

RISC-V Shadow Stacks and Landing Pads (Zisslpcfi)

RISC-V Shadow-stack and Landing-pads Task Group

Version 0.1, 03/2023: This document is in development. Assume everything can change. See http://riscv.org/spec-state for details.

Table of Contents

Preamble	1
Copyright and license information.	2
Contributors	3
1. Introduction	4
2. Shadow Stack and Landing Pad CSRs	8
2.1. Machine environment configuration registers (menvcfg and menvcfgh)	8
2.2. Hypervisor environment configuration registers (henvcfg and henvcfgh)	9
2.3. Machine status registers (mstatus)	9
2.4. Supervisor status registers (sstatus)	10
2.5. Virtual supervisor status registers (vsstatus)	11
2.6. Landing pad label (lpl)	11
2.7. Shadow stack pointer (ssp)	12
2.8. Machine Security Configuration (mseccfg)	12
3. Backward-edge control-flow integrity	14
3.1. Backward-edge CFI instruction encoding	14
3.2. Push to and Pop from the shadow stack	16
3.3. Read ssp into a register	20
3.4. Atomic Swap from a shadow stack location	21
3.5. Shadow Stack Memory Protection	22
3.5.1. Virtual-Memory system extension for Shadow Stack	22
3.5.2. PMP extension for shadow stack	24
4. Forward-edge control-flow integrity	25
4.1. Forward-edge CFI Instruction encoding	26
4.2. Landing pad instruction	27
4.3. Label matching instructions	28
4.4. Setting up landing pad label register	28
4.5. Preserving expected landing pad state on traps	31
Bibliography	?

Preamble



This document is in the Development state

Assume everything can change. This draft specification will change before being accepted as standard, so implementations made to this draft specification will likely not conform to the future standard.

Copyright and license information

This specification is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0). The full license text is available at creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Copyright 2022 by RISC-V International.

Contributors

This RISC-V specification has been contributed to directly or indirectly by (in alphabetical order):

Andrew Waterman, Antoine Linarès, Dean Liberty, Deepak Gupta, George Christou, Greg McGary, Henry Hsieh, Johan Klockars, Kip Walker, Liu Zhiwei, Mark Hill, Nick Kossifidis, Tsukasa OI, Vedvyas Shanbhogue

Chapter 1. Introduction

Control-flow Integrity (CFI) provides CPU instruction set architecture (ISA) capabilities to defend against Return-Oriented Programming (ROP) and Call/Jump-Oriented Programming (COP/JOP) style control-flow subversion attacks. This attack methodology uses code sequences in authorized modules with at least one instruction in the sequence being a control transfer instruction that depends on attacker-controlled data either in the return stack or in a register/memory for the target address. Attackers stitch these sequences together by diverting the control flow instruction (e.g., RET, CALL, JMP) from its original target address to a new target via modification in the data stack or in the register or memory used by these instructions.

This specification describes CFI security objectives, threat model, and various architectural design choices to ensure that the design meets the security objectives.

RV32/RV64 provide two types of control transfer instructions - unconditional jumps and conditional branches. Conditional branches encode an offset in the immediate field of the instruction and are thus direct branches that are not susceptible to control flow subversion.

Unconditional direct jumps using JAL transfer control to a target that is in a +/- 1 MiB range from the current pc. Unconditional indirect jumps using the JALR obtain their branch target by adding the sign extended 12-bit immediate encoded in the instruction to the rs1 register.

The RV32I/RV64I does not have a dedicated instruction for calling a procedure or returning from a procedure. A JAL or JALR may be used to perform either a procedure call or a return from a procedure. The RISC-V ABI however defines the convention that a JAL/JALR where rd (i.e. the link register) is x1 or x5 is a procedure call, and a JAL/JALR where rs1 is the conventional link register (i.e. x1 or x5) is a return from procedure. The architecture allows for using these hints and conventions to support return address prediction and the hints are specified in Table 2.1 of the Unprivileged ISA specifications [1].

The RVC standard extension for compressed instructions provides unconditional jump and conditional branch instructions. The C.J and C.JAL instructions encode an offset in the immediate field of the instruction and thus are not susceptible to control flow subversion.

The C.JR and C.JALR RVC instruction performs a unconditional control transfer to the address in register rs1. The C.JALR additionally writes the address of the instruction following the jump (pc+2) to the link register x1 and is a procedure call. The C.JR where rs1 is the conventional link register (i.e. x1 or x5) is a return from procedure. A C.JR where rs1 is not the conventional link register is a indirect jump.

The RISC-V control-flow integrity (CFI) extension (Zisslpcfi) builds on these conventions and hints.

The term call is used to refer to a JAL or JALR instruction with a link register as destination i.e., rd != x0. Conventionally the link register is x1 or x5. A call using JAL or C.JAL is termed a direct call. A C.JALR expands to JALR x1, 0(rs1) and is a call. A call using JALR or C.JALR is termed an indirect call.

The term return is used to refer to a JALR instruction with rs1 == x1 or rs1 == x5 and rd == x0. A C.JR instruction expands to JALR x0, 0(rs1) and is a return if rs1 == x1 or rs1 == x5.

The term indirect jump is used to refer to a JALR instruction with rd == x0 and where the rs1 is not x1 or x5 (i.e. not a return). The C.JR instruction where rs1 is not x1 or x5 (i.e. not a return) is an indirect jump.

To enforce backward edge control flow integrity, the extension introduces a shadow stack. The shadow-stack is designed to provide integrity to control transfers performed using return instruction (where the return may be from a procedure invoked using an indirect call or a direct call) and this is referred to as backward-edge protection. A program using backward control flow integrity has two stack - a regular stack and a shadow stack - and the shadow stack is used exclusively to store the shadow copies of return adddresses.

The shadow stack is used to spill the link register if required by non-leaf functions. A shadow-stack-pointer (ssp) register is introduced in the architecture to hold the address of the top of the current active shadow stack. The shadow stack is protected from inadvertent corruptions and modifications as detailed later. The extension provides instructions to store and load the link register to the shadow stack. A function in a program compiled to use shadow stacks stores the link register to the data stack and a shadow copy of the link register to the shadow stack when the function is entered (the prologue). When the function needs to return (the epilogue), the function loads the link register from the data stack and the shadow copy of the link register from the shadow stack. The link register value from the data stack and the shadow link register value from the shadow stack are compared. A mismatch of the two values is indicative of a subversion of the return address control variable and causes an illegal-instruction trap.

Operating in shadow stack mode, i.e., where the call stack layout is preserved and the shadow stack is used to store a shadow copy of the link register, allowing preserving the ABI.



A program may alternatively operate in control stack mode where the link register is only stored on the shadow stack. Such programs break the ABI but benefit from avoiding the additional instructions to store and load the link register to the data stack and to compare the two before returning from a function. Control stack mode may also allow the program to have a smaller data stack as the space to save the link register is no longer needed.

