CSC265 Fall 2020 Homework Assignment 5

Solutions

The list of people with whom I discussed this homework assignment: Kunal Chawla

A family of hash functions \mathcal{H} from U to $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ is pairwise independent if for every two distinct keys $x_1, x_2 \in U$ and for every $y_1, y_2 \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$,

$$\underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\text{Prob}}[h(x_1) = y_1 \text{ and } h(x_2) = y_2] = 1/m^2.$$

Let \mathcal{H} be a pairwise independent family of hash functions from U to $\{0,\ldots,m-1\}$.

1. Prove that \mathcal{H} is universal.

Solution: Let $x, y \in U$ be arbitrary. We will show that $\underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{Prob}}[h(x) = h(y)] = 1/m$. Note that we can partition the set $\mathcal{H}' = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : h(x) = h(y)\}$ into union of $\mathcal{H}_i = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : h(x) = h(y) = i\}$, for $i \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. So $\mathcal{H}' = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{H}_i$. And we know by \mathcal{H} being pairwise independent that $\operatorname{Prob} \mathcal{H}_i = \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{Prob}}[h(x) = i \text{ and } h(y) = i] = 1/m^2$. Therefore, we can compute

$$\operatorname{Prob}_{h \in \mathcal{H}}[h(y) = h(x)] = \operatorname{Prob} \mathcal{H}' = \operatorname{Prob} \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{H}_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \operatorname{Prob} \mathcal{H}_i = m(1/m^2) = 1/m.$$

2. Let u = |U| and let $m = u^3$. Prove that $\underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\text{Prob}}[h \text{ is perfect for } U] > 1 - 1/u$.

Solution: In this question, let A^c denote $\mathcal{H} \setminus A$ for $A \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Define $\mathcal{H}_{x,y} = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : h(x) \neq h(y)\}$, for $x, y \in U$. Notice that the set of hash functions in \mathcal{H} that are perfect for U is exactly $\bigcap_{x,y\in U} \mathcal{H}_{x,y}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Prob}_{h \in \mathcal{H}}[h \text{ is perfect for } U] = \operatorname{Prob} \bigcap_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \mathcal{H}_{x,y} \\ & = \operatorname{Prob} \left(\bigcap_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \mathcal{H}_{x,y} \right)^{c^c} \\ & = 1 - \operatorname{Prob} \left(\bigcap_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \mathcal{H}_{x,y} \right)^{c} \\ & = 1 - \operatorname{Prob} \bigcup_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} (\mathcal{H}_{x,y})^{c}. \end{aligned}$$

We know

$$\operatorname{Prob} \bigcup_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} (\mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}_{x,y}) \leq \sum_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \operatorname{Prob} \mathcal{H}_{x,y}^{c}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{x,y \in U \\ x \neq y}} \frac{1}{m}$$

$$= u(u-1)\frac{1}{u^{3}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{u},$$

where the first inequality follows from probability, the second follows because $\mathcal{H}_{x,y}{}^c$ are those that hash x, y to the same slot, which has probability 1/m by Q1. The equality follows since there are u(u-1) possible (x,y) pairs from U^2 where $x \neq y$.

Thus, combining the results from above, we get that $\underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{Prob}}[h \text{ is perfect for } U] > 1 - 1/u.$

3. Let $k \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$. For any value $a \in U$, let $X_a : \mathcal{H} \to \{0, 1\}$ be the indicator variable such that $X_a(h) = 1$ if and only if h(a) < k. Let $S \subseteq U$ and let $Y = \sum \{X_a \mid a \in S\}$. Prove that

$$\operatorname{var}[Y] \le \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{h \in \mathcal{H}}[Y] = |S|k/m.$$

You may use without proof any property of variance given in CLRS section C.3.

Solution: We first show the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For $a \in U$, $E[X_a] = k/m$.

