Building Calculus From Scratch

With Terence Tao's Analysis I

October 14, 2025

1 Starting Axioms

- 1. 0 is a natural number.
- 2. if n is a natural number, then its successor n++ is a natural number. We define the short-hand symbols

$$1 := 0++$$
$$2 := (0++)++$$
$$3 := ((0++)++)++$$

- 3. 0 is not the successor of any natural number. That is, $0 \neq n++$ for any n.
- 4. Different natural numbers have different successors. That is, $[n \neq m] \iff [n++\neq m]$ m++ for any n,m. Equivalently, if we have that n++=m++, then we have that n = m.
- 5. The principle of induction holds. That is, let P(n) be a property of the natural number n. Let P(0) be true, and let $P(n) \implies P(n++)$. Then P(n) is true for all natural numbers n.

2 Steps & Problems

1. Define the addition operation on two natural numbers, n and m.

We define incrementing m by zero as 0+m:=m. Suppose inductively, that we know how to increment m by n. Then we can increment m by n++ by defining

$$(n++) + m := (n+m)++$$

This recursive definition allows us to now add numbers (perform repeated incrementation). For example, 2 + m = (1++) + m = (1+m) + + = ((0++) + m) + + =((0+m)++)++=((m)++)++, which is exactly m incremented twice.

2. Prove that n + 0 = n for any natural number n

We will induct on n. We have that 0+0=0 by the fact that 0+m:=m for any natural number m, including 0. Suppose, inductively, that k+0=k for some natural number k. Now consider the sum $(k++)+0=(k+0)++\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=}(k)++$. Hence for any natural number n, we have that n+0=n.

3. Prove that n + (m++) = (n+m)++

We will induct on n. For n = 0, we have that 0 + (m++) = m++ = (0+m)++. Assume inductively that k + (m++) = (k+m)++ for some natural number k. Now for the next number, k++, we have that (k++) + (m++) = (k+(m++))++ (by the definition from problem 1) $\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} ((k+m)++)++ = ((k++)+m)++$. Hence, n + (m++) = (n+m)++ for all natural numbers m.

4. Prove that the natural numbers are closed under addition. That is, if a,b are natural numbers, then a + b is a natural number.

We will fix b and induct on a. Base case: 0 is a natural number by Axiom 1 and let b be a natural number. Then 0 + b = b by our definition of incrementing by zero. But b is a natural number (by assumption). This closes the base case. Assume inductively that for some natural number a, if a and b are natural numbers then so is a+b. Consider the next natural number a++. By Axiom 2, since a is a natural number (I.H), we must have that a++ is also a natural number. Let b be a natural number by assumption. Now consider the sum (a++)+b=(a+b)++. We have that a and b are natural numbers, so a+b is a natural number by the inductive hypothesis. By Axiom 2, we have that its successor (a+b)++ must also be a natural number. This closes the induction. Hence the natural numbers are closed under addition.

5. Prove that the addition operation is commutative. That is, for any two natural numbers, a and b, we have that a + b = b + a

We will fix b and induct on a. We have that 0+b=b from our first definition—incrementing a natural number by 0. Then b=b+0, which we have from problem 2's proof. Hence we have our base case 0+b=b+0. Suppose inductively that a+b=b+a for some natural number a. Now consider the next number, a++: we have $(a++)+b=(a+b)++\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=}(b+a)++=b+(a++)$ by our previous proof. Hence for some natural number b, we have that a+b=b+a for all natural numbers a.

6. Prove that the additive cancellation law holds. That is, for any three natural numbers a, b, and c such that a + b = a + c, we have b = c.

We will fix b,c and induct on a. For the base case a=0, we will show that $[0+b=0+c] \implies [c=b]$. Let us assume that 0+b=0+c. We have that 0+b=b and that 0+c=c from a previous proof. Then by transitive equality, we have b=c. This closes the base case. Assume inductively that for some natural number a, we have that $[a+b=a+c] \implies [b=c]$. Now consider the next natural number a++. Assume that

(a++)+b=(a++)+c. Re-writing both sides, we have (a+b)++=(a+c)++. a+b is a natural number and a+c is a natural number (by our additive closure proof). Recall by Axiom 4 that if the successors of two natural numbers are equal, then the natural numbers themselves must be equal. Hence we have that a+b=a+c. By the inductive hypothesis ($[a+b=a+c] \implies [b=c]$), we must now have that b=c. This closes the inductive step. Thus, for any a, b, c such that a+b=a+c, we also have that b=c.

7. A natural number a is said to be positive iff $a \neq 0$. Prove that the sum of a natural number and a positive number must be positive.

Fix a positive number b. We will show that a+b is positive for all a. Base case: 0+b=b by our definition of incrementing by zero, and b is positive (by assumption). This closes the base case. Assume inductively that a+b is positive for some natural number a. Then consider the statement for the next natural number a++: we have (a++)+b=(a+b)++. By the inductive hypothesis, we have that a+b is positive. But this means that (a+b)++, the successor of a+b, must also be positive—it cannot be 0 because of Axiom 3: zero is not the successor of any natural number. This closes the induction.

8. Prove that, for natural numbers a and b, if a + b = 0 then a = 0 and b = 0.

We will prove the equivalent contraposition statement: if $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$, then $a + b \neq 0$. Assume that either $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$. In the case that $a \neq 0$, a + b must be positive (according to the result of the previous proof) and hence non-zero. In the case that $b \neq 0$, a + b must similarly be positive and hence non-zero.

