

Contents

1	Lecture 1	1
1.1	The Ising Model	1
1.1.1	The Model	1
2	Lecture 2	3
2.1	Thermodynamic Limit of the Pressure	3
3	Lecture 3	5
3.1	Convexity & Magnetization	5

1 Lecture 1

Overview of the math part of the lecture. FV = Friedli, Velenik.

1. Ising model: existence of thermodynamic limit of pressure/free energy. We will go over Peierl's argument and phase transitions. *Book references:* FV Chapter 3.
2. Gibbs measures in infinite volume. DLR conditions: Debrushin, Lanford, Ruelle. *Book references:* FV Chapter 6.
3. Mermin-Wagner theorem, absence of continuous symmetry breaking in $d = 1, 2$. *Book references:* FV Chapter 9.
4. If time admits: reflection positivity & existence of symmetry breaking in $d = 3$. *Book references:* FV Chapter 10.

1.1 The Ising Model

1.1.1 The Model

Notation: we will denote by $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ that $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, and that Λ is finite, and non-empty. Often, it will be the case that $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, L\}^d$, $L \in \mathbb{N}$; i.e., that Λ consists of a square grid (a “lattice”).

Configuration space. We denote a configuration space by $\Omega_\Lambda := \{-1, +1\}^\Lambda$. Here,

$$\omega \in \Omega_\Lambda, \omega = (\omega_i)_{i \in \Lambda}, \omega_i \in \{\pm 1\}. \quad (1)$$

More verbosely: Ω_Λ is the set of functions assigning either $+1$ or -1 onto each vertex of the lattice, and each ω is an individual “configuration” which is a collection of $\{\pm 1\}$ assigned to each vertex $i \in \Lambda$.

We also let $h \in \mathbb{R}$ be an external magnetic field. Now, we are in place to define the “**Ising Hamiltonian**”, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda;h}$:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda;h} : \Omega_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\omega = (\omega_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda;h}(\omega) = - \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \subset \Lambda \\ i,j \text{ n.n.}}} \omega_i \omega_j - h \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \omega_i$$

where “n.n.” means “nearest neighbors in \mathbb{Z}^d ”.

A natural question that arises is: what is (are) the minimizer(s) of \mathcal{H} ?

$$h > 0 : \omega_i = 1 \ \forall i,$$

$$h < 0 : \omega_i = -1 \ \forall i,$$

$$h = 0 : 2 \text{ minimizers, all } +1 \text{ or all } -1.$$

We also introduce now the **partition function** (Zustandssamme):

$$Z_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\Lambda}} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda;h}(\omega)}. \quad (2)$$

where $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$ is the **inverse temperature**.

With this, we may then define the **Gibbs measure**, which is a probability measure on Ω_{Λ} :

$$\mu_{\Lambda;\beta,h}(\{\omega\}) = \frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda}(\beta,h)} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda;h}(\omega)} \quad (3)$$

Let’s take note of a couple important regimes in different values of the parameter β . First, when $\beta = 0$, we get a uniform distribution: it’s completely flat. When $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, then the measure concentrates on the minimizer(s).

Now, we can define **pressure/free energy**:

$$\psi_{\Lambda}(\beta,h) := \frac{1}{\beta|\Lambda|} \log Z_{\Lambda}(\beta,h). \quad (4)$$

This quantity is dependent upon the system size, inverse temperature, and magnetic field, as we might intuitively expect.

We can also define the **total magnetization**, which is the map:

$$M_{\Lambda} : \Omega_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)$$

$$\omega \mapsto M_{\Lambda}(\omega) = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \omega_i. \quad (6)$$

Physically, this is just the sum of the spins on each vertex of the lattice Λ .

Observation. We now make an observation regarding the dependence of ψ_Λ on h :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h} \psi_\Lambda(\beta, h) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Lambda} M_\Lambda(\omega) e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda, h}(\omega)} \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda(\beta, h)} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \langle M_\Lambda \rangle_{\Lambda, \beta, h} \quad (8)$$

$$=: m_\Lambda(\beta, h), \quad (9)$$

where $m_\Lambda(\beta, h)$ is the **average magnetization** per unit volume.