Proof. By definition of expectation, we have $\mathrm{E}[X_a] = 1 \cdot \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\mathrm{Prob}}[h(a) < k] + 0 \cdot \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\mathrm{Prob}}[h(a) \ge k]$. Let $b \in U$. Define \mathcal{H}' to be the set of hash functions in \mathcal{H} mapping a to less than k. Notice that \mathcal{H}' can be partitioned in the following way as $\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{k-1} \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{m-1} \{h \in \mathcal{H} : h(a) = i \text{ and } h(b) = j\}$.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Prob}_{h \in \mathcal{H}}[h(a) < k] = \operatorname{Prob} \mathcal{H}' \\ & = \operatorname{Prob} \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{k-1} \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{m-1} \{h \in \mathcal{H} : h(a) = i \text{ and } h(b) = j\} \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \operatorname{Prob} \{h \in \mathcal{H} : h(a) = i \text{ and } h(b) = j\} \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} 1/m^2 \quad \text{since } \mathcal{H} \text{ is pairwise independent} \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 1/m = k/m. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $Y = \sum_{a \in S} X_a$, we have, by linearity of expectation and the lemma, that $E[Y] = \sum_{a \in S} E[X_a] = |S|k/m$. Also, by CLRS C.3, we can compute $var[Y] = E[Y^2] - E[Y]^2$.

To find $E[Y^2]$, we apply Y's definition to see that $E[Y^2] = E[(\sum_{a \in S} X_a)^2] = E[\sum_{a_i, a_j \in S} X_{a_i} X_{a_j}] = \sum_{a_i, a_j \in S} E[X_{a_i} X_{a_j}]$, where the last equality is by linearity of expectation.

Looking at the summand, we know if $a_i = a_j$, then $X_{a_i} = X_{a_j}$. Thus $X_{a_i}X_{a_j} = (X_{a_i})^2 = X_{a_i}$ since $1^2 = 1$ and $0^2 = 0$. Thus, if $a_i = a_j$, then $E[X_{a_i}X_{a_j}] = E[X_{a_i}] = k/m$. On the other hand, if $a_i \neq a_j$, then the value of $X_{a_i}(h)X_{a_j}(h)$ for a hash function h is 1 exactly when $h(a_i) < k$ and $h(a_j) < k$. Thus, we have k choices for the value of $h(a_i)$ and k for $h(a_j)$, given a total of k^2 choices. Also, given any such choice l < k, w < k, we have $Prob[h(a_i) = l, h(a_j) = w] = 1/m^2$. Thus, if $a_i \neq a_j$, we have $E[X_{a_i}X_{a_j}] = 1 \cdot Prob[X_{a_i}(h)X_{a_j}(h) = 1] = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} Prob[h(a_i) = l, h(a_j) = w] = k^2/m^2$.

Now, we can finally evaluate

$$\begin{split} \sum_{a_i, a_j \in S} \mathrm{E}[X_{a_i} X_{a_j}] &= \sum_{\substack{a_i, a_j \in S \\ a_i \neq a_j}} \mathrm{E}[X_{a_i} X_{a_j}] + \sum_{a_i \in S} \mathrm{E}[X_{a_i} X_{a_i}] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_i, a_j \in S \\ a_i \neq a_j}} k^2 / m^2 + \sum_{a_i \in S} k / m \\ &= \frac{(|S|^2 - |S|)k^2}{m^2} + \frac{|S|k}{m} \\ &= \frac{|S|k(|S|k - k + m)}{m^2}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have $\text{var}[Y] = \mathrm{E}[Y^2] - \mathrm{E}[Y]^2 = \frac{|S|k(|S|k-k+m)}{m^2} - \frac{|S|k^2}{m^2} = \frac{|S|k(m-k)}{m^2}$. We have $\frac{|S|k(m-k)}{m^2} \leq \mathrm{E}[Y]$ iff $m-k \leq m$, which is true. Hence, we can conclude

$$\operatorname{var}[Y] \leq \mathop{\mathbf{E}}_{k \in \mathcal{H}}[Y] = |S|k/m.$$

4. Consider the following algorithm that takes as input a sequence a_1, \ldots, a_n of n elements from U and is supposed to return an estimate of the number d of distinct elements in the sequence. Here t is a parameter of the algorithm.

