MATHFUN Lecture FP3 Pattern Matching and Recursion

Matthew Poole moodle.port.ac.uk

School of Computing University of Portsmouth

2014/15

Introduction to Lecture

- The main aim of this lecture is to introduce two ideas fundamental to functional programming: pattern matching and recursion.
- We start, however, by taking a quick look at:
 - modules in Haskell;
 - the difference between functions and operators, and
 - how they can be used interchangeably.

Introduction to Lecture

- The main aim of this lecture is to introduce two ideas fundamental to functional programming: pattern matching and recursion.
- We start, however, by taking a quick look at:
 - modules in Haskell;
 - the difference between functions and operators, and
 - how they can be used interchangeably.
- We then introduce the basics of Haskell's pattern matching mechanism for defining functions.
- Later, we introduce recursive function definitions.
- In later lectures we'll see how many functions are written using recursion on lists.

• As in Python, large programs are made up of modules, and there exist many module libraries (e.g. for networking, graphics, etc.).

- As in Python, large programs are made up of modules, and there exist many module libraries (e.g. for networking, graphics, etc.).
- To use definitions contained in a module, we need to **import** it.
- E.g., to be able to use the functions from the Data. Char module (for processing characters), we use the import declaration:

import Data.Char

- As in Python, large programs are made up of modules, and there exist many module libraries (e.g. for networking, graphics, etc.).
- To use definitions contained in a module, we need to **import** it.
- E.g., to be able to use the functions from the Data. Char module (for processing characters), we use the import declaration:

```
import Data.Char
```

• If we only wanted to import the functions toUpper and toLower, this would become:

```
import Data.Char (toUpper, toLower)
```

- As in Python, large programs are made up of modules, and there exist many module libraries (e.g. for networking, graphics, etc.).
- To use definitions contained in a module, we need to **import** it.
- E.g., to be able to use the functions from the Data. Char module (for processing characters), we use the import declaration:

```
import Data.Char
```

• If we only wanted to import the functions toUpper and toLower, this would become:

```
import Data.Char (toUpper, toLower)
```

- There is also a **standard prelude**; a special module which:
 - includes definitions of commonly used types and functions; and
 - is implicitly imported into every other module.

Functions and operators

- Haskell includes:
 - functions (e.g. sqrt, mod) which are used with prefix notation (e.g. mod n 2);
 - operators (+, -, **, etc.) which are used with infix notation (e.g. 1 + x). There is also one prefix operator (unary minus).
- We can use any binary (two-argument) function as an operator by surrounding it with back-quotes; for example:

```
n `mod` 2 is equivalent to mod n 2
```

Functions and operators

- Haskell includes:
 - functions (e.g. sqrt, mod) which are used with prefix notation (e.g. mod n 2);
 - operators (+, -, **, etc.) which are used with infix notation (e.g. 1 + x). There is also one prefix operator (unary minus).
- We can use any binary (two-argument) function as an operator by surrounding it with back-quotes; for example:

```
n `mod` 2 is equivalent to mod n 2
```

• Similarly, we can use an operator as a function by using ()'s:

```
(+) 1 x is equivalent to 1 + x
```

Functions and operators

- Haskell includes:
 - functions (e.g. sqrt, mod) which are used with prefix notation (e.g. mod n 2);
 - operators (+, -, **, etc.) which are used with infix notation (e.g. 1 + x). There is also one prefix operator (unary minus).
- We can use any binary (two-argument) function as an operator by surrounding it with back-quotes; for example:

```
n `mod` 2 is equivalent to mod n 2
```

• Similarly, we can use an operator as a function by using ()'s:

```
(+) 1 x is equivalent to 1 + x
```

• Parentheses are needed to find the type of an operator; e.g.:

```
ghci> :t (+)
(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> a
```



- We have seen two ways of defining functions so far:
 - using single expressions (e.g. square n = n * n); and
 - using guards.

