NOTES ON UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDING t(N)

TERENCE TAO

1. Basics

The symbol *p* will always denote a prime. The primes 2, 3 will play a special role here and will be referred to as *tiny primes*.

We use $v_p(a/b) = v_p(a) - v_p(b)$ to denote the *p*-adic valuation of a positive natural number a/b, that is to say the number of times *p* divides the numerator *a*, minus the number of times *p* divides the denominator *b*. For instance, $v_2(32/27) = 5$ and $v_3(32/27) = -3$. If one applies a logarithm to the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, one obtains the identity

$$\sum_{p} v_{p}(r) \log p = \log r \tag{1.1}$$

for any positive rational r.

Asymptotically, it is known that

$$\frac{1}{e} - \frac{O(1)}{\log N} \le \frac{t(N)}{N} \le \frac{1}{e} - \frac{c_0 + o(1)}{\log N}$$

where

$$c_0 := \frac{1}{e} \int_0^1 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor \log \left(ex \left\lceil \frac{1}{ex} \right\rceil \right) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{e} \int_1^\infty \lfloor y \rfloor \log \frac{\lceil y/e \rceil}{y/e} \frac{dy}{y^2}$$
$$= 0.3044 \dots$$

We recall Legendre's formula

$$v_p(N!) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^j} \right\rfloor = \frac{N - s_p(N)}{p - 1}.$$
 (1.2)

To bound the factorial, we have the explicit Stirling approximation [4]

$$N\log N - N + \log \sqrt{2\pi N} + \frac{1}{12N+1} \le \log N! \le N\log N - N + \log \sqrt{2\pi N} + \frac{1}{12N}, \quad (1.3)$$
 valid for all natural numbers N .

To estimate the prime counting function, we have the following good asymptotics up to a large height.

Theorem 1.1 (Buthe's bounds). [1] For any $2 \le x \le 10^{19}$, we have

$$li(x) - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x} \left(1.95 + \frac{3.9}{\log x} + \frac{19.5}{\log^2 x} \right) \le \pi(x) < li(x)$$

and

$$\operatorname{li}(x) - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x} \le \pi^*(x) < \operatorname{li}(x) + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}.$$

For $x > 10^{19}$ we have the bounds of Dusart [2]. One such bound is

$$|\psi(x) - x| \le 59.18 \frac{x}{\log^4 x}.$$

2. Criteria for upper bounding t(N)

We have the trivial upper bound $t(N) \le (N!)^{1/N}$. This can be improved to $t(N) \le N/e$ for $N \ne 1, 2, 4$, answering a conjecture of Guy and Selfridge [3]; see [5]. This was derived from the following slightly stronger criterion, which asymptotically gives $\frac{t(N)}{N} \le \frac{1}{e} - \frac{c_0 + o(1)}{\log N}$:

Lemma 2.1 (Upper bound criterion). [5, Lemma 2.1] Suppose that $1 \le t \le N$ are such that

$$\sum_{p > \frac{t}{|\sqrt{t}|}} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \right\rfloor \log \left(\frac{p}{t} \left\lceil \frac{t}{p} \right\rceil \right) > \log N! - N \log t \tag{2.1}$$

Then t(N) < t.

A surprisingly sharp upper bound comes from linear programming.

Lemma 2.2 (Linear programming bound). Let N be an natural number and $1 \le t \le N/2$. Suppose for each prime $p \le N$, one has a non-negative real number w_p which is weakly non-decreasing in p (thus $w_p \le w_{p'}$ when $p \le p'$), and such that

$$\sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(j) \ge 1 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $t \leq j \leq N$, and such that

$$\sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(N!) < N. \tag{2.3}$$

Then t(N) < t.

Proof. We first observe that the bound (2.2) in fact holds for all $j \ge t$, not just for $t \le j \le N$. Indeed, if this were not the case, consider the first $j \ge t$ where (2.2) fails. Take a prime p dividing j and replace it by a prime in the interval $\lfloor p/2, p \rfloor$ which exists by Bertrand's postulate (or remove p entirely, if p = 2); this creates a new j' in $\lfloor j/2, j \rfloor$ which is still at least t. By the weakly decerasing hypothesis on w_p , we have

$$\sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(j) \ge \sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(j')$$

and hence by the minimality of j we have

$$\sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(j) > 1,$$

a contradiction.

Now suppose for contradiction that $t(N) \ge t$, thus we have a factorization $N! = \prod_{j \ge t} j^{m_j}$ for some natural numbers m_j summing to N. Taking p-valuations, we conclude that

$$\sum_{j \ge t} m_j \nu_p(j) \le \nu_p(N!)$$

for all $p \leq N$. Multiplying by w_p and summing, we conclude from (2.2) that

$$N = \sum_{j \geq t} m_j \leq \sum_p w_p v_p(N!),$$

contradicting (2.3).

This bound is sharp for all $N \le 600$, with the exception of N = 155, where it gives the upper bound $t(155) \le 46$. A more precise integer program gives t(155) = 45.

3. A GENERAL FACTORIZATION ALGORITHM

In this section we present and then analyze an algorithm that, when given parameters $1 \le t \le N$, will attempt to construct a factorization $N! = \prod \mathcal{B}$ of N! by a finite multiset \mathcal{B} of N elements that are all at least t. The algorithm will not always succeed, but when it does, it will certify that $t(N) \ge t$.

3.1. **Notational preliminaries.** We begin with some key definitions.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_M\}$ be a finite multiset of natural numbers (thus each natural number may appear in \mathcal{B} multiple times); the ordering of elements in the multiset will not be of relevance to us. The *cardinality* $|\mathcal{B}| = M$ of the multiset is the number of elements counting multiplicity; for example,

$$|\{2,2,3\}| = 3.$$

The *product* $\prod \mathcal{B}$ of the finite multiset is defined by $\prod \mathcal{B} := \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} b$, where we count for multiplicity; for example

$$\prod \{2, 2, 3\} = 12.$$

The tuple \mathcal{B} is a factorization of a natural number M if $\mathcal{B} = M$, and a subfactorization if $\mathcal{B}|M$. For example, $\{2,2,3\}$ is a factorization of 12 and a subfactorization of 24.

By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic (or (1.1)), we see that a finite multiset \mathcal{B} is a factorization of M if and only if

$$v_p(M/\prod \mathcal{B})=0$$

for all primes p, and a subfactorization if and only if

$$v_p(M/\prod B) \ge 0$$

for all primes p. We refer to $v_p(M/\prod B)$ as the p-surplus of B (as an attempted factorization) of M at prime p, and $-v_p(M/\prod B) = v_p(\prod B/M)$ as the p-deficit, and say that the factorization is p-balanced if $v_p(M/\prod B) = 0$. Thus a subfactorization (resp. factorization) occurs when one has non-negative surpluses (resp. balance) at all primes p.

Example 3.1. Suppose one wishes to factorize $5! = 2^3 \times 3 \times 5$. The attempted factorization $\mathcal{B} := \{3, 4, 5, 5\}$ has a 2-surplus of $v_2(5!/\prod \mathcal{B}) = 1$, is in balance at 3, and has a 5-deficit of $v_2(\prod \mathcal{B}/5!) = 1$, so it is not a factorization or subfactorization of 5!. However, if one replaces one of the copies of 5 in \mathcal{B} with a 2, this erases both the 2-surplus and the 5-deficit, and creates a factorization $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ of 5!.

A finite multiset \mathcal{B} is said to be *t-admissible* for some t > 0 if $b \ge t$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Then t(N) is largest quantity such that there exists a t(N)-admissible factorization of N! of cardinality N.

Call a natural number 3-smooth if it is of the form $2^n 3^m$ for some natural numbers n, m. Given a positive real number x, we use $\lceil x \rceil^{(2,3)}$ to denote the smallest 3-smooth number greater than or equal to x. For instance, $\lceil 5 \rceil^{(2,3)} = 6$ and $\lceil 10 \rceil^{(2,3)} = 12$.

- 3.2. **Description of algorithm.** We now describe an algorithm that, for given $1 \le t \le N$, either successfully demonstrates that $t(N) \ge t$, or halts with an error.
 - (1) Select a natural number A and another parameter $1 \le K \le t$. There is some freedom to select parameters here, but generally speaking one would like to have $\log N \ll A \ll K \ll \sqrt{N}$.
 - (2) Let I denote the elements of the interval [t, t(1+3/A)] that are coprime to 6. Let $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ be the elements of I, each occurring with multiplicity A. This multiset is t-admissible, and $\prod \mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ is not divisible by tiny primes 2, 3. (It will however have has approximately the right number of primes for 3 , though it may have quite different prime factorization at primes <math>p > t/K.)
 - (3) Remove any element from $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ that contains a prime factor p with p > t/K, and call this new multiset $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}$. It remains t-admissible with no tiny prime factors.
 - (4) For each p > t/K, add in $v_p(N!)$ copies of the number $p\lceil t/p \rceil$ to $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}$, and call this new multiset $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$. (A variant of the method: add in $p\lceil t/p \rceil^{(2,3)}$ instead. This is slightly less efficient, but slightly easier to analyze.) Now $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$ is t-admissible and in balance at all primes p > t/K, but will typically be in a slight deficit at primes 3 , particularly in the range <math>3 . (It will now also contain a few tiny prime factors, but will generally still have a large surplus at those primes.)
 - (5) For each prime $3 at which there is a surplus <math>v_p(N!/\prod B) > 0$, replace $v_p(N!/\prod B)$ copies of p in $B^{(3)}$ with $\lceil p \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle}$ instead, and call this new multiset $B^{(4)}$. Thus $B^{(4)}$ has no surplus at primes 3 (and is still <math>t-admissible and in balance for p > t/K).

- (6) For the primes $3 at which there is a deficit <math>v_p(\prod B/N!) > 0$, multiply all these primes together, and use the greedy algorithm to group them into factors x_1, \ldots, x_M in the range $(\sqrt{t/K}, t/K]$, together with possibly one exceptional factor x_* in the range (1, t/K]. For each of these factors x_i or x_* , add the quantity $x_i \lceil t/x_i \rceil^{\langle 2, 3 \rangle}$ or $x_* \lceil t/x_* \rceil^{\langle 2, 3 \rangle}$ to $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$, and call this new multiset $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$.
- (7) By construction, $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ is *t*-admissible and will be in balance at all primes p > 3, and is thus $N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ is of the form $2^n 3^m$ for some integers n, m. If at least one of n, m is negative, then HALT the algorithm with an error. Otherwise, $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ is a subfactorization of N!, and we continue on to Step 8.
- (8) Select a 3-smooth number $2^{n_1}3^{m_1}$ greater than equal to t with $n_1/m_1 \le n/m$ (which one can interpret as $n_1m \le nm_1$ in case some of the denominators here vanish), and similarly select a 3-smooth number $2^{n_2}3^{m_2}$ greater than or equal to t with $n_2/m_2 \ge n/m$. (It is reasonable to select the smallest such 3-smooth numbers in both cases, although this is not absolutely necessary for the algorithm to be successful.) By construction, we can express (n, m) as a positive linear combination $\alpha_1(n_1, m_1) + \alpha_2(n_2, m_2)$ of (n_1, m_1) and (n_2, m_2) . Add $\lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor$ copies of $2^{n_1}3^{m_1}$ and $\lfloor \alpha_2 \rfloor$ copies of $2^{n_2}3^{m_2}$ to $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$, and call this tuple $\mathcal{B}^{(6)}$. (This will largely eliminate the surplus at 2 and 3.)
- (9) If the multiset $\mathcal{B}^{(6)}$ has cardinality less than N, HALT the algorithm with an error. Otherwise, delete elements from $\mathcal{B}^{(6)}$ to bring the cardinality to N, and arbitrarily distribute any surplus primes to one of the remaining elements, and call the resulting multiset $\mathcal{B}^{(7)}$. By construction, $\mathcal{B}^{(7)}$ is a t-admissible factorization of N! into N numbers, demonstrating that $t(N) \geq t$.
- 3.3. **Analysis of Step 9.** We now analyze the above algorithm, starting from the final step (9) and working backwards to (1), to establish sufficient conditions for the algorithm to successfully demonstrate that $t(N) \ge t$.

It will be convenient to introduce the following notation. For $a_+, a_- \in [0, +\infty]$, we define the asymmetric norm $|x|_{a_+,a_-}$ of a real number x by the formula

$$|x|_{a_+,a_-} := \begin{cases} a_+|x| & x \ge 0 \\ a_-|x| & x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

If a_+ , a_- are finite, this function is Lipschitz with constant $\max(a_+, a_-)$. One can think of a_+ as the "cost" of making x positive, and a_- as the "cost" of making x negative.

We now begin the analysis of Step 9. This procedure will terminate successfully as long as the length $|\mathcal{B}^{(6)}|$ of the tuple is at least N. To ensure this, we introduce the *t-excess* of a multiset \mathcal{B} by the formula

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}) := \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \log \frac{b}{t} = \log \prod \mathcal{B} - |\mathcal{B}| \log t.$$

Thus, to ensure the success of this step, it suffices to establish the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(6)}) \leq \log \prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)} - N \log t.$$

From (1.1) we have

$$\log \prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)} = \log N! - \sum_{p} \nu_{p} \left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)}} \right) \log p,$$

so we can rewrite the previous condition (using the fact that $\mathcal{B}^{(6)}$ is a subfactorization of N!) as

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(6)}) + \sum_{p} \left| v_{p} \left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)}} \right) \right|_{\log p, \infty} \leq \log N! - N \log t.$$

If we assume that $t = N/e^{1+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, we can use the Stirling approximation (1.3) to reduce to the sufficient condition

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(6)}) + \sum_{p} \left| v_{p} \left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)}} \right) \right|_{\log p, \infty} \le \delta N + \log \sqrt{2\pi N}. \tag{3.1}$$

3.4. Analysis of Steps 7, 8. For Steps 7, 8, we will perform a slight modification of the algorithm that is potentially a little weaker, but is easier to analyze. For any $L \ge 1$, let κ_L be the least quantity such that

$$x \le \lceil x \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} \le \exp(\kappa_L) x \tag{3.2}$$

holds for all $x \ge L$. Just from considering the powers of two, we have the trivial upper bound

$$\kappa_L \le \log 2. \tag{3.3}$$

We shall obtain better estimates on this quantity in Section ???. For now we use this quantity to help achieve efficient subfactorizations of 3-smooth numbers, as follows.

Lemma 3.2. Let $L \ge 1$. Let t > 3L and let $2^n 3^m$ be a 3-smooth number obeying the conditions

$$\frac{\log(3L) + \kappa}{\log t - \log(3L)} \le \frac{n \log 2}{m \log 3} \le \frac{\log t - \log(2L)}{\log(2L) + \kappa}.$$
(3.4)

Then one can find a t-admissible subfactorization \mathcal{B} of 2^n3^m such that

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}) \le \kappa_L \frac{n \log 2 + m \log 3}{\log t} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$|\nu_2(2^n 3^m / \mathcal{B})|_{\log 2, \infty} + |\nu_3(2^n 3^m / \mathcal{B})|_{\log 3, \infty} \le 2(\log t + \kappa_L). \tag{3.6}$$

In practice, $\log t$ will be significantly larger than $\log(2L)$ or $\log(3L)$, and so the hypothesis (3.4) will be quite mild, as long as n and m are both reasonably large.

Proof. Let 2^{n_0} , 3^{m_0} be the largest powers of 2 and 3 less than or equal to t/L respectively, thus

$$L \le \frac{t}{2^{n_0}} \le 2L \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$L \le \frac{t}{3^{m_0}} \le 3L. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.2), the 3-smooth numbers $\lceil t/2^{n_0} \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_1}3^{m_1}$, $\lceil t/3^{m_0} \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_2}3^{m_2}$ obey the estimates

$$\frac{t}{2^{n_0}} \le 2^{n_1} 3^{m_1} \le e^{\kappa} \frac{t}{2^{n_0}} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\frac{t}{3^{m_0}} \le 2^{n_2} 3^{m_2} \le e^{\kappa} \frac{t}{3^{m_0}},\tag{3.10}$$

or equivalently

$$t \le 2^{n_0 + n_1} 3^{m_1}, 2^{n_2} 3^{m_0 + m_2} \le e^{\kappa} t. \tag{3.11}$$

We can use (3.7), (3.9) to bound

$$\frac{n_0 + n_1}{m_1} \ge \frac{n_0}{\log(e^{\kappa} \frac{t}{2^{n_0}}) / \log 3}$$

$$\ge \frac{(\log t - \log(2L)) / \log 2}{(\log(3L) + \kappa) / \log 3}$$

(with the convention that this bound is vacuously true for $m_1 = 0$). Similarly, from (3.8), (3.10) we have

$$\frac{n_2}{m_0 + m_2} \le \frac{\log(e^{\kappa} \frac{t}{3^{m_0}}) / \log 2}{m_0}$$

$$\le \frac{(\log(2L) + \kappa) / \log 2}{(\log t - \log(3L)) / \log 3}$$

and hence by (3.4)

$$\frac{n_2}{m_0 + m_2} \le \frac{n}{m} \le \frac{n_0 + n_1}{m_1}. (3.12)$$

Thus we can write (n, m) as a non-negative linear combination

$$(n, m) = \alpha_1(n_0 + n_1, m_1) + \alpha_2(n_2, m_0 + m_2)$$

for some real $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$. We now take our subfactorization \mathcal{B} to consist of $\lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor$ copies of the 3-smooth number $2^{n_0+n_1}3^{m_1}$ and $\lfloor \alpha_2 \rfloor$ copies of the 3-smooth number $2^{n_2}3^{m_0+m_2}$. By (3.11), each term $2^{n'}3^{m'}$ here is admissible and contributes an excess of at most κ , which is in turn bounded by $\frac{\kappa}{\log t}(n'\log 2 + m'\log 3)$. Adding these bounds together, we obtain (3.5).

The expression $2^n 3^m / \prod \mathcal{B}$ contains at most $n_0 + n_1 + n_2$ factors of 2 and at most $m_0 + m_2 + m_1$ factors of 3, hence

$$v_2(2^n 3^m / \prod \mathcal{B}) \log 2 + v_3(2^n 3^m / \prod \mathcal{B}) \log 3 \le \log 2^{n_0 + n_1} 3^{m_1} + \log 2^{n_2} 3^{m_0 + m_2},$$
 and the bound (3.6) follows.

We now use this lemma to analyze Steps 7, 8 as follows.

Proposition 3.3. Let $L \ge 1$. Let $3L < t = N/e^{1+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, and let $1 \le K \le t$ and $A \ge 1$. Suppose that the above algorithm with the indicated parameters reaches the end of Step 6 with a multiset $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ obeying the following hypotheses:

(i) (Small excess and surplus at non-tiny primes)

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(5)}) + \sum_{p>3} \left| v_{p} \left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)}} \right) \right|_{\log p, \infty} \leq \delta N + \log \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{3}{2} \log N - \kappa_{L} (\log \sqrt{12}) \frac{N}{\log t}.$$
 (3.13)

(ii) (Large surpluses at tiny primes) The surpluses $v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)})$, $v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)})$ are positive (so in particular Step 7 does not halt with an error) and obey the bounds

$$\frac{\log(3L) + \kappa_L}{\log t - \log(3L)} \leq \frac{\nu_2(N! / \prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)}) \log 2}{\nu_3(N! / \prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)}) \log 3} \leq \frac{\log t - \log(2L)}{\log(2L) + \kappa_L}.$$

Then $t(N) \geq t$.

Proof. Write $n := v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)})$ and $m := v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)})$. From (1.2) we have $n \le N$ and $m \le N/2$, hence

$$n\log 2 + m\log 3 \le N\log \sqrt{12}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2, we can find a subfactorization \mathcal{B}' of $2^n 3^m$ with an excess of at most $(\kappa_L \log \sqrt{12}) \frac{N}{\log t}$, and with

$$|v_2(2^n 3^m / \prod B')|_{\log 2, \infty} + |v_3(2^n 3^m / \prod B')|_{\log 3, \infty} \le 2(\log t + \kappa_L) \le 2\log N$$

where we have used (3.3) and the fact that $\log t \leq \log N - 1$. Then $\mathcal{B}^{(6)} = \mathcal{B}^{(5)} \cup \mathcal{B}'$ is another t-admissible multiset, and from (3.13) and the observation that $-2 + 3\kappa_L \leq \log \sqrt{2\pi}$, we obtain the previously obtained sufficient condition (3.1).

3.5. Analysis of Step 6.

Proposition 3.4. Let $L \ge 1$. Let $9L < t = N/e^{1+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, and let $1 \le K \le t$ and $A \ge 1$. Suppose that the above algorithm with the indicated parameters reaches the end of Step 5 to produce a multiset $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$ obeying the following hypotheses.

(i) (Small excess and surplus at non-tiny primes)

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(4)}) + \sum_{3
$$\le \delta N - \frac{3}{2} \log N - \kappa_{L} (\log \sqrt{12}) \frac{N}{\log t}.$$
(3.14)$$

(ii) (Large surpluses at tiny primes) Whenever n_{**} , m_{**} are natural numbers obeying the hounds

$$n_{**}\log 2 + m_{**}\log 3 \leq \sum_{3$$

then $v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)}) > n_{**}$, $v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)}) > m_{**}$, and furthermore

$$\frac{\log(3L) + \kappa_L}{\log t - \log(3L)} \leq \frac{(\nu_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)}) - n_{**})\log 2}{(\nu_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)}) - m_{**})\log 3} \leq \frac{\log t - \log(2L)}{\log(2L) + \kappa_L}.$$

Then $t(N) \ge t$.

