin_mean				



Table 157: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# AP Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	0.903 (0.922)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		1.721 (1.280)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-1.184 (2.989)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				7.580 (7.776)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	-0.054 (0.137)	-0.120 (0.134)	0.153 (0.150)	-0.075 (0.156)
TV Dummy	0.225* (0.123)	0.219* (0.119)	0.063 (0.131)	0.313** (0.140)
Hispanic	-1.650** (0.833)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-1.900* (1.143)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-1.819 (2.629)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-16.313** (7.057)
eth	0.750** (0.375)	1.088*** (0.418)	0.296 (0.406)	0.890** (0.411)
eth:word_edu_mean	-6.587*** (2.339)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-11.551*** (3.606)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			-11.299 (7.884)	
eth:word_bad_mean				-54.451*** (18.988)
word_edu_mean	6.396*** (1.945)			
word_latin_mean	137	14.620***		

Table 158: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	0.726*** (0.281)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		1.016** (0.463)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			0.759 (0.977)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				8.036*** (2.184)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.237*** (0.044)	0.243*** (0.050)	0.300*** (0.051)	0.186*** (0.046)
TV Dummy	0.304*** (0.032)	0.438*** (0.036)	0.346*** (0.038)	0.387*** (0.035)
Hispanic	-2.867*** (0.208)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-5.334*** (0.339)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-9.436*** (0.747)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-25.796*** (1.697)
eth	0.640*** (0.116)	0.541*** (0.130)	0.707*** (0.119)	0.641*** (0.116)
eth:word_edu_mean	2.168*** (0.711)			
eth:word_latin_mean		3.768*** (1.141)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			5.475** (2.271)	
eth:word_bad_mean				16.057*** (5.280)
word_edu_mean	2.641*** (0.452)			
word_latin_mean				

Table 159: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Chronic Absent)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	0.012 (0.220)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		-0.026 (0.339)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-2.454*** (0.812)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				0.948 (1.096)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.221*** (0.034)	0.232*** (0.036)	0.347*** (0.042)	0.192*** (0.040)
TV Dummy	-0.185*** (0.022)	-0.082*** (0.025)	-0.102*** (0.027)	-0.026 (0.028)
Hispanic	0.053 (0.148)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.875*** (0.235)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-1.390*** (0.536)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-4.259*** (0.778)
eth	1.409*** (0.091)	1.099*** (0.093)	1.287*** (0.094)	1.284*** (0.090)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.107 (0.555)			
eth:word_latin_mean		2.843*** (0.820)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			2.650 (1.799)	
eth:word_bad_mean				3.694 (2.332)
word_edu_mean	-2.706*** (0.320)			
word_latin_mean		-2.007***		

Table 160: Differential Log Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Chronic Absent)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	-0.070*** (0.019)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		-0.031* (0.018)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-0.137*** (0.019)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				-0.079*** (0.024)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.086** (0.037)	0.153*** (0.042)	-0.191*** (0.057)	-0.041 (0.082)
TV Dummy	-0.304*** (0.024)	-0.177*** (0.028)	-0.470*** (0.036)	-0.685*** (0.055)
Hispanic	-0.068*** (0.012)			
TV:word_latin_log		-0.001 (0.012)		
TV:word_rolemodel_log			-0.100*** (0.012)	
TV:word_bad_log				-0.153*** (0.016)
eth	1.660*** (0.062)	1.769*** (0.117)	2.024*** (0.088)	1.962*** (0.128)
eth:word_edu_log	0.124*** (0.031)			
eth:word_latin_log		0.154*** (0.051)		
eth:word_rolemodel_log			0.200*** (0.028)	
eth:word_bad_log				0.162*** (0.038)
word_edu_log	0.004 (0.019)			
word_latin_log				

Table 161: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Gifted)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	2.107*** (0.228)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		3.256*** (0.386)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			6.469*** (0.878)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				12.920*** (1.153)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	-0.024 (0.036)	-0.044 (0.041)	-0.040 (0.046)	-0.166*** (0.042)
TV Dummy	0.119*** (0.028)	0.206*** (0.030)	0.188*** (0.035)	0.298*** (0.033)
Hispanic	-1.764*** (0.183)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.338*** (0.286)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-6.592*** (0.683)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-12.406*** (0.915)
eth	0.089 (0.083)	0.045 (0.096)	0.218** (0.087)	0.222** (0.087)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.103 (0.509)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.175 (0.846)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			-2.053 (1.666)	
eth:word_bad_mean				-3.420 (2.253)
word_edu_mean	2.657*** (0.369)			
word_latin_mean				