To enforce forward edge control flow integrity, the extension introduces new landing pad instructions (lpcll) that enable software to indicate valid targets for indirect calls and jumps in a program. Compiler is expected to emit a lpcll as the first instruction of address-taken functions. Compiler is expected to emit a lpcll at an indirect jump target.

The Zisslpcfi extension identifies an indirect call or an indirect jump as follows:

Listing 1. Indirect call/jump determination

```
if ( ((JALR && rd == x0) || (C.JR)) && (rs1 != x1) && (rs1 != x5) )
    is_indirect_jump = 1;
else
    is_indirect_jump = 0;
if ( (JALR && rd != x0) || (C.JALR) )
```

```
is_indirect_call = 1;
else
  is_indirect_call = 0;
is_indirect_call_jump = is_indirect_call | is_indirect_jump;
```

The landing-pads are designed to provide integrity to control transfers performed using indirect call and indirect jump and this is referred to as forward-edge protection.

When the landing pad feature is active, the hart tracks an expected landing pad (ELP) state that is updated with the expected landing pad instruction on indirect calls and jumps to . An indirect call or jump updates the ELP to require a lpcll instruction at the target. If the instruction at the target is not lpcll then an illegal instruction exception is raised.

The landing pads may be labeled. With labeling enabled, the number of landing pads that can be reached from an indirect call or indirect jump site can be constrained using programming language based policies. A landing pad label (lpl) is set up prior to initiating an indirect call or indirect jump with the expected landing pad label using an instruction to set the lpl. If the label of the landing pad does not match that in lpl then an illegal instruction exception is raised.

Up to 25-bit labels are supported by this extension.

In the simplest form the program may be built with a single label value to implement a coarse-grain version of forward-edge CFI. Such a program would significantly reduce the gadget space by constraining gadgets to be preceded by a landing pad instruction i.e., to the start of indirect callable functions.

A second form of label generation may generate a signature (e.g., a MAC) using the prototype of the functions. Such programs would further constrain the gadgets reachable from a call site to indirect callable functions that have the expected prototype of functions called by that call site.

A third form of label generation may generate labels by analyzing the control-flow-graph (CFG) of the program and lead to even further constraining of the gadgets reachable. Such programs may further use the multi-label capability such that when a function is called from two or more call sites, then such common functions may be labeled as reachable from each of the call sites. For example, consider two call sites A and B. A invokes functions X and Y. B invokes functions Y and Z. With a single label scheme the functions X, Y, and Z would need to be assigned the same label such that both call site A and B can invoke the common function Y. This allows call site A to additionally call function Z and call site B to additionally call function X. However, if function Y was labeled with two labels - one corresponding to call site A and other to call site B then Y can be invoked by both but the X can only be invoked by call site A and Z only by call site B. To support multiple labels, the compiler may create a call site specific entrypoint to such shared functions with each entrypoint containing the call site specific landing pad instruction followed by a direct branch to the start of the function.

A portion of the label space may be dedicated to label landing pads that are only



Forward-edge and backward-edge CFI may be enabled for a program that executes in U-mode, S-mode, or M-mode by itself to enable a mix of CFI enabled applications, operating systems, and machine mode firmware to co-exist. The processor keeps track of the CFI enables and CFI state for each mode in the mstatus CSR. A subset of the fields in the mstatus CSR are accessible using the sstatus CSR. VS-mode's version of sstatus (vsstatus) tracks the CFI state for VS-mode and VU-mode.



To use Zisslpcfi, the operating system has to be modified to enable Zisslpcfi capabilities, including the context switching of additional CFI extension state. The set of programs installed in such an OS may however be a mix where some programs are compiled with Zisslpcfi capabilities and others are not. Allowing the U-mode CFI be individually enabled from S-mode allows an operating system to keep CFI enabled when operating in S-mode but enable or disable it for U-mode depending on the program being executed in U-mode.

To support backward compatibility of the programs built with Zisslpcfi support, the new instructions to operate on the shadow stack, the landing pad instructions, and the instructions to set the lpl are encoded using Zimop encodings. When Zisslpcfi is not enabled for a program or the program is executing on a processor that does not support the Zisslpcfi extension then the instructions introduced by the Zisslpcfi extensions execute as defined by Zimop extension.

An OS distribution compiled with Zisslpcfi extension typically also includes the system libraries (e.g., glibc, etc.) that are also compiled with the Zisslpcfi extension. Such system libraries however may need to link dynamically to programs that are not compiled with the Zisslpcfi extension. When such programs are executing, the OS may disable the Zisslpcfi extension in U-mode. When these system libraries are invoked in U-mode by such programs, the Zisslpcfi instructions in the libraries revert to their Zimop defined behavior. Without such encoding, the OS distribution may need to carry two versions of such libraries, one with Zisslpcfi instructions and one without, and thus need significantly larger cost and complexity for supporting the Zisslpcfi extension.



An OS distribution compiled with Zisslpcfi extension may be installed on a machine that does not support Zisslpcfi extensions. On such machines, as the Zisslpcfi instructions are encoded as Zimop, they revert to their Zimop defined behavior.

A program compiled with the Zisslpcfi extension may be installed on an OS that is not compiled for the Zisslpcfi extension or on a machine that does not support the Zisslpcfi extension. The Zisslpcfi instructions are encoded as Zimop revert back to their Zimop defined behavior.

The Zisslpcfi extension depends on the Zicsr extension, the A extension, and the Zimop extension.

Chapter 2. Shadow Stack and Landing Pad CSRs

This chapter specifies the CSR state of the Zisslpcfi extension.

2.1. Machine environment configuration registers (menvcfg and menvcfgh)

63	62	61	60	59	58		56
STCE	PBMTE	HADE	CFIE	SFCFIE		WPRI	
55							48
			W	PRI			
47							40
			W	PRI			
39							32
		·	W	PRI			
31							24
			WE	PRI			
23							16
			W	PRI			
15							8
			W	PRI			
7	6	5	4	3		1	0
CBZE	CBCFE	СВ	IE		WPRI		FIOM

Figure 1. Machine environment configuration register (menvcfg) for MXLEN=64

The CFIE (bit 60) field controls if Zisslpcfi extension is available for use in modes less privileged than M. When CFIE is 1, the SFCFIE (bit 59) field enables forward-edge CFI at S-mode.

When menvcfg. CFIE bit is 0, then at privilege modes less privileged than M:

- Attempts to access ssp or lpl CSR raise an illegal instruction exception.
- Zisslpcfi extension instructions revert to the Zimop defined behavior.
- The UBCFIE, UFCFIE and SPELP fields in sstatus are read-only zero.
- The CFIE field in henvefg is read-only zero.
- The pte.xwr=010b encoding in S-stage page tables is reserved.

When the Zisslpcfi extension is available for use at privilege level less than M, the operating system may use the UBCFIE and UFCFIE to selectively enable the backward-edge and forward-edge CFI at U mode per application.