Proof. By (3.14), $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$ is a subfactorization of N!. Consider all the p-surplus primes in the range $3 , thus each such prime is considered with multiplicity <math>v_p(N!/\prod \mathcal{B})$. Using the greedy algorithm, one can factor the product of all these primes into M factors c_1, \ldots, c_M in the interval $[\sqrt{t/K}, t/K]$, times one exceptional factor c_* in $[1, \sqrt{t/K}]$, for some M. If we let M' denote the number of factors in c_1, \ldots, c_M that are not divisible by a prime larger than $\sqrt{t/K}$, We have the bound

$$(\sqrt{t/K})^{M'} \le \prod_{3$$

and hence

$$M' \le \sum_{3$$

Restoring the factors divisible by primes $p \ge \sqrt{t/K}$, we conclude that

$$M \le \sum_{3$$

For each of the M factors c_i , we introduce the 3-smooth number $\lceil t/c_i \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_i} 3^{m_i}$, which by (3.2) lies in the interval $\lfloor t/c_i, e^{\kappa_K} t/c_i \rfloor$; similarly, for the exceptional factor c_* we introduce a 3-smooth number $\lceil t/c_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_*} 3^{m_*}$ in the interval $\lfloor t/c_*, e^{\kappa_K} t/c_* \rfloor$. If we then adjoin the 3-smooth numbers $\lceil t/c_i \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} c_i = 2^{n_i} 3^{m_i} c_i$ for $i=1,\ldots,M$ as well as $\lceil t/c_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} c_* = 2^{n_*} 3^{m_*} c_*$ to the tuple $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$ to create a new tuple $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$. The quantity $\log \lceil t/c_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = n_i \log 2 + m_i \log 3$ is similarly bounded by $\log \sqrt{tK} + \kappa_K$, and the quantity $\log \lceil t/c_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = n_* \log 2 + m_* \log 3$ is similarly bounded by $\log t + \kappa$, hence if we denote $n_{**} := n_1 + \cdots + n_M + n_*$ and $m_{**} := m_1 + \cdots + m_M + m_*$, we have

$$n_{**}\log 2 + m_{**}\log 3 \leq \frac{\log \sqrt{tK} + \kappa_K}{\log \sqrt{t/K}} \sum_{3$$

Each of the new factors in $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ contributes an excess of at most κ_K , so the total excess of $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ is at most

$$\mathsf{E}_t(\mathcal{B}^{(4)}) + \kappa_K M + \kappa_K$$

which by (3.15) is bounded by

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(4)}) + \sum_{3$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ obeys the hypotheses of Proposition 3.3 (using (3.3) to bound κ_K by $\log \sqrt{2\pi}$), and the claim follows.

3.6. Analysis of Step 5.

Proposition 3.5. Let $L \ge 1$. Let $9L < t = N/e^{1+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, and suppose that the algorithm reaches the end Step 4 to produce a multiset $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$ obeying the following hypotheses:

(i) (Small excess and surplus at non-tiny primes) One has

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) + \sum_{3
$$\le \delta N - \frac{3}{2} \log N - \kappa_{L} (\log \sqrt{12}) \frac{N}{\log t}.$$
(3.16)$$

(ii) (Large surpluses at tiny primes) Whenever n_{**} , m_{**} are natural numbers obeying the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} n_{**} \log 2 + m_{**} \log 3 &\leq \sum_{3$$

then $v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)})>n_{**},~v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)})>m_{**},$ and furthermore

$$\frac{\log(3L) + \kappa_L}{\log t - \log(3L)} \leq \frac{(\nu_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}) - n_{**})\log 2}{(\nu_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}) - m_{**})\log 3} \leq \frac{\log t - \log(2L)}{\log(2L) + \kappa_L}.$$

Then $t(N) \ge t$.

Proof. Suppose there is a large prime p with a positive surplus $|v_p(N!/\prod B)|_{1,0} > 0$. Now we add the element $\lceil t/p \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} p = 2^{n_{t/p}} 3^{m_{t/p}} p$ to the multiset, which is at most $\exp(\kappa_{t/p})t$ by (3.2). This procedure reduces the p-deficit by one, adds at most $\kappa_{t/p}$ to the excess, and decrements $v_2(N!/\prod B)$, $v_3(N!/\prod B)$ by $n_{t/p}$, $m_{t/p}$ respectively. Since $n_{t/p} \log 2 + m_{t/p} \log 3 \le \log(t/p) + \kappa_{t/p}$, if we apply this procedure to clear all surpluses at large primes, we have increased the excess by at most

$$\sum_{p>\sqrt{t/L}} \kappa_{t/p}$$

and decreased $v_2(N!/\prod B)$, $v_3(N!/\prod B)$ by some n', m' with

$$n' \log 2 + m' \log 3 \le \sum_{p > \sqrt{t/L}} \left| v_p \left(\frac{N!}{\prod B} \right) \right|_{\log(t/p) + \kappa_{t/p}, 0}$$

The hypotheses of Proposition 3.4 are now satisfied, and we are done.