- We have seen two ways of defining functions so far:
 - using single expressions (e.g. square n = n * n); and
 - using guards.
- Many functions are instead given a simple and intuitive definition using pattern matching.
- E.g., the Boolean function not is defined (in the prelude), by:

```
not :: Bool -> Bool
not True = False
not False = True
```

- We have seen two ways of defining functions so far:
 - using single expressions (e.g. square n = n * n); and
 - using guards.
- Many functions are instead given a simple and intuitive definition using **pattern matching**.
- E.g., the Boolean function not is defined (in the prelude), by:

```
not :: Bool -> Bool
not True = False
not False = True
```

 This definition consists of a sequence of patterns, with each one associated with a different result.

- We have seen two ways of defining functions so far:
 - using single expressions (e.g. square n = n * n); and
 - using guards.
- Many functions are instead given a simple and intuitive definition using **pattern matching**.
- E.g., the Boolean function not is defined (in the prelude), by:

```
not :: Bool -> Bool
not True = False
not False = True
```

- This definition consists of a sequence of patterns, with each one associated with a different result.
- For a given expression (e.g. not p), if the first pattern is matched (i.e. p is True), then the first result is chosen.
- Otherwise, the second pattern is tried, and so on.

• Let's investigate pattern matching in a little more detail, by defining for ourselves the Boolean **or** operator ||.

- Let's investigate pattern matching in a little more detail, by defining for ourselves the Boolean **or** operator ||.
- This operator is defined in the prelude; we first "hide" it by explicitly importing all prelude definitions **except** | |:

```
import Prelude hiding ((||))
```

- Let's investigate pattern matching in a little more detail, by defining for ourselves the Boolean or operator | |.
- This operator is defined in the prelude; we first "hide" it by explicitly importing all prelude definitions except | |:

```
import Prelude hiding ((||))
```

Now, a simple but naïve way of defining | | is:

```
(||) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
True || True = True
False || True = True
True || False = True
False || False = False
```

 This can be simplified by combining the first three (True) cases into one, using the wildcard pattern _ which matches any value:

```
(||) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool

False || False = False

_ || _ = True
```

 This can be simplified by combining the first three (True) cases into one, using the wildcard pattern _ which matches any value:

```
(||) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
False || False = False
_ || _ = True
```

 Another alternative is the following which uses both a wildcard and a named parameter:

```
(||) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
True || _ = True
False || a = a
```

• Question: Can the final pattern be shortened?

Recursion

- A recursive definition is one that is defined in terms of itself.
- Most of you will have seen recursion used during earlier units as an alternative to iteration (while/for loops).

Recursion

- A recursive definition is one that is defined in terms of itself.
- Most of you will have seen recursion used during earlier units as an alternative to iteration (while/for loops).
- While and for loops are clearly imperative constructs: they are commands that operate on a program's state.
- Pure functional programming therefore cannot involve loops, and so recursion is fundamental to the functional paradigm.

Recursion

- A recursive definition is one that is defined in terms of itself.
- Most of you will have seen recursion used during earlier units as an alternative to iteration (while/for loops).
- While and for loops are clearly **imperative** constructs: they are commands that operate on a program's state.
- Pure functional programming therefore cannot involve loops, and so recursion is fundamental to the functional paradigm.
- We'll see recursion used heavily for most of the remainder of this section of the unit, particularly when using **lists**.
- We'll review the concept of recursion using a standard example, and then briefly see a couple of extra recursive definitions.

 Consider the definition of the **factorial** of a positive integer n, written either as n! or fact(n):

$$fact(n) = n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \times \cdots \times 2 \times 1$$

• Also, by convention, fact(0) = 1.

