

Website Vulnerability Scanner Report (Light)



Unlock the full capabilities of this scanner



See what the FULL scanner can do

Perform in-depth website scanning and discover high risk vulnerabilities.

Testing areas	Light scan	Full scan
Website fingerprinting	✓	✓
Version-based vulnerability detection	✓	✓
Common configuration issues	✓	✓
SQL injection	—	✓
Cross-Site Scripting	—	✓
Local/Remote File Inclusion	—	✓
Remote command execution	—	✓
Discovery of sensitive files	—	✓

✓ <https://ibench.net>

Summary

Overall risk level:

High

Risk ratings:

High: 1

Medium: 0

Low: 7

Info: 11

Scan information:

Start time: 2023-04-12 22:01:06 UTC+03

Finish time: 2023-04-12 22:01:31 UTC+03

Scan duration: 25 sec

Tests performed: 19/19

Scan status: **Finished**

Findings

Vulnerabilities found for server-side software

UNCONFIRMED ⓘ

Risk Level	CVSS	CVE	Summary	Exploit	Affected software
●	7.8	CVE-2018-16843	nginx before versions 1.15.6 and 1.14.1 has a vulnerability in the implementation of HTTP/2 that can allow for excessive memory consumption. This issue affects nginx compiled with the ngx_http_v2_module (not compiled by default) if the 'http2' option of the 'listen' directive is used in a configuration file.	N/A	nginx 1.10.3
●	7.8	CVE-2018-16844	nginx before versions 1.15.6 and 1.14.1 has a vulnerability in the implementation of HTTP/2 that can allow for excessive CPU usage. This issue affects nginx compiled with the ngx_http_v2_module (not compiled by default) if the 'http2' option of the 'listen' directive is used in a configuration file.	N/A	nginx 1.10.3

●	7.8	CVE-2019-9511	Some HTTP/2 implementations are vulnerable to window size manipulation and stream prioritization manipulation, potentially leading to a denial of service. The attacker requests a large amount of data from a specified resource over multiple streams. They manipulate window size and stream priority to force the server to queue the data in 1-byte chunks. Depending on how efficiently this data is queued, this can consume excess CPU, memory, or both.	N/A	nginx 1.10.3
●	7.8	CVE-2019-9513	Some HTTP/2 implementations are vulnerable to resource loops, potentially leading to a denial of service. The attacker creates multiple request streams and continually shuffles the priority of the streams in a way that causes substantial churn to the priority tree. This can consume excess CPU.	N/A	nginx 1.10.3
●	7.5	CVE-2017-20005	NGINX before 1.13.6 has a buffer overflow for years that exceed four digits, as demonstrated by a file with a modification date in 1969 that causes an integer overflow (or a false modification date far in the future), when encountered by the autoindex module.	N/A	nginx 1.10.3

▼ Details

Risk description:

These vulnerabilities expose the affected applications to the risk of unauthorized access to confidential data and possibly to denial of service attacks. An attacker could search for an appropriate exploit (or create one himself) for any of these vulnerabilities and use it to attack the system.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to upgrade the affected software to the latest version in order to eliminate the risk of these vulnerabilities.

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-1026](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A9 - Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A9 - Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities](#)

🚩 Missing security header: X-Content-Type-Options

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://ibench.net	Response headers do not include the X-Content-Type-Options HTTP security header

▼ Details

Risk description:

The HTTP header `X-Content-Type-Options` is addressed to the Internet Explorer browser and prevents it from reinterpreting the content of a web page (MIME-sniffing) and thus overriding the value of the Content-Type header). Lack of this header could lead to attacks such as Cross-Site Scripting or phishing.

Recommendation:

We recommend setting the X-Content-Type-Options header such as `X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff`.

References:

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Content-Type-Options>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

🚩 Missing security header: X-XSS-Protection

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://ibench.net	Response headers do not include the HTTP X-XSS-Protection security header

▼ Details

Risk description:

The `X-XSS-Protection` HTTP header instructs the browser to stop loading web pages when they detect reflected Cross-Site Scripting

(XSS) attacks. Lack of this header exposes application users to XSS attacks in case the web application contains such vulnerability.

Recommendation:

We recommend setting the X-XSS-Protection header to `X-XSS-Protection: 1; mode=block`.

References:

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-XSS-Protection>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: Strict-Transport-Security

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://ibench.net	Response headers do not include the HTTP Strict-Transport-Security header

▼ Details

Risk description:

The HTTP Strict-Transport-Security header instructs the browser to initiate only secure (HTTPS) connections to the web server and deny any unencrypted HTTP connection attempts. Lack of this header permits an attacker to force a victim user to initiate a clear-text HTTP connection to the server, thus opening the possibility to eavesdrop on the network traffic and extract sensitive information (e.g. session cookies).