Table 162: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Suspended)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	-1.099*** (0.197)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		-3.098*** (0.308)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-6.174*** (0.728)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				-6.206*** (1.003)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.290*** (0.030)	0.455*** (0.033)	0.433*** (0.037)	0.350*** (0.036)
TV Dummy	-0.033** (0.015)	-0.051*** (0.016)	-0.013 (0.018)	0.043** (0.019)
Hispanic	-0.200** (0.101)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-0.104 (0.156)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-0.966*** (0.369)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-3.048*** (0.542)
eth	0.098 (0.063)	-0.424*** (0.067)	0.073 (0.066)	-0.140** (0.066)
eth:word_edu_mean	3.148*** (0.390)			
eth:word_latin_mean		9.186*** (0.596)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			10.181*** (1.271)	
eth:word_bad_mean				19.462*** (1.726)
word_edu_mean	-0.244 (0.178)			
word_latin_mean		-0.936***		

Table 163: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied Ethnicity) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Bullied Ethnicity)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	0.039 (0.028)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		0.111** (0.055)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-0.012 (0.100)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				0.408** (0.161)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	-0.005 (0.004)	-0.012** (0.006)	0.002 (0.005)	-0.014** (0.006)
TV Dummy	-0.027*** (0.002)	-0.029*** (0.002)	-0.025*** (0.002)	-0.033*** (0.002)
Hispanic	0.189*** (0.012)			
TV:word_latin_mean		0.280*** (0.022)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			0.530*** (0.043)	
TV:word_bad_mean				0.960*** (0.067)
eth	0.034*** (0.012)	0.105*** (0.016)	0.011 (0.013)	0.069*** (0.014)
eth:word_edu_mean	-0.058 (0.076)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-0.714*** (0.138)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			0.273 (0.256)	
eth:word_bad_mean				-1.175*** (0.359)
word_edu_mean	-0.234*** (0.030)			
word_latin_mean				

Table 164: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullies) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Bullies)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	0.014 (0.020)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		0.123*** (0.040)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			0.032 (0.079)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				0.213** (0.102)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	-0.003 (0.003)	-0.015*** (0.004)	-0.003 (0.004)	-0.009** (0.004)
TV Dummy	-0.016*** (0.002)	-0.015*** (0.002)	-0.017*** (0.002)	-0.019*** (0.002)
Hispanic	0.111*** (0.011)			
TV:word_latin_mean		0.145*** (0.018)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			0.348*** (0.040)	
TV:word_bad_mean				0.552*** (0.060)
eth	0.038*** (0.010)	0.108*** (0.014)	0.013 (0.011)	0.070*** (0.012)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.011 (0.064)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-0.605*** (0.116)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			0.528** (0.218)	
eth:word_bad_mean				-0.785*** (0.288)
word_edu_mean	-0.120*** (0.017)			
word_latin_mean				



Table 165: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP enrolled) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# AP enrolled)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	1.300* (0.701)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		2.685** (1.107)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			3.547 (2.578)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				9.904*** (3.529)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.179 (0.109)	0.097 (0.118)	0.189 (0.132)	0.023 (0.128)
TV Dummy	0.252*** (0.090)	0.409*** (0.093)	0.454*** (0.108)	0.589*** (0.106)
Hispanic	-2.286*** (0.594)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-4.985*** (0.888)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-11.315*** (2.150)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-19.934*** (2.987)
eth	-0.058 (0.296)	0.069 (0.308)	-0.039 (0.298)	0.130 (0.291)
eth:word_edu_mean	1.481 (1.817)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.675 (2.706)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			4.343 (5.716)	
eth:word_bad_mean				1.002 (7.513)
word_edu_mean	3.120** (1.325)			
word_latin_mean				