 Consider the definition of the factorial of a positive integer n, written either as n! or fact(n):

$$fact(n) = n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \times \cdots \times 2 \times 1$$

- Also, by convention, fact(0) = 1.
- So, for example:

$$fact(1) = 1$$

 $fact(2) = 2 \times 1 = 2$
 $fact(3) = 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 6$

 Consider the definition of the factorial of a positive integer n, written either as n! or fact(n):

$$fact(n) = n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \times \cdots \times 2 \times 1$$

- Also, by convention, fact(0) = 1.
- So, for example:

$$fact(1) = 1$$

 $fact(2) = 2 \times 1 = 2$
 $fact(3) = 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 6$

- We note that the factorial of a number n > 0 can be defined in terms of the factorial of n 1, e.g., $fact(4) = 4 \times fact(3)$.
- In general, for n > 0, $fact(n) = n \times fact(n-1)$.

• This leads to the following **recursive** function definition:

This leads to the following recursive function definition:

 Notice that this definition, although perfectly correct, will fail for (illegal) negative integers (since no guard will be true).

This leads to the following recursive function definition:

- Notice that this definition, although perfectly correct, will fail for (illegal) negative integers (since no guard will be true).
- We can add an otherwise guard to trigger a nice error message:

fact 2

 $\frac{\text{fact 2}}{??} \quad \underline{2 > 0}$

first guard

 $\frac{\text{fact 2}}{??} \quad \frac{2 > 0}{??} \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{True}$

first guard
def of >

```
\begin{array}{lll} \underline{fact \ 2} \\ ?? & \underline{2 > 0} \\ ?? & \leadsto \ True \\ & \leadsto \ 2 * \underline{fact \ (2 - 1)} \\ \end{array} \qquad \qquad \underbrace{\begin{array}{lll} first \ guard}_{} \\ def \ of \ > \\ \\ def \ of \ fact \\ \end{array}}_{}
```

fact 2	
?? 2 > 0	first guard
?? → True	def of >
\rightarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)	def of fact
?? $2 - 1 > 0$	first guard

<u>fac</u>	ct 2
??	2 > 0
??	<pre></pre>
~→	2 * <u>fact (2 - 1)</u>
??	2 - 1 > 0
??	√ 1 > 0

```
first guard
def of >
def of fact
first guard
arithmetic
```

```
first guard
def of >
def of fact
first guard
arithmetic
def of >
```

```
fact 2
?? 2 > 0
                                      first guard
?? ~> True
                                      def of >
\rightarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)
                                      def of fact
?? 2 - 1 > 0
                                      first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 1 > 0
                                      arithmetic
?? ~> True
                                      def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1))
                                      def of fact
?? 1 - 1 > 0
                                      first guard
```

```
fact 2
?? 2 > 0
                                       first guard
?? ~> True
                                       def of >
\rightarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)
                                       def of fact
?? 2 - 1 > 0
                                       first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 1 > 0
                                       arithmetic
?? ~> True
                                       def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1))
                                       def of fact
?? 1 - 1 > 0
                                       first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 0 > 0
                                       arithmetic
```

```
fact 2
?? 2 > 0
                                         first guard
?? ~> True
                                         def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)
                                         def of fact
?? 2 - 1 > 0
                                         first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 1 > 0
                                         arithmetic
?? ~> True
                                         def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1))
                                         def of fact
?? 1 - 1 > 0
                                         first guard
                                         arithmetic
?? \rightsquigarrow 0 > 0
?? \rightsquigarrow False
                                         def of >
```

```
fact 2
?? 2 > 0
                                        first guard
?? ~> True
                                        def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)
                                        def of fact
?? 2 - 1 > 0
                                        first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 1 > 0
                                        arithmetic
?? ~> True
                                        def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1))
                                        def of fact
?? 1 - 1 > 0
                                        first guard
                                        arithmetic
?? \rightsquigarrow 0 > 0
?? \rightsquigarrow False
                                        def of >
?? 0 == 0
                                         second guard
```

```
fact 2
?? 2 > 0
                                        first guard
?? ~> True
                                        def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)
                                        def of fact
?? 2 - 1 > 0
                                        first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 1 > 0
                                        arithmetic
?? ~> True
                                        def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1))
                                        def of fact
?? 1 - 1 > 0
                                        first guard
                                        arithmetic
?? \rightsquigarrow 0 > 0
?? \rightsquigarrow False
                                        def of >
?? 0 == 0
                                        second guard
?? 
→ True
                                        def of ==
```

```
fact 2
?? 2 > 0
                                      first guard
?? ~> True
                                      def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)
                                      def of fact
?? 2 - 1 > 0
                                      first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 1 > 0
                                      arithmetic
?? ~> True
                                      def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1))
                                      def of fact
?? 1 - 1 > 0
                                      first guard
                                      arithmetic
?? \rightsquigarrow 0 > 0
?? \rightsquigarrow False
                                      def of >
?? 0 == 0
                                      second guard
?? 
→ True
                                      def of ==
def of fact
```

```
fact 2
?? 2 > 0
                                  first guard
?? ~> True
                                  def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)
                                  def of fact
?? 2 - 1 > 0
                                  first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 1 > 0
                                  arithmetic
?? ~> True
                                  def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1))
                                  def of fact
?? 1 - 1 > 0
                                  first guard
                                  arithmetic
?? \rightsquigarrow 0 > 0
def of >
?? 0 == 0
                                  second guard
?? → True
                                  def of ==
def of fact
arithmetic
```

```
fact 2
?? 2 > 0
                                      first guard
?? ~> True
                                      def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * fact (2 - 1)
                                      def of fact
?? 2 - 1 > 0
                                      first guard
?? \rightsquigarrow 1 > 0
                                      arithmetic
?? ~> True
                                      def of >
\rightsquigarrow 2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1))
                                      def of fact
?? 1 - 1 > 0
                                      first guard
                                      arithmetic
?? \rightsquigarrow 0 > 0
?? \rightsquigarrow False
                                      def of >
?? 0 == 0
                                      second guard
?? 
→ True
                                      def of ==
def of fact
arithmetic
\rightsquigarrow 2
                                      arithmetic
```