When the Zisslpcfi extension is available for use at S-mode, the operating system may use shadow stacks at S-mode. If the operating system uses forward-edge CFI then it may request the SEE to set SFCFIE to 1.

With these set of controls the backward-edge and forward-edge CFI may be separately enforced at S-mode and for each application.

2.2. Hypervisor environment configuration registers (henvcfg and henvcfgh)

63	62	61	60	59	58		56
STCE	PBMTE	HADE	CFIE	SFCFIE		WPRI	
55							48
			W	PRI			
47							40
			W	PRI			
39							32
			W	PRI			
31							24
			WF	PRI			
23							16
			W	PRI			
15							8
			W	PRI			
7	6	5	4	3		1	0
CBZE	CBCFE	CE	BIE		WPRI		FIOM

Figure 2. Hypervisor environment configuration register (henvcfg) for MXLEN=64

The CFIE (bit 60) bit controls if Zisslpcfi extension is available for use in VS and VU modes. When menvcfg.CFIE is 0, henvcfg.CFIE is read-only zero.

When henvcfg. CFIE bit is 0, then at privilege modes VS and VU:

- Attempts to access ssp or lpl CSR raise an illegal instruction exception.
- Zisslpcfi extension instructions revert to the Zimop defined behavior.
- The UBCFIE, UFCFIE and SPELP fields in sstatus (really vsstatus) are read-only zero.
- The pte.xwr=010b encoding in VS-stage page tables remains reserved.

When henvcfg.CFIE is 1, the henvcfg.SFCFIE (bit 59) field enables forward-edge CFI at VS-mode.

2.3. Machine status registers (mstatus)

63	62						56
SD				WPRI			
55							48
			w	PRI			
47							40
	WPRI						
39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32
W	WPRI MBE		SBE	SBE SXL[1:0]		UXL[1:0]	
31				27	26	25	24
		WPRI			MPELP	SPELP	UBCFIE
23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16
UFCFIE	TSR	TW	TVM	MXR	SUM	MPRV	XS[1:0]
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8
XS[1:0]	FS[1:0]		MPP	MPP[1:0]		VS[1:0]	
7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
MPIE	UBE	SPIE	WPRI	MIE	WPRI	SIE	WPRI
							-

Figure 3. Machine-mode status register (mstatus) for RV64

The UFCFIE (bit 23) and UBCFIE (bit 24) are WARL fields that when set to 1 enable forward-edge and backward-edge CFI respectively at U-mode.

The SPELP (bit 25) and MPELP (bit 26) WARL fields are updated when a trap is taken into S-mode or M-mode respectively. When a trap is taken into privilege mode x, the xPELP fields are updated to indicate that a landing pad was expected at the privilege level xPP at the time of taking the trap.

The xPELP fields are encoded as follows:

- 0 NO_LP_EXPECTED no landing pad instruction expected
- 1 LP_EXPECTED landing pad instruction expected

2.4. Supervisor status registers (sstatus)

63	62						56
SD				WPRI			
55				·			48
				WPRI			
47							40
				WPRI			
39					34	33	32
		WPRI				UXL	[1:0]
31		•			26	25	24
		W	PRI			SPELP	UBCFIE
23	22		20	19	18	17	16
UFCFIE		WPRI		MXR	SUM	WPRI	XS[1:0]
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8
XS[1:0]	FS[1:0] WF		WPRI	VSI	VS[1:0]	
7	6	5	4		2	1	0
WPRI	UBE	SPIE		WPRI		SIE	WPRI

Figure 4. Supervisor-mode status register (sstatus) when SXLEN=64

When menvcfg.CFIE is 1, access to the following fields accesses the homonymous field of mstatus register. When menvcfg.CFIE is 0, these fields are read-only zero.

- UFCFIE (bit 23)
- UBCFIE (bit 24)
- SPELP (bit 25)

2.5. Virtual supervisor status registers (vsstatus)

63	62						56	
SD				WPRI				
55							48	
				WPRI				
47							40	
				WPRI				
39					34	33	32	
		WPRI				UXI	[1:0]	
31					26	25	24	
		WF	PRI			SPELP	UBCFIE	
23	22		20	19	18	17	16	
UFCFIE		WPRI		MXR	SUM	WPRI	XS[1:0]	
15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	
XS[1:0]	FS[1:0] W		1:0] WPRI		VS	[1:0]	SPP
7	6	5	4		2	1	0	
WPRI	UBE	SPIE		WPRI		SIE	WPRI	

Figure 5. Virtual supervisor status register (vsstatus) when VSXLEN=64

The vsstatus register is VS-mode's version of sstatus and the Zisslpcfi extension introduces the following fields.

- UFCFIE (bit 23)
- UBCFIE (bit 24)
- SPELP (bit 25)

When menvcfg.CFIE is 0, these fields are read-only zero. When menvcfg.CFIE is 1 and henvcfg.CFIE is 0, these fields are read-only zero in sstatus (really vsstatus) when V=1.



The vsstatus and henvcfg CSR for a virtual machine may be restored in any order. The state of henvcfg.CFIE does not prevent access to the bits introduced in vsstatus when the CSR is accessed in HS-mode.

2.6. Landing pad label (lpl)

The lpl CSR is a supervisor read-write (SRW) 32-bit register that holds the label expected at the target of an indirect call or an indirect jump. The label is split into a 8-bit upper label (UL), 8-bit middle label (ML), and a 9-bit lower label (LL).

M/DDI III MI					· ·
WENT CL INC.	WPRI	WPRI	UL	ML	LL

Figure 6. lpl for RV32 and RV64

When menvcfg.CFIE is 0, an attempt to access lpl in a mode other than M-mode raises an illegal instruction exception.



Access to lpl at S-mode is not dependent on sstatus.UFCFIE or menvcfg.SFCFIE to allow an operating system to be able to context switch U-mode lpl state even when the operating system itself does not enable the use of forward-edge CFI at S-mode.

When menvcfg.CFIE is 1 but henvcfg.CFIE is 0, an attempt to access lpl when V=1 raises a virtual instruction exception.

2.7. Shadow stack pointer (ssp)

The ssp CSR is an unprivileged read-write (URW) CSR that reads and writes XLEN low order bits of the shadow stack pointer (ssp). There is no high CSR defined as the ssp is always as wide as the XLEN of the current privilege level.

When menvcfg.CFIE is 0, an attempt to access ssp in a mode other than M-mode raises an illegal instruction exception. When sstatus.UBCFIE is 0, an attempt to access ssp in U-mode raises an illegal instruction exception.



Access to ssp at S-mode is not dependent on sstatus. UBCFIE to allow an operating system to be able to context switch U-mode ssp per application.

When menvcfg.CFIE is 1 but henvcfg.CFIE is 0, an attempt to access ssp when V=1 raises a virtual instruction exception.

