**Question 1** (Divide and Conquer Runtimes, 30 points). Consider the three following divide and conquer algorithms:

- Algorithm A when run on an input of size n solves three recursive subproblems of size n/3 in addition to  $\Theta(n)$  overhead work.
- Algorithm B when run on an input of size n solves three recursive subproblems of size n/2 in addition to  $\Theta(n^2)$  overhead work.
- Algorithm C when run on an input of size n solves three recursive subproblems of size n/9 in addition to  $\Theta(1)$  overhead work.

What are the asymptotic runtimes of these three algorithms?

For Algorithm A:

The runtime is given by the recurrence:

$$T(n) = 3T(n/3) + \Theta(n).$$

Applying the Master Theorem with  $a=3,\ b=3$  and d=1, we find that  $a=b^d,$  so the runtime is  $\Theta(n^d \log(n)) = \Theta(n \log(n)).$ 

For Algorithm B:

The runtime is given by the recurrence:

$$T(n) = 3T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2).$$

Applying the Master Theorem with  $a=3,\ b=2$  and d=2, we find that  $a< b^d$ , so the runtime is  $\Theta(n^d)=\Theta(n^2)$ .

For Algorithm C:

The runtime is given by the recurrence:

$$T(n) = 3T(n/9) + \Theta(1).$$

Applying the Master Theorem with  $a=3,\ b=9$  and d=0, we find that  $a>b^d$ , so the runtime is  $\Theta(n^{\log_b(a)})=\Theta(n^{\log_9(3)})=\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ .

Question 2 (Crossing the Stream, 35 points). Roxy is a frog trying to make it across a stream by hopping between lilypads conveniently arranged along a line. From any lilypad, she can hop to any other within 5 feet and she is trying to make it from one bank of the stream to the other in as few hops as possible.

Roxy thinks that she can minimize the number of hops by at each hop, leaping to the furthest lilypad she can reach. So for example, if there are lilypads at 1,4,6,7,10, and 13 feet from the near bank and the far bank is at 15 feet, she should hop to the lilypads at 4,7, and 10 feet before hopping to the far bank.

Prove that this strategy always successfully reaches the far bank in the smallest possible number of hops.

Suppose that Roxy's strategy has her using lilypads located at  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$  feet from the starting bank, and that another strategy uses lilypads at  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k$ . We wish to show that  $m \le k$ . We claim by induction on i that  $x_i \ge y_i$ . For i = 1, this is because Roxy's first hop is to the furthest reachable lilypad. Furthermore, assuming that  $x_i \ge y_i$ , we claim that  $x_{i+1} \ge y_{i+1}$ . This is because  $x_{i+1}$  is the furthest lilypad at distance at most  $x_i + 5$ . So this means that any other lilypad at location  $z > x_{i+1}$  has  $z > x_i + 5 \ge y_i + 5$  and thus is not reachable from the lilypad  $y_i$ . Since the far bank cannot be reached from  $x_{m-1}$ , the far bank can also not be reached from  $y_{m-1}$ , which implies that  $k \ge m$ .

**Question 3** (Finding the Crossover Point, 35 points). Let A and B be two unordered lists of n distinct real numbers each so that the smallest element of A is less than the largest element of B. Your goal is to find the largest integer k so that the kth largest element of A is larger than the kth smallest element of B (you can return k = 0 if no element of A is bigger than any element of B).

For example, if A = (10, 3, 6, 8) and B = (1, 9, 2, 7) the answer would be 2 since the 2nd largest element of A (i.e. 8) is larger than the 2nd smallest element of B (i.e. 2), but the 3rd largest element of A (i.e. 6) is not larger than the 3rd smallest element of B (i.e. 7).

Give an algorithm that given A and B finds this k. For full credit, your algorithm should run in expected O(n) time or better.

The algorithm is as follows:

```
Crossing(A,B)
If n = 1
  Return 0
Let m = [n/2]
x = mth largest of A
y = mth smallest of B
           If x > y
  Let A' be the set of elements of A smaller than x
  Let B' be the set of elements of B bigger than y
  Return m+Crossing(A',B')
If x \le y
           Let A' be the set of elements of A at least x
  Let B' be the set of elements of B at most y
  Return Crossing(A',B')
```

Note that using linear time order statistics, x and y can be computed in O(n) time. A' and B' can be computed by doing a scan through each of A and B and keeping the appropriate elements. We then have to make a single recursive call on a list of roughly half the size. Thus, we have the runtime recurrence

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(n),$$

which by the Master Theorem leads us to a final runtime of O(n).

To prove correctness, we use strong induction on n. If A and B have length 1, then, since we assumed that  $\max(B) > \min(A)$ , the largest k we can take is 0, so our answer is correct. Otherwise, if x > y, the  $m^{th}$  largest element of A is bigger than the  $m^{th}$  smallest element of B. For any k > m, we have that the  $k^{th}$  largest element of A is the  $(k-m)^{th}$  smallest element of B is the largest number so that the  $(k')^{th}$  largest element of A' is bigger than the  $(k')^{th}$  smallest element of B' (note that this is correctly computed by  $\operatorname{Crossing}(A', B')$  by induction), the answer is m + k'. Similarly if  $x \leq y$ , the optimal k is less than m. Since the  $k^{th}$  largest element of A' (and the same for B and smallest elements),  $\operatorname{Crossing}(A, B)$  similarly returns the right answer. This completes our proof.

Alternative Solution: Let x be the  $n^{th}$  largest element of the concatenation of A and B. Then k is the number of elements of A that are at least x.

This can clearly be computed in O(n) time using the linear time order statistics algorithm. To show correctness, note that the n largest elements of  $A \cup B$  will consist of the k largest elements of A and the (n-k) largest elements of B. This means that the k smallest elements of B will all be in the bottom half, meaning the  $k^{th}$  largest element of A is at least the  $k^{th}$  smallest element of B. However, the  $(k+1)^{st}$  smallest element of B will be at least B while the B will be less than B.