

Vision and Scope

The vision and scope document looks good, has a good vision statement. The scope, major features and business context are described perfectly. The problem is however in the context diagram. The context diagram is unfortunately cropped out (probably due to pdf print) and is not readable at all. Given that the lower diagram that describes the communication between the technical components is done very well, you do understand the idea of the modelling.

Requirements management plan

- The introduction to the document was clear, but it did not mention for which project the plan was made.
- Requirements process roles and responsibilities were well defined. There were plenty of roles described each of which seemed relevant to the project. Also, direct users were divided into designated groups, and their responsibilities were explained accordingly, well done.
- Elicitation plan seems appropriate for the project. The elicitation activities, their implementation and participants are clearly defined.
- Plenty of modelling activities are described and they seem appropriate for the project. There is a lot of repetition in the comments of different modelling activities explaining that this model is “Based on the interviews and related requirements ...”. That could have been explained on the comment column next to “modelling activities” to avoid repetition.
- Requirement prioritization method has been agreed. The requirements are clearly prioritized into different ranks, which are explained in more detail. In addition, there is an application change request form in the document, which has a field for the priority of the requirement. The prioritization process seems clear.

Use case document

- Outstanding work describing each use case, its objective, the preconditions and postconditions as a result of each use case, what triggers it to take place and how the use case may vary through alternative flows. Each step of the use case is especially well referenced, connected to other steps of the same or other use cases, giving a clear read threat to the whole document. Great job.

SRS

- UI prototypes rather part of design specification? (Not an issue)
- The requirements are very well defined and structured

Sustainability document

1. Introduction

- The introduction explains clearly the content and purpose of the document as well as the sustainability perspective of requirements engineering.

2. Framework for Sustainability of Software System Design (FSSSD)

- The FSSSD Is done well as well as the previous documents. The definitions are good and specified for the project, but we would think that the sustainability goals and methods could have had a bit more specific tools and goals and explanations on why those tools were selected for this specific project.

3. Goal Model

- The goal model is well done. Each goal is clear and seems reasonable to the project and the goal model.
- Most of the goals are connected to many other goals at higher goal levels and the connections are clear. Also, the sustainability dimensions of the goals are well defined.
- The top goal could have been more specific than just the name of the application.

4. Sustainability Requirement Template

- Sensible connections between requirements and sustainability dimensions and well thought explanations

5. Requirements Sustainability Analysis Radar Chart

- Excellent job with the analysis radar chart, especially since you are not afraid to describe the negative outcome that can also appear with the use of your platform. The social dimension might be a bit contradictive as it is stated that the use of the app increased work satisfaction and creates a friendlier atmosphere, but it is also stated that it will divide the use case and reduce the contact between employees, which seems counterintuitive.