9. (**EXERCISE 1**) Prove that the addition operation is associative. That is, for any three natural numbers, a, b, c, we have that (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)

We will fix $b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and induct on a. Base case: (0+b)+c=b+c=0+(b+c). Assume inductively that (a+b)+c=a+(b+c) for some natural number a. Then ((a++)+b)+c=((a+b)++)+c=((a+b)+c)++=(a+(b+c))++=(a+c)++(b+c). Hence, we have (a+b)+c=a+(b+c) for all natural numbers a.

10. (EXERCISE 2) Let a be a positive number. Prove that there exists exactly one natural number b such that b++=a

Will will induct on a. Base case: for a=1, we have $b=0 \implies b++=a$. This is the only such b for a=0 because a different $b\neq 0$ would have a different successor $b++\neq 1$ according to Axiom 4: different natural numbers have different successors. Suppose inductively that for some natural number a there exists a b such that b++=a. Now consider the next natural number a++: the number a++, by definition, is the successor of a. So we have found one b=a such that b++=a++. This is the only such b because, similarly, by Axiom 4, any other $b\neq a$ has a different successor $b++\neq a++$. This closes the induction.

11. Define the ordering of the natural numbers.

Let n and m be natural numbers. We say that n is greater than or equal to m, and write $n \ge m$ or $m \le n$, iff we have n = m + a for some natural number a. We say that n is strictly greater than m, and write n > m or m < n, iff $n \ge m$ and $n \ne m$.

12. (**EXERCISE 3**) Prove properties of order.

(a) (Reflexivity) Prove that $a \ge a$ for any natural number a.

We can find a natural number a such that 0 = 0 + a. Choose a = 0 and we have 0 = 0 + 0. Hence $0 \ge 0$. Assume inductively that $n \ge n$ for some natural number n. Consider the next natural number n++. We can find an a such that n++=(n++)+a. Chose a=0 again, and then we have (n++)+0=(n+0)++=(n)++. Hence $n++\ge n++$. This closes the induction. Note that this is degenerate induction, because the inductive hypothesis was not needed.

(b) (Transitivity) Prove that if $a \ge b$ and $b \ge c$, then $a \ge c$.

Assume that $a \ge b$ and $b \ge c$ for some natural numbers a, b, c. Then we have some natural number k_1 such that $a = b + k_1$, and we have some natural number k_2 such that $b = c + k_2$. Substituting the second equation into the first, we have that $a = (c + k_2) + k_1$, which, by associativity, is $a = c + (k_2 + k_1)$. By additive closure, $k_2 + k_1$ is some natural number, call it m. But since we have that a = c + m for some natural number m, then we must have that $a \ge c$.

(c) (Anti-symmetry) Prove that if $a \ge b$ and $b \ge a$, then a = b

Assume that $a \ge b$ and $b \ge a$ for some a, b. Then we have some k_1 such that $a = b + k_1$ and we have some k_2 such that $b = a + k_2$. Substituting the second equation into the first, we have $a = (a + k_2) + k_1$ which is $a = a + (k_2 + k_1)$ by associativity. Re-writing this as $a + 0 = a + (k_2 + k_1)$ allows us to apply our proven cancellation law to get that $0 = (k_2 + k_1)$, which means that $k_1 = 0$ and $k_2 = 0$ (by our previous zero-sum proof). But this means that a = b + 0, or a = b.

(d) (Order preservation under addition) Prove that $a \ge b$ if and only if $a + c \ge b + c$.

We will prove the forward direction first—that is, if $a \ge b$, then $a + c \ge b + c$. Assume that $a \ge b$ for some a, b. Then we have some k_1 such that $a = b + k_1$. We can add a natural number c to both sides to obtain $a + c = (b + k_1) + c = b + (k_1 + c) = b + (c + k_1) = (b + c) + k_1$ by applications of associativity and commutativity. In all, we have that $a+c = (b+c)+k_1$, which is the definition of $a+c \ge b+c$.

Let us prove the backwards direction now—that is, if $a+c \geq b+c$, then $a \geq b$. Assume that $a+c \geq b+c$ for some natural numbers a,b,c. Then we have that a+c=(b+c)+k for some natural number k. With some associativity and commutativity manipulations, we can obtain a+c=(b+k)+c. Apply our cancellation law to obtain a=b+k, which is the definition of $a \geq b$.

(e) Prove that a < b if and only if $a++ \le b$.

Forward direction: assume that a < b. That is, $a \le b$ and $a \ne b$. Then we have that b = a + k for some k. Since $a \ne b$, we must have that $k \ne 0$. Hence k must be positive. But this means that k is the successor of some natural number, say m. That is, k = m + +. In total, we now have b = a + (m + +) = a + (m + 1) = a + (1 + m) = (a + 1) + m = (a + +) + m. Hence b = (a + +) + m, which is the definition of $a + + \le b$.

Backward direction: assume that $a++ \le b$. Then b=(a++)+m for some natural number m. Then b=(a+1)+m=a+(1+m)=a+(m+1)=a+m++. Together, we have b=a+(m++), which is the definition of $a \le b$. Since m++ is positive by definition, then $a \ne b$. If a < b and $a \ne b$, then we must have that a < b.

(f) Prove that a < b if and only if b = a + d for some positive number d.

Forward: $a < b \implies b = a + k$ for some k and $a \neq b$. Temporarily assume that k = 0. Then b = a + 0 and b = a (a contradiction). Hence $k \neq 0$ and k is positive. Let d = k, then we have b = a + d where d is positive.

Backward: Let b = a + d for some positive d. Then $a \le b$. Since d is positive, then $d \ne 0$. Temporarily assume that b = a. Then $b = a + d \implies d = 0$ (contradiction). Hence, $b \ne a$, and we have in total that a < b.

13. EXERCISE 4 Justify the following:

(a) $0 \le a$ for all a.