Follow this series for more bite-sized comparisons between C++ and Rust!

#### HOW TO DO OOP IN RUST?

# NO CLASSES & NO CLASSICAL INHERITANCE

Rust does not have classes or classical inheritance, but it does support object-oriented programming (OOP) through composition, traits, and dynamic dispatch. It encourages an OOP style that avoids some of the pitfalls of inheritance-heavy design.



Follow this series for more bite-sized comparisons between C++ and Rust!

- Key Object-Oriented Programming Features in Rust
  - Encapsulation
    - Supported via struct, impl, and module-level privacy (pub, private by default)
  - Polymorphism
    - Achieved through traits
    - • Use generics for static dispatch
    - o 😑 Use dyn Trait for dynamic dispatch
  - Inheritance
    - X Not supported in the classical sense
    - Replaced by composition and trait inheritance
  - Dynamic Dispatch
    - Supported using trait objects (Box<dyn Trait>, &dyn Trait)
    - Allows runtime polymorphism without virtual tables
  - Interfaces
    - Traits serve as Rust's equivalent to interfaces
    - Traits can include default method implementations
- Let's give a look into Encapsulation:



Follow this series for more bite-sized comparisons between C++ and Rust!

#### **V** C++ − struct:

```
#include <string>
#include <iostream>
struct Contact {
  std::string name;
  std::string phone;
  // Constructor
  Contact(const std::string& n, const std::string& p)
      : name(n), phone(p) {}
  // Member function
  void Print() const {
    std::cout << "Name: " << name << ", Phone: " << phone << std::endl;</pre>
  }
};
auto main() -> int {
  Contact alice("Alice", "123-456");
  alice.Print();
  return 0;
```

- struct can have fields, constructors, and methods
- Member functions can be const
- this is used implicitly
- Fields are public by default

#### **✓** Rust — struct:

```
struct Contact {
    name: String, // Owning type (heap-allocated string)
    phone: String,
}
impl Contact {
    // Associated function: similar to a static factory
    fn new(name: &str, phone: &str) -> Self {
        Self {
            name: name.to_string(),
            phone: phone.to_string(),
    }
    // Method: requires &self, similar to 'this' pointer in C++
    fn print(&self) {
        println!("Name: {}, Phone: {}", self.name, self.phone);
}
fn main() {
    let alice = Contact::new("Alice", "123-456");
    // Literal initialization without using Contact::new()
    let bob = Contact {
        name: "Bob".to_string(),
        phone: "987-654".to_string(),
    };
    alice.print();
    bob.print();
```

- No built-in constructors, and new() is just a convention
- not built into the language
- Literal initialization is flexible, similar to aggregate init in C++

Follow this series for more bite-sized comparisons between C++ and Rust!

#### What to notice:

- What's the C++ Counterpart?
  - ✓ Rust struct, no 'class' keyword in Rust
    - → Equivalent to C++ struct or class
  - ✓ Private fields by default in Rust
    - → Like private section in C++
  - Associated function (fn new)
    - → Similar to a static member function in C++
  - ✓ impl block in Rust
    - → Comparable to grouping member functions inside a C++ class
  - ✓ Self in Rust
    - → Refers to the struct type, like using the class name or this in C++
  - &self in method signature
    - → Similar to const Type\* 'this' in a C++ const member function
- You may define a new() associated function in Rust when:
  - You want to pre-process inputs (e.g. parse, convert types)
  - You want to hide initialization logic from the user
  - You need to apply defaults or perform validation