Recommendation:

The Strict-Transport-Security HTTP header should be sent with each HTTPS response. The syntax is as follows:

`Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=<seconds>[; includeSubDomains]`

The parameter `max-age` gives the time frame for requirement of HTTPS in seconds and should be chosen quite high, e.g. several months. A value below 7776000 is considered as too low by this scanner check.

The flag `includeSubDomains` defines that the policy applies also for sub domains of the sender of the response.

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: Content-Security-Policy

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://ibench.net	Response headers do not include the HTTP Content-Security-Policy security header

▼ Details

Risk description:

The Content-Security-Policy (CSP) header activates a protection mechanism implemented in web browsers which prevents exploitation of Cross-Site Scripting vulnerabilities (XSS). If the target application is vulnerable to XSS, lack of this header makes it easily exploitable by attackers.

Recommendation:

Configure the Content-Security-Header to be sent with each HTTP response in order to apply the specific policies needed by the application.

References:

https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Content_Security_Policy_Cheat_Sheet.html

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/Content-Security-Policy>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: Referrer-Policy

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://ibench.net	Response headers do not include the Referrer-Policy HTTP security header as well as the tag with name 'referrer' is not present in the response.

Details

Risk description:

The Referrer-Policy HTTP header controls how much referrer information the browser will send with each request originated from the current web application.

For instance, if a user visits the web page "<http://example.com/pricing/>" and it clicks on a link from that page going to e.g. "<https://www.google.com>", the browser will send to Google the full originating URL in the **Referer** header, assuming the Referrer-Policy header is not set. The originating URL could be considered sensitive information and it could be used for user tracking.

Recommendation:

The Referrer-Policy header should be configured on the server side to avoid user tracking and inadvertent information leakage. The value **no-referrer** of this header instructs the browser to omit the Referer header entirely.

References:

https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/Security/Referer_header:_privacy_and_security_concerns

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Robots.txt file found

CONFIRMED

URL
https://ibench.net/robots.txt

Details

Risk description:

There is no particular security risk in having a robots.txt file. However, this file is often misused by website administrators to try to hide some web pages from the users. This should not be considered a security measure because these URLs can be easily read directly from the robots.txt file.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to manually review the entries from robots.txt and remove the ones which lead to sensitive locations in the website (ex. administration panels, configuration files, etc).

References:

<https://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/05/19/robotstxt/>

Classification:









OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Server software and technology found

UNCONFIRMED ⓘ

Software / Version	Category
 Ubuntu	Operating systems
ex Express	Web frameworks, Web servers
Node.js	Programming languages
 React	JavaScript frameworks

 Nginx 1.10.3	Web servers, Reverse proxies
 Google Ads	Advertising
 LinkedIn Insight Tag	Analytics
 LinkedIn Ads	Advertising
 Hotjar	Analytics
 Google Tag Manager	Tag managers
 Google Analytics	Analytics
 Facebook Pixel 2.9.101	Analytics
 Google Ads Conversion Tracking	Analytics

▼ Details

Risk description:

An attacker could use this information to mount specific attacks against the identified software type and version.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to eliminate the information which permits the identification of software platform, technology, server and operating system: HTTP server headers, HTML meta information, etc.

References:

https://owasp.org/www-project-web-security-testing-guide/stable/4-Web_Application_Security_Testing/01-Information_Gathering/02-Fingerprint_Web_Server.html

Classification:

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

🚩 Website is accessible.

🚩 Nothing was found for client access policies.

🚩 Nothing was found for absence of the security.txt file.

🚩 Nothing was found for use of untrusted certificates.

🚩 Nothing was found for enabled HTTP debug methods.

🚩 Nothing was found for secure communication.

🚩 Nothing was found for directory listing.

🚩 Nothing was found for missing HTTP header - X-Frame-Options.

🚩 Nothing was found for domain too loose set for cookies.

🚩 Nothing was found for HttpOnly flag of cookie.

🚩 Nothing was found for Secure flag of cookie.

Scan coverage information

List of tests performed (19/19)

- ✓ Checking for website accessibility...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - X-Content-Type-Options...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - X-XSS-Protection...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Strict-Transport-Security...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Content Security Policy...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Referrer...
- ✓ Checking for website technologies...
- ✓ Checking for vulnerabilities of server-side software...
- ✓ Checking for client access policies...
- ✓ Checking for robots.txt file...
- ✓ Checking for absence of the security.txt file...
- ✓ Checking for use of untrusted certificates...
- ✓ Checking for enabled HTTP debug methods...
- ✓ Checking for secure communication...
- ✓ Checking for directory listing...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - X-Frame-Options...
- ✓ Checking for domain too loose set for cookies...
- ✓ Checking for HttpOnly flag of cookie...
- ✓ Checking for Secure flag of cookie...

Scan parameters

Website URL: https://ibench.net
Scan type: Light
Authentication: False

Scan stats

Unique Injection Points Detected:	2
URLs spidered:	8
Total number of HTTP requests:	16
Average time until a response was received:	44ms