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**Question 1** (15 marks)

My code and explanation for the LINUX scheduler is shown below:

Here is a screenshot showing the output of my LINUX scheduler.

**Question 2** (5 marks)

When choosing the next process to schedule, if the next process is of the same priority as the current process, it will just use the pointer to get the next process. If the next process is of different priority, it will iterate through the array of queues to find the next process. Both of which is O(1). However, in the priority queue implementation to get the next process to schedule, we have to extract the top element of the priority queue which is O(logN).

One advantage of using array of queues: In the scenario where there is a large number of processes running in the system, the queue implementation will be faster since O(logN) is larger than O(1).

One disadvantage of using array of queues: In the scenario where there is only a few processes running in the system, O(logN) will be faster since the queue implementation will have to iterate through the array of 140 queues to find the next process to schedule.

**Question 3** (5 marks)

My pseudocode for “renice” is shown below:

Look for the process under the process table and offset the value of prio with adjust, while keeping the value within 0 to 139. The LinuxScheduler will insert the process under the new priority’s queue after it finishes the current quantum cycle.

**Question 4.** (20 marks)

My code and explanation for the RMS scheduler is shown below:

Here is my screenshot of my RMS scheduler running:

**Question 5.** (5 marks)

CPU Utilization using the formula is: 1/4 + 2/8 + 3/12 = 0.75

CPU Utilization by counting cycles is: 12/48 = 0.75

They are the same (choose one). This is why: The formula is a sum of all the process’ CPU time over period, which is the percentage of CPU time each process needs. When we sum the percentage of CPU time each process needs, it will give the percentage of CPU time all process needs. However, a CPU cannot have a utilization of more than 100%. Therefore, if the utilization by counting cycles is below 100%, the percentage of CPU time all process needs will also be the same value.

**Question 6.** (10 marks)

My modifications to turn this into an EDF scheduler are:

Modify prioll.h to add deadline to the TPrioNode struct.

Modify prioll.cpp insert function to sort queue according to node’s deadline.

Under the scheduler function

{

if(timerTick != 0)

--processes[currProcess].timeLeft;

TPrioNode \*node = checkReady(blockedQueue, timerTick);

while(node != NULL){

prioRemoveNode(&blockedQueue, node);

prioInsertNode(&readyQueue, node);

node = checkReady(blockedQueue, timerTick);

}

if(currProcess == -1) {

if(readyQueue == NULL)

return currProcess;

else {

currProcessNode = prioRemove(&readyQueue);

currProcess = currProcessNode->procNum;

return currProcess;

}

}

if(processes[currProcess].timeLeft == 0) {

processes[currProcess].timeLeft = processes[currProcess].c;

processes[currProcess].deadline += processes[currProcess].p;

currProcessNode->deadline = processes[currProcess].deadline;

prioInsertNode(&blockedQueue, currProcessNode);

if(readyQueue == NULL){

currProcessNode = NULL;

return -1;

}

currProcessNode = prioRemove(&readyQueue);

return currProcessNode->procNum;

} else {

return currProcess;

}

Because an EDF scheduler does not pre-empt any processes, we do not need the code for suspending the process. The highlighted part is the code that is modified. When time left is 0 (process finished quantum), it resets the information in the process table, updates the new deadline and inserts into the blocked queue. It then retrieves the next ready process in the queue, which already sorted by earliest deadline. If time left is not 0, it continues running the current process since it still has the earliest deadline.

Sketch modifications and write down pseudocode for how to modify the RMS scheduler you have built into an EDF scheduler. You do not have to build the EDF scheduler, only explain in as much detail as possible how you can convert your RMS scheduler into an EDF scheduler.

**Question 7.** (2 marks)

This is the output of my RMS scheduler with missed deadlines:

Time: 0 P1 Deadline: 3

Time: 1 P2 Deadline: 6

Time: 2 P2 Deadline: 6

Time: 3 P1 Deadline: 6

Time: 4 P3 Deadline: 8

Time: 5 P3 Deadline: 8

====== Pre-Emption ======

Time: 6 P1 Deadline: 9

Time: 7 P2 Deadline: 12

Time: 8 P2 Deadline: 12

Time: 9 P1 Deadline: 12

Time: 10 !! P3 Deadline: 8 !!

Time: 11 ---

Time: 12 P1 Deadline: 15

Time: 13 P2 Deadline: 18

Time: 14 P2 Deadline: 18

Time: 15 P1 Deadline: 18

Time: 16 !! P3 Deadline: 16 !!

Time: 17 !! P3 Deadline: 16 !!

====== Pre-Emption ======

Time: 18 P1 Deadline: 21

Time: 19 P2 Deadline: 24

Time: 20 P2 Deadline: 24

Time: 21 P1 Deadline: 24

Time: 22 !! P3 Deadline: 16 !!

Time: 23 ---

Time: 24 P1 Deadline: 27

Time: 25 P2 Deadline: 30

Time: 26 P2 Deadline: 30

Time: 27 P1 Deadline: 30

Time: 28 !! P3 Deadline: 24 !!

Time: 29 !! P3 Deadline: 24 !!

====== Pre-Emption ======

Time: 30 P1 Deadline: 33

Time: 31 P2 Deadline: 36

Time: 32 P2 Deadline: 36

Time: 33 P1 Deadline: 36

Time: 34 !! P3 Deadline: 24 !!

Time: 35 ---

Time: 36 P1 Deadline: 39

Time: 37 P2 Deadline: 42

Time: 38 P2 Deadline: 42

Time: 39 P1 Deadline: 42

Time: 40 !! P3 Deadline: 32 !!

Time: 41 !! P3 Deadline: 32 !!

====== Pre-Emption ======

Time: 42 P1 Deadline: 45

Time: 43 P2 Deadline: 48

Time: 44 P2 Deadline: 48

Time: 45 P1 Deadline: 48

Time: 46 !! P3 Deadline: 32 !!

Time: 47 ---

At time 11, the scheduler did not choose P3 to run due to the way the checkReady function is implemented. Though P3 is supposed to be ready at time 11, checkReady function checks whether a process is ready by use the current timer tick to mod with the period. At time 8, P3 is still under the suspended state which is why the checkReady cannot update P3 from blocked to ready. Therefore P3 is unable to run at time 11 because it is not ready.

**Question 8.** (8 marks)

CPU utilization (using the utilization formula) is: 1/3 + 2/6 + 3/8 = 1.04166

Here is my Criticial Instance Analysis (CIA) of the 3 processes:

Based on CPU utilization and CIA we have missed deadlines because: In order not to miss any deadlines the CPU utilization using the formula cannot be more than 100%. If it exceeds 100%, it means that some of the process will not be able to finish running for a certain period.

TOTAL: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ / 70