

A demonstration of combined scientific data processing and publication using Literate Programming in R

Andy Clifton

2019-10-18

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Linking analysis and publication workflows	2
1.2	How Literate Programming was used to write this document	2
1.3	Please not R	3
2	Implementing a coupled analysis and publication workflow	4
2.1	Setting up the computing environment	4
2.2	Load packages	5
2.3	Loading our own routines	5
2.4	Load the data	5
2.5	Plot input data	6
2.6	Operate on the data	6
2.7	Plot the results	6
2.8	Write	7
2.9	Save	8
2.10	Iterate	8
2.11	Apply formatting	8
3	Does this approach lead to reproducible research?	8
3.1	Protecting confidential data and intellectual property	9
3.2	Is this FAIR?	10
3.3	Making the results portable	10
4	Conclusions	10
	Referencing this document	10
	Acknowledgements	10
	Bibliography	11

1 Introduction

Scientific progress is underpinned by the exchange of information between scientists. This allows others to repeat work and confirm – or refute – findings, and adds to the reputability of the research. And, the methods that are developed can be re-used by others. These ideas are sometimes lumped together under the heading of “reproducible research”, and as a result of applying these tenets, new developments make their way into the body of knowledge.

Reproducible research is beneficial in many non-academic settings, for example by showing that a consultant applied all relevant standards in designing a product, or during an audit when it can be used to show conformance to business processes.

Reproducible research is however often just a goal, rather than a reality. For example, analysis processes are often separated from publication because of the need to switch software. Analysis might be done using python, Fortran, Matlab, or any number of tools, while the writing might be done using LaTeX, a desktop publishing software, or something else. This means that there is a disconnect between the two steps; it is possible that the published document does not actually accurately represent the data. This is not, then, reproducible research.

Recent growth in the capabilities of data repositories such as GitHub and Zenodo means that is feasible to store data and documents together and share them. This assists in sharing data and algorithms and thus replicating research, but the disconnect between data and publication persists. What is therefore needed is a method to clearly link data, algorithms, and publications, and allow that to be shared. This would be beneficial to many people.

1.1 Linking analysis and publication workflows

This document demonstrates the application of Literate Programming to reproducible research. Literate programming means that the program documentation is complete and contained within the program itself (Knuth 1984)¹. It is important to note that the documentation is effectively a publication, and thus it is possible to combine data analysis with the creation of a publication in the same file. The use of literate programming therefore mitigates this barrier to reproducible research.

A literate program could be stored together with the input files, processing algorithms, and output documents in a repository. That repository could be shared with others directly who can then use the contents however they need. As an example, the document that you are reading has been archived in a GitHub repository, and can be downloaded and used by anyone to replicate the output documents. Later in this document I will explore some different ways of storing and distributing such information depending on confidentiality requirements.

1.2 How Literate Programming was used to write this document

In this example, an output PDF document and results are generated from a file called *main.rmd*. *main.rmd* is an **R markdown file**. R markdown is a flavor of markdown that can be processed by the R programming language (R Core Team 2017) to run code (i.e, do analysis) and create documentation from the same document. Instructions for how to run process *main.rmd* are included in the *HowTo.md* file in this repository. A far more detailed guide to writing using R markdown can be found in Xie, Allaire, and Grolemund (2019).

The markdown document contains a mixture of documentation – written in markdown or LaTeX - and so-called “code chunks”, which here are written in R. The output is a PDF.

- The .rmd document is written in Pandoc markdown, which looks like normal text.
- The document contains code chunks that look like this:

```
```{r, echo=TRUE}
y = 40 + 2
print(y)
```

---

<sup>1</sup>Yes, that’s the same ‘Knuth’ who invented LaTeX

...

.. which evaluates to