2 Lecture 2

2.1 Thermodynamic Limit of the Pressure

We first introduce some new notation. We denote

$$\varepsilon_\Lambda := \{ \{i, j\} \subset \Lambda : \underbrace{\|i - j\| = 1}_{i \sim j; i, j \text{ n.n.}} \}. \quad (10)$$

We regard ε_Λ as the bulk with interactions across the boundary. Now, for a the bulk which *does* have interactions across the boundary, we denote this as

$$\varepsilon_\Lambda^b := \{ \{i, j\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d : i \sim j, i \in \Lambda \text{ or } j \in \Lambda \}. \quad (11)$$

Now, let's consider the energy with *empty boundary*: $\beta > 0$, and $h \in \mathbb{R}$. We calculate:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda, \beta, h}^\emptyset : \Omega_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda, \beta, h}(\omega) := -\beta \sum_{\{i, j\} \in \varepsilon_\Lambda} \omega_i \omega_j - h \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \omega_i. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, we may write other thermodynamic quantities with the notion of the “empty boundary condition”:

$$Z_{\Lambda, \beta, h}^\emptyset := \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Lambda} e^{-\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda, \beta, h}^\emptyset(\omega)}, \quad \mu_{\Lambda, \beta, h}^\emptyset, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Lambda, \beta, h}^\emptyset, \quad \psi_\Lambda^\emptyset(\beta, h) := \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \log Z_{\Lambda, \beta, h}^\emptyset. \quad (13)$$

Now, consider an infinite system where we have $\Omega := \{+1, -1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$. We formalize the boundary conditions. Consider $n \in \Omega$, for example $\eta_i = \pm 1 \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then let

$$\Omega_\Lambda^\eta := \{ \omega \in \Omega : \omega_i = \eta_i \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \Lambda \}. \quad (14)$$

Then, the energy becomes:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda, \beta, h} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (15)$$

$$\omega \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda, \beta, h}(\omega) := -\beta \sum_{\{i, j\} \in \varepsilon_\Lambda^b} \omega_i \omega_j - h \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \omega_i \quad (16)$$

Note that since $\omega \in \Omega_\Lambda^\eta$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\beta,h}(\omega) = \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\beta,h}^\emptyset(\omega_\Lambda) - \beta \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\}: i \sim j \\ i \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda^C}} \omega_i \eta_j. \quad (17)$$

(Note that here, $\omega_j = \eta_j$.)

So then with $\omega_\Lambda = (\omega_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$, the partition function is

$$Z_{\Lambda,\beta,h}^\eta := \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\Lambda^\eta} e^{-\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\beta,h}(\omega)} \quad (18)$$

$$\mu_{\Lambda,\beta,h}^\eta, \psi_{\Lambda,\beta,h}(\beta, h). \quad (19)$$

Definition 2.1.1: van Hove Convergence

$(\Lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\Lambda_n \Subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ converges to \mathbb{Z}^d in the sense of **van Hove** (or “is a van Hove sequence”) if:

1. $\Lambda_n \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d : \forall n, \Lambda_n \subset \Lambda_{n+1}, \mathbb{Z}^d = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$.
- 2.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\partial^{\text{in}} \Lambda_n|}{|\Lambda_n|} \rightarrow 0 \quad (20)$$

where the inner boundary is

$$\partial^{\text{in}} \Lambda := \{i \in \Lambda : \exists j \in \Lambda^C \text{ such that } \|j - i\| = 1\} \quad (21)$$

Notation. We will denote convergence in the sense of van Hove by $\Lambda_n \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Example 2.1.2: van Hove Sequences