When menvcfg.CFIE and henvcfg.CFIE are both 1 but vsstatus.UBCFIE is 0, an attempt to access ssp in VU-mode raises an illegal instruction exception.

2.8. Machine Security Configuration (mseccfg)

63						56
	'	·	PRI			<u>'</u>
55				•		48
		·	PRI			
47						40
		w	PRI			
39						32
		w	PRI			.
31						24
		w	PRI			
23					17	16
		WPRI				SSPMP
15			11	10	9	8
	SSPMP			MFCFIE	SSEED	USEED
7			3	2	1	0
	WPRI			RLB	MMWP	MML

Figure 7. Machine security configuration register (mseccfg) when MXLEN=64

When the Smepmp extension is implemented, a new WARL field sspmp is defined in bits 16:11 of the

mseccfg CSR to identify a PMP entry as the shadow stack memory region for M-mode accesses. The rules enforced by PMP for M-mode shadow stack memory accesses are outlined in Section 3.5.2.

The MFCFIE (bit 10) is a WARL field that when set to 1 enables forward-edge CFI at M-mode.

Chapter 3. Backward-edge control-flow integrity

A shadow stack is a second stack used to push the link register if it needs to be spilled to make a new procedure call.

The shadow stack, similar to the regular stack, grows downwards, i.e. from higher addresses to lower addresses. Each entry on the shadow stack is XLEN wide and holds the link register value. The ssp points to the top of the shadow stack, i.e. address of the last element pushed on the shadow stack.



Compilers when generating code for a CFI enabled program must protect the link register, e.g. x1 and/or x5, from arbitrary modification by not emitting unsafe code sequences.

3.1. Backward-edge CFI instruction encoding

The backward-edge CFI extension introduces the following instructions for shadow stack operations. All instructions are encoded using the SYSTEM major opcode and using the mop.r and mop.rr encodings defined by the Zimop extension.

(mop.r)	31		20 19	15 14	12 11	7 6	0
mnemonic		1.000111	rs1	func3	rd	opcode	
sspop x1		100000011100	00000	100	00001	1110011	
sspop x5		100000011100	00000	100	00101	1110011	
sspopchk x1		100000011100	00001	100	00000	1110011	
sspopchk x5		100000011100	00101	100	00000	1110011	
		12	5	3	5	7	

Encoding rd as x0 is not supported for sspop and ssprr.

(mop.rr)	31	25 24	20 19	15 14	12 11	7 6 0
mnemonic	1.001	rs2	rs1	func3	rd	opcode
ssamoswap	1000001	src	addr	100	dst	1110011
ssprr	1000011	00000	00000	100	dst	1110011
sspush x1	1000101	00001	00000	100	0	1110011
sspush x5	1000101	00101	00000	100	0	1110011
	7	5	5	3	5	7

Encoding rd as x0 is not supported for ssamoswap and ssprr. Only x1 and x5 encodings are supported as rd for sspop. Only x1 and x5 encodings are supported as rs1 for sspopchk. Only x1 and x5 encodings are supported as rs2 for sspush.

While any register may be used as link register, conventionally the x1 or x5 register is expected to

be as link register. The Zisslpcfi shadow stack instructions are designed to be most efficient for use of the x1 and x5 registers as the link register.



Return-address prediction stacks are a common feature of high-performance instruction-fetch units, but require accurate detection of instructions used for procedure calls and returns to be effective. For RISC-V, hints as to the instructions` usage are encoded implicitly via the register numbers used. The return-address stack (RAS) actions to pop and/or push onto the RAS are specified in Table 2.1 of the Privileged specification.

Use of x1 or x5 as the link register allows a program to benefit from the return-address prediction stacks and avoid additional register movements to use the shadow stack instructions.

When a Zimop encoding is not supported by the Zisslpcfi extension then the instruction follows to its Zimop defined behavior.

When menvcfq.CFIE is 0, then Zisslpcfi is not enabled for privilege modes less than M.

When V=0 and menvcfg.CFIE is 1, then backward-edge CFI is enabled at S-mode. When V=0 and menvcfg.CFIE is 1, then backward-edge CFI is enabled at U-mode if mstatus.UBCFIE is 1.

When henvcfg.CFIE is 0, then Zisslpcfi is not enabled for use when V=1.

When V=1 and menvcfg.CFIE and henvcfg.CFIE are both 1, then backward-edge CFI is enabled in VS-mode. When V=1 and menvcfg.CFIE and henvcfg.CFIE are both 1, then backward-edge CFI is enabled in VU-mode if vsstatus.UBCFIE is 1.

The term xBCFIE is used to determine if backward-edge CFI is enabled at a privilege level x and is defined as follows:

Listing 2. **xBCFIE** *determination*

```
if ( privilege == M-mode )
    xBCFIE = 1
else if ( menvcfg.CFIE == 1 && V == 0 && privilege == S-mode )
    xBCFIE = 1
else if ( menvcfg.CFIE == 1 && V == 0 && privilege == U-mode )
    xBCFIE = mstatus.UBCFIE
else if ( menvcfg.CFIE == 1 && henvcfg.CFIE == 1 && V == 1 && privilege == S-mode )
    xBCFIE = 1
else if ( menvcfg.CFIE == 1 && henvcfg.CFIE == 1 && V == 1 && privilege == U-mode )
    xBCFIE = vsstatus.UBCFIE
else
    xBCFIE = 0
```

When backward-edge CFI is not enabled(xBCFIE = 0):

• The instructions defined for backward-edge CFI revert to their Zimop defined behavior and write 0 to [rd].

The use of shadow stacks at U-mode must be explicitly enabled per application. Explicit enable for user mode applications allows such an application to invoke shared libraries that may have shadow stack instructions even when the application itself has backward-edge CFI not enable. The shadow stack instructions invoked in the context of this application revert to their Zimop defined behavior.



When Zisslpcfi is enabled, the use of backward-edge CFI is always enabled for use at S-mode. However, it is benign to use an operating system that has not been compiled with shadow stack instructions. Such an operating system that does not use backward-edge CFI for S-mode execution may still enable the backward-edge CFI use by U-mode.

When Zisslpcfi is implemented, the use of backward-edge CFI is always enabled at M-mode. However, it is benign to use M-mode firmware that has not been compiled with shadow stack instructions.

When programs using shadow stack instructions are installed on a machine that supports the CFI extensions but the operating system installed does not enable the CFI extensions, the program continues to function due to Zimop defined behavior of writing 0 to [rd] and not causing an illegal-instruction exception.



When programs using shadow stack instructions are installed on a machine that does not support the CFI extensions but support the Zimop extension, the program continues to function due to Zimop defined behavior of writing 0 to [rd] and not causing an illegal-instruction exception.

On machines that do not support Zimop extension, the instructions cause an illegal-instruction exception. Installing programs that use the shadow stack instructions on such machines is not supported.

