RASD

Carlo Dell'Acqua, Adriana Ferrari, Angelica Sofia Valeriani October 26, 2019

Contents

1 Introduction			3
	1.1	Purpose	3
		1.1.1 General Purpose	3
		1.1.2 Goals	3
	1.2	Scope	4
	1.3	Definitions, acronyms and abbreviations	4
		1.3.1 Definitions	4
		1.3.2 Acronyms	4
		1.3.3 Abbreviations	4
	1.4	Document Structure	5
2	Ove	erall description	6
	2.1	Product Perspective	6
	2.2	Product Functions	6
		2.2.1 Show safe and unsafe areas	6
		2.2.2 Submit and confirm violations	7
		2.2.3 Allow authorities to take action	7
	2.3	User Characteristics	7
	2.4	Assumptions, dependencies and constraints	8
		2.4.1 Domain assumptions	8
		2.4.2 Dependencies	8
3	Spe	ecific requirements	9
	3.1	External Interface Requirements	9
		3.1.1 User Interface	9
		3.1.2 Hardware Interfaces	9
		3.1.3 Software Interface	9
		3.1.4 Communication Interface	10
	3.2	Functional Requirements	10
		3.2.1 R1: Allow any citizen of legal age, as a visitor, to register	
		on the mobile phone app or the web app and to become	
			10
		3.2.2 R2: Allow a user to send pictures about street and parking	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12

5	Effo	rt spe	nt	32
	4.2	Facts.		30
	4.1	Signat	ures	29
4	Fori	mal usi	ing Alloy	29
		5.5.5	Portability	20
		3.5.4		28
		3.5.4	Maintainability	27
		3.5.2	Security	$\frac{20}{27}$
		3.5.1	Availability	26
	5.5	3.5.1	re System Attributes	26
	3.5	00	Other constraints	26
		3.4.3	Hardware limitations	26 26
		3.4.1 3.4.2	Standards compliance	$\frac{25}{25}$
	3.4	_	Constraints	25
	9.4	3.3.3	Performance testing	25
		3.3.2	Evolution of the system	25
		3.3.1	Performance features	24
	3.3		mance Requirements	24
	0.0	3.2.10	Traceability Matrix	24
		3.2.9	R9: Traffic Ticket Generation	23
		3.2.8	R8: Unsafe Areas Identification	22
		3.2.7	R7: Allow authorities to register with a special user profile	20
			who committed them	19
		3.2.6	R6: Allow authorities to see data about violations and	
		3.2.5	R5: Register the violation	18
			likely to happen	17
		3.2.4	R4: Allow a user to see the areas where a violation is more	
		3.2.3	R3: Validation of reported violations	14

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Purpose

1.1.1 General Purpose

SafeStreets is a service that aims to improve the safety of the general traffic. This is achieved by creating a community of users who are able to report any violation they see while the system manages all the aspects of data validation and statistical analysis. Different services contribute to this purpose:

- The first service offered by the front end application is the report service. Any registered user can submit violation reports and SafeStreets will validate them as described in the following sections with the help of the community.
- The second service offered by the front end application is the Unsafe Areas Map. SafeStreets will provide statistics about areas that have a higher risk of violations based on the reports it receives and the danger of the infractions. Data can also be collected from public services if available to increase accuracy.
- The third service is the ticket generation. Traffic policemen will have access to a dedicated section of the application where SafeStreets will collect validated reports. This will enable any registered policeman to take actions against those violations.

1.1.2 Goals

The following goals describe the key points of our system:

- G1: the system will provide an easy interface for violation reports
- G2: the system will provide aggregate anonymous data to show unsafe areas

- G3: the system will show violation reports to registered authorities
- G4: the system will integrate a validation procedure that will be followed strictly for each submitted report
- G5: the system will provide secure authentication methods
- G6: user data will only be available to the user itself, authorities and SafeStreets authorized employees

1.2 Scope

SafeStreets is a service that is available to any citizen having a valid ID number. The service creates a direct channel to communicate with authorities and with other users about the observed traffic violations, promoting street safety and helping others who might be in need, for example by reporting a vehicle which is blocking an access for disabled people. Registered users can submit reports that are going to be validated by other end-users, creating a network of trust. The reports they file provide information about the violation, the location and the license plate of the subject. This makes reports detailed enough for approval by public officers, who are able to review them and take proper action to discourage further infractions.

1.3 Definitions, acronyms and abbreviations

1.3.1 Definitions

1.3.2 Acronyms

• RASD: Requirements Analysis and Specification Document

• API: Application Programming Interface

• GPS: Global Positioning System

1.3.3 Abbreviations

• $\mathbf{G} n$: \mathbf{n}_{th} goal

• $\mathbf{D}n$: \mathbf{n}_{th} domain assumption

• $\mathbf{R} n$: \mathbf{n}_{th} requirement

1.4 Document Structure

- 1. **Introduction**: The first section is a general description of the system's scope, purpose, and its goals. It also includes references of the document and definitions, abbreviations and acronyms used along the paper
- 2. Overall description: The second section includes shared phenomena, requirements and domain assumptions. It also clarifies users' needs and characteristics
- 3. **Specific requirements**: The third section includes all the requirements of the application, both functional and non functional. Besides, it also includes scenarios and associated use cases, with sequence diagrams in order to clarify events-flow
- 4. Formal analysis using Alloy: The fourth section includes the Alloy model that describes the given system
- 5. **Effort spent**: The fifth section includes the detailed information about the time spent for each part of the document and how the work has been divided between group members
- 6. **References**: The sixth section includes all the references about papers and documents used to support this document

Chapter 2

Overall description

2.1 Product Perspective

The core of SafeStreets is the opportunity to create a community that cooperates to reduce traffic violations: for this to be possible, it is necessary to integrate third party services with our software. Our software works with the APIs provided by Google Maps to best help the users identify the location of the violation they want to report. We also work with different municipalities that help us provide better statistics by sharing with us their data about traffic violations, so our software needs to interact with their APIs and reduce the differences in communication standards as much as possible.

2.2 Product Functions

The following section contains the main product functions of SafeStreets. Some of them are available to everyone, some only to registered users and others only to authorities.

2.2.1 Show safe and unsafe areas

Who has access:

Anyone

Description:

SafeStreets helps people understand which parts of their town, or any customizable region, are more dangerous because of traffic violations. A map highlights areas differently according to the frequency and severity of violations that occur. The data used to build these statistics is both entered by SafeStreets users and, whenever possible, integrated by data provided by the municipality.

2.2.2 Submit and confirm violations

Who has access:

Registered users

Description:

SafeStreets core functionality is allowing users to submit documentation about traffic violations they see. Only registered users have access to this functionality because it is necessary to be able to connect a report to the person who filed it, as intentionally filing fake reports may have legal consequences. In order to keep fake reports to a minimum, all violations need to be approved by an established amount of SafeStreets users and only then are they shown to the authorities. The ratio of approved versus non approved reports of a user, as well as the actual amount of violations they reported, is also taken into account when