Primitive versus general recursion

- The definition of fact is known as a primitive recursive definition; that is:
 - the base case considers the parameter value 0;
 - the **recursive case** considers how to get from value n-1 to n.

Primitive versus general recursion

- The definition of fact is known as a primitive recursive definition;
 that is:
 - the **base case** considers the parameter value 0;
 - the **recursive case** considers how to get from value n-1 to n.
- Here is another example of a primitive recursion definition (with two parameters) that defines multiplication in terms of addition:

• (This definition only works for non-negative values of n.)

Primitive versus general recursion

- The definition of fact is known as a primitive recursive definition; that is:
 - the base case considers the parameter value 0;
 - the **recursive case** considers how to get from value n-1 to n.
- Here is another example of a primitive recursion definition (with two parameters) that defines multiplication in terms of addition:

- (This definition only works for non-negative values of n.)
- As an example of recursion that doesn't follow this pattern, consider an integer division function divide ...

• Consider the following integer division:

divide $53\ 10 = 5$

Consider the following integer division:

```
divide 53\ 10 = 5
```

• If we subtract the divisor (10) from the number being divided (53) we get a division where the result is one less:

```
divide 43\ 10 = 4
```

Consider the following integer division:

```
divide 53\ 10 = 5
```

• If we subtract the divisor (10) from the number being divided (53) we get a division where the result is one less:

divide
$$43\ 10 = 4$$

 If we continue, we'll get to a base case where the divided number is smaller than the divisor, and the result is 0:

```
divide 3\ 10 = 0
```

Consider the following integer division:

```
divide 53\ 10 = 5
```

• If we subtract the divisor (10) from the number being divided (53) we get a division where the result is one less:

divide
$$43\ 10 = 4$$

 If we continue, we'll get to a base case where the divided number is smaller than the divisor, and the result is 0:

divide
$$3\ 10 = 0$$

• This leads to the following recursive function definition: