## **Building Calculus From Scratch**

With Terence Tao's Analysis I

October 18, 2025

## 1 Starting Axioms

- 1. 0 is a natural number.
- 2. if n is a natural number, then its successor n++ is a natural number. We define the short-hand symbols

$$1 := 0++$$
$$2 := (0++)++$$
$$3 := ((0++)++)++$$

. . .

- 3. 0 is not the successor of any natural number. That is,  $0 \neq n++$  for any n.
- 4. Different natural numbers have different successors. That is,  $[n \neq m] \iff [n++\neq m++]$  for any n, m. Equivalently, if we have that n++=m++, then we have that n=m.
- 5. The principle of induction holds. That is, let P(n) be a property of the natural number n. Let P(0) be true, and let  $P(n) \implies P(n++)$ . Then P(n) is true for all natural numbers n.

## 2 Steps & Problems

1. Define the addition operation on two natural numbers, n and m.

We define incrementing m by zero as 0 + m := m. Suppose inductively, that we know how to increment m by n. Then we can increment m by n++ by defining

$$(n++) + m := (n+m)++$$

This recursive definition allows us to now add numbers (perform repeated incrementation). For example, 2 + m = (1++) + m = (1+m)++ = ((0++)+m)++ = ((0+m)++)++ = ((m)++)++, which is exactly m incremented twice.

**2.** Prove that n + 0 = n for any natural number n

We will induct on n. We have that 0 + 0 = 0 from 0 + m := m for any natural m, including 0. Suppose, inductively, that k + 0 = k for some natural number k. Then

$$(k++) + 0 = (k+0)++$$
 (definition of addition)  
=  $(k)++$  (I.H)

Hence for any natural number n, we have that n + 0 = n.

**3.** Prove that n + (m++) = (n+m)++

We will induct on n. For n = 0,

$$0 + (m++) = m++$$
$$= (0+m)++$$

Assume inductively that k + (m++) = (k+m)++ for some natural number k. Then

$$(k++) + (m++) = (k + (m++)) + +$$
 (definition of addition)  
=  $((k+m)++) + +$  (I.H)  
=  $((k++) + m) + +$ 

Hence, n + (m++) = (n+m)++ for all natural numbers n.

**4.** Prove that the natural numbers are closed under addition. That is, if a,b are natural numbers, then a + b is a natural number.

Fix a natural number b. For a=0, we have a+b=b (natural). Assume inductively that a+b is natural for some natural a. Then (a++)+b=(a+b)++ where a+b is natural by the inductive hypothesis. Hence (a+b)++ is natural by Axiom 2 (if a number is natural then its successor must also be natural). Hence the natural numbers are closed under addition.

**5.** Prove that the addition operation is commutative. That is, for any two natural numbers, a and b, we have that a + b = b + a

Fix a natural number b. For a = 0,

$$a+b=b$$
  
=  $b+0$  (from proof that  $n+0=n$  for any  $n$ )  
=  $b+a$ 

Assume inductively that a + b = b + a for some natural a. Then

$$(a++) + b = (a+b)++$$
 (definition of addition)  
=  $(b+a)++$  (I.H)  
=  $b+(a++)$  (alternate-order proof of addition)

Hence for any a, b, we have that a + b = b + a.

**6.** Prove that the additive cancellation law holds. That is, for any three natural numbers a, b, and c such that a + b = a + c, we have b = c.

Fix natural numbes b and c. For a = 0,

$$a+b=b$$
 (0-incrementing)  
 $a+c=c$  (0-incrementing)  
 $a+b=a+c \implies b=c$  (substitution)

Assume inductively that for some natural a, we have  $[a + b = a + c] \implies [b = c]$ . Then

$$(a++) + b = (a++) + c \implies (a+b) + + = (a+c) + + \text{ (definition of addition)}$$
  
 $\implies a+b \text{ and } a+c \text{ are naturals (additive closure)}$   
 $\implies a+b=a+c \text{ (Axiom 4)}$   
 $\implies b=c \text{ (I.H)}$ 

Hence, for any a, b, c, we have  $[a + b = a + c] \implies [b = c]$ 

7. A natural number a is said to be positive iff  $a \neq 0$ . Prove that the sum of a natural number and a positive number must be positive.

Fix a positive number b. Let  $a = 0 \implies a + b = b \neq 0$ . Then  $(a++) + b = (a+b) + t \neq 0$  since a+b is a natural number (by our proof of additive closure), and the successor of any natural number is positive by Axiom 3 (zero is not the successor of any natural number). Hence  $a+b\neq 0$  for all a.

**8.** Prove that, for natural numbers a and b, if a + b = 0 then a = 0 and b = 0.

We will prove the contrapositive:

Let 
$$a \neq 0$$
 or  $b \neq 0 \implies$   
if  $a \neq 0 \implies a + b \neq 0$  (by previous proof)  
if  $b \neq 0 \implies a + b \neq 0$  (by previous proof)

Hence 
$$a \neq 0$$
 or  $b \neq 0 \implies a + b \neq 0$ . Equivalently,  $a + b = 0 \implies a = 0$  and  $b = 0$ .

**9.** (**EXERCISE 1**) Prove that the addition operation is associative. That is, for any three natural numbers, a, b, c, we have that (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)

We will fix  $b, c \in \mathbb{N}$  and induct on a. Base case:

$$(0+b) + c = b + c$$
  
= 0 + (b + c)

Assume inductively that (a + b) + c = a + (b + c) for some natural number a. Then

$$((a++)+b)+c = ((a+b)++)+c$$

$$= ((a+b)+c)++$$

$$= (a+(b+c))++ (I.H)$$

$$= (a++)+(b+c)$$

This closes the induction.

10. (EXERCISE 2) Let a be a positive number. Prove that there exists exactly one natural number b such that b++=a

For the first positive number a=1, choose b=0 and we have b++=a. Then for the next natural a++, choose b=a and we have b++=a++ (no induction hypothesis needed). Hence, every positive a has at least 1 predecessor b. Axiom 4 (different natural numbers have different successors) guarantees that no two distinct natural numbers have the same successor. Hence, for every a, the corresponding b that satisfies b++=a must be the only such b.

11. Define the ordering of the natural numbers.

Let n and m be natural numbers. We say that n is greater than or equal to m, and write  $n \ge m$  or  $m \le n$ , iff we have n = m + a for some natural number a. We say that n is strictly greater than m, and write n > m or m < n, iff  $n \ge m$  and  $n \ne m$ .

- 12. (EXERCISE 3) Prove properties of order.
  - (a) (Reflexivity) Prove that  $a \ge a$  for any natural number a.

For any natural a, we can find an m such that a = a + m  $(a \ge a) \implies$  choose m = 0

(b) (Transitivity) Prove that if  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge c$ , then  $a \ge c$ .

$$a \ge b, b \ge c \implies a = b + k_0, b = c + k_1$$
 for some  $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$   
 $\implies a = (c + k_1) + k_0$   
 $\implies a = c + (k_1 + k_0)$  (associativity)  
 $\implies a = c + m$  for some natural  $m = k_0 + k_1$  (additive closure)  
 $\implies a \ge c$ 

(c) (Anti-symmetry) Prove that if  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge a$ , then a = b

$$a \ge b, b \ge a \implies a = b + k_0, b = a + k_1$$
 for some  $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$   
 $\implies a = (a + k_1) + k_0$   
 $\implies a = a + (k_1 + k_0)$  (associativity)  
 $\implies a + 0 = a + (k_1 + k_0)$   
 $\implies 0 = k_1 + k_0$  (cancellation law)  
 $\implies k_0 = 0$  and  $k_1 = 0$  (zero-sum proof)  
 $\implies a = b$ 

(d) (Order preservation under addition) Prove that  $a \ge b$  if and only if  $a + c \ge b + c$ .

$$a \ge b \iff \exists k(a = b + k)$$

$$\iff a + c = (b + k) + c$$

$$\iff a + c = b + (k + c)$$

$$\iff a + c = b + (c + k)$$

$$\iff a + c = (b + c) + k$$

$$\iff a + c \ge b + c$$

(e) Prove that a < b if and only if  $a++ \le b$ .

$$a < b \iff \exists k(b = a + k \text{ and } a \neq b)$$
  
 $\iff a + k \neq a$   
 $\iff k \neq 0$   
 $\iff b = a + m + + \text{ with } k = m + + +$   
 $\iff b = a + (m + 1)$   
 $\iff b = a + (1 + m)$   
 $\iff b = (a + 1) + m$   
 $\iff b = (a + +) + m$   
 $\iff a + + \leq b$ 

(f) Prove that a < b if and only if b = a + d for some positive number d.

$$a < b \iff \exists k(b = a + k \text{ and } a \neq b)$$
  
 $\iff a + k \neq a$   
 $\iff k \neq 0$   
 $\iff \text{choose } d = k$   
 $\iff b = a + d \text{ and } d \text{ is positive}$ 

## 13. EXERCISE 4 Justify the following:

(a)  $0 \le b$  for all b.