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ be chosen uniformly at random.

Determine the set T of the t smallest distinct elements in $\{h(a_i) \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$.

If there are fewer than t distinct elements in $\{h(a_i) \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$,

then return the size of this set;

else let V be the largest element in T.

Return
$$D = (t - \frac{1}{2})(m - 1)/V$$
.

Explain how to implement this algorithm so that it takes $O(n \log t)$ time and uses O(t) words of memory, each storing $O(\log m)$ bits.

Assume that a hash function can be stored in O(1) words of memory and that it can be evaluated on an element of U in O(1) time.

Solution: To implement this algorithm, we need to specify a data structure and how the algorithm operates on the data structure. Let our data structure be a red-black tree T, together with an integer counter size and a pointer max to a node in T. At the beginning of this algorithm, T is empty, size = 0, and max = NIL. We denote the key of the node max is pointing to by *max, and it is $-\infty$ if max = NIL. We also denote, if a key x is in T, the node corresponding to x as n(x).

For every input a_i , we compute $h(a_i)$. Then, if size < t, we search to see if $h(a_i)$ is already in T, if it's not already there, we add $h(a_i)$ to T, set $max = n(h(a_i))$ if $h(a_i) > *max$, and increment size. If size = t, then we check whether $h(a_i) < *max$ (if $h(a_i)$ is at least *max, then there is no point inserting) and whether $h(a_i)$ is not in T. If the two conditions hold, we delete n(*max) from the tree, insert $h(a_i)$ into T, and set max to the rightmost node in T (this is done by traversing down the right, until there are no more right children).

After doing this for every input, we will have a set of at most t h-values in T. To be consistent with the algorithm, we set V = *max. Now, we do exactly what is specified: if size < t, we return size; otherwise, we return $D = (t - \frac{1}{2})(m-1)/V$.

To see that this algorithm runs in $O(n \log t)$, notice that for each for each a_i , $1 \le i \le n$, we do a constant number of RB-tree insert, delete, and search on T. More specifically, we know that: when size < t, we perform a search and insert; when size = t, we perform a search, at most one deletion, at most one insert, and at most one retrieval for the rightmost node. We know from CLRS13 that insert and delete are $O(\log t)$ (t is the maximum number of nodes in T), and, since the height of T is $O(\log t)$, binary search and retrieval of the rightmost node takes $O(\log t)$ time too. Finally, there is a constant number of comparisons between size, t, $h(a_i)$, and *max. Therefore, we can conclude each of the n inputs take $O(\log t)$ time to process, so the overall algorithm is $O(n \log t)$ (notice that we have a constant number of arithmetic and assignment regarding D and V after, but since there are a constant number of them, they don't contribute to the asymptotic runtime).

For space complexity, notice that T has at most size t, and each node stores a color (1 bit), an integer key ($\log_2 m$ bits). Other than T, we have an integer counter *size* which is at most t ($\log_2 m$ bits) and a pointer max to a tree node ($\log_2 m$ bits). Thus, the space complexity is overall $t(1 + \log_2 m) + 2\log_2 m \in O(t \log m)$.

5. Give a brief, intuitive explanation why E[D] is approximately d.

Solution: Notice that if we set $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$, then d = |S|. For any $0 \le V \le m-1$, we know by Q3 that given a hash function $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we should expect h to map |S|(V+1)/m elements of S to less than to V+1—we called this number t in Q4 (beware the V+1 might be m, which is not covered by Q3, however, the statement in Q3 should still hold since V+1 might cancel with m). So, given $|S|(V+1)/m \approx t$, solving for |S| gives $|S| \approx t(m)/(V+1) \approx (t-1/2)(m-1)/V$ when t and m are large.