Table 166: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gr 8 Algebra) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Gr 8 Algebra)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	-1.649** (0.725)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		-1.994** (0.854)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			-5.916** (2.418)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				-8.112** (3.925)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.262** (0.103)	0.176** (0.087)	0.299** (0.116)	0.282** (0.129)
TV Dummy	-0.080 (0.092)	-0.067 (0.074)	-0.142 (0.103)	-0.135 (0.119)
Hispanic	0.764 (0.658)			
TV:word_latin_mean		1.123 (0.739)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			3.427 (2.158)	
TV:word_bad_mean				5.073 (3.646)
eth	-1.094*** (0.338)	0.422 (0.326)	-0.884*** (0.324)	-0.573* (0.294)
eth:word_edu_mean	7.598*** (2.055)			
eth:word_latin_mean		-1.896 (2.768)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			19.561*** (6.254)	
eth:word_bad_mean				19.089** (7.558)
word_edu_mean	0.183 (1.572)			
word_latin_mean		146	3.661*	

Table 167: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# AP Math)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	0.822 (0.705)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		0.683 (1.085)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			1.174 (2.612)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				6.062* (3.500)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.171 (0.108)	0.222* (0.116)	0.227* (0.132)	0.081 (0.126)
TV Dummy	0.122 (0.086)	0.194** (0.088)	0.235** (0.104)	0.340*** (0.101)
Hispanic	-1.514*** (0.576)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.021*** (0.841)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-7.026*** (2.075)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-13.102*** (2.864)
eth	-0.576** (0.264)	-0.597** (0.286)	-0.415 (0.270)	-0.514* (0.267)
eth:word_edu_mean	1.368 (1.633)			
eth:word_latin_mean		2.025 (2.511)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			1.249 (5.255)	
eth:word_bad_mean				3.858 (6.938)
word_edu_mean	1.842 (1.258)			
word_latin_mean	147	3.518*		

Table 168: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# AP Science)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on education	1.813** (0.706)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs on identity		1.740 (1.095)		
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with role models			5.720** (2.606)	
TV $\times$ Hispanic $\times$ % programs with bad content				10.519*** (3.546)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.073 (0.110)	0.167 (0.117)	0.049 (0.133)	-0.025 (0.129)
TV Dummy	0.236*** (0.092)	0.276*** (0.094)	0.365*** (0.111)	0.470*** (0.108)
Hispanic	-2.075*** (0.601)			
TV:word_latin_mean		-3.615*** (0.895)		
TV:word_rolemodel_mean			-9.122*** (2.199)	
TV:word_bad_mean				-16.107*** (3.026)
eth	-0.353 (0.318)	-0.487 (0.343)	0.0001 (0.334)	-0.330 (0.333)
eth:word_edu_mean	0.025 (1.953)			
eth:word_latin_mean		0.975 (2.989)		
eth:word_rolemodel_mean			-6.651 (6.426)	
eth:word_bad_mean				-0.888 (8.547)
word_edu_mean	3.739** (1.523)			
word_latin_mean				

Table 169: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic SAT/ACT) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# SAT/ACT)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.116** (0.453)			
% programs on identity		2.054*** (0.678)		
% programs with role models			1.601 (1.259)	
% programs with bad content				-0.490 (1.740)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.186*** (0.014)	0.186*** (0.014)	0.186*** (0.013)	0.186*** (0.013)
TV Dummy	-0.070*** (0.011)	-0.065*** (0.010)	-0.076*** (0.010)	-0.078*** (0.010)
Hispanic	0.579*** (0.048)	0.579*** (0.043)	0.579*** (0.042)	0.579*** (0.042)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.0002 (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)	0.0002* (0.0001)
asian_students	0.005*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	13,480	13,480	13,480	13,480
R <sup>2</sup>	0.383	0.488	0.539	0.539
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.383	0.488	0.538	0.538

