1 Question 1

The given missing data mechanism is that Y_i is missing with probability

$$P(R_i = 1|Y, Z) = \frac{\exp(Z_i)}{1 + \exp(Z_i)},$$

Where $R_i = 1$ indicates that Y_i is missing. This is dependent only on Z, so may be rewritten as

$$P(R_i = 1|Z) = \frac{\exp(Z_i)}{1 + \exp(Z_i)}.$$

This demonstrates that the data is MCAR with respect to Y, as the missing data mechanism is independent of our data and the variable upon which the missingness is dependent is unobserved. This satisfies the prerequisites of an MCAR mechanism.

If we are interested in (Y, Z) then our missingness mechanism is MNAR. It is not MCAR as there is dependence on a variable in the data. It is not MAR as the variable upon which it depends has missing values (in fact all of the values are missing.) Hence it falls into the class of a missing not at random (MNAR) mechanism.

2 Question 2

The code used for these analyses is available at https://github.com/AndrewTijua/IDA.

Complete Case Analysis

Performing the complete case analysis we obtain

\bar{y}	19.27273
se(y)	2.603013
$\log \log \sim \text{recovtime}$	0.23912558
bloodp \sim recovtime	-0.01952862

Mean Imputation

Performing mean imputation we obtain

\bar{y}	19.27273
se(y)	2.28413
$\log \log \sim \text{recovtime}$	0.21506117
bloodp \sim recovtime	-0.01934126

Regression Imputation

Performing regression imputation we obtain

$ar{y}$	19.44428
se(y)	2.312845
$\log dose \sim recovtime$	0.2801835
bloodp \sim recovtime	-0.0111364

Stochastic Regression Imputation

We note that the recovery time cannot be less than 0, so we must resample from our distribution if this occurs.

We use the command set.seed(1) to get reproducible results. Using this we obtain

\bar{y}	19.75397
se(y)	2.60018
$\log dose \sim recovtime$	0.24563681
bloodp \sim recovtime	-0.04772682

Predictive Mean Matching

Performing predictive mean matching we obtain

\bar{y}	19.44
se(y)	2.464467
$\log dose \sim recovtime$	0.30379446
bloodp \sim recovtime	-0.03208685

An advantage of predictive mean matching is that the imputed value is a definite possible value of the data. There are methods that work with categorical data, of which there are not any in the same vein as stochastic regression.

Some problems with predictive mean matching is that there is no mathematical theory justifying it. There is no concrete mathematical way of showing that it is valid in a given situation. It relies on MC simulation, which is inherently flawed in that it cannot explore all possibilities. Another is that the method implemented here chooses the nearest neighbour. The more accepted version randomly selects from k nearest neighbours. This is not enough to produce proper imputation, leading to artificially low standard errors and inflated test statistics (in our case the Pearson correlation).