

# Contents

1	Lecture 1	1
2	Lecture 2	4

## 1 Lecture 1

Today, we reviewed:

1. Homology.
2. How to construct cohomology.
3. Made a statement about a sequence splitting.
4. The sequence contains  $\ker \delta_n$ , which we don't understand.

Understanding this  $\ker \delta_n$  will be the topic of the next lecture. For more details, see my handwritten notes.

Recall that **homology** is represented as taking a space  $X$ , making a chain complex  $C_i$  out of it, and then by a purely algebraic manipulation creating a homology  $H_i$ . For a cochain complex, we dualize  $C_i$ , then take a cohomology  $H^i$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Space } X & \rightsquigarrow & \text{chain complex } C_i & \xrightarrow{\text{purely algebraic}} & \text{homology } H_i \\
 & & \downarrow \text{dualize} & & \\
 & & \text{cochain complex } C_i & \rightsquigarrow & \text{cohomology } H^i
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Suppose now that we have a chain complex  $C_i$  of free Abelian groups:

$$\dots C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \tag{2}$$

Take an abelian group  $G$  (feel free to think about  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ) and consider the dual

$$(C_n)^* = \text{Hom}(C_n, G), \text{ an additive group.} \tag{3}$$

We have no natural map from  $C_n^* \rightarrow C_{n-1}^*$ , but we do have one from

$$C_n^* \rightarrow C_{n+1}^* \tag{4}$$

$$\partial^* : \text{Hom}(C_n, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_{n+1}, G) \tag{5}$$

$$\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \partial \tag{6}$$

giving us

$$\dots \rightarrow C_{n-1}^* \rightarrow C_n^* \xrightarrow{\delta} C_{n+1}^* \rightarrow C_{n+2}^* \rightarrow \dots \tag{7}$$

Note that we use the sign convention

$$\delta : C_n^* \rightarrow C_{n+1}^* \text{ in } (-1)^{n+1} \partial^* \quad (8)$$

which is **not** used in Hatcher & Bredon.

**Definition 1.0.1: Cochain Complex**

A **cochain complex**  $C^\bullet$  is a collection of Abelian groups  $C^n$  with codifferentials  $\delta_n$  such that  $\delta_{n+1}\delta_n = 0$ .

Thus,

$$\mathcal{H}om(C_\bullet, G) = \text{Hom}(C_i, G) \text{ with differential } \delta = (-1)^{n+1} \partial^* \quad (9)$$

is a cochain complex, as defined above. Be cautious of  $\text{Hom}$  vs.  $\mathcal{H}om$ .

**Definition 1.0.2: Cohomology**

For a cochain complex  $C^\bullet$ , define **cohomology** as

$$H^i(C^\bullet) = \frac{\ker \delta_i}{\text{im} \delta_{i-1}}. \quad (10)$$

If  $C_\bullet$  is a chain complex, then any choice of abelian group  $G$  gives a cochain complex

$$\mathcal{H}om(C_\bullet, G), \quad (11)$$

hence a cohomology and thus cohomology groups, denoted as  $H^*(C_\bullet, G)$ .

If  $C_\bullet$  is the singular cochain complex of a space  $X$ , then put

$$H^*(X', G) = H^*(\mathcal{H}om(C, G)). \quad (12)$$

### Example 1.0.3: Singular Cochain Complex

Let  $C_\bullet$  be:

$$\dots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (13)$$

Then we have:

$$H_*(C_\bullet) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & n = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & n = 1, \\ 0 & n = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z} & n = 3. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

If we let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ , and dualize, then we obtain:

$$\dots \longleftarrow 0 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{0} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \longleftarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

has cohomology:

$$H^*(C_\bullet) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & n = 0, \\ 0 & n = 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & n = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z} & n = 3. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

These are **not** the duals of the homology  $H_*$ . Moreover,  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  has different results.

