## **Building Calculus From Scratch**

With Terence Tao's Analysis I

October 13, 2025

## 1 Starting Axioms

- 1. 0 is a natural number.
- 2. if n is a natural number, then its successor n++ is a natural number. We define the short-hand symbols

$$1 := 0++$$
$$2 := (0++)++$$
$$3 := ((0++)++)++$$

. . .

- 3. 0 is not the successor of any natural number. That is,  $0 \neq n++$  for any n.
- 4. Different natural numbers have different successors. That is,  $[n \neq m] \iff [n++\neq m++]$  for any n, m. Equivalently, if we have that n++=m++, then we have that n=m.
- 5. The principle of induction holds. That is, let P(n) be a property of the natural number n. Let P(0) be true, and let  $P(n) \implies P(n++)$ . Then P(n) is true for all natural numbers n.

## 2 Steps & Problems

1. Define the addition operation on two natural numbers, n and m.

We define incrementing m by zero as 0 + m := m. Suppose inductively, that we know how to increment m by n. Then we can increment m by n++ by defining

$$(n++) + m := (n+m)++$$

This recursive definition allows us to now add numbers (perform repeated incrementation). For example, 2 + m = (1++) + m = (1+m)++ = ((0++)+m)++ = ((0+m)++)++ = ((m)++)++, which is exactly m incremented twice.

**2.** Prove that n + 0 = n for any natural number n

We will induct on n. We have that 0+0=0 by the fact that 0+m:=m for any natural number m, including 0. Suppose, inductively, that k+0=k for some natural number k. Now consider the sum  $(k++)+0=(k+0)++\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=}(k)++$ . Hence for any natural number n, we have that n+0=n.

**3.** Prove that n + (m++) = (n+m)++

We will induct on n. For n = 0, we have that 0 + (m++) = m++ = (0+m)++. Assume inductively that k + (m++) = (k+m)++ for some natural number k. Now for the next number, k++, we have that (k++) + (m++) = (k+(m++))++ (by the definition from problem 1)  $\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} ((k+m)++)++ = ((k++)+m)++$ . Hence, n + (m++) = (n+m)++ for all natural numbers n.

**4.** Prove that the natural numbers are closed under addition. That is, if a,b are natural numbers, then a + b is a natural number.

We will fix b and induct on a. Base case: 0 is a natural number by Axiom 1 and let b be a natural number. Then 0 + b = b by our definition of incrementing by zero. But b is a natural number (by assumption). This closes the base case. Assume inductively that for some natural number a, if a and b are natural numbers then so is a+b. Consider the next natural number a++. By Axiom 2, since a is a natural number (I.H), we must have that a++ is also a natural number. Let b be a natural number by assumption. Now consider the sum (a++)+b=(a+b)++. We have that a and b are natural numbers, so a+b is a natural number by the inductive hypothesis. By Axiom 2, we have that its successor (a+b)++ must also be a natural number. This closes the induction. Hence the natural numbers are closed under addition.

**5.** Prove that the addition operation is commutative. That is, for any two natural numbers, a and b, we have that a + b = b + a

We will fix b and induct on a. We have that 0+b=b from our first definition—incrementing a natural number by 0. Then b=b+0, which we have from problem 2's proof. Hence we have our base case 0+b=b+0. Suppose inductively that a+b=b+a for some natural number a. Now consider the next number, a++: we have  $(a++)+b=(a+b)++\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=}(b+a)++=b+(a++)$  by our previous proof. Hence for some natural number b, we have that a+b=b+a for all natural numbers a.

**6.** Prove that the additive cancellation law holds. That is, for any three natural numbers a, b, and c such that a + b = a + c, we have b = c.

We will fix b,c and induct on a. For the base case a=0, we will show that  $[0+b=0+c] \implies [c=b]$ . Let us assume that 0+b=0+c. We have that 0+b=b and that 0+c=c from a previous proof. Then by transitive equality, we have b=c. This closes the base case. Assume inductively that for some natural number a, we have that  $[a+b=a+c] \implies [b=c]$ . Now consider the next natural number a++. Assume that

(a++)+b=(a++)+c. Re-writing both sides, we have (a+b)++=(a+c)++. a+b is a natural number and a+c is a natural number (by our additive closure proof). Recall by Axiom 4 that if the successors of two natural numbers are equal, then the natural numbers themselves must be equal. Hence we have that a+b=a+c. By the inductive hypothesis ( $[a+b=a+c] \implies [b=c]$ ), we must now have that b=c. This closes the inductive step. Thus, for any a, b, c such that a+b=a+c, we also have that b=c.

- 7. A natural number a is said to be positive iff  $a \neq 0$ . Prove that the sum of a natural number and a positive number must be positive.
- **8.** Prove that, for natural numbers a and b, if a + b = 0 then a = 0 and b = 0.

We will prove the equivalent contraposition statement: if  $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$ , then  $a + b \neq 0$ . Assume that either  $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$ . In the case that  $a \neq 0$ , a + b must be positive and hence non-zero. In the case that  $b \neq 0$ , a + b must similarly be positive and hence non-zero.

**9.** (**EXERCISE 1**) Prove that the addition operation is associative. That is, for any three natural numbers, a, b, c, we have that (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)

We will fix  $b, c \in \mathbb{N}$  and induct on a. Base case: (0+b)+c=b+c=0+(b+c). Assume inductively that (a+b)+c=a+(b+c) for some natural number a. Then ((a++)+b)+c=((a+b)++)+c=((a+b)+c)++=(a+(b+c))++=(a+c)++(b+c). Hence, we have (a+b)+c=a+(b+c) for all natural numbers a.

10. (EXERCISE 2) Let a be a positive number. Prove that there exists exactly one natural number b such that b++=a

Will will induct on a. Base case: for a=1, we have  $b=0 \implies b++=a$ . This is the only such b for a=0 because a different  $b\neq 0$  would have a different successor  $b++\neq 1$  according to Axiom 4: different natural numbers have different successors. Suppose inductively that for some natural number a there exists a b such that b++=a. Now consider the next natural number a++: the number a++, by definition, is the successor of a. So we have found one b=a such that b++=a++. This is the only such b because, similarly, by Axiom 4, any other  $b\neq a$  has a different successor  $b++\neq a++$ . This closes the induction.

11. Define the ordering of the natural numbers.

Let n and m be natural numbers. We say that n is greater than or equal to m, and write  $n \ge m$  or  $m \le n$ , iff we have n = m + a for some natural number a. We say that n is strictly greater than m, and write n > m or m < n, iff we have n = m + a for some positive number a.

**12.** (**EXERCISE 3**) Prove properties of order.

(a) (Reflexivity) Prove that  $a \geq a$  for any natural number a.

We can find a natural number a such that 0 = 0 + a. Choose a = 0 and we have 0 = 0 + 0. Hence  $0 \ge 0$ . Assume inductively that  $n \ge n$  for some natural number n. Consider the next natural number n++. We can find an a such that n++=(n++)+a. Chose a=0 again, and then we have (n++)+0=(n+0)++=(n)++. Hence  $n++\ge n++$ . This closes the induction. Note that this is degenerate induction, because the inductive hypothesis was not needed.

(b) (Transitivity) Prove that if  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge c$ , then  $a \ge c$ .

Assume that  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge c$  for some natural numbers a, b, c. Then we have some natural number  $k_1$  such that  $a = b + k_1$ , and we have some natural number  $k_2$  such that  $b = c + k_2$ . Substituting the second equation into the first, we have that  $a = (c + k_2) + k_1$ , which, by associativity, is  $a = c + (k_2 + k_1)$ . By additive closure,  $k_2 + k_1$  is some natural number, call it m. But since we have that a = c + m for some natural number m, then we must have that  $a \ge c$ .

(c) (Anti-symmetry) Prove that if  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge a$ , then a = b

Assume that  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge a$  for some a, b. Then we have some  $k_1$  such that  $a = b + k_1$  and we have some  $k_2$  such that  $b = a + k_2$ . Substituting the second equation into the first, we have  $a = (a + k_2) + k_1$  which is  $a = a + (k_2 + k_1)$  by associativity. Re-writing this as  $a + 0 = a + (k_2 + k_1)$  allows us to apply our proven cancellation law to get that  $0 = (k_2 + k_1)$ .