

ML Exercise Sheet 4

Trung Pham - 7176267 Viktor Vironski - 4330455
Andy Disser - 5984875

23. Mai 2022

Exercise 1.1

- To be proven :

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \bar{x})(y_n - \bar{y}) = \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - \bar{y})y_n$$

- Start from the left side

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \bar{x})(y_n - \bar{y}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N x_n y_n - x_n \bar{y} - \bar{x} y_n + \bar{x} \bar{y} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n y_n - x_n \bar{y}) - \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{x} y_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{x} \bar{y}\end{aligned}$$

- We show that

$$-\sum_{n=1}^N \bar{x} y_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{x} \bar{y} = 0$$

then the claim would be verified

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{n=1}^N \bar{x} y_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{x} \bar{y} &= -\sum_{n=1}^N \bar{x} y_n + N \cdot \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) \cdot \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \\ &= -\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) y_n + \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_n - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \right)\end{aligned}$$

- Because of the distributive property

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_N) = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) \cdot y_n$$

- That's why

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_n - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \right) \right) &= -\frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_n - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_n \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{N} \cdot 0 \\
&= 0 \quad (\text{Which is what we wanted to show})
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.2

- Let $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i0} \ x_{i1} \ x_{i2} \ \dots \ x_{id})^\top$ be the vector of the i -th sample including an additional dimension $x_{i0} = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$

- Let $\mathbf{y} = (y_1 \ y_2 \ y_3 \ \dots \ y_n)^\top$, the matrix $\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{10} & x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1d} \\ x_{20} & x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N0} & x_{N1} & x_{N2} & \dots & x_{Nd} \end{pmatrix}$

- The matrix product $:= \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = (e_{ij})_{i,j=0,\dots,d}$ is a $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ -matrix whose entry e_{ij} represents the inner product of the i -th and the j -th independent variables, i.e.

$$e_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N x_{ki} x_{kj}$$

- Let $\mathbf{w}^* = (w_0 \ w_1 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_d)^\top$ be the given vector, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{w}^* = (\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} \\
\Leftrightarrow &(\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{w}^* = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} e_{00} + \lambda & e_{01} & e_{02} & \dots & e_{0d} \\ e_{10} & e_{11} + \lambda & e_{12} & \dots & e_{1d} \\ e_{20} & e_{21} & e_{22} + \lambda & \dots & e_{2d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{d0} & e_{d1} & e_{d2} & \dots & e_{dd} + \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^N x_{k0} y_k \\ \sum_{k=1}^N x_{k1} y_k \\ \sum_{k=1}^N x_{k2} y_k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^N x_{kd} y_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

- Consequently, it holds $\sum_{k=1}^d w_k e_{ik} + \lambda w_i = \sum_{k=1}^N x_{ki} y_k$ for all $i = 0, \dots, d$ (*)

- Now we need to show that the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w}^*)}{\partial w_i} = 0$ for all $i = 0, \dots, d$

- It holds for each $i = 0, \dots, d$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w}^*)}{\partial w_i} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N 2(-x_{ni})(y_n - w_0 x_{n0} - w_1 x_{n1} - w_2 x_{n2} - \dots - w_d x_{nd}) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\lambda w_i \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N x_{ni}(-y_n + w_0 x_{n0} + w_1 x_{n1} + w_2 x_{n2} + \dots + w_d x_{nd}) + \lambda w_i \\
&= x_{1i}(-y_1 + w_0 x_{10} + w_1 x_{11} + w_2 x_{12} + \dots + w_d x_{1d}) \\
&\quad + x_{2i}(-y_2 + w_0 x_{20} + w_1 x_{21} + w_2 x_{22} + \dots + w_d x_{2d}) \\
&\quad + x_{3i}(-y_3 + w_0 x_{30} + w_1 x_{31} + w_2 x_{32} + \dots + w_d x_{3d}) \\
&\quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
&\quad + x_{Ni}(-y_N + w_0 x_{N0} + w_1 x_{N1} + w_2 x_{N2} + \dots + w_d x_{Nd}) + \lambda w_i \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^N x_{ki} y_k + w_0 \sum_{k=1}^N x_{ki} x_{k0} + w_1 \sum_{k=1}^N x_{ki} x_{k1} + \dots + w_d \sum_{k=1}^N x_{ki} x_{kd} + \lambda w_i \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^N x_{ki} y_k + w_0 e_{i0} + w_1 e_{i1} + \dots + w_d e_{id} + \lambda w_i \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^N x_{ki} y_k + \sum_{k=1}^N w_k e_{ik} + \lambda w_i \\
&= -\lambda w_i + \lambda w_i \quad (\text{because of } (*)) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

- Therefore \mathbf{w}^* minimizes $E(\mathbf{w})$, which is wanted to show