a.

| | Decrepant 42 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Assignment #2 |
| G) | |
| | $P_0[0,0,1,2] - [0,0,12] = [0,0,0,0]$ $P_1[2,7,5,0] - [1,0,0,0] = [0,7,5,0]$ |
| | Pz [2,3,56] - [1,3,5,4] = [.1,0,0,2] |
| | $P_3 [0, 6, 5, 2] - [0, 6, 3, 2] = [0, 0, 2, 0]$ |
| | Py [0,6,5,6] - [0,0,1,4] = [0,6,4,2] |
| | |

| 7. 9) | Available | Allocation | Need |
|--------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| () | [1,5,2,0] | P. [0,0,1,2] | Po [0,0,0,0] |
| | | P. [1,0,0,0] | P, [0,7,5,0] |
| | | P_ [1,3,5,4] | Pz [2,0,0,2] Pz [0,0,2,0] |
| | | P3 [0,6,3,2] P4 [0,0,1,4] | R, [0,6,4,2] |
| • Wo | K = [1,5,2,0] = | Auditable | |
| · Scif | ety Sequence = [| Po, 12, 13, Pu, Pi. |) |
| 10 | general Company of the Company of th | Jovk - | |
| | E0,0,0,03 € | [1,5,2,0] | |
| | Work = Elit | 5.2.07 + Alloxation | 0 = [1,5,2,0]+[0,0,1 |
| | Work= [1,5, | 3,2) | 0 |
| 11 | Need, 5 W | ork | |
| | [0,7,5,0] & [1 | 5,3,2) | |
| ciz | Needs & Wo | | |
| (8 | [1,0,0,2] < [| 1,5,3,2] | |
| | Mark = Mark + | Allocations = [1] | 2,3,2]+[1,3,5,4] |
| | Work = [2,8, | 8,67 | |
| 13 | Needy & Wo | rk | |
| | [0,0,2,0] = 1 | -2,8,8,6, | - 1700 / T + FA/2 |
| | Mork = W | oric + Allocations | = [2,8,8,6] + [0,6,3 |
| - | Work = [2, | المالا | |
| 14 | Needy < u | T7 11 11 87 | |
| | C0,6,4,23 < | use of Allerentum | · [2,14,11,8] + [0,0,1 |
| | World = W | From Tel Co. 11 | (-)-)- |
| 11 | Work = CZ, | 14,12,12 | |
| - 11 | FARE OF C | 2 11. 12.127 | |
| | [0,7,5,0] S [| e) infinite) | |
| | · The sustemi | sing safestate - | the salesequence is |
| | [Po, P2, P3, 9 | | |

Request, ≤ Need)
 CO,4,2,03 ≤ C0,7,5,03
 Request, ≤ Available
 CO,4,2,03 ≤ [1,5,2,0]

Work = New Available = [1,5,2,0] - [0,4,20] = Available - Regnat,

Need, = Need, - Regrest, = [0,7,5,0] - [0,4,2,0] Need, = [0,3,3,0]

Allocation = Allocation + Reguest, = 0,0,0,0] + [0,4,2,0]

Allocation = [1,4,2,0]

New Need Mosty New Allocation Moting Po [0,0,0,0) Po [0,0,1,2] * P. [0,3,3,0] * P. [1,4,2,0] P2 [1,0,0,2) Pz [1,3,5,4] P3 [0,6,3,2] P3 [0,0,2,0] Pu [0,6,4,2] Py [0,0,1;4] * WURK = [1,1,0,0] Safety Algo Safdy Seg = [Po, Pz, B, Pa, 1.] Needo < Work [0,0,0,0) < [1,1,0,0] Work = Work + Allocation = [1,1,0,0] + [0,0,1,2] Work = [1,1,1,2]

11 Need, S Work

[0,3,3,0] & [1,1,1,2]

12 Need & S work

[1,0,0,2] & [1,1,1,2]

Work & Allocation & [1,1,2]

Work = [2,4,6,6] = [1,1,1,2] + (1,3,5,4)

Assignment #2

1.0

13 Needz S Work

LO, 0,2,0] < [2,4,6,6] = [2,4,6,6] + [0,6,3,2]

Work = [2,10,9,8]

Needy & Work

LO, 6, 4, 27 5 [2, 10, 9, 8] Work = Work + Allocationy = [2, 10, 9, 8] +

Work = [2,10,10,12]

7

14

Need, < Work

CO,3,3,0] < [2,10,10,12]