4. Powers of 2 and 3

For any $x \ge 1$, let $\lceil x \rceil^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ denote the least 2-smooth number which is greater than equal to x. Since the 2-smooth numbers are just the powers of two, we have the explicit formula

$$\lceil x \rceil^{\langle 2 \rangle} = 2^{\lceil \log x / \log 2 \rceil}$$

as well as the bounds

$$x \le \lceil x \rceil^{\langle 2 \rangle} < 2x.$$

.

Similarly, let $[x]^{\langle 2,3\rangle}$ denote the least 3-smooth number which is greater than equal to x. We clearly inherit the previous bound,

$$x \le \lceil x \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} < 2x,$$

but now expect to do better. To quantify this, define κ_L for each L>1 to be the least quantity such that ... for all $x\geq L$. Then κ_L is a non-increasing function of L with $\kappa_1=\log 2$. The following lemma gives improved control on κ_L for large L:

Lemma 4.1. If n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 are natural numbers such that $n_1 + n_2, m_1 + m_2 \ge 1$ and

$$1 \le \frac{3^{m_1}}{2^{n_1}}, \frac{2^{n_2}}{3^{m_2}}$$

then

$$\kappa_{\min(2^{n_1+n_2},3^{m_1+m_2})/6} \le \log \max \left(\frac{3^{m_1}}{2^{n_1}},\frac{2^{n_2}}{3^{m_2}}\right).$$

Thus, for instance, setting $n_1 = 3$, $m_1 = 2$, $n_2 = 2$, $m_2 = 1$, we have

$$\kappa_{4.5} \le \log \frac{2^2}{3} = 0.28768 \dots,$$

setting $n_1 = 3$, $m_1 = 2$, $n_2 = 5$, $m_2 = 3$, we have

$$\kappa_{40.5} \le \log \frac{2^5}{3^3} = 0.16989 \dots$$

and setting $n_1 = 11$, $m_1 = 7$, $n_2 = 8$, $m_2 = 5$, we have

$$\kappa_{2^{18}/3} \le \log \frac{3^7}{2^{11}} = 0.06566 \dots$$

 $(2^{18}/3 = 87381.33...).$

Proof. If $\min(2^{n_1+n_2}, 3^{m_1+m_2})/6 \le t \le 2^{n_2-1}3^{m_1-1}$, then we have

$$t \le 2^{n_2 - 1} 3^{m_1 - 1} \le \max\left(\frac{3^{m_1}}{2^{n_1}}, \frac{2^{n_2}}{3^{m_2}}\right) t,\tag{4.1}$$

so we are done in this case. Now suppose that $t > 2^{n_2-1}3^{m_1-1}$. If we write $\lceil t \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^n 3^m$ be the smallest 3-smooth number that is at least t, then we must have $n \ge n_2$ or $m \ge m_1$ (or both). Thus at least one of $\frac{2^{n_1}}{3^{m_1}}2^n 3^m$ and $\frac{3^{m_2}}{3^{n_2}}2^n 3^m$ is an integer, and is thus at most t by construction. This gives (4.1), and the claim follows.

Some efficient choices of parameters for this lemma are given in Table 1. For instance, $\kappa_{4.5} \le 0.28768...$ and $\kappa_{40.5} \le 0.16989...$.

Remark 4.2. It should be unsurprising that the continued fraction convergents 1/1, 2/1, 3/2, 8/5, 19/12, ... to

$$\frac{\log 3}{\log 2} = 1.5849\dots = [1; 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, \dots]$$

are often excellent choices for n_1/m_1 or n_2/m_2 , although occasionally other approximants such as 11/7 are also usable.

Asymptotically, we have logarithmic-type decay:

n_1	m_1	n_2	m_2		$\log \max(3^{m_1}/2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}/3^{m_2})$
1	1	1	0	1/2 = 0.5	$\log 2 = 0.69314$
1	1	2	1	$2^2/3 = 1.33 \dots$	$\log(3/2) = 0.40546\dots$
3	2	2	1	$3^2/2 = 4.5$	$\log(2^2/3) = 0.28768\dots$
3	2	5	3	$3^4/2 = 40.5$	$\log(2^5/3^3) = 0.16989\dots$
3	2	8	5	$2^{10}/3 = 341.33$	$\log(3^2/2^3) = 0.11778\dots$
11	7	8	5	$2^{18}/3 = 87381.33$	$\log(3^7/2^{11}) = 0.06566\dots$

TABLE 1. Efficient parameter choices for Lemma 4.1. The parameters which attain the minimum or maximum are indicated in **boldface**.

Lemma 4.3 (Baker bound). We have

$$\kappa_I \ll \log^{-c} L$$

for all $L \ge 2$ and some absolute constant c > 0.

Proof. From the classical theory of continued fractions, we can find rational approximants

$$\frac{p_{2j}}{q_{2j}} \le \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} \le \frac{p_{2j+1}}{q_{2j+1}} \tag{4.2}$$

to the irrational number $\log 3/\log 2$, where the convergents p_i/q_i obey the recursions

$$p_j = b_j p_{j-1} + p_{j-2}; \quad q_j = b_j q_{j-1} + q_{j-2}$$

with $p_{-1} = 1$, q = -1 = 0, $p_0 = b_0$, $q_0 = 1$, and

$$[b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots] = [1; 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1 \dots]$$

is the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$. Furthermore, $p_{2j+1}q_{2j}-p_{2j}q_{2j+1}=1$, and hence

$$\frac{\log 3}{\log 2} - \frac{p_{2j}}{q_{2j}} = \frac{1}{q_{2j}q_{2j+1}}. (4.3)$$

By Baker's theorem, $\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$ is a Diophantine number, giving a bound of the form

$$q_{2i+1} \ll q_{2i}^{O(1)} \tag{4.4}$$

and a similar argument (using $p_{2j+2}q_{2j+1} - p_{2j+1}q_{2j+2} = -1$) gives

$$q_{2j+2} \ll q_{2j+1}^{O(1)}. (4.5)$$

We can rewrite (4.2) as

$$1 \le \frac{3^{q_{2j}}}{2^{p_{2j}}}, \frac{2^{p_{2j+1}}}{3^{q_{2j+1}}}$$

and routine Taylor expansion using (4.3) gives the upper bounds

$$\frac{3^{q_{2j}}}{2^{p_{2j}}}, \frac{2^{p_{2j+1}}}{3^{q_{2j+1}}} \le \exp\left(O\left(\frac{1}{q_{2j}}\right)\right).$$

From Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$\kappa_{\min(2^{p_{2j}+p_{2j+1}},3^{q_{2j}+q_{2j+1}})/6} \ll \frac{1}{q_{2j}}.$$

The claim then follows from (4.4), (4.5) after optimizing in j.

П

It seems reasonable to conjecture that c can be taken to be arbitrarily close to 1, but this is essentially equivalent to the open problem of determining that irrationality measure of $\log 3/\log 2$ is equal to 2.

5. ASYMPTOTIC EVALUATION OF t(N)

In this section we establish the asymptotic

$$\frac{t}{N} = \frac{1}{e} - \frac{c_0}{\log N} + O(\log^{1-c} N)$$

for some absolute constant c > 0.

We begin with the upper bound. ...

Now we establish the lower bound. Let N be sufficiently large. We introduce parameters

$$A := \lfloor \log^2 N \rfloor$$

and

$$K := \log^3 N.$$

Let I denote the integers in the interval [t, t+3t/A] that are coprime to 6, and let \mathcal{B} be the tuple consisting of these integers, each appearing with multiplicity A. This tuple is t-admissible, and the t-excess can be estimated as

$$excess_t(\mathcal{B}) \le |\mathcal{B}| \log(1 + 3/A) \ll A \frac{t}{A} \frac{1}{A} \ll \frac{N}{\log^2 N}$$

by choice of A. As none of the elements of \mathcal{B} are divisible by tiny primes, we have a considerable surplus at those primes. Indeed, from (1.2) we have

$$v_p(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}) = v_p(N!) = \frac{N}{p-1} - O(\log N)$$

for the tiny primes p = 2, 3.

6. Guy-Selfridge conjecture for $N > 10^{19}$

7. GUY-SELFRIDGE CONJECTURE FOR MEDIUM VALUES OF N

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Büthe, Estimating $\pi(x)$ and related functions under partial RH assumptions, Math. Comp., 85(301), 2483–2498, Jan. 2016.
- [2] P. Dusart, Explicit estimates of some functions over primes, Ramanujan J. 45 (2018) 227–251.
- [3] R. K. Guy, J. L. Selfridge, Factoring factorial n, Amer. Math. Monthly 105 (1998) 766–767.
- [4] H. Robbins, A Remark on Stirling's Formula, Amer. Math. Monthly 62 (1955) 26–29.

14 TERENCE TAO

[5] T. Tao, Decomposing factorials into bounded factors, preprint, 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503. 20170