- 1. Let B = (F,P) be a basis for predicate logic with F =  $\{0,1,...,+,*,...\}$  and P =  $\{<,\leq,...\}$ . Furthermore, let I be the usual interpretation of the function and predicate symbols over the set Nat of natural numbers. Determine for each of the following formulas  $w \in WFF_B$  the set of all assignments  $\sigma:V \to Nat$  with  $I(w)(\sigma) = true$ :
  - (a)  $\neg \exists y.(x < y \land y < z)$
  - (b) ∃x.∀x.y≤x
  - (c)  $x = 0 \rightarrow x = 1 \rightarrow x = 2$
  - (d)  $\exists y.x*x = x \rightarrow x \leq x$

Which of these formulas are valid in I?

- 2. Let B = (F, P) and I be as in Exercise 1. Give a formula  $w \in WFF_B$  such that, for each assignment  $\sigma$ ,  $I(w)(\sigma)$  = true exactly when
  - (a)  $\sigma$ (z) divides  $\sigma$ (x)
  - (b)  $\sigma(x)$  and  $\sigma(y)$  are relatively prime
  - (c)  $\sigma(z)$  is the gcd of  $\sigma(x)$  and  $\sigma(y)$ .
- 3. For each of the following formulas give the set of all interpretations in which this formula is valid:
  - (a) x = y
- (b)  $\forall x,y.x = y$
- (c) ∀x.∃y.x = y
- (d)  $\exists y. \forall x. x = y$
- (e)  $\exists x,y. \forall z(z = x \lor z = y)$
- (f)  $x = y \land y = z \rightarrow x = z$
- (g)  $f(x) = f(y) \rightarrow x = y$
- (h)  $x = y \rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$

Which of these formulas are logically valid?

- 4. Show that for all formulas w,w1,w2 each of the following pairs of formulas are logically equivalent:
  - (a) w1 $\rightarrow$  w2 and  $\neg$ w1 $\lor$ w2
  - (b)  $\forall x.w$  and  $\neg \exists x. \neg w$
  - (c) true and  $w \lor \neg w$
- 5. Substitute the variable y by the term y + z + x in the formula  $\exists z.y * z = x$ .
- 6. Let V be the set of variables in predicate logic, and let P(V) denote the power set of V, i.e. the set of all subsets of variables. Give an inductive definition of function

free: WFF<sub>B</sub>
$$\rightarrow$$
 P(V),

that maps every predicate logic formula  $w \in WFF_B$  to the set free(w) of all variables occurring free in w.

7. Let B = (F, P) be a basis for predicate logic with F =  $\{0,1,+\}$  and P =  $\emptyset$ . Suppose W $\subseteq$ WFF<sub>B</sub> consists of the following formulas:

$$\forall x,y,z. (x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z)$$

$$\forall x. (x + 0 = x \land 0 + x = x)$$

$$\forall x. \exists y. (x + y = 0 \land y + x = 0)$$

Give a model of W such that the following formula is also valid over this model:

$$\forall x, y. \exists z, z'. (x + z = y \land z' + x = y)$$

- 8. Let  $Z = \{ x+x = x, \forall y.y+0=y \}$ . Which of the following formulas are the logical consequences of Z in predicate logic?
  - (a) x=0 (b) 1+0=1 (c) 0+1=1 (d) 1+1=1
- 9. Give a deduction of the formula  $\forall x.w \rightarrow w_x^t$  from the empty set in the predicate calculus.
- 10. Let  $W \subseteq WFF_B$  be a set of formulas with at least one model. Show that Cn(W) is a theory.