First, let $\Lambda_n = \{-n, \dots, n\}^d$, then

$$|\partial^{\text{in}} \Lambda_n| = \mathcal{O}(n^{d-1}), \quad (22)$$

$$|\Lambda_n| = n^d. \quad (23)$$

This is a van Hove sequence. For a second example, now let $\Lambda_n = \{-n, \dots, n\} \times \{-n^2, \dots, n^2\}$ in \mathbb{Z}^2 . Then

$$|\partial^{\text{in}} \Lambda_n| = \mathcal{O}(n^2), \quad (24)$$

$$|\Lambda_n| = cn^3. \quad (25)$$

Theorem 2.1.3: van Hove sequence properties

1. The limit $\psi(\beta, h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \log \mathbb{Z}_{\Lambda_n, \beta, h}^\# = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{\Lambda_n}^\#(\beta, h)$ exists for all van Hove sequences, for every boundary condition $\# = \emptyset, \# = \eta \in \Omega$.

The value does not depend on the precise choice of van Hove sequence.

2. The value of the limit $\psi(\beta, h)$ does not depend on the precise choice of boundary condition, either.
3. The map

$$(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (26)$$

$$(\beta, h) \mapsto \psi(\beta, h) \quad (27)$$

is convex.

4. $\forall \beta > 0, \psi(\beta, h) = \psi(\beta, -h)$.

Definition 2.1.4: Convex

For a function to be **convex** means that $\forall(\beta_1, h_1), \forall(\beta_2, h_2), \forall t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\psi\left((1-t)\beta_1 + t\beta_2, (1-t)h_1 + th_2\right) \leq (1-t)\psi(\beta_1, h_1) + t\psi(\beta_2, h_2). \quad (28)$$

Proof of parts 1, 2 of Theorem (2.1) in Live Notes LN2.

3 Lecture 3

The proof from last lecture was completed today. See live notes, or the textbook.

Remark. Note also that $Z_{\Lambda_n}^\eta(\beta, -h) = Z_{\Lambda_n}^{-\eta}(\beta, h)$.

3.1 Convexity & Magnetization

Recall the definitions of the total magnetization and average magnetization. Can we pass to the limit $\Lambda_n \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d$?

To do this, we first note a few useful properties of convex functions. Let $I = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex. Then:

$$1. \quad \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y}. \quad (29)$$

2. The **one-sided limits**

$$f'(x+) := \lim_{y \downarrow x} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}, \quad (30)$$

$$f'(x-) := \lim_{y \uparrow x} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \quad (31)$$

exist (in \mathbb{R}).

3.

$$x < y \implies f'(x-) \leq f'(x+) \leq f'(y-) \leq f'(y+) \quad (32)$$

4. f is continuous in I (\leftarrow open).

5. Let

$$\mathcal{B} := \{x \in (a, b) : f'(x-) < f'(x+)\} \quad (33)$$

$$= \{x \in (a, b) : f \text{ is not differentiable in } x\}. \quad (34)$$

then \mathcal{B} is empty, finite, or countably infinite.

6. For all $x, y \in (a, b)$,

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + f'(x+)(y - x), \quad (35)$$

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + f'(x-)(y - x). \quad (36)$$

Lemma 3.1.1: Convex Functions & Ordering of \limsup and \liminf

Let $f_n : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ convex, and $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\forall x \in (a, b)$ that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$. Then:

1. f is convex.

2. $\forall x \in (a, b)$,

$$f'(x-) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x-) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x+) \leq f'(x+). \quad (37)$$

Consequences.

1. If in addition f_n is differentiable at x , then every accumulation point of $(f'_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is in $[f'(x-), f'(x+)]$.

2. If f_n and f are differentiable at x , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x) = f'(x). \quad (38)$$

Index

Λ , 1

Ω_Λ , 1

average magnetization, 3

configuration space, 1

convex, 5

free energy, 2

Gibbs measure, 2

inverse temperature, 2

Ising Hamiltonian, 2

one-sided limits, 6

partition function, 2

pressure, 2

total magnetization, 2

van Hove, 4