```
y = 40 + 2
print(y)
```

```
[1] 42
```

- The code chunks are evaluated at the time the document is processed. This is enabled by the *knitr* package. Code chunks can be configured so that their outputs are echoed to the document (or not). You can thus completely hide data wrangling operations in your output PDF and just display results.
- It is possible to use other programming languages by replacing the ‘{r,’ in the code chunk with the name of another language (see “Please not R”)
- There are a lot of different possible output formats, including PDF, HTML, Notebooks, other markdown formats, and many others. Corporate formatting can usually be applied without modifying their content. The details of this are out of scope for this paper; instead, see (Xie, Allaire, and Golemund 2019) or <https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown/documents.html> for more information.

There is a learning curve to all of this. I suggest reading this PDF together with the R markdown file (*main.rmd*) and possibly the *knitr* instructions<sup>2</sup>. This will greatly help in understanding what is done in the processing and what makes it to the publication.

### 1.3 Please not R

If you can’t handle learning yet another new language, this statement might interest you:

“A less well-known fact about R Markdown is that many other languages are also supported, such as Python, Julia, C++, and SQL. The support comes from the *knitr* package, which has provided a large number of language engines.”

— Xie, Allaire, and Golemund (2019)

The currently available language engines are:

```
require(knitr)
names(knitr::knit_engines$get())
```

```
[1] "awk" "bash" "coffee" "gawk" "groovy"
[6] "haskell" "lein" "mysql" "node" "octave"
[11] "perl" "psql" "Rscript" "ruby" "sas"
[16] "scala" "sed" "sh" "stata" "zsh"
[21] "highlight" "Rcpp" "tikz" "dot" "c"
[26] "fortran" "fortran95" "asy" "cat" "asis"
[31] "stan" "block" "block2" "js" "css"
[36] "sql" "go" "python" "julia" "sass"
[41] "scss" "theorem" "lemma" "corollary" "proposition"
[46] "conjecture" "definition" "example" "exercise" "proof"
[51] "remark" "solution"
```

---

<sup>2</sup>See <https://yihui.name/knitr/>

So, you have no excuse. You can write your code in any of those 52 languages, and off you go.

The only challenge that might arise is that the document is *R-flavoured* markdown. This means that it needs to be rendered from within R, but that step can be dealt with relatively easily, for example leveraging online resources such as the stackoverflow network.

## 2 Implementing a coupled analysis and publication workflow

Let's assume that you have formed a hypothesis and gathered a bunch of data to test it. You now want to analyse that data and create a report or article that summarizes your findings. An analysis and publication workflow usually follows a similar path:

1. Set up the computing environment
2. Load some external packages
3. Load our own data processing routines
4. Import data
5. Plot it
6. Do some operations
7. Plot some more
8. Write
9. Save
10. Iterate around items 1-10 for a while
11. Format for publication
12. Submit

All of this can be captured in our *main.rmd* R Markdown file.

### 2.1 Setting up the computing environment

Like most scripts, *main.rmd* includes a few variables that the user must set to run the analysis.

- The *project.root* variable defines the location of the files required for this analysis.
- The *made.by* variable forms part of a label that will be added to the plots.

An advantage of using markdown and *knitr* is that we can execute the code and show the code and results inline:

```
Where can files be found?
project.root <- file.path('/Users/andyc/Documents/public/GitHub/lit-pro-sci-pub-demo')
project.root

Who ran this script
made.by = "A. Clifton"
made.by

[1] "/Users/andyc/Documents/public/GitHub/lit-pro-sci-pub-demo"
[1] "A. Clifton"
```

We can also show the value of those variables in the documentation. For example:

- *project.root* is /Users/andyc/Documents/public/GitHub/lit-pro-sci-pub-demo
- *made.by* is A. Clifton.

Imagine that when we started our project, we set up several subdirectories in *project.root*. These are:

- **/code** contains functions required for the analysis
- **/data** contains the data files to be analyzed.

Let's tell the code where to find these directories. And, while we do it, we can also change R's working directory (*working.dir*) to the root directory of the project.

We now want to create a new directory for the results of the analysis.

Looking at your file system, you'll see there is now a new directory called **/Users/andyc/Documents/public/GitHub/lit-pro-sci-pub-demo/analysis**.

## 2.2 Load packages

Packages are required to supplement base functions in R and many other languages. For example, this script requires the *reticulate*, *bookdown*, *ggplot2*, *grid*, *knitr*, *RColorBrewer*, *rgdal*, and *stringr* packages to run. These are called from the script using the *require()* function. This assumes that the packages are available on your system.<sup>3</sup>

**\*\* Note: \*\*** The use of packages represents a challenge to reproducible and repeatable research as it is possible that the function and output of the packages may change over time.

## 2.3 Loading our own routines

Every data processing workflow requires its own scripts or functions to run. In this example, they are included in the *codes* directory and sourced during the preparation of this document. I have included output below to show these codes being called.