**Note: Functoriality.** If  $C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$  is a map of cochain complexes, dualizing gives

$$\mathcal{H}om(D_\bullet, G) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(C_\bullet, G) \quad (17)$$

$$\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ f \quad (18)$$

which induces a map

$$H^*(\mathcal{H}om(D_\bullet, G)) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{H}om(C_\bullet, G)). \quad (19)$$


We want to now relate the cohomology of  $\mathcal{H}om(C_\bullet, G)$  to the homology of  $C_\bullet$ .

### Lemma 1.0.4: Cohomology and Homology

For any chain complex of free Abelian groups, and any Abelian group  $G$ , there is a natural surjection:

$$h : H^n(C_\bullet; G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(C_\bullet; G)) \quad (20)$$

and the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(h) \longrightarrow H^n(C_\bullet; G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_n(C_\bullet; G)) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (21)$$


splits.

*Proof.* The proof can be found in my live notes. Please review it in detail.  $\square$

## 2 Lecture 2

Our goal for today is to understand  $\ker h$ .

### Lemma 2.0.1: “Hom is left exact”

Suppose that

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0. \quad (22)$$

is a short exact sequence of Abelian groups and  $G$  is a group. Then the dual sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C; G) \xrightarrow{g^*} \text{Hom}(B; G) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Hom}(A; G) \quad (23)$$

is exact. If the original sequence was split, then  $f^*$  is surjective.

*Proof.* See my live notes for the proof. Please review it carefully.  $\square$

#### Remarks.

1.  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, G)$  is a contravariant functor, and the property of the lemma is called “left-exactness”.
- 2.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad (24)$$

is exact, and  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ . Dualize:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Z} \quad (25)$$

is *not* surjective. So, Hom in general is not exact.

Now an argument is developed, regarding degree shifts in cochain complexes, short exact sequences are related via the snake lemma, and we make a statement regarding the cokernel, which measures something interesting. See live notes for details.

In fact:  $\text{coker}(i_{n-1}^*)$  measures the “non-right-exactness” of  $\text{Hom}$  applied to a specific SES:

$$0 \rightarrow B_{n-1} \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}} Z_{n-1} \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C_\bullet) \rightarrow 0. \quad (26)$$

### Corollary 2.0.2: Cohomology and Homology Homomorphisms

If  $H_{n-1}(C_\bullet)$  is free Abelian, then

$$H^n(C_\bullet, G) \cong \text{Hom}(H_n(C_\bullet, G)). \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* If  $H_{n-1}(C_\bullet)$  is free Abelian, then (26) splits. Then apply  $\text{Hom}$ , get a SES, then the  $\text{coker}(i_{n-1}^*) = 0$ .  $\square$

### Definition 2.0.3: Free Resolution

Let  $H$  be an Abelian group. A **free resolution** of  $H$  is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0 \quad (28)$$

where all  $F$  are free Abelian.

### Lemma 2.0.4: Free Resolutions of Length 2

Any Abelian group  $H$  has a free resolution (of length 2).

*Proof.*  $f_0 : \bigoplus_{H \setminus e} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} H$  a homomorphism mapping  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  to  $h$  induced by  $h$ . Then

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\pi) \rightarrow F_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} H. \quad (29)$$

$\square$

### Corollary 2.0.5: Cohomology Independent of Free Resolution

uppose that

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0 \quad (30)$$

is a free resolution of  $H$ ,  $G$  is any Abelian group. Consider the cochain complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, G) \xrightarrow{f_0^*} \text{Hom}(F_0, G) \xrightarrow{f_1^*} \text{Hom}(F_1, G) \rightarrow \cdots . \quad (31)$$

The cohomology of this is independent of the free resolution. We call the first cohomology group

$$\ker f_2^* / \text{im} f_1^* = \text{Ext}^1(H, G). \quad (32)$$

$\text{Ext}^1$  is the **derived functor** of  $\text{Hom}$ .

There is also a technical corollary, which I leave in the live notes, since the diagram is complicated.

### Corollary 2.0.6: Technical Corollary: Chain Morphisms

See live notes.

## Index

cochain complex, 2  
cohomology, 2

derived functor, 6

free resolution, 5

homology, 1