· Requests can be granted be cause it leaves the system in a safe state. Request, = [0,4,2,0]. The safe sequence is [Po, Ps, Ps, Pu, Pi] 2. The three requirements of the critical-section problem are mutual exclusion is assured, progress is assured, and bounded waiting is assured. Dekker's algorithm satisfies all three of these requirements. When Pi is entering its critical section flag[i] will be true and turn == i so the code for Pj will spin in the while loop and not allow Pj to enter its critical section until Pi is finished therefore mutual exclusion is assured. After Pi has finished its critical section, it will set turn to j and flag[i] to false. Then Pj can enter its critical section, so progress is assured. Pj can enter its critical section after Pi has ran once so bounded waiting is assured.

| waiting is as | asureu. | |
|---------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | | |
| | | 0 1.1: (|
| 3) | | Memory Partitions |
|) | | 1000 |
| S. Car | A=10019 | A |
| | | 100K |
| | B = 50019 | Blook |
| | | 600K |
| | c = 200k | 8001(|
| | D=300K | |
| | | 1100K |
| | E = 9001c | [[|
| | | 1 12mK |
| | | [700. |
| | | |

| B = 500-212K = 288K |
|---------------------|
| E=600K-417K=183K |
| B=288K-112K=176K |
| |
| 40-1 |
| |
| |

| Best | Allocate the smalles | thole that is bigenough |
|------|-----------------------------|--|
| • | P1:212k → D P2:417K → B | D=300K-212K=88K B=500K-417K=83K |
| | B: 112k → C | C=200k-112k = 88K |
| | P4:412K → E | E=600K-412K=188K |
| | Final: A = 100K, B = 83K, C | = 881c, E= 1881c, D=88K |
| Mors | gx - Allocate the largest h | role that is big enough |
| Non | P1:212K -> E | E=600K-212K= 388K |
| | P2:417K → B | B=5001K-417K=83K |
| | P3:112K → E | E= 388K-112K=276K |
| | Pu: 112K -> cannot be | A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR |
| | allocated anywhere | |
| | at this moment. | |

Conclusion: The algorithm that makes the most efficient use of memory in this case is the best-fit algorithm because it is able to allocate memory for all 4 processes at the same time.

a. 2*150ns = 300ns because the paging system requires two memory accesses one for the page table and one for the data/instruction.

b. Effective Memory Access Time = $s_a + (2-h)*m_a = 20ns + (2-0.8)*150ns = 200ns$

5.

| 5 |) | | | | FI | 63 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|---|-----|------|-----------|----|-----|------|-----|------|------|-----|---|---|---|
| Payeretshing | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| , | 0 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | . 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Memory 3 frames | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 (10.11 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | o l | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Page fault? | V | V | V | X | V | V | 1/ | V | X | V | V | V | V | V | V | X |
| Page RetSin Numbry Frames | 3 | 3 | | 5 3 | dour | N. J. San | | 36 | | | | | | | | |
| Page fault? | J | X > | | 000 | e Fo | wits? | 12 | po | ge f | àul | 15 6 | ir f | IFO | 7 | 0 |) |

| 5 b) | | Gpt Ima | 1 -mplace longest | page time. | that 1 | nill ni | of loc u | 5004 | ev |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|--------|----------------|-------------------|-------|----|
| Pageret String | 01 | 70 | 12 | 0 1 2 2 | 2 | 3 2 | 2 7 | - 1 | 0 |
| Page fault? | - 0 | 1 1 0 0 | | 1 1 0 0 | 1 | 1 3 | 1 1 3 3 X V | _ | 3 |
| | 3 1 | 0 3 | | | | 10 | | - 12 | |
| Memory Rage tault | 1 1 3 3 X X | 1 1 3 3 X X | | | | | | | |
| -3 T | 1 # of po | 1 | | Δ. | -1 | .1 | | | |
| 5 Pagerel | number | 0 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| (RU) Memo | | 0 | 1 0 | 70 7 1 | 1 0 7 | 22 Ø1 Z0 | 2 1 | 1 0 2 | 1 |
| Total # of a Page ref no | m 3 : | 2 7 | 10 | | X | 0 | X 3 | X | X |
| Wemore | 2 | 2 7 2 1 3 | 1 0 7 1 2 7 | . 0 | 1 3 0 | 0 1 3 | 3 0 | | |
| Page faul | ? V ! | XV | VV | V | X | X | X | | |

Conclusion for question 5: FIFO -> 12 page faults, Optimal -> 7 page faults, LRU -> 9 page faults.