3.2. Push to and Pop from the shadow stack

A push operation is defined as decrement of the ssp by XLEN followed by a write of the link register at the new top of the shadow stack. A pop operation is defined as a XLEN wide read from the current top of the shadow stack followed by an increment of the ssp by XLEN.

Usually programs with a shadow stack push the return address onto the regular stack as well as the shadow stack in the function prologue. Such programs when returning from the function pop the link register from the data stack and pop a shadow copy of the link register from the shadow stack. The two values are then compared. If the values do not match it is indicative of a corruption of the return address variable and the program causes an illegal instruction exception.

To push a link register on the shadow stack, the CFI extension provides a sspush instruction.

A sspopchk instruction is provided to pop the shadow return address value from the shadow stack and check that the value matches the contents of the link register. This instruction is expected to be used by programs operating in shadow stack mode.

The CFI extension additionally provides a sspop instruction to pop a return address from the shadow stack into a link register. This instruction is expected to be used by programs operating in control stack mode.

Programs may operate in shadow stack mode or in control stack mode.

When operating in shadow stack mode, the program uses the shadow stack to store a shadow copy of the link register. Such programs push the link register on the regular stack as well as the shadow stack in the prologue of the function. In the epilog, the link register value from the regular stack is compared to the shadow copy on the shadow stack. Programs operating in shadow stack mode are portable to implementations that do not support the Zisslpcfi extension. On implementations where the extension is not supported, the shadow stack instructions revert to their Zimop defined behavior but the program continues to function as the link register is also pushed and popped from the regular stack. Pushing and popping the link register to regular stack allows such programs to comply with the ABI. The prologue and epilog of a function in shadow stack mode is as follows:

Programs operating in the control stack mode store the return address only on the shadow stack. Such programs are not portable to implementations that do not support the Zisslpcfi extension. As these programs do not push a return address on the regular stack they may not be compliant with the ABI. The prologue and epilog of a function when operating in control stack mode is as follows:

```
function_entry:
    sspush x1  # push link register x1 on shadow stack
    :
    :
    sspop x1  # pop return address from shadow stack
    ret
```

These examples illustrate the use of x1 register by the ABI as the link register. Alternatively, the ABI may use x5 as the link register.

A

A leaf function i.e. a function that does not itself make function calls does not need to push the link register to the shadow stack or pop it from the shadow stack in either shadow stack mode or in control stack mode. The return value may be held in the link register itself for the duration of the leaf function execution.

The sspop and sspopchk instructions perform a load identically to the existing LOAD instruction with the difference that the base is implicitly ssp, the width is implicitly XLEN.

The sspush instruction performs a store identically to the existing STORE instruction with the difference that the base is implicitly ssp, the width is implicitly XLEN.

The sspush, sspopchk, and sspop require the virtual address in ssp to have a shadow stack attribute (see Section 3.5).

Correct execution of sspush, sspopchk, and sspop requires that ssp refers to idempotent memory. If the memory reference by the ssp is not idempotent then the sspush instruction causes a store/AMO access fault and the sspop/sspopchk instructions cause a load access fault.

If the virtual address in ssp is not XLEN aligned then the sspop/ sspopchk instructions cause a load address-misaligned exception and the sspush instruction causes store/AMO address-misaligned exception.

Misaligned accesses to shadow stack are not required and enforcing alignment is more secure to detect errors in the program.



The sspopchk instruction performs a load followed by a check of the loaded data value with the link register source. If the check against the link register faults and the instruction is restarted by the trap handler then the instruction will perform a load again. If the memory from which the load is performed is non-idempotent then the second load may cause unexpected side effects. Shadow stack instructions require the memory referenced by ssp to be idempotent to avoid such concerns. Locating shadow stacks in non-idempotent memory (e.g., non-idempotent device memory) is not an expected usage and requiring memory referenced by ssp to be idempotent does not pose a significant restriction.

The operation of the sspush instruction is as follows:

Listing 3. sspush operation

```
If (xBCFIE = 1)
  *[ssp - (XLEN/8)] = [src]  # Store src value to ssp - XLEN/8
  [ssp] = [ssp] - (XLEN/8)  # decrement ssp by XLEN/8
else
  [dst] = 0
endif
```

The operation of the sspop instruction is as follows:

The operation of the sspopchk instruction is as follows:

Listing 5. **sspopchk** *operation*

The ssp is incremented by sspop and sspopchk only if the load from shadow stack completes successfully. The ssp is decremented by sspush only if the store to the shadow stack completes successfully.



Store to load forwarding is a common technique employed by high performance processor implementations. CFI implementations may restrict forwarding from a non-shadow-stack store to a sspop instruction. A non-shadow-stack store causes a fault if done to a page mapped as a shadow stack. However such determination may be delayed till the PTE has been examined and thus may be used to transiently forward the data from such stores to a sspop.



A common operation performed on stacks is to unwind them to support constructs like setjmp/longjmp, C++ exception handling, etc. A program that uses shadow stacks must unwind the shadow stack in addition to the stack used to store data. The unwind function must verify that it does not accidentally unwind past the bounds of the shadow stack. Shadow stacks are expected to be bounded on each end using guard pages i.e. pages that do not have a shadow stack attribute. To detect if the unwind occurs past the bounds of the shadow stack the unwind may be done in maximal increments of 4 KiB and testing for the ssp to be still pointing to a shadow stack page or has unwound into the guard page. The following

examples illustrate the use of backward-edge CFI instructions to unwind a shadow stack.

```
setjmp() {
   // read and save top of stack pointer to jmp_buf
    asm("ssprr %0" : "=r"(cur_ssp):);
    jmp_buf->saved_ssp = cur_ssp;
}
longjmp() {
   // Read current shadow stack pointer and
   // compute number of call frames to unwind
    asm("ssprr %0" : "=r"(cur_ssp):);
    // Skip the unwind if backward-edge CFI not enabled
    asm("beqz %0, back_cfi_not_enabled" : "=r"(cur_ssp):);
    num_unwind = jmp_buf->saved_ssp - cur_ssp;
    // Unwind the frames in a loop
    while ( num_unwind > 0 ) {
        step = ( num unwind >= 4096 ) ? 4096 : num unwind;
        cur ssp += step;
       num_unwind -= step;
        // write the ssp register with unwound value
        asm("csrw %0, $ssp_csr_num" : "=r"(cur_ssp):);
        // Test if unwound past the shadow stack bounds
        asm("sspush x5");
       asm("sspop x5");
   }
back_cfi_not_enabled:
}
```

3.3. Read ssp into a register

The ssprr instruction is provided to move the contents of ssp to the destination register.

The operation of the ssprr instructions is as follows:

Listing 6. **ssprr** *operation*

```
If (xBCFIE = 1)
    [dst] = [ssp]
else
    [dst] = 0;
endif
```

The property of Zimop writing 0 to the rd when the extension using Zimop is not present or not enabled may be used by such functions to skip over unwind actions by dynamically detecting if the backward-edge CFI extension is enabled.

An example sequence such as the following may be used:



3.4. Atomic Swap from a shadow stack location

The CFI extension defines an ssamoswap instruction to atomically swap the XLEN bits of src register with XLEN bits on the shadow stack at address in addr and store the value from address in src into register dst.

The ssamoswap is always sequentially consistent and cannot be reordered with earlier or later memory operations from the same hart.

The ssamoswap requires the virtual address in addr to have a shadow stack attribute (see Section 3.5).

If the virtual address is not XLEN aligned then ssamoswap causes a store/AMO address-misaligned exception.

If the memory reference by the ssp is not idempotent then ssamoswap causes a store/AMO access fault.

The operation of the ssamoswap instructions is as follows:

Listing 7. ssamoswap operation

```
If (xBCFIE = 1)
    Perform the following atomically with sequential consistency
        [dst] = *[addr]
        *[addr] = [src]
else
    [dst] = 0;
endif
```



Stack switching is a common operation in user programs as well as supervisor programs. When a stack switch is performed the stack pointer of the currently active stack is saved into a context data structure and the new stack is made active by loading a new stack pointer from a context data structure.

When shadow stacks are enabled for a program, the program needs to additionally switch the shadow stack pointer. The pointer to the top of the deactivated shadow stack if held in a context data structure may be susceptible to memory corruption vulnerabilities. To protect the pointer value the program may then store it at the top of the shadow stack itself and thus create a checkpoint.

An example sequence to store and restore the shadow stack pointer is as follows:

```
# The a0 register holds the pointer to top of new shadow
# to switch to. The current ssp is first pushed on the current
# shadow stack and the ssp is restored from new shadow stack
save shadow stack pointer:
    ssprr x5
                                # read ssp and push value onto
                                # shadow stack. The [ssp] now
    sspush x5
                                # holds ptr+XLEN/8. The [x5] now
    addi x5, x5, -(XLEN/8)
                                # holds ptr. Save away x5
                                # into a context structure to
                                # restore later.
restore_shadow_stack_pointer:
    ssamoswap t0, x0, (a0)
                                # t0=*[a0] and *[a0]=0
                                # The [a0] should hold ptr'
                                # The [t0] should hold ptr'+XLEN/8
    addi
              a0, a0, (XLEN/8) # a0+XLEN/8 must match to t0
              t0, a0, crash
                                # if not crash program
    bne
              ssp, t0
                                # setup new ssp
    CSTW
```

Further the program may enforce an invariant that a shadow stack can be active only on one hart by using the ssamoswap when performing the restore from the checkpoint such that the checkpointed data is zeroed as part of the restore sequence and multiple hart attempt to restore the checkpointed data only one of them succeeds.

3.5. Shadow Stack Memory Protection

To protect shadow stack memory the memory is associated with a new page type - Shadow Stack (SS) page - in the page tables.

When the Smepmp extension is supported the PMP configuration registers are enhanced to support a shadow stack memory region for use by M-mode.

3.5.1. Virtual-Memory system extension for Shadow Stack

The shadow stack memory is protected using page table attributes such that it cannot be stored to by instructions other than sspush and ssamoswap. The sspop instruction can only load from shadow stack memory.

The shadow stack can be read using all instructions that load from memory.

Attempting to fetch an instruction from a shadow stack page raises a fetch page-fault exception.

The encoding R=0, W=1, and X=0, is defined to mean a shadow stack page. When M=0, this encoding continues to be reserved. When V=1 and M=0, this encoding continues to be reserved at VS and VU.

The following faults may occur:

- 1. If the accessed page is a shadow stack page
 - a. Stores other than sspush and ssamoswap cause write/AMO access faults.
 - b. Instructions fetch causes a page fault
- 2. if the accessed page is not a shadow stack page or if the page is in non-idempotent memory
 - a. ssamoswap and sspush cause a store/AMO access fault
 - b. sspop and sspopchk causes a load access fault

To support these rules, the virtual address translation process specified in section 4.3.2 of the Privileged Specification [2] is modified as follows:

- 3. If pte.v = 0 or if any bits of encodings that are reserved for future standard use are set within pte, stop and raise a page-fault exception corresponding to the original access type. The encoding pte.xwr = 010b is not reserved if menvcfg.CFIE is 1 or if V=1 and henvcfg.CFIE is 1.
- 4. Otherwise, the PTE is valid. If pte.r = 1 or pte.w = 1 or pte.x = 1, go to step 5. Otherwise, this PTE is a pointer to the next level of the page table. Let i = i 1. If i < 0, store and raise a page-fault exception corresponding to the original access type. Otherwise, let a = pte.ppn x PAGESIZE and go to step 2.
- 5. A leaf PTE has been found. If the memory access is by a shadow stack instruction and pte.xwr != 010b then cause an access-violation exception corresponding to the access type. If the memory access is a store/AMO and pte.xwr == 010b then cause a store/AMO access-violation. If the requested memory access is not allowed by the pte.r, pte.w, pte.x, and pte.u bits, given the current privilege mode and the value of the SUM and MXR fields of the mstatus register, stop and raise a page-fault exception corresponding to the original access type.

The PMA checks are extended to require memory referenced by sspush, sspop, ssamoswap, and sspopchk to be idempotent.

The U and SUM bit enforcement is performed normally for shadow stack instruction initiated memory accesses. The state of the MXR bit does not affect read access to a shadow stack page as the shadow stack page is always readable by all instructions that load from memory.

Svpbmt extension and Svnapot extensions are supported for shadow stack pages.



Operating systems should protect against writeable non-shadow-stack alias virtual-addresses mappings being created to the shadow stack physical memory.

The G-stage address translation and protections are not affected by the shadow stack extension. When G-stage page tables are active, the ssamoswap, and sspop instructions require the G-stage page table mapping the accessed memory to have read permission and the ssamoswap and sspush

instructions require write permission. The xwr == 010b encoding in the G-stage PTE remains reserved.



A future extension may define shadow stack encoding the G-stage page table to support use cases such as a hypervisor enforcing shadow stack protections for virtual-supervisor.



All instructions that load from memory are allowed to read the shadow stack. The shadow stack only holds a copy of the link register as saved on the regular stack. The ability to read the shadow stack is useful for debug, performance profiling, and other use cases.

3.5.2. PMP extension for shadow stack

When privilege mode is less than M, the PMP region accessed by sspush and ssamoswap must provide write permission and the PMP region accessed by sspop must provide read permission.

The M-mode memory accesses by sspush and ssamoswap instructions test for write permission in the matching PMP entry when permission checking is required.

The M-mode memory accesses by sspop instruction tests for read permission in the matching PMP entry when permission checking is required.

When the Smepmp extension is implemented, a new WARL field sspmp is defined in the mseccfg CSR to configure a PMP entry as the shadow stack memory region for M-mode accesses.

When mseccfq.MML is 1, the sspmp field is read-only else it may be written.

When sspmp field is implemented and mseccfg.MML is 1 the following rules are additionally enforced for M-mode memory accesses:

- sspush, sspop, sspopchk, and ssamoswap instructions must match PMP entry sspmp.
- Write by instructions other than sspush and ssamoswap that match PMP entry sspmp cause an access violation exception.



The PMP region used for the M-mode shadow stack is expected to be made inaccessible for U-mode and S-mode read and write accesses. Allowing write access violates the integrity of the shadow stack and allowing read access may lead to disclosure of M-mode return addresses.

Chapter 4. Forward-edge control-flow integrity

The forward-edge CFI introduces landing pad instructions that enable software to indicate valid targets for indirect calls and indirect jumps in a program.

A landing pad (lpcll) instruction is defined as the instruction that must be placed at the program locations that can be valid targets of indirect jumps or calls.

To enforce that the target of an indirect call or indirect jump must be a valid landing pad instruction, the hart maintains an expected landing pad (ELP) state to determine if a landing pad instruction is required at the target of a JALR instruction. The ELP state can be one of:

- O NO_LP_EXPECTED
- 1 LP_EXPECTED

The Zisslpcfi extension identifies an unconditional control transfer as an indirect call or an indirect jump as specified in Listing 1. An indirect call or an indirect jump updates the ELP to LP_EXPECTED.

When ELP is set to LP_EXPECTED and the next instruction in the instruction stream is not 4-byte aligned, or is not a lpcll, or if the label encoded in the lpcll does not match the lower label in lpl register then an illegal instruction exception is raised. If the next instruction in the instruction stream is 4-byte aligned and is a lpcll with its label matching the lower label in lpl register then the ELP updates to NO_LP_EXPECTED.



Tracking of ELP and requiring valid landing pad instructions at the target of indirect call and jump enables a processor implementation to significantly reduce or to prevent speculation to non-landing-pad instructions. Constraining speculation using this technique greatly reduces the gadget space and increases the difficulty of using techniques such as branch-target-injection, also known as Spectre variant 2, that use speculative execution to leak data through side channels.

The forward-edge CFI also supports labeling of landing pads. By itself a landing pad allows, for example, an indirect call to land on any <code>lpcll</code> in the program. This significantly reduces the number of valid targets for an indirect call. Labeling of the landing pads enables software to achieve greater precision in pairing up indirect call sites or indirect jump sites with valid targets. To support labeled landing pads, the indirect call/jump sites establish an expected landing pad label in the landing pad label (<code>lpl</code>). If the target of the indirect call/jump is a valid landing pad instruction, the expected label established in the <code>lpl</code> is matched with the target's label. If a mismatch is detected then the label check instruction causes an illegal instruction exception.

Each landing pad may be labeled with a label that may be up to 25-bits wide. The lpl has three subfields - a 9-bit lower label (LL), a 8-bit middle label (ML), and an 8-bit upper label (UL).

The forward-edge CFI provides a lpsll instruction to establish the expected LL in the lpl, a lpsml instruction to establish the UL in the lpl.

The lpcll instructions embed a 9-bit immediate field. The instruction compares this value to the LL and on a mismatch causes an illegal-instruction exception.

For label widths up to 17-bit a companion instruction <code>lpcml</code> is provided. The <code>lpcml</code> embeds a 8-bit immediate value that is compared to the <code>ML</code> and on a mismatch causes an illegal-instruction exception.

For label widths greater than 17-bit a second companion instruction lpcul is provided. The lpcul embeds a 8-bit immediate value that is compared to the UL and on a mismatch causes an illegal-instruction exception.

4.1. Forward-edge CFI Instruction encoding

The forward-edge CFI introduces the following instructions for landing pad operations. All instructions are encoded using the SYSTEM major opcode and using the mop.rr instructions defined by the Zimop extension.

(mop.rr)	31	25	24	23	22	15 14	12 11	7 6 0
mnemonic	1.00	1	t	t	tttsssss	func3	rd	opcode
lpsll	1000	001	0		LLPL	100	0	1110011
lpcll	1000	001	1		LLPL	100	0	1110011
lpsml	1000	011	0	0	MLPL	100	0	1110011
lpcml	1000	011	0	1	MLPL	100	0	1110011
lpsul	1000	011	1	0	ULPL	100	0	1110011
lpcul	1000	011	1	1	ULPL	100	0	1110011
	7		1	1	8	3	5	7

When privilege level is M, the forward-edge CFI is active when MFCFIE is 1 in mseccfq register.

When menvcfg.CFIE is 0, then Zisslpcfi is not enabled for privilege modes less than M and forward-edge CFI is not active at privilege levels less than M.

When V=0 and menvcfg.CFIE is 1, then forward-edge CFI is active at S-mode if menvcfg.SFCFIE is 1 and is active at U-mode if mstatus.UFCFIE is 1.

When henvcfg.CFIE is 0, then Zisslpcfi is not enabled for use when V=1.

When V=1 and menvcfg.CFIE and henvcfg.CFIE are both 1, then forward-edge CFI is active at S-mode if henvcfg.SFCFIE is 1 and is active at U-mode if vsstatus.UFCFIE is 1.

The term xFCFIE is used to determine if forward-edge CFI is active at privilege level x and is defined as follows:

Listing 8. **xFCFIE** *determination*

```
if ( privilege == M-mode )
   xFCFIE = mseccfg.MFCFIE
else if ( menvcfg.CFIE == 1 && V == 0 && privilege == S-mode )
```

```
xFCFIE = menvcfg.SFCFIE
else if ( menvcfg.CFIE == 1 && V == 0 && privilege == U-mode )
    xFCFIE = mstatus.UFCFIE
else if ( menvcfg.CFIE == 1 && henvcfg.CFIE == 1 && V == 1 && privilege == S-mode )
    xFCFIE = henvcfg.SFCFIE
else if ( menvcfg.CFIE == 1 && henvcfg.CFIE == 1 && V == 1 && privilege == U-mode )
    xFCFIE = vsstatus.UFCFIE
else
    xFCFIE = 0
```

When forward-edge CFI is not active(xFCFIE = 0):

- The implementation does not update expected landing pad (ELP) state on indirect call or jump and does not require the instruction at the target of a indirect call or jump to be a landing pad instruction.
- The implementation does not update expected landing pad (ELP) when lpcll is executed.
- The instructions defined for forward-edge CFI revert to their Zimop defined behavior and write 0 to [rd].

4.2. Landing pad instruction

lpcll is the valid landing pad instructions at target of indirect jumps and indirect calls. When a forward-edge CFI is active, the instructions cause an illegal instruction exception if they are not placed at a 4-byte aligned pc. The lpcll has the lower landing pad label embedded in the LLPL field. lpcll causes an illegal instruction exception if the LLPL field in the instruction does not match the lpl.LL field.

When the instructions cause an illegal-instruction exception, the ELP does not change. The behavior of the trap caused by this illegal-instruction exception is specified in section Section 4.5.

The operation of the lpcll instruction is as follows:

Listing 9. lpcll operation

```
If xFCFIE != 0
    // If PC not 4-byte aligned then illegal-instruction
    if pc[1:0] != 0
        Cause illegal-instruction exception
    // If lower landing pad label not matched -> illegal-instruction
    else if (inst.LLPL != lpl.LL)
        Cause illegal-instruction exception
    else
        ELP = NO_LP_EXPECTED
else
    [rd] = 0;
endif
```



Contenation of two instructions A and B may be consumed as a valid landing pad in

the program. For example, consider a 32-bit instruction where the bytes 3 and 2 have a pattern of 4073h or c073h (for example, the immediate fields of a lui, auipc, or a jal instruction), followed by a 16-bit or a 32-bit instruction with a second byte with pattern of 83 (for example, an addi x6, x0, 1).

The lpcll requires a 4-byte alignment such that when such patterns are detected the assembler/linker the instruction A may be forced to be aligned to a 4-byte boundary to cause the unintended lpcll pattern to become misaligned and cause an illegal instruction exception.

4.3. Label matching instructions

The lpcml instruction matches the 8-bit wide middle label in its MLPL field with the lpl.ML field and causes an illegal instruction exception on a mismatch. The lpcml is not a valid target for an indirect call or jump.

The lpcul instruction matches the 8-bit wide upper label in its ULPL field with the lpl.UL field and causes an illegal instruction exception on a mismatch. The lpcul is not a valid target for an indirect call or jump.

The operation of the lpcml instruction is as follows:

Listing 10. lpcml operation

```
If xFCFIE != 0
   if (lpl.ML != inst.MLPL)
      cause illegal-instruction exception
else
   [dst] = 0;
endif
```

The operation of the lpcul instruction is as follows:

Listing 11. lpcul operation

```
If xFCFIE != 0
   if (lpl.UL != inst.ULPL)
      cause illegal-instruction exception
else
   [dst] = 0;
endif
```

4.4. Setting up landing pad label register

Before performing an indirect call or indirect jump to a labeled landing pad, the lpl is loaded with the expected landing pad label - a constant determined at compilation time.

A lpsll instruction is provided to set the value of the lower label (LL) field of the lpl.

The operation of this instruction is as follows:

Listing 12. lpsll operation

```
If xFCFIE == 1
  lpl.LL = inst.LLPL
  lpl.ML = lpl.UL = 0
else
  [rd] = 0;
endif
```

The following instruction sequence may be emitted at indirect call sites by the compiler to set up the landing pad label register when labels that are up to 9-bit wide are used:

```
foo:
:
# x10 is expected to have address of function bar()
lpsll $0x1de # setup lpl.LL with value 0x1de
jalr %ra, %x10
:
```

The following instruction sequence may be emitted at indirect call sites by the compiler to set up the landing pads at entrypoint of function bar():

```
bar:
lpcll $0x1de  # Verifies that lpl.LL matches 0x1de
:  # continue if landing pad checks succeed
```

A lpsml instruction is provided to set the value of the middle label (ML) field of the lpl. This instruction is used when labels wider than 9-bit are used.

The operation of this instruction is as follows:

Listing 13. lpsml operation

```
If xFCFIE == 1
  lpl.ML = inst.MLPL
else
  [rd] = 0;
endif
```



The following instruction sequence may be emitted at indirect call sites by the compiler to set up the landing pad label register when labels that are up to 17-bit wide are used:

```
foo:

# x10 is expected to have address of function bar()
lpsll $0x1de  # setup lpl.LL with value 0x1de
lpsml $0x17  # setup lpl.ML with value 0x17
jalr %ra, %x10
:
```

The following instruction sequence may be emitted at indirect call sites by the compiler to set up the landing pads at entrypoint of function bar():

```
bar:
lpcll $0x1de  # Verifies that lpl.LL matches 0x1de
lpcml $0x17  # Verifies that lpl.ML matches 0x17
:  # continue if landing pad checks succeed
```

A lpsul instruction is provided to set the value of upper label (UL) field lpl. This instruction is used when labels wider than 17-bit are used.

The operation of this instruction is as follows:

Listing 14. lpsul operation

```
If xFCFIE == 1
    lpl.UL = inst.ULPL
else
    [rd] = 0;
endif
```

The following instruction sequence may be emitted at indirect call sites by the compiler to set up the landing pad label register when labels that are up to 25-bit wide are used:

```
foo:

# x10 is expected to have address of function bar()
lpsll $0x1de  # setup lpl.LL with value 0x1de
lpsml $0x17  # setup lpl.ML with value 0x17
lpsul $0x13  # setup lpl.UL with value 0x13
jalr %ra, %x10
:
```

The following instruction sequence may be emitted at indirect call sites by the compiler to set up the landing pads at entrypoint of function bar():



```
bar:
lpcll $0x1de  # Verifies that lpl.LL matches 0x1de
lpcml $0x17  # Verifies that lpl.ML matches 0x17
lpcul $0x13  # Verifies that lpl.ML matches 0x13
:  # continue if landing pad checks succeed
```

4.5. Preserving expected landing pad state on traps

A trap may need to be delivered to the same or higher privilege level on completion of JALR but before the instruction at the target of JALR was decoded due to asynchronous interrupts.

A trap may be caused by synchronous exceptions with priority lower than that of an illegal-instruction exception (See Table 3.7 of Privileged Specification [2]).

A trap may be caused by the illegal-instruction exception due to the instruction at the target of a JALR not being a lpcll instruction, or the lpcll instruction not being 4-byte aligned, or due to the LLPL encoded in the lpcll not matching the LL field of lpl.

To avoid losing previous ELP state, MPELP and SPELP bit is provided in the mstatus CSR for M-mode and HS/S-mode respectively. The SPELP bit can be accessed through the sstatus CSR. To avoid losing ELP state on traps to VS-mode, SPELP bit is provided in vsstatus (VS-modes version of sstatus) to hold the ELP. When a trap is taken into VS-mode, the SPELP bit of vsstatus CSR is updated with ELP. When V=1, sstatus aliases to vsstatus CSR. The xPELP fields in mstatus and vsstatus are WARL fields. The trap handler should preserve the lpl CSR.

When a trap is taken into privilege mode x, the xPELP bit is updated with current ELP and ELP is set to NO_LP_EXPECTED.

MRET or SRET instruction is used to return from a trap in M-mode or S-mode respectively. When executing an xRET instruction, the ELP is set to xPELP if xFCFIE is 1 at the targeted privilege level. The xPELP is set to NO_LP_EXPECTED. Trap handlers should restore the preserved lpl value before executing the SRET or MRET.