1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
10	1	RICT CALIFORNIA RN DIVISION
11	SOUTHER	- CN DIVISION
12	UNITED STATES)
13	COMMODITY FUTURES) Case No: 15-00130-CJC(RNBx)
14	TRADING COMMISISION) EV DADTE STATUTODY
15	Plaintiff,) EX PARTE STATUTORY) RESTRAINING ORDER
16		
17	vs.)
18	CHRISTOPHER VALOIS, CYNTHIA WONG, BERTRAM)
19	TRADE LLC, and)
20	CHURCHHILL COMMODITIES)
21	TRADING LLC,)
22	Defendants.)
23) _)
24		
25	Having read the Complaint for Injunctive and Other Equitable Relief and	
26		
27	Penalties Under the Commodity Exchange Act ("Complaint") filed by Plaintiff	
28	Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC" or "Commission"), Plaintiff's	

Application for *Ex Parte* Statutory Restraining Order, Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Its Application for *Ex Parte* Statutory Restraining Order and Motion for a Preliminary Injunction ("Memorandum"), and Appendix to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Its Application for *Ex Parte* Statutory Restraining Order and Motion for a Preliminary Injunction,

THE COURT FINDS:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this action. Section 6c of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. §13a-1(a) (2012), authorizes this Court to enter an *ex parte* statutory restraining order against Defendants Christopher Valois ("Valois), Cynthia Wong ("Wong"), Bertram Trade LLC ("Bertram Trade") and Churchhill Commodities Trading LLC ("Churchhill") (collectively "Defendants").
- 2. Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e).
- 3. It appears that there is good cause to believe that Defendants have engaged, are engaging, or are about to engage in violations of the Act. Specifically, it appears that Defendants have engaged, are engaging, or are about to engage in violations of Sections 4(a) and 4b(a)(2)(A) and (C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6(a) and 6b(a)(2)(A) and (C), and that Defendants Valois and Wong also have engaged, are engaging, or are about to engage in violations of Sections 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C),

4m(1) and 4o(1)(A) and (B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A) and (C), 6m(1), and 4o(1)(A) and (B) (2012),

- 4. It further appears that there is good cause to believe immediate and irreparable harm to the Court's ability to grant effective final relief to Defendants' customers in the form of monetary redress will occur from the sale, transfer, assignment, or other disposition by Defendants' assets or destruction of books and records unless they are immediately restrained and enjoined by order of the Court. Accordingly, there is good cause to issue this Order.
- 5. Consequently, the Court is satisfied that this is a proper case for granting an *ex parte* statutory restraining order to preserve the status quo and to protect public investors from further fraud, deceit, loss or damage, and enable the Commission to fulfill its statutory duties. It further appears that the interest of justice requires that the *ex parte* application be heard without notice and that the notice requirement of L.R. 7-19.1 is waived.

ORDER

DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Order, the following definitions apply:

- 6. "Assets" means any legal or equitable interest in, right to or claim to any real or personal property, including, but not limited to, chattels, goods, instruments, equipment, fixtures, general intangibles, effects, leaseholds, mail or other deliveries, inventory, checks, notes, accounts including bank accounts and accounts at financial institutions, credits, receivables, lines of credit, securities, contracts including spot and futures contracts, insurance policies and all cash, wherever located.
- 7. "Document" is synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of the term in Rule 34(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and includes writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, audio and video recordings, computer records and other data compilations from which information can be obtained and translated through detection devices into reasonably usable form. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of the term.
- 8. "Defendants" refers to Valois, Wong, Bertram Trade, and Churchhill and well as any persons insofar as they are acting in the capacity of Defendants' agents, servants, successors, employees, assigns and attorneys, and all persons insofar as they are acting in active concert or participation with Defendants who

4 5

6 7

9

10 11

13

14

12

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, including electronic mail, facsimile, United Parcel Service, or Federal Express.

RELIEF GRANTED

STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER

I. ASSET FREEZE

IT IS ORDERED that Defendants, all persons insofar as they are acting in the capacity of Defendants' agents, servants, successors, employees, assigns, and attorneys, and all persons insofar as they are acting in active concert or participation with Defendants who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, including email, facsimile, and UPS or other commercial overnight service, are restrained from directly or indirectly withdrawing, transferring, removing, dissipating, concealing, or disposing of, in any manner, any funds, assets, or other property, wherever situated, including, but not limited to, all funds, personal property, money, or securities held in safes or safety deposit boxes and all funds on deposit in any financial or brokerage institution, futures commission merchant, bank, or savings and loan account held by, under the actual or constructive control of, or in the name of any or all of the Defendants. The assets affected by this paragraph shall include both existing assets and assets acquired after the effective date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further order of this Court, any bank, financial or brokerage institution, entity, or person that holds, controls, or

maintains custody of any funds, assets, or other property of Defendants, or has held, controlled, or maintained custody of any funds, assets, or other property of Defendants, and who receives notice of this Order by any means, including facsimile, electronic mail, United Parcel Service, or Federal Express, shall:

- A. <u>Immediately upon receipt of a copy of this Order</u> prohibit

 Defendants and any other person from withdrawing, removing, assigning,
 transferring, pledging, encumbering, disbursing, dissipating, converting, selling, or
 otherwise disposing of any such assets, except as directed by further order of the
 Court;
- B. <u>Immediately upon receipt of a copy of this Order</u> deny Defendants and all other persons access to any safe deposit box that is:
 - 1. titled in the name of or maintained by Defendants, either individually, jointly, or in any other capacity, including safe deposit boxes titled in the name of or maintained by nominees of Defendants; or
 - 2. otherwise subject to the control of or access by Defendants; and
- C. <u>Immediately upon receipt of a copy of this Order</u> cooperate with all reasonable requests of the CFTC relating to implementation of this Order, including producing records related to Defendants' accounts and Defendants' businesses.

II. PROHIBITION ON DESTRUCTION OF BOOKS AND RECORDS

are acting in the capacity of Defendants' agents, servants, successors, employees, assigns, and attorneys, and all persons insofar as they are acting in active concert or participation with Defendants who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, including email, facsimile, and UPS or other commercial overnight service, are restrained from directly or indirectly refusing to permit authorized representatives of the Commission to inspect, when and as reasonably requested, any books and records, documents, correspondence, brochures, manuals, electronically stored data, tape records, or other property of Defendants wherever located, including, but not limited to, all such records concerning Defendants' business operations and Defendants' business or personal finances.

III. ACCESS TO AND INSPECTION OF BOOKS AND RECORDS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that representatives of the CFTC be allowed immediately to inspect the books, records, and other documents of Defendants and their agents including, but not limited to, electronically stored data, tape recordings, and computer discs, wherever they may be situated and whether they are in the possession of Defendants or others, and to copy said books, records, and other documents, either on or off the premises where they may be situated.

IV. SERVICE OF ORDER AND ASSISTANCE OF U.S. MARSHALS

any means, including personal service, United Parcel Service, Federal Express, or other commercial overnight service, email, facsimile, those permitted by Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or Articles 2 through 10 of the Hague Convention, Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents, upon any financial institution or other entity or person that may have possession, custody, or control of any documents or assets of Defendants, or that may be subject to any provision of this Order. Camille M. Arnold, Robert Howell, and Joseph Patrick, all employees of the CFTC, are hereby specially appointed to serve process, including of this Order and all other papers in this case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States Marshals Service is directed to assist the CFTC with service of process, including of the Summons and Complaint and all other papers in this case, as well as assist the CFTC with taking control and custody of the assets, books and records, and business premises of Defendant.

V. BOND NOT REQUIRED OF PLAINTIFF

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Section 6c(b) of the Act, 7
U.S.C. § 13a-1(b), no bond need be posted by the Commission, which is an agency of the United States of America.

VI. SERVICE ON THE COMMISSION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall serve all pleadings, correspondence, notices required by this Order, and other materials on the Plaintiff by delivering a copy to Camille M. Arnold, Senior Trial Attorney, Division of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 525 W. Monroe St., Suite 1100, Chicago, Illinois 60661 and/or by filing such pleadings or other materials electronically with the Court.

VII. COURT MAINTAINS JURISDICTION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of this Court upon application, notice, and an opportunity to be heard, and that this Court retains` jurisdiction over this matter for all purposes.

VIII. FURTHER COURT HEARINGS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall appear for a status hearing on February 6, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should any party wish to file a memorandum of law or other papers in opposition to the Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, all papers shall be filed and served on or before February 6, 2015.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction is set for hearing on February 12, 2015 at 4:30 p.m.

The briefing and hearing dates on the Motion for a Preliminary Injunction may be revised upon stipulation by all parties and approval of this Court and if Defendants consent to the Order remaining in effect until the new date scheduled for the hearing. Defendants are hereby on notice that failure to appear at the hearing on the Motion for a Preliminary Injunction may result in the imposition of a preliminary injunction against them pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 65.

Dated: January 30, 2015

IT IS SO ORDERED.

U.S. District Court Judge