CSE 101 Homework 0

Winter 2023

This homework is due on gradescope Friday January 13th at 11:59pm on gradescope. Remember to justify your work even if the problem does not explicitly say so. Writing your solutions in LATEX recommend though not required.

Question 1 (Program Runtimes, 20 points). Consider the following two programs:

```
Alg1(n)
  for i = 1 to n^3
    for j = 1 to n
        Print(j)

and

Alg2(n)
  for i = 1 to n^3
    if i <= n
        for j = 1 to n
        Print(j)</pre>
```

For each of these programs give the asymptotic runtime as $\Theta(f(n))$ for some function f and justify your work.

Runtime for Alg1: The outside loop runs for n^3 times and the inner loop runs for n times. Multiplying them together, we get the total runtime is $\Theta(n^4)$.

Runtime for Alg2: In the first n iterations of the outside loop, the inner loop will be executed, contributing $\Theta(n \cdot n) = \Theta(n^2)$ to the runtime. In the remaining $n^3 - n$ iterations of the outside loop, only the if-statement is executed (the inner loop will be skipped), contributing $\Theta((n^3 - n) \cdot 1) = \Theta(n^3 - n)$ to the runtime. The total runtime is therefore $\Theta(n^2 + (n^3 - n)) = \Theta(n^3 + n^2 - n) = \Theta(n^3)$.

Question 2 (Asymptotic Comparisons, 20 points). Sort the following functions of n in terms of their asymptotic growth rates. In particular, ones should go later in the list if they are larger when sufficiently large values of n are used as inputs. Which of these functions have polynomial growth rates? Remember to justify your answers.

- $a(n) = 2^{\sqrt{\log(n)}}$
- $b(n) = 2^{\sqrt{n}}$
- $c(n) = 10^{10^{10}} n^{0.01}$
- $d(n) = 6^{\log_2(n)}$

• $e(n) = n(1000 + \sqrt{n})(1000 + n)$

We will assume log is base 2 (whether it is base 10, e, or 2 will not affect the final answer). Observe for any $x = \Theta(n^k)$ with constant k > 0 (i.e. x is a polynomial), we have $\log(x) = \Theta(\log(n^k)) = \Theta(k\log(n)) = \Theta(\log(n))$, regardless of k's size. Since $\log(a(n)) = \sqrt{\log(n)}$, a(n) must grow slower than any polynomial. Similarly, b(n) must grow faster than any polynomial, since $\log(b(n)) = \sqrt{n}$.

It then remains to show the order of c(n), d(n), e(n).

$$c(n) = \Theta(n^{0.01})$$

$$d(n) = 2^{\log_2(6^{\log_2 n})} = 2^{\log_2 6 \cdot \log_2 n} = n^{\log_2 6} \approx n^{2.58}$$

$$e(n) = \Theta(n) \cdot \Theta(\sqrt{n}) \cdot \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^{2.5}),$$

Now, we use the fact that n^a grows slower than n^b asymptotically if a < b.

Therefore, the order should be $a(n) \ll c(n) \ll e(n) \ll d(n) \ll b(n)$. Among them, b(n) grows faster than any polynomial function and a(n) grows slower than any polynomial function. The rest all have polynomial growth rates.

Note that asymptotic comparisons ignore outer constants. While c(n) is asymptotically smaller than almost all other given functions, it is actually the largest value until n gets quite large, on account of the leading $10^{10^{10}}$ constant.

Question 3 (Graph Coloring, 30 points). Let G be a finite graph with maximum degree at most d (that is no vertex is connected to more than d other vertices). Show that each vertex of G can be assigned an integer in $\{1, 2, \ldots, d+1\}$ so that no two adjacent vertices are assigned the same integer. Hint: Use induction on the number of vertices.

Suppose the vertices are labeled from 1, ..., n. We will denote by G_i as the subgraph formed by vertices from 1, ..., i. We prove by induction on i that there is a valid coloring of G_i .

Base Case: When i = 1, it is trivial to color G_i , since the subgraph is simply a single vertex that can be assigned any color. (We could alternatively use i = 0 (the graph on zero vertices) for the base case if we want to ensure our statement is proven for that graph.)

Inductive Step: Assume that we have a conflict-free assignment for G_{i-1} . Then, we claim we can find a conflict-free assignment for G_i . We first give numbers to vertices $1, \ldots, i-1$ according to the assignment of G_{i-1} . For the *i*-th vertex, since it has at most *d* neighbors, we can always find some number that has not been assigned to its neighbors yet. Thus, we arrive at a conflict-free assignment for G_i .

By induction, in the end, we have a conflict-free assignment for G_n , which is exactly G.

Question 4 (Recurrence Relation, 30 points). Suppose that you have a function T(n) defined by T(1) = 1 and

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + n$$

for n > 1.

- (a) Prove by induction that T(n) = n(n+1)/2. [15 points]
- (b) Consider the following "proof" that T(n) = O(n) (note that this contradicts part (a)): We proceed by strong induction on n. Clearly T(1) = O(1), which gives us our base case. If we assume that T(n) = O(n), then T(n+1) = T(n) + (n+1) = O(n) + O(n) = O(n). This completes our inductive step and proves that T(n) = O(n) for all n.

What is wrong with the above proof? (Hint: Consider what the implied constant in the O term would be.) [15 points]

Part(a). We prove T(n) = n(n+1)/2 by induction on n.

Base Case: When n = 2, we have T(2) = T(1) + 2 = 1 + 2 = 3. Since 2(3)/2 = 3, the base case holds.

Inductive Step: Assume that

$$T(n-1) = \frac{(n-1) \cdot n}{2}.$$

And we try to prove that

$$T(n) = \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2}.$$

This is true since

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + n = \frac{(n-1) \cdot n}{2} + \frac{2n}{2} = \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2}.$$

By induction, we complete the proof.

Part(b). The statement T(n) = O(n) for a particular value of n is meaningless. If we instead try to prove the induction by using the definition of big-O—that $T(n) \leq C \cdot n$ for all n and an absolute constant C—we run into issues in the inductive step. There, we can only say $T(n+1) = T(n) + (n+1) \leq (C+1)n + 1$. And one can see that the "constant" in the bound becomes larger than C. This makes it no longer an absolute constant independent of n.