### **NOTES ON UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDING** t(N)

#### TERENCE TAO

### 1. Basics

The symbol *p* will always denote a prime. The primes 2, 3 will play a special role here and will be referred to as *tiny primes*.

We use  $v_p(a/b) = v_p(a) - v_p(b)$  to denote the *p*-adic valuation of a positive natural number a/b, that is to say the number of times *p* divides the numerator *a*, minus the number of times *p* divides the denominator *b*. For instance,  $v_2(32/27) = 5$  and  $v_3(32/27) = -3$ . If one applies a logarithm to the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, one obtains the identity

$$\sum_{p} v_p(r) \log p = \log r \tag{1.1}$$

for any positive rational r.

Asymptotically, it is known that

$$\frac{1}{e} - \frac{O(1)}{\log N} \le \frac{t(N)}{N} \le \frac{1}{e} - \frac{c_0 + o(1)}{\log N}$$

where

$$c_0 := \frac{1}{e} \int_0^1 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor \log \left( ex \left\lceil \frac{1}{ex} \right\rceil \right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{e} \int_1^\infty \lfloor y \rfloor \log \frac{\lceil y/e \rceil}{y/e} \frac{dy}{y^2}$$

$$= 0.3044190 \dots$$
(1.2)

We recall Legendre's formula

$$v_p(N!) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^j} \right\rfloor = \frac{N - s_p(N)}{p - 1}.$$
 (1.3)

To bound the factorial, we have the explicit Stirling approximation [4]

$$N\log N - N + \log \sqrt{2\pi N} + \frac{1}{12N+1} \le \log N! \le N\log N - N + \log \sqrt{2\pi N} + \frac{1}{12N}, \quad (1.4)$$
 valid for all natural numbers  $N$ .

In addition to the usual asymptotic notation, we use  $O_{\leq}(X)$  to denote any quantity whose magnitude is bounded by at most X (note the absence of an additional constant factor).

To estimate the prime counting function  $\pi(x)$ , we have the following good asymptotics up to a large height.

**Theorem 1.1** (Buthe's bounds). [1] For any  $2 \le x \le 10^{19}$ , we have

$$li(x) - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x} \left( 1.95 + \frac{3.9}{\log x} + \frac{19.5}{\log^2 x} \right) \le \pi(x) < li(x)$$

and

$$\operatorname{li}(x) - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x} \le \pi^*(x) < \operatorname{li}(x) + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}.$$

For  $x > 10^{19}$  we have the bounds of Dusart [2]. One such bound is

$$\psi(x) = x + O_{\leq} \left(59.18 \frac{x}{\log^4 x}\right).$$

## 2. Criteria for upper bounding t(N)

We have the trivial upper bound  $t(N) \le (N!)^{1/N}$ . This can be improved to  $t(N) \le N/e$  for  $N \ne 1, 2, 4$ , answering a conjecture of Guy and Selfridge [3]; see [5]. This was derived from the following slightly stronger criterion, which asymptotically gives  $\frac{t(N)}{N} \le \frac{1}{e} - \frac{c_0 + o(1)}{\log N}$ :

**Lemma 2.1** (Upper bound criterion). [5, Lemma 2.1] Suppose that  $1 \le t \le N$  are such that

$$\sum_{p > \frac{t}{\lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor}} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \right\rfloor \log \left( \frac{p}{t} \left\lceil \frac{t}{p} \right\rceil \right) > \log N! - N \log t \tag{2.1}$$

Then t(N) < t.

A surprisingly sharp upper bound comes from linear programming.

**Lemma 2.2** (Linear programming bound). Let N be an natural number and  $1 \le t \le N/2$ . Suppose for each prime  $p \le N$ , one has a non-negative real number  $w_p$  which is weakly non-decreasing in p (thus  $w_p \le w_{p'}$  when  $p \le p'$ ), and such that

$$\sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(j) \ge 1 \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $t \leq j \leq N$ , and such that

$$\sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(N!) < N. \tag{2.3}$$

Then t(N) < t.

*Proof.* We first observe that the bound (2.2) in fact holds for all  $j \ge t$ , not just for  $t \le j \le N$ . Indeed, if this were not the case, consider the first  $j \ge t$  where (2.2) fails. Take a prime p dividing j and replace it by a prime in the interval  $\lfloor p/2, p \rfloor$  which exists by Bertrand's postulate

(or remove p entirely, if p = 2); this creates a new j' in [j/2, j) which is still at least t. By the weakly decerasing hypothesis on  $w_p$ , we have

$$\sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(j) \ge \sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(j')$$

and hence by the minimality of j we have

$$\sum_{p} w_{p} v_{p}(j) > 1,$$

a contradiction.

Now suppose for contradiction that  $t(N) \ge t$ , thus we have a factorization  $N! = \prod_{j \ge t} j^{m_j}$  for some natural numbers  $m_i$  summing to N. Taking p-valuations, we conclude that

$$\sum_{j>t} m_j \nu_p(j) \le \nu_p(N!)$$

for all  $p \leq N$ . Multiplying by  $w_p$  and summing, we conclude from (2.2) that

$$N = \sum_{j > t} m_j \le \sum_p w_p v_p(N!),$$

contradicting (2.3).

This bound is sharp for all  $N \le 600$ , with the exception of N = 155, where it gives the upper bound  $t(155) \le 46$ . A more precise integer program gives t(155) = 45.

**Remark 2.3.** A variant of the linear programming method also gives good lower bound constructions. Specifically, one can use linear programming to find non-negative real numbers  $m_j$  for  $t \le j \le N$  that maximize the quantity  $\sum_{t \le j \le N} m_j$  subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{t < i < N} m_j v_p(j) \le v_p(N!).$$

The expression  $\prod_{t \le j \le N} j^{\lfloor m_j \rfloor}$  will then be a subfactorization of N! into  $\sum_{t \le j \le N} \lfloor m_j \rfloor$  factors j, each of which is at least t. If  $\sum_{t \le j \le N} \lfloor m_j \rfloor \ge N$ , this demonstrates that  $t(N) \ge t$ . Numerically, this procedure attains the exact value of t(N) for all  $N \le 600$ ; for instance for N = 155, it shows that  $t(155) \ge 45$ .

2.1. **Asymptotic analysis of upper bound.** We refine the upper bound in [5] slightly.

**Proposition 2.4.** For large N, one has

$$\frac{t(N)}{N} \le \frac{1}{e} - \frac{c_0}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 N}\right).$$

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 2.1 with

$$t := \frac{1}{e} - \frac{c_0}{\log N} + \frac{C_0}{\log^2 N}$$

with  $C_0$  a large absolute constant to be chosen later. From the Stirling approximation one sees that

$$\log N! - N \log t \ge ec_0 \frac{N}{\log N} + (C_0 - O(1)) \frac{N}{\log^2 N}$$

so it will suffice to establish the upper bound

$$\sum_{p > \frac{t}{|\sqrt{t}|}} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \right\rfloor \log \left( \frac{p}{t} \left\lceil \frac{t}{p} \right\rceil \right) \le ec_0 \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left( \frac{N}{\log^2 N} \right).$$

For N large enough, we have  $\frac{t}{\lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor} \leq \frac{N}{\log N}$ , so it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{\frac{N}{\log N} \le p \le N} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \right\rfloor \log \left( \frac{p}{t} \left\lceil \frac{t}{p} \right\rceil \right) \le ec_0 \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left( \frac{N}{\log^2 N} \right).$$

The summand is a piecewise monotone function of p, with  $O(\log N)$  pieces, and bounded in size by O(N). A routine application of the prime number theorem (with classical error term) and summation by parts then allows one to express the left-hand side as

$$\int_{N/\log N}^{N} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{x} \right\rfloor \log \left( \frac{x}{t} \left\lceil \frac{t}{x} \right\rceil \right) \frac{dx}{\log x} + O\left( \frac{N}{\log^2 N} \right)$$

(in fact the error term can be made much stronger than this). We use the approximation

$$\frac{1}{\log x} = \frac{1}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{\log(N/x)}{\log^2 N}\right).$$

To control the error term, we observe from Taylor expansion that

$$\log\left(\frac{x}{t}\left\lceil\frac{t}{x}\right\rceil\right) \ll \frac{\left\lceil\frac{t}{x}\right\rceil - \frac{t}{x}}{t/x} \ll \frac{x}{t} \ll \frac{x}{N}$$
 (2.4)

and the contribution of the error term is

$$\ll \int_{N/\log N}^{N} \frac{N}{x} \frac{x}{N} \frac{\log(N/x)}{\log^2 N} dx \ll \frac{N}{\log^2 N}$$

which is acceptable. As for the main term, we can rescale it to

$$\frac{et}{\log N} \int_{N/et \log N}^{N/et} \left\lfloor \frac{N/et}{x} \right\rfloor \log \left( ex \left\lceil \frac{1}{ex} \right\rceil \right) dx.$$

Since  $N/et = 1 + O(1/\log N)$ , we see that the integrand here is within  $O(1/\log N)$  of  $\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \rfloor \log \left( ex \lceil \frac{1}{ex} \rceil \right)$  unless  $\frac{1}{x}$  is within  $O(1/\log N)$  of an integer, which one can calculate to occur on a set of measure zero. A variant of (2.4) shows that both integrandd are bounded by O(1) for all  $x \in [0, N/et]$ , so by the triangle inequality the above expression can be rewritten as

$$\frac{N}{\log N} \int_0^1 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor \log \left( ex \left\lceil \frac{1}{ex} \right\rceil \right) dx + O\left( \frac{N}{\log^2 N} \right),$$

and the claim follows from (1.2).

#### 3. A GENERAL FACTORIZATION ALGORITHM

In this section we present and then analyze an algorithm that, when given parameters  $1 \le t \le N$ , will attempt to construct a factorization  $N! = \prod \mathcal{B}$  of N! by a finite multiset  $\mathcal{B}$  of N elements that are all at least t. The algorithm will not always succeed, but when it does, it will certify that  $t(N) \ge t$ .

# 3.1. **Notational preliminaries.** We begin with some key definitions.

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_M\}$  be a finite multiset of natural numbers (thus each natural number may appear in  $\mathcal{B}$  multiple times); the ordering of elements in the multiset will not be of relevance to us. The *cardinality*  $|\mathcal{B}| = M$  of the multiset is the number of elements counting multiplicity; for example,

$$|\{2, 2, 3\}| = 3.$$

The *product*  $\prod \mathcal{B}$  of the finite multiset is defined by  $\prod \mathcal{B} := \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} b$ , where we count for multiplicity; for example

$$\prod \{2, 2, 3\} = 12.$$

The tuple  $\mathcal{B}$  is a factorization of a natural number M if  $\mathcal{B} = M$ , and a subfactorization if  $\mathcal{B}|M$ . For example,  $\{2,2,3\}$  is a factorization of 12 and a subfactorization of 24.

By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic (or (1.1)), we see that a finite multiset  $\mathcal{B}$  is a factorization of M if and only if

$$v_p(M/\prod B)=0$$

for all primes p, and a subfactorization if and only if

$$v_p(M/\prod B) \geq 0$$

for all primes p. We refer to  $v_p(M/\prod B)$  as the p-surplus of B (as an attempted factorization of M) at prime p, and  $-v_p(M/\prod B) = v_p(\prod B/M)$  as the p-deficit, and say that the factorization is p-balanced if  $v_p(M/\prod B) = 0$ . Thus a subfactorization (resp. factorization) occurs when one has non-negative surpluses (resp. balance) at all primes p.

**Example 3.1.** Suppose one wishes to factorize  $5! = 2^3 \times 3 \times 5$ . The attempted factorization  $\mathcal{B} := \{3, 4, 5, 5\}$  has a 2-surplus of  $v_2(5!/\prod \mathcal{B}) = 1$ , is in balance at 3, and has a 5-deficit of  $v_2(\prod \mathcal{B}/5!) = 1$ , so it is not a factorization or subfactorization of 5!. However, if one replaces one of the copies of 5 in  $\mathcal{B}$  with a 2, this erases both the 2-surplus and the 5-deficit, and creates a factorization  $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$  of 5!.

A finite multiset  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be *t-admissible* for some t > 0 if  $b \ge t$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then t(N) is largest quantity such that there exists a t(N)-admissible factorization of N! of cardinality N.

Call a natural number 3-smooth if it is of the form  $2^n 3^m$  for some natural numbers n, m. Given a positive real number x, we use  $\lceil x \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle}$  to denote the smallest 3-smooth number greater than or equal to x. For instance,  $\lceil 5 \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 6$  and  $\lceil 10 \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 12$ .

6 TERENCE TAO

- 3.2. **Description of algorithm.** We now describe an algorithm that, for given  $1 \le t \le N$ , either successfully demonstrates that  $t(N) \ge t$ , or halts with an error.
  - (0) Select a natural number A and another parameter  $K \ge 1$  such that  $K^2(1 + \frac{3N/t}{A}) < t$ . There is some freedom to select parameters here, but roughly speaking one would like to have  $\log N \ll A \ll K \ll \sqrt{t}$ .
  - (1) Let I denote the elements of the interval<sup>1</sup> (t, t + 3N/A] that are coprime to 6. Let  $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$  be the elements of I, each occurring with multiplicity A. This multiset is t-admissible, and  $\prod \mathcal{B}^{(1)}$  is not divisible by tiny primes 2, 3. (It will have approximately the right number of primes for 3 , though it may have quite different prime factorization at primes <math>p > t/K.)
  - (2) Remove any element from  $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$  that contains a prime factor p with p > t/K, and call this new multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}$ . It remains t-admissible with no tiny prime factors.
  - (3) For each p > t/K, add in  $v_p(N!)$  copies of the number  $p\lceil t/p\rceil^{\langle 2,3\rangle}$  to  $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}$ , and call this new multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$ . (A variant of the method: add in  $p\lceil t/p\rceil$  instead. This is slightly more efficient, but slightly trickier to analyze.) Now  $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$  is t-admissible and in balance at all primes p > t/K, but will typically be in a slight deficit at primes 3 , particularly in the range <math>3 . (It will now also contain a few tiny prime factors, but will generally still have a large surplus at those primes.)
  - (4) For each prime  $3 at which there is a surplus <math>v_p(N!/\prod B) > 0$ , replace  $v_p(N!/\prod B)$  copies of p in the prime factorizations of elements of  $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$  with  $\lceil p \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle}$  instead, and call this new multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$  has no surplus at primes 3 (and is still <math>t-admissible and in balance for p > t/K).
  - (5) For the primes  $3 at which there is a deficit <math>v_p(\prod B/N!) > 0$ , multiply all these primes together, and use the greedy algorithm to group them into factors  $x_1, \ldots, x_M$  in the range  $(\sqrt{t/K}, t/K]$ , together with possibly one exceptional factor  $x_*$  in the range (1, t/K]. For each of these factors  $x_i$  or  $x_*$ , add the quantity  $x_i \lceil t/x_i \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle}$  or  $x_* \lceil t/x_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle}$  to  $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$ , and call this new multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ .
  - (6) By construction,  $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$  is t-admissible and will be in balance at all primes p > 3, and is thus  $N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)}$  is of the form  $2^n 3^m$  for some integers n, m. If at least one of n, m is negative, then HALT the algorithm with an error. Otherwise, select a 3-smooth number  $2^{n_1} 3^{m_1}$  greater than equal to t with  $n_1/m_1 \le n/m$  (which one can interpret as  $n_1 m \le n m_1$  in case some of the denominators here vanish), and similarly select a 3-smooth number  $2^{n_2} 3^{m_2}$  greater than or equal to t with  $n_2/m_2 \ge n/m$ . (It is reasonable to select the smallest such 3-smooth numbers in both cases, although this is not absolutely necessary for the algorithm to be successful.) By construction, we can express (n, m) as a positive linear combination  $\alpha_1(n_1, m_1) + \alpha_2(n_2, m_2)$  of  $(n_1, m_1)$  and  $(n_2, m_2)$ . Add  $\lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor$  copies of  $2^{n_1} 3^{m_1}$  and  $\lfloor \alpha_2 \rfloor$  copies of  $2^{n_2} 3^{m_2}$  to  $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ , and call this tuple  $\mathcal{B}^{(6)}$ . (This will largely eliminate the surplus at 2 and 3.)
  - (7) If the multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(6)}$  has cardinality less than N, HALT the algorithm with an error. Otherwise, delete elements from  $\mathcal{B}^{(6)}$  to bring the cardinality to N, and arbitrarily

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Numerically, it would be slightly better to use the closed interval [t, t+3N/A] instead of the half-open interval (t, t+3N/A], but we will consistently aim to use half-open intervals here to be compatible with standard notation for the prime counting function  $\pi(x)$ .

distribute any surplus primes to one of the remaining elements, and call the resulting multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(7)}$ . By construction,  $\mathcal{B}^{(7)}$  is a *t*-admissible factorization of N! into N numbers, demonstrating that  $t(N) \ge t$ .

3.3. **Analysis of Step 7.** We now analyze the above algorithm, starting from the final step (7) and working backwards to (1), to establish sufficient conditions for the algorithm to successfully demonstrate that  $t(N) \ge t$ .

It will be convenient to introduce the following notation. For  $a_+, a_- \in [0, +\infty]$ , we define the asymmetric norm  $|x|_{a_+,a_-}$  of a real number x by the formula

$$|x|_{a_+,a_-} := \begin{cases} a_+|x| & x \ge 0 \\ a_-|x| & x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $a_+$ ,  $a_-$  are finite, this function is Lipschitz with constant  $\max(a_+, a_-)$ . One can think of  $a_+$  as the "cost" of making x positive, and  $a_-$  as the "cost" of making x negative.

We now begin the analysis of Step 9. This procedure will terminate successfully as long as the length  $|\mathcal{B}^{(6)}|$  of the tuple is at least N. To ensure this, we introduce the *t-excess* of a multiset  $\mathcal{B}$  by the formula

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}) := \prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \log \frac{b}{t} = \log \prod \mathcal{B} - |\mathcal{B}| \log t.$$

Thus, to ensure the success of this step, it suffices to establish the inequality

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}^{(6)}) \le \log \prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)} - N \log t.$$

From (1.1) we have

$$\log \prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)} = \log N! - \sum_{p} \nu_{p} \left( \frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)}} \right) \log p,$$

so we can rewrite the previous condition (using the fact that  $\mathcal{B}^{(6)}$  is a subfactorization of N!) as

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(6)}) + \sum_{p} \left| v_{p} \left( \frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)}} \right) \right|_{\log p, \infty} \leq \log N! - N \log t.$$

If we assume that  $t = N/e^{1+\delta}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , we can use the Stirling approximation (1.4) to reduce to the sufficient condition

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(6)}) + \sum_{p} \left| \nu_{p} \left( \frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(6)}} \right) \right|_{\log p, \infty} \le \delta N + \log \sqrt{2\pi N}. \tag{3.1}$$

3.4. **Analysis of Step 6.** Now we analyze Step 6. For any  $L \ge 1$ , let  $\kappa_L$  be the least quantity such that

$$x \le \lceil x \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} \le \exp(\kappa_L) x \tag{3.2}$$

holds for all  $x \ge L$ . Just from considering the powers of two, we have the trivial upper bound

$$\kappa_L \le \log 2. \tag{3.3}$$

We shall obtain better estimates on this quantity in Section 4. For now we use this quantity to help achieve efficient subfactorizations of 3-smooth numbers, as follows.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $L \ge 1$ . Let t > 3L and let  $2^n 3^m$  be a 3-smooth number with n, m > 0 obeying the conditions

$$\frac{\log(3L) + \kappa_L}{\log t - \log(3L)} \le \frac{n \log 2}{m \log 3} \le \frac{\log t - \log(2L)}{\log(2L) + \kappa_L}.$$
(3.4)

Then one can find a t-admissible subfactorization  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $2^n3^m$  such that

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}) \le \kappa_{L} \frac{n \log 2 + m \log 3}{\log t}$$
(3.5)

and

$$|v_2(2^n 3^m / \mathcal{B})|_{\log 2, \infty} + |v_3(2^n 3^m / \mathcal{B})|_{\log 3, \infty} \le 2(\log t + \kappa_L). \tag{3.6}$$

In practice,  $\log t$  will be significantly larger than  $\log(2L)$  or  $\log(3L)$ , and so the hypothesis (3.4) will be quite mild, as long as n and m are both reasonably large.

*Proof.* Let  $2^{n_0}$ ,  $3^{m_0}$  be the largest powers of 2 and 3 less than or equal to t/L respectively, thus

$$L \le \frac{t}{2^{n_0}} \le 2L \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$L \le \frac{t}{3^{m_0}} \le 3L. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.2), the 3-smooth numbers  $\lceil t/2^{n_0} \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_1}3^{m_1}$ ,  $\lceil t/3^{m_0} \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_2}3^{m_2}$  obey the estimates

$$\frac{t}{2^{n_0}} \le 2^{n_1} 3^{m_1} \le e^{\kappa_L} \frac{t}{2^{n_0}} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\frac{t}{3^{m_0}} \le 2^{n_2} 3^{m_2} \le e^{\kappa_L} \frac{t}{3^{m_0}},\tag{3.10}$$

or equivalently

$$t \le 2^{n_0 + n_1} 3^{m_1}, 2^{n_2} 3^{m_0 + m_2} \le e^{\kappa_L} t. \tag{3.11}$$

We can use (3.7), (3.9) to bound

$$\frac{n_0 + n_1}{m_1} \ge \frac{n_0}{\log(e^{\kappa_L} \frac{t}{2^{n_0}}) / \log 3}$$

$$\ge \frac{(\log t - \log(2L)) / \log 2}{(\log(2L) + \kappa_I) / \log 3}$$

(with the convention that this bound is vacuously true for  $m_1=0$ ). Similarly, from (3.8), (3.10) we have

$$\frac{n_2}{m_0 + m_2} \le \frac{\log(e^{\kappa} \frac{t}{3^{m_0}}) / \log 2}{m_0}$$

$$\le \frac{(\log(3L) + \kappa) / \log 2}{(\log t - \log(3L)) / \log 3}$$

and hence by (3.4)

$$\frac{n_2}{m_0 + m_2} \le \frac{n}{m} \le \frac{n_0 + n_1}{m_1}. (3.12)$$

Thus we can write (n, m) as a non-negative linear combination

$$(n, m) = \alpha_1(n_0 + n_1, m_1) + \alpha_2(n_2, m_0 + m_2)$$

for some real  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$ . We now take our subfactorization  $\mathcal{B}$  to consist of  $\lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor$  copies of the 3-smooth number  $2^{n_0+n_1}3^{m_1}$  and  $\lfloor \alpha_2 \rfloor$  copies of the 3-smooth number  $2^{n_2}3^{m_0+m_2}$ . By (3.11), each term  $2^{n'}3^{m'}$  here is admissible and contributes a t-excess of at most  $\kappa_L$ , which is in turn bounded by  $\kappa_L \frac{n' \log 2 + m' \log 3}{\log t}$ . Adding these bounds together, we obtain (3.5).

The expression  $2^n 3^m / \prod B$  contains at most  $n_0 + n_1 + n_2$  factors of 2 and at most  $m_0 + m_2 + m_1$  factors of 3, hence

$$v_2(2^n 3^m / \prod \mathcal{B}) \log 2 + v_3(2^n 3^m / \prod \mathcal{B}) \log 3 \le \log 2^{n_0 + n_1} 3^{m_1} + \log 2^{n_2} 3^{m_0 + m_2},$$

and the bound (3.6) follows from (3.11).

We now use this lemma to analyze Step 6 as follows.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $L \ge 1$ . Let  $3L < t = N/e^{1+\delta}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $1 \le K \le t$  and  $A \ge 1$ . Suppose that the algorithm in Section 3.2 with the indicated parameters reaches the end of Step 5 with a multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$  obeying the following hypotheses:

(i) (Small excess and surplus at non-tiny primes)

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(5)}) + \sum_{p>3} \left| v_{p} \left( \frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)}} \right) \right|_{\log p, \infty} \leq \delta N + \log \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{3}{2} \log N - (\kappa_{L} \log \sqrt{12}) \frac{N}{\log t}.$$
 (3.13)

(ii) (Large surpluses at tiny primes) The surpluses  $v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)})$ ,  $v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)})$  lie in the sector  $\Gamma_{t,L} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , defined to be the set of pairs (n,m) with n,m>0 and

$$\frac{\log(3L) + \kappa_L}{\log t - \log(3L)} \le \frac{n}{m} \le \frac{\log t - \log(2L)}{\log(2L) + \kappa_L}.$$

Then  $t(N) \ge t$ .

*Proof.* Write  $n := v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)})$  and  $m := v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(5)})$ . From (1.3) we have  $n \le N$  and  $m \le N/2$ , hence

$$n\log 2 + m\log 3 \le N\log \sqrt{12}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2, we can find a subfactorization  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $2^n 3^m$  with an excess of at most  $(\kappa_L \log \sqrt{12}) \frac{N}{\log t}$ , and with

$$\left| v_2 \left( \frac{2^n 3^m}{\prod \mathcal{B}'} \right) \right|_{\log 2.\infty} + \left| v_3 \left( \frac{2^n 3^m}{\prod \mathcal{B}'} \right) \right|_{\log 3.\infty} \le 2(\log t + \kappa_L) \le 2 \log N$$

where we have used (3.3) and the fact that  $\log t \le \log N - 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}^{(6)} = \mathcal{B}^{(5)} \cup \mathcal{B}'$  is another t-admissible multiset, and from (3.13), we obtain the previous sufficient condition (3.1).  $\square$ 

## 3.5. Analysis of Step 5.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $1 \le K \le t \le N$ ,  $A \ge 1$ , and  $L \ge 1$  be parameters such that  $9L < t = N/e^{1+\delta}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose that the algorithm in Section 3.2 with the indicated parameters reaches the end of Step 4 to produce a multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$  obeying the following hypotheses.

(i) (Small excess and surplus at non-tiny primes)

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(4)}) + \sum_{3 
$$\le \delta N - \frac{3}{2} \log N - \kappa_{L} (\log \sqrt{12}) \frac{N}{\log t}.$$
(3.14)$$

(ii) (Large surpluses at tiny primes) Whenever  $n_{**}$ ,  $m_{**}$  are natural numbers obeying the bounds

$$n_{**}\log 2 + m_{**}\log 3 \leq \sum_{3$$

then one has

$$\left(\nu_2\left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)}}\right) - n_{**}, \nu_3\left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)}}\right) - m_{**}\right) \in \Gamma_{t,L}.$$

Then  $t(N) \ge t$ .

*Proof.* By (3.14),  $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$  is a subfactorization of N!. Consider all the p-surplus primes in the range  $3 , thus each such prime is considered with multiplicity <math>v_p(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)})$ . Using the greedy algorithm, one can factor the product of all these primes into M factors  $c_1, \ldots, c_M$  in the interval  $(\sqrt{t/K}, t/K]$ , times at most one exceptional factor  $c_*$  in  $(1, \sqrt{t/K}]$ , for some M. If we let M' denote the number of factors in  $c_1, \ldots, c_M$  that are not divisible by a prime larger than  $\sqrt{t/K}$ , we have the bound

$$\left(\sqrt{t/K}\right)^{M'} \leq \prod_{3$$

and hence on taking logarithms

$$M' \leq \sum_{3$$

Restoring the factors divisible by primes  $p > \sqrt{t/K}$ , we conclude that

$$M \le \sum_{3$$

For each of the M factors  $c_i$ , we introduce the 3-smooth number  $\lceil t/c_i \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_i}3^{m_i}$ , which by (3.2) lies in the interval  $\lfloor t/c_i, e^{\kappa_K}t/c_i \rfloor$ ; similarly, for the exceptional factor  $c_*$  we introduce a 3-smooth number  $\lceil t/c_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_*}3^{m_*}$  in the interval  $\lfloor t/c_*, e^{\kappa_K}t/c_* \rfloor$ . If we then adjoin

the 3-smooth numbers  $\lceil t/c_i \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} c_i = 2^{n_i} 3^{m_i} c_i$  for  $i=1,\ldots,M$  as well as  $\lceil t/c_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} c_* = 2^{n_*} 3^{m_*} c_*$  to the t-admissible multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$  to create a new t-admissible multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$ . The quantity  $\log \lceil t/c_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = n_i \log 2 + m_i \log 3$  is bounded by  $\log \sqrt{tK} + \kappa_K$ , and the quantity  $\log \lceil t/c_* \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = n_* \log 2 + m_* \log 3$  is similarly bounded by  $\log t + \kappa$ , hence if we denote  $n_{**} := n_1 + \cdots + n_M + n_*$  and  $m_{**} := m_1 + \cdots + m_M + m_*$ , we have

$$n_{**}\log 2 + m_{**}\log 3 \leq \frac{\log \sqrt{tK} + \kappa_K}{\log \sqrt{t/K}} \sum_{3$$

Each of the new factors in  $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$  contributes an excess of at most  $\kappa_K$ , so the total excess of  $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$  is at most

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}^{(4)}) + \kappa_K M + \kappa_K$$

which by (3.15) is bounded by

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(4)}) + \sum_{3$$

We conclude that  $\mathcal{B}^{(5)}$  obeys the hypotheses of Proposition 3.3 (using (3.3) to bound  $\kappa_K$  by  $\log \sqrt{2\pi}$ ), and the claim follows.

# 3.6. Analysis of Step 4.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $L \ge 1$ . Let  $9L < t = N/e^{1+\delta}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , and suppose that the algorithm reaches the end of Step 3 to produce a multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$  obeying the following hypotheses:

(i) (Small excess and surplus at non-tiny primes) One has

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) + \sum_{3$$

(ii) (Large surpluses at tiny primes) Whenever  $n_{**}$ ,  $m_{**}$  are natural numbers obeying the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} n_{**} \log 2 + m_{**} \log 3 &\leq \sum_{3$$

then one has

$$\left(\nu_2\left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}}\right) - n_{**}, \nu_3\left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}}\right) - m_{**}\right) \in \Gamma_{t,L}.$$

Then  $t(N) \ge t$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there is a non-tiny prime p > 3 with a positive p-deficit  $|v_p(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)})|_{0,1} > 0$ . We locate an element of  $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$  that contains p as a factor, and replaces it with  $\lceil p \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^{n_p} 3^{m_p}$ , which increases that factor by at most  $\exp(\kappa_p)$  thanks to (3.2). This procedure reduces the p-deficit by one, adds at most  $\kappa_p$  to the t-excess, and decrements  $v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)})$ ,  $v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)})$  by  $n_p, m_p$  respectively. Since  $n_p \log 2 + m_p \log 3 \le \log p + \kappa_p$ , if we apply this procedure to clear all deficits at non-tiny primes, the resulting multiset  $\mathcal{B}^{(4)}$  has a t-excess of

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}^{(4)}) \le E_t(\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) + \sum_{p>3} |\nu_p(N!/\prod \mathcal{B})|_{0,\kappa_p}$$

and we have

$$v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)}) = v_2(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}) - n', \quad v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(4)}) = v_3(N!/\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}) - m'$$

with

$$n' \log 2 + m' \log 3 \le \sum_{p>3} \left| v_p \left( \frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}} \right) \right|_{0, \log p + \kappa_p}.$$

The hypotheses of Proposition 3.4 are now satisfied, and we are done.

3.7. **Analysis of Steps 1,2,3.** To apply Proposition 3.5, we now compute the various statistics of  $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$  produced by Steps 1-3.

We begin with the analysis of  $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ , constructed in Step 1 of the algorithm. To count elements coprime to 6, we use the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.6.** For any interval (a, b] with  $0 \le a \le b$ , the number of natural numbers in the interval that are coprime to 6 is  $\frac{b-a}{3} + O_{\le}(4/3)$ .

*Proof.* By the triangle inequality, it suffices to show that the number of natural numbers coprime to 6 in [0, a], minus a/3, is  $O_{\leq}(2/3)$ . The claim is easily verified for  $0 \leq a \leq 6$ , and the quantity in question is 6-periodic in a, giving the claim.

The excess of  $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$  is clearly given by

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}^{(1)}) = A \sum_{n \in I} \log \frac{n}{t}.$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus, this is

$$A\int_0^{3t/A} |I\cap(t,t+h)| \, \frac{dh}{t+h}.$$

Bounding  $\frac{1}{t+h}$  by  $\frac{1}{t}$  and applying Lemma 3.6, we conclude that

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(1)}) \le A \int_{0}^{3N/A} \left(h + \frac{4}{3}\right) \frac{dh}{t} = \frac{9N^{2}}{2tA} + 4. \tag{3.17}$$

Next, we compute *p*-valuations  $v_p(\mathcal{B}^{(1)})$ . By construction, this quantity vanishes at tiny primes p = 2, 3. For p > 3, we can use Lemma 3.6 again to conclude

$$\begin{split} v_p(\mathcal{B}^{(1)}) &= A \sum_{1 \le j \le \frac{\log N}{\log p}} |I \cap p^j \mathbb{Z}| \\ &= A \sum_{1 \le j \le \frac{\log N}{\log p}} \left( \frac{N}{p^j A} + O_{\le}(4/3) \right) \\ &= \frac{N}{p-1} + O_{\le} \left( \frac{3}{p-1} \right) + O_{\le} \left( \frac{4A}{3} \left\lceil \frac{\log N}{\log p} \right\rceil \right) \\ &= \frac{N}{p-1} + + O_{\le} \left( \frac{4A+3}{3} \left\lceil \frac{\log N}{\log p} \right\rceil \right) \end{split}$$

since  $\frac{3}{p-1} \le \frac{3}{4}$ . Meanwhile, from (1.3) one has

$$v_p(N!) = \frac{N}{p-1} + O_{\leq} \left( \left\lceil \frac{\log N}{\log p} \right\rceil \right)$$

and thus

$$v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(1)}) = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{4A+6}{3}\left[\frac{\log N}{\log p}\right]\right).$$
 (3.18)

Now we pass to  $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}$  by performing Step 3 of the algorithm. Removing elements from a *t*-admissible multiset cannot increase the *t*-excess, so from (3.17) we have

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) \le \frac{9t}{2A} + 4. \tag{3.19}$$

The elements removed are of the form pm with  $m \le K(1 + \frac{3N/t}{A})$  coprime to 6, and p in the interval  $(\frac{t}{\min(m,K)}, \frac{t}{m} + \frac{3N}{mA}]$ . We conclude that

$$v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) = v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(1)})$$
 (3.20)

for  $K(1 + \frac{3N/t}{A}) . For <math>3 one has$ 

$$v_{p}(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) = v_{p}(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(1)}) + A \sum_{\substack{m \leq K(1+\frac{3N/t}{A})\\(m,6)=1}} v_{p}(m) \left(\pi \left(\frac{t}{m} + \frac{3N}{Am}\right) - \pi \left(\frac{t}{\min(m,K)}\right)\right).$$
(3.21)

Finally, by construction we are in balance

$$\nu_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) = 0$$

for p > t/K, while for tiny primes p = 2, 3 we have

$$v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) = v_p(N!)$$

We now pass to  $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}$  by performing Step 3 of the algorithm. We first consider the simpler version of this step in which we add  $v_p(N!)$  copies of  $p\lceil t/p\rceil^{\langle 2,3\rangle}$  for each prime p>t/K. The

excess here is given by

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) = E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) + \sum_{p > t/K} v_{p}(N!) \log \frac{\lceil t/p \rceil^{\langle 2, 3 \rangle}}{t/p}.$$
 (3.22)

For primes p > t/K, one is now in balance:

$$\nu_{p}(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) = 0. {(3.23)}$$

For primes 3 , no change has been made to the*p*-surplus or*p*-deficit:

$$v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) = v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(2)}).$$

Finally, at a tiny prime p = 2, 3 we have

$$\nu_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) = \nu_p(N!) - \sum_{p'>t/K} \nu_{p'}(N!)\nu_p(\lceil t/p' \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle}).$$

Now suppose we use the alternate version of Step 3, in which we add  $v_p(N!)$  copies of  $p\lceil t/p \rceil$  for each prime p > t/K. The excess is now given by

$$E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) = E_{t}(\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) + \sum_{p > t/K} \nu_{p}(N!) \log \frac{\lceil t/p \rceil}{t/p}.$$
 (3.24)

One still has balance (3.23) at primes p > t/K, but now the *p*-surplus or *p*-deficit at primes 3 is modified:

$$v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) = v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) - \sum_{p' > t/K} v_{p'}(N!) v_p(\lceil t/p' \rceil). \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly, at tiny primes p = 2, 3 we have

$$\nu_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) = \nu_p(N!) - \sum_{p'>t/K} \nu_{p'}(N!)\nu_p(\lceil t/p' \rceil).$$

### 4. Powers of 2 and 3

We now obtain good bounds on the quantity  $\kappa_L$ . Clearly  $\kappa_L$  is a non-increasing function of L with  $\kappa_1 = \log 2$ . The following lemma gives improved control on  $\kappa_L$  for large L:

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2$  are natural numbers such that  $n_1 + n_2, m_1 + m_2 \ge 1$  and

$$1 \leq \frac{3^{m_1}}{2^{n_1}}, \frac{2^{n_2}}{3^{m_2}}$$

then

$$\kappa_{\min(2^{n_1+n_2},3^{m_1+m_2})/6} \le \log \max \left(\frac{3^{m_1}}{2^{n_1}},\frac{2^{n_2}}{3^{m_2}}\right).$$

*Proof.* If  $\min(2^{n_1+n_2}, 3^{m_1+m_2})/6 \le t \le 2^{n_2-1}3^{m_1-1}$ , then we have

$$t \le 2^{n_2 - 1} 3^{m_1 - 1} \le \max\left(\frac{3^{m_1}}{2^{n_1}}, \frac{2^{n_2}}{3^{m_2}}\right) t,\tag{4.1}$$

so we are done in this case. Now suppose that  $t > 2^{n_2-1}3^{m_1-1}$ . If we write  $\lceil t \rceil^{\langle 2,3 \rangle} = 2^n 3^m$  be the smallest 3-smooth number that is at least t, then we must have  $n \ge n_2$  or  $m \ge m_1$  (or both).

Thus at least one of  $\frac{2^{n_1}}{3^{m_1}}2^n3^m$  and  $\frac{3^{m_2}}{3^{n_2}}2^n3^m$  is an integer, and is thus at most t by construction. This gives (4.1), and the claim follows.

Some efficient choices of parameters for this lemma are given in Table 1. For instance,  $\kappa_{4.5} \le 0.28768...$  and  $\kappa_{40.5} \le 0.16989...$ .

$n_1$	$m_1$	$n_2$	$m_2$	$\min(2^{n_1+n_2},3^{m_1+m_2})/6$	$\log \max(3^{m_1}/2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}/3^{m_2})$
1	1	1	0	1/2 = 0.5	$\log 2 = 0.69314$
1	1	2	1	$2^2/3 = 1.33 \dots$	$\log(3/2) = 0.40546\dots$
3	2	2	1	$3^2/2 = 4.5$	$\log(2^2/3) = 0.28768\dots$
3	2	5	3	$3^4/2 = 40.5$	$\log(2^5/3^3) = 0.16989\dots$
3	2	8	5	$2^{10}/3 = 341.33$	$\log(3^2/2^3) = 0.11778\dots$
11	7	8	5	$2^{18}/3 = 87381.33$	$\log(3^7/2^{11}) = 0.06566\dots$
19	12	8	5	$3^{17}/2 \approx 6.4 \times 10^7$	$\log(2^8/3^5) = 0.05211\dots$
19	12	27	17	$3^{29}/2 \approx 3.4 \times 10^{13}$	$\log(2^{27}/3^{17}) = 0.03856\dots$
19	12	46	29	$3^{41}/2 \approx 1.8 \times 10^{19}$	$\log(2^{46}/3^{29}) = 0.02501\dots$

TABLE 1. Efficient parameter choices for Lemma 4.1. The parameters used to attain the minimum or maximum are indicated in **boldface**. Note how the number of rows in each group matches the terms 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, ... in the continued fraction expansion.

**Remark 4.2.** It should be unsurprising that the continued fraction convergents 1/1, 2/1, 3/2, 8/5, 19/12, ... to

$$\frac{\log 3}{\log 2} = 1.5849\dots = [1; 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, \dots]$$

are often excellent choices for  $n_1/m_1$  or  $n_2/m_2$ , although other approximants such as 5/3 or 11/7 are also usable.

Asymptotically, we have logarithmic-type decay:

Lemma 4.3 (Baker bound). We have

$$\kappa_I \ll \log^{-c} L$$

for all  $L \ge 2$  and some absolute constant c > 0.

*Proof.* From the classical theory of continued fractions, we can find rational approximants

$$\frac{p_{2j}}{q_{2j}} \le \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} \le \frac{p_{2j+1}}{q_{2j+1}} \tag{4.2}$$

to the irrational number  $\log 3/\log 2$ , where the convergents  $p_j/q_j$  obey the recursions

$$p_j = b_j p_{j-1} + p_{j-2}; \quad q_j = b_j q_{j-1} + q_{j-2}$$

with  $p_{-1} = 1$ , q = -1 = 0,  $p_0 = b_0$ ,  $q_0 = 1$ , and

$$[b_0; b_1, b_2, \dots] = [1; 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1 \dots]$$

is the continued fraction expansion of  $\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$ . Furthermore,  $p_{2j+1}q_{2j}-p_{2j}q_{2j+1}=1$ , and hence

$$\frac{\log 3}{\log 2} - \frac{p_{2j}}{q_{2j}} = \frac{1}{q_{2j}q_{2j+1}}. (4.3)$$

By Baker's theorem,  $\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$  is a Diophantine number, giving a bound of the form

$$q_{2j+1} \ll q_{2j}^{O(1)} \tag{4.4}$$

and a similar argument (using  $p_{2j+2}q_{2j+1} - p_{2j+1}q_{2j+2} = -1$ ) gives

$$q_{2j+2} \ll q_{2j+1}^{O(1)}. (4.5)$$

We can rewrite (4.2) as

$$1 \le \frac{3^{q_{2j}}}{2^{p_{2j}}}, \frac{2^{p_{2j+1}}}{3^{q_{2j+1}}}$$

and routine Taylor expansion using (4.3) gives the upper bounds

$$\frac{3^{q_{2j}}}{2^{p_{2j}}}, \frac{2^{p_{2j+1}}}{3^{q_{2j+1}}} \le \exp\left(O\left(\frac{1}{q_{2j}}\right)\right).$$

From Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$\kappa_{\min(2^{p_{2j}+p_{2j+1}},3^{q_{2j}+q_{2j+1}})/6} \ll \frac{1}{q_{2j}}.$$

The claim then follows from (4.4), (4.5) after optimizing in j.

It seems reasonable to conjecture that c can be taken to be arbitrarily close to 1, but this is essentially equivalent to the open problem of determining that irrationality measure of  $\log 3/\log 2$  is equal to 2.

### 5. ASYMPTOTIC EVALUATION OF t(N)

In this section we establish the lower bound

$$\frac{t}{N} \ge \frac{1}{e} - \frac{c_0}{\log N} + \frac{1}{\log^{1+c_1} N}$$

for some small absolute constant  $0 < c_1 < 1$ , if N is sufficiently large. With this choice of parameters, one has

$$\delta = \frac{ec_0}{\log N} + \frac{1}{\log^{1+c_1} N} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 N}\right).$$

Let N be sufficiently large. We introduce parameters

$$A := \lfloor \log^2 N \rfloor$$

and

$$K := \lfloor \log^3 N \rfloor$$

and

$$L := N^{0.1}$$
.

We apply the algorithm from Section 3.2, using the first option for Step 3. With these parameters, we see from Lemma 4.3 that the right-hand side of (3.16) is at least

$$ec_0\frac{N}{\log N} + \frac{N}{2\log^{1+c_1}N}$$

if  $c_1$  is small enough and N is large enough.

Now we work on the left-hand side of (3.16). From (3.19) one has

$$\mathrm{E}_t(\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) \ll \frac{t}{A} + 1 \ll \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.$$

By repeating the proof of Proposition 2.4, we see that

$$\sum_{p>t/K} v_p(N!) \log \frac{\lceil t/p \rceil}{t/p} = e c_0 \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^2 N}\right)$$

and hence by (3.24)

$$E_t(\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) = ec_0 \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^2 N}\right).$$

Thus, to establish (3.16), and bounding  $\kappa_K$ ,  $\kappa_p = O(1)$  and  $\min(\frac{\log p}{\log \sqrt{t/K}}, 1) = O(\log p/\log N)$ , it will suffice to show that

$$\sum_{3$$

We begin by considering primes p in the range  $K(1 + \frac{3N/t}{A}) . In this range, the second term in the right-hand side of (3.25) vanishes (because <math>\lceil t/p'K \rceil < K(1 + \frac{3N/t}{A})$ ), so by (3.20), (3.18) we have

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}}\right) = \nu_p\left(\frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(1)}}\right) \ll A\frac{\log N}{\log p};$$

bounding  $\log p / \log N$  crudely by O(1), we then have

$$\sum_{K(1+\frac{3N/t}{A})$$

using the prime number theorem to bound the sum over primes (dividing into the regions  $p \le \sqrt{N}$  and  $p > \sqrt{N}$  if desired). Thus the contribution of these primes to (5.1) is acceptable.

Now we deal with the (technical) contribution of those primes in the range K . Again, the second term in the right-hand side of (3.25) vanishes, but the second term in the right-hand side of (3.21) has one non-trivial term, namely when <math>m = p. By the Brun–Titchmarsh theorem, the contribution of this term is  $O(\frac{N}{K \log N})$ . Hence in this range we have the crude bound

$$\left| v_p \left( \frac{N!}{\prod \mathcal{B}^{(3)}} \right) \right|_{\log p / \log N, 1} \ll \frac{N}{K \log N}$$

and hence the contribution of this case is  $O(N/A \log N) = O(N/\log^3 N)$ , which is acceptable.

Now we consider the primes in the range 3 . From (??), (3.21), (3.18) and the Brun–Titchmarsh inequality we have the crude upper bound

$$\begin{split} v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) &\leq v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(2)}) \\ &\leq v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(1)}) + A \sum_{m \ll K} v_p(m) \left(\pi \left(\frac{t}{m} + \frac{3N}{Am}\right) - \pi \left(\frac{t}{m}\right)\right) \\ &\ll A \frac{\log N}{\log p} + A \sum_{m \ll K} v_p(m) \frac{N}{Am \log N} \\ &\ll \log^3 N + \sum_{j \leq \log \log N} \sum_{m \ll K/p^j} \frac{N}{p^j m \log N} \\ &\ll \frac{N \log \log N}{p \log N}. \end{split}$$

We can thus control the net contribution of the surpluses at these primes by

$$\sum_{3 
$$\ll \frac{(N \log \log N)^2}{\log^2 N}$$$$

by Mertens' theorem. Hence this contribution is acceptable. It remains to control the deficits:

$$\sum_{3$$

Here the situation is more delicate. From (3.21), (3.18) and the prime number theorem we have

$$v_p(N!/\mathcal{B}^{(3)}) \ge -O(A \frac{\log N}{\log p}) + \sum_{m \le K: (m,6)=1} v_p(m)$$

6. Guy-Selfridge conjecture for  $N > 10^{19}$ 

# 7. Guy-Selfridge conjecture for medium values of N

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Büthe, Estimating  $\pi(x)$  and related functions under partial RH assumptions, Math. Comp., 85(301), 2483–2498, Jan. 2016.
- [2] P. Dusart, Explicit estimates of some functions over primes, Ramanujan J. 45 (2018) 227–251.
- [3] R. K. Guy, J. L. Selfridge, Factoring factorial n, Amer. Math. Monthly 105 (1998) 766–767.
- [4] H. Robbins, A Remark on Stirling's Formula, Amer. Math. Monthly 62 (1955) 26–29.
- [5] T. Tao, Decomposing factorials into bounded factors, preprint, 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503. 20170