```
source these functions
code.files = dir(code.dir, pattern = "\\..R$")
for (file in code.files){
 source(file = file.path(code.dir,file))
 print(paste0("Sourcing ", file, "."))
}
```

```
[1] "Sourcing cleanPlot.R."
[1] "Sourcing plotInfoLabel.R."
[1] "Sourcing plotSomething.R."
[1] "Sourcing theme_Literate.R."
```

## 2.4 Load the data

We now analyse the data from the simple data set. In this case, code has been written to load all of the files in the *data.dir* directory (**/Users/andyc/Documents/public/GitHub/lit-pro-sci-pub-demo/data**). I'm also going to map the three columns in the data files to the variables *x*, *y*, and *z*.<sup>4</sup>


---

<sup>3</sup>For details of how to install packages, see the RStudio help.

<sup>4</sup>See <https://www.calvin.edu/~rpruim/courses/s341/S17/from-class/MathinRmd.html> for more information about including maths in R markdown

## 2.5 Plot input data

The next step is to plot the input data. Let's plot all of the input data together using the `plotSomething()` function in the `/codes` directory.



I've used the `ggplot` package to make this figure. This has the advantage that figures can be given a consistent look and feel through `ggplot`'s themes.

For convenience, we'll also save a copy of the figure as a `.png` file to the `analysis` directory.


## 2.6 Operate on the data

At this point we can do any number of operations on the data. For sake of demonstration, let's add 2 to all  $x$  values.

```
df.all <- df.in
df.all$x <- df.in$x + 2.0
```

## 2.7 Plot the results

Let's run that `plotSomething()` routine again.



And, as we can see, the data have shifted along  $x$  by a small amount.

## 2.8 Write

Writing in an R Markdown document is similar to most other types of markdown.

So far we have demonstrate that we can import and manipulate data and plot results. Another important part of a publication is the ability to generate statistics or summary information from data and include that in our text.

To demonstrate that, I can calculate that the maximum value of  $y$  in the input data sets was 100. This can be confirmed by checking the input data files. I could also include more complex logic in these statements, for example to say if one statistic is bigger or larger than another.

We sometimes need to include formatted tables in documents. This can be done using the *kable* function (Table 1).

```
knitr::kable(df.all,
 format = "pandoc",
 # format = "markdown", # this breaks cross references
 booktabs=TRUE,
 caption = "The $df.all$ data frame.")
```

Table 1: The *df.all* data frame.

x	y	z	source
3	1	3	file1.csv
4	4	6	file1.csv
5	9	9	file1.csv
6	16	12	file1.csv
7	25	15	file1.csv
12	100	30	file1.csv
3	1	4	file2.csv
4	6	8	file2.csv

x	y	z	source
5	9	12	file2.csv
6	12	16	file2.csv
7	15	20	file2.csv
12	30	40	file2.csv

## 2.9 Save

We now write our processed data to file.

```
save the data
save(list = c("project.root",
 "made.by",
 "df.all"),
 file = file.path(output.dir, "Data.RData"),
 envir = .GlobalEnv)
```

In R it is also possible to save the whole workspace. We can do that here as well:

```
save the workspace
save.image(file=file.path(output.dir, "workspace.RData"))
```

## 2.10 Iterate

To re-run the analysis, render the *main.rmd* every so often following the instructions in *HowTo.md*.

Some IDEs also allow the code chunks to be evaluated separately, which might help when dealing with larger data sets, more complex analysis, or bigger documents.

## 2.11 Apply formatting

Scientific Journals often have their own formatting requirements. These requirements can still be met using markdown. The mechanics of such a process are beyond the scope of this paper and should probably be done as the last step in the publishing process. The reader is suggested to look at the *rticles* package and to use the detailed instructions in section 13 of the R Markdown Guide (Xie, Allaire, and Golemund 2019).

# 3 Does this approach lead to reproducible research?

If you would like to test the reproducibility of this approach, try this:

1. Make sure you have set up the computing environment.
2. Make a copy of the entire working directory. Put that somewhere safe.
3. In the working directory, remove the results:
4. Delete the *output.dir* directory.
5. Delete the */publications* directory, where *main.PDF*, *\_main.html*, and *main.md* are.

You should now be left with some raw data and a few codes. There's no documentation anymore and no results.



5. Render the main.rmd file in R. There are instructions on how to do this in the *HowTo.md* file included in the root directory.

You now should have your data folder back and all of the documentation, but you'll notice that a few dates might have changed. This is reproducible research in action.

### 3.1 Protecting confidential data and intellectual property

In an ideal world, I would simply throw all of my data and code into a directory, push it to Github or some other repository, and share the URL with everyone. This is effectively what you are reading.

Unfortunately, things don't work that way. My data might be commercially sensitive, and my algorithms represent intellectual property. As a result, both data and codes might need to be protected. But the story is not so clear cut. It may be helpful at this point to consider a few real-world users and their needs, and how they might modify the above processes to protect their data and intellectual property.

There are doubtless many other issues and anyone concerned about this is encouraged to consult an expert.

#### 3.1.1 The academic researcher

... is driven by the need for recognition and cooperation, and wants to share all of their processes and results.

There are two subsets here that we should remember:

- the academic researcher who is required to share their data as a condition of their funding.
- the academic researcher that cannot share their raw data as it was provided by an industry partner.

“need to finish this”

#### 3.1.2 The consultant

... wants to provide scientifically rigorous results to clients without sharing all of the algorithms that go into those results. However, they might be subject to audits that require them to connect end results to processing routines and data, and recreate those steps if required. This is particularly relevant if processes are occasionally recalibrated.

“need to finish this”

#### 3.1.3 The internal researcher

... wants to generate a record of research for internal purposes

This document gave a simple example where data was obtained from text files that are stored in the same repository. However, it's equally possible that the raw data would have been called from a remote database at run time (or cached) and should be kept confidential.

This can be done by not saving them to file. It would be desirable instead to store data IDs that would allow traceability. This could be combined with saving the results of the data processing, rather than the raw data.

“need to finish this”

## 3.2 Is this FAIR?

“FAIR” data stands for data that are Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable.

“need to finish this”

### 3.2.1 Interoperable data

Our data were stored as .csv files. These are not ideal; besides the meaningless column headers, there’s no metadata. Instead, it would be ideal if the data had been in some kind of self-describing format. It would have been even better if I knew which industry I was targeting and had been able to use a standardized format. This is left to the reader as an exercise!

## 3.3 Making the results portable

One way to ensure repeatability and reproducibility may be to have a generic “data processing” image of a computer system, e.g. as a Docker image, that is started for each new project and used *only* for that project. This clean system is then used for one data processing task which is managed through a file such as the one you are reading. When the project is complete, the image is simply stored for as long as required. This would also avoid problems associated with changes introduced by package and system updates. A drawback to this approach would be the need to migrate the data every 5 years or so to a new system, which would be required to avoid data being stranded on old software.

“need to finish this”

## 4 Conclusions

Literate programming allows the creation of a single document that captures all of the process of preparing and analysing data, and creating a publication to describe that data. This is a fundamental requirement of reproducible research.

## Referencing this document

This document has been assigned the Digital Object Identifier [10.5281/zenodo.3497450](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3497450). Citations in a range of formats can be obtained through Zenodo.

DOI [10.5281/zenodo.3497450](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3497450)

The source code for this document is available through [github.com/AndyClifton/lit-pro-sci-pub-demo](https://github.com/AndyClifton/lit-pro-sci-pub-demo).

## Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Nikola Vasiljevic at DTU for prompting me to get this done.

## Bibliography

Knuth, Donald E. 1984. “Literate Programming.” *The Computer Journal* 27 (2). British Computer Society: 97–111.

R Core Team. 2017. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.

Xie, Yihui, J. J. Allaire, and Garrett Golemund. 2019. *R Markdown: The Definitive Guide*. <https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown/>.