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 170: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic APs Passed) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# AP Passed)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	-0.132 (0.666)			
% programs on identity		5.475*** (1.079)		
% programs with role models			-0.554 (2.384)	
% programs with bad content				6.064** (3.000)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.100*** (0.019)	0.092*** (0.019)	0.101*** (0.018)	0.097*** (0.018)
TV Dummy	-0.034* (0.018)	-0.003 (0.018)	-0.033* (0.017)	-0.021 (0.018)
Hispanic	-0.298*** (0.060)	-0.262*** (0.060)	-0.284*** (0.060)	-0.270*** (0.059)
hisp_students	0.0004*** (0.00004)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0003*** (0.00005)	0.0003*** (0.00005)
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)	0.001*** (0.0002)
Observations	3,168	3,168	3,168	3,168
R <sup>2</sup>	0.274	0.284	0.286	0.287
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.272	0.282	0.283	0.284

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 171: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Limited English Proficiency) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Limited English Proficiency)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	−0.693*** (0.238)			
% programs on identity		0.813** (0.391)		
% programs with role models			−6.026*** (0.765)	
% programs with bad content	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)	0.338*** (0.006)
TV × Hispanic	−0.117*** (0.005)	−0.110*** (0.005)	−0.124*** (0.005)	−0.118*** (0.005)
TV Dummy	0.984*** (0.022)	0.984*** (0.022)	0.984*** (0.021)	0.984*** (0.021)
Hispanic				0.365 (1.019)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.003*** (0.0002)
Observations	54,294	54,294	54,294	54,294
R <sup>2</sup>	0.443	0.444	0.491	0.490
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.443	0.444	0.491	0.490

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 172: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Chronic Absent)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	-2.547*** (0.191)			
% programs on identity		-2.164*** (0.298)		
% programs with role models			-10.418*** (0.624)	
% programs with bad content				-9.754*** (0.819)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)
TV Dummy	-0.177*** (0.004)	-0.169*** (0.004)	-0.170*** (0.004)	-0.174*** (0.004)
Hispanic	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations	53,582	53,582	53,582	53,582
R <sup>2</sup>	0.527	0.538	0.539	0.538
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.526	0.538	0.539	0.538

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 173: Differential Log Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Chronic Absences) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Chronic Absent)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)	0.222*** (0.005)
% programs on identity	-0.166*** (0.004)	-0.172*** (0.004)	-0.163*** (0.004)	-0.165*** (0.004)
% programs with role models	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)	1.426*** (0.018)
% programs with bad content	-0.078*** (0.009)			
TV $\times$ Hispanic		-0.203*** (0.018)		
TV Dummy			-0.081*** (0.008)	
Hispanic				-0.110*** (0.011)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00005)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0001)
Observations	53,582	53,582	53,582	53,582
R <sup>2</sup>	0.526	0.538	0.538	0.538
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.526	0.538	0.538	0.538

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 174: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gifted) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Gifted)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.490*** (0.180)			
% programs on identity		2.159*** (0.313)		
% programs with role models			2.149*** (0.571)	
% programs with bad content				5.824*** (0.781)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)	0.286*** (0.006)
TV Dummy	-0.141*** (0.005)	-0.135*** (0.005)	-0.142*** (0.005)	-0.136*** (0.005)
Hispanic	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)	0.095*** (0.021)
hisp_students	0.002*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
asian_students	0.007*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)	0.005*** (0.0002)
Observations	33,732	33,732	33,732	33,732
R <sup>2</sup>	0.401	0.415	0.415	0.415
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.401	0.415	0.415	0.415

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 175: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Suspended) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Suspended)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.004 (0.134)			
% programs on identity		0.720*** (0.216)		
% programs with role models			-1.749*** (0.428)	
% programs with bad content				-0.440 (0.584)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)	0.119*** (0.004)
TV Dummy	-0.058*** (0.003)	-0.054*** (0.003)	-0.059*** (0.003)	-0.058*** (0.003)
Hispanic	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)	0.603*** (0.014)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.00004)
asian_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)	0.0002** (0.0001)
Observations	53,572	53,572	53,572	53,572
R <sup>2</sup>	0.335	0.355	0.355	0.355
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.335	0.355	0.355	0.355

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 176: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullied Ethnicity) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Bullied Ethnicity)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.107*** (0.027)			
% programs on identity		-0.478*** (0.052)		
% programs with role models			0.661*** (0.093)	
% programs with bad content				-0.516*** (0.117)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
TV Dummy	0.001** (0.001)	-0.001* (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)	0.00004 (0.001)
Hispanic	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)
hisp_students	0.00003*** (0.00000)	-0.00001* (0.00001)	-0.00001 (0.00001)	-0.00001* (0.00001)
asian_students	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)	0.0002*** (0.00003)
Observations	53,468	53,468	53,468	53,468
R <sup>2</sup>	0.021	0.024	0.024	0.024
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.021	0.024	0.024	0.024

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 177: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Bullies) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Bullies)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.095*** (0.023)			
% programs on identity		-0.249*** (0.044)		
% programs with role models			0.585*** (0.080)	
% programs with bad content				-0.187* (0.097)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	-0.001** (0.001)	-0.001** (0.001)	-0.001** (0.001)	-0.001** (0.001)
TV Dummy	0.001 (0.0004)	-0.001* (0.0004)	0.001* (0.0004)	-0.0001 (0.0004)
Hispanic	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)	0.040*** (0.003)
hisp_students	0.00005*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)	0.00003*** (0.00001)
asian_students	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)	0.0001*** (0.00002)
Observations	53,468	53,468	53,468	53,468
R <sup>2</sup>	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.019
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.018

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 178: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP enrolled) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# AP enrolled)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.896 (0.640)			
% programs on identity		1.471 (1.046)		
% programs with role models			-3.377 (2.110)	
% programs with bad content				0.287 (2.853)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)	0.367*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	-0.086*** (0.015)	-0.103*** (0.015)	-0.112*** (0.015)	-0.108*** (0.015)
Hispanic	0.174*** (0.057)	0.174*** (0.055)	0.174*** (0.055)	0.174*** (0.055)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.004*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.003*** (0.0003)
Observations	7,890	7,890	7,890	7,890
R <sup>2</sup>	0.442	0.476	0.476	0.475
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.442	0.475	0.475	0.475

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 179: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic Gr 8 Algebra) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# Gr 8 Algebra)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	3.958*** (0.646)			
% programs on identity		0.733 (1.024)		
% programs with role models			10.331*** (1.997)	
% programs with bad content				13.496*** (2.780)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	-0.007 (0.013)	0.004 (0.013)	0.001 (0.013)	-0.005 (0.013)
TV Dummy	0.047*** (0.013)	0.018 (0.013)	0.028** (0.012)	0.040*** (0.013)
Hispanic	0.154*** (0.048)	0.113** (0.047)	0.124*** (0.047)	0.140*** (0.047)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.002*** (0.0001)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)	0.002*** (0.0002)
Observations	3,012	3,012	3,012	3,012
R <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.303	0.306	0.306
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.306	0.300	0.304	0.304

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 180: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Math) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# AP Math)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	0.445 (0.554)			
% programs on identity		-0.406 (0.934)		
% programs with role models			-2.679 (1.839)	
% programs with bad content				-1.244 (2.466)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)	0.285*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	-0.099*** (0.015)	-0.114*** (0.015)	-0.115*** (0.014)	-0.114*** (0.014)
Hispanic	-0.351*** (0.055)	-0.351*** (0.054)	-0.351*** (0.054)	-0.351*** (0.054)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	6,388	6,388	6,388	6,388
R <sup>2</sup>	0.336	0.357	0.357	0.357
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.335	0.356	0.356	0.356

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01



Table 181: Differential Effect of TV on IHS(# Hispanic AP Science) vs. Asian

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	IHS(# AP Science)			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
% programs on education	1.363** (0.660)			
% programs on identity		-0.317 (1.129)		
% programs with role models			0.053 (2.249)	
% programs with bad content				-0.123 (3.116)
TV $\times$ Hispanic	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)	0.340*** (0.016)
TV Dummy	-0.072*** (0.016)	-0.095*** (0.016)	-0.094*** (0.015)	-0.094*** (0.016)
Hispanic	-0.350*** (0.058)	-0.350*** (0.057)	-0.350*** (0.057)	-0.350*** (0.057)
hisp_students	0.001*** (0.00004)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)	0.001*** (0.0001)
asian_students	0.003*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)	0.002*** (0.0003)
Observations	6,210	6,210	6,210	6,210
R <sup>2</sup>	0.362	0.387	0.387	0.387
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.362	0.386	0.386	0.386

*Note:*

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.05; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01