For any b, we can find an m such that b = 0 + m  $(0 \le b) \implies$  choose m = b

(b) If a > b, then a++> b

$$a > b \implies a++> a > b$$
 (definition of successor)  
 $\implies a++> b$  (transitivity)

(c) If a = b, then a++>b

$$a = b \implies a++ > a = b$$
 (definition of successor)  
 $\implies a++ > b$ 

**14. EXERCISE 5** (Prove the principle of strong induction). Let  $m_0$  be a natural number and let P(m) be a property of an arbitrary natural number m. Suppose that for each  $m \geq m_0$ , if P(m') is true for all  $m_0 \leq m' < m$ , then P(m) is also true. Prove that P(m) is then true for all  $m \geq m_0$ .

We will prove the principle of strong induction by re-notating (and effectively reducing) the multi-variable inductive hypothesis to a single-variable inductive hypothesis. Then we will prove the strong induction principle by simply invoking the ordinary induction principle (Axiom 5).

proof. Suppose that for each  $m \geq m_0$ , if P(m') is true for all  $m_0 \leq m' < m$ , then P(m) is also true. Put formally, we are assuming:

$$[\forall m \ge m_0(\forall m'(m_0 \le m' < m) \implies P(m'))] \implies P(m) \tag{1}$$

Let Q(n) be the property that P(m) is true for all  $m_0 \leq m < n$ . Note that  $Q(n) \Longrightarrow P(n)$  by (1). Hence, to show that P(m) is true for all  $m \geq m_0$ , it suffices to show that Q(n) holds for all  $n \geq m_0$ .

 $Q(m_0)$  is true (vacuously). Suppose inductively that Q(n) is true for some  $n \geq m_0$ : that is, P(m) is true for all  $m_0 \leq m < n$ . Then P(n) is true by (1), meaning that P(m) is true for all all of  $m_0 \leq m < n + 1$ . But this is exactly the statement Q(n + 1). This closes the induction.

**15.** (**EXERCISE 6**) (Prove the principle of backwards induction). Let n be a natural number and let P(m) be a property pertaining to the natural numbers such that whenever P(m++) is true, then P(m) is true. Suppose that P(n) is true. Prove that P(m) is true for all m < n.

The principle of backward induction starting on n can be written as the statement

$$Q(n) := [P(n) \land \forall m(P(m++) \implies P(m))] \implies P(m) \forall m \le n$$
 (2)

We effectively want to prove that Q(n) is true for all n, and we can use ordinary forward induction to do this. We have that

$$Q(0): [P(0) \land \forall m(P(m++) \implies P(m))] \implies P(0)$$

is trivially true. Suppose inductively that for some  $n \geq 0$ , Q(n) is true. Now we will prove that the following statement is true:

$$Q(n+1): [P(n+1) \land \forall m(P(m++) \implies P(m))] \implies P(m) \forall m \le n+1$$

Since Q(n) is true by the inductive hypothesis, we have that

$$[P(n) \land \forall m(P(m++) \implies P(m))] \implies P(m) \forall m \le n$$

Appending a condition to both sides of an implication maintains its truth. Hence we can append P(n+1) to both sides and maintain the statement's truth:

$$[P(n+1) \land P(n) \land \forall m (P(m++) \implies P(m))] \implies [P(n+1) \land P(m) \forall m \le n]$$

P(n+1) = P(n++) already implies P(n) by the condition  $\forall m(P(m++) \implies P(m))$ . Hence P(n) is a redundant condition on the left-hand side. Removing P(n) and re-writing the right-hand side, we get:

$$[P(n+1) \land \forall m(P(m++) \implies P(m))] \implies P(m) \forall m \le n+1]$$

Which is exactly the statement Q(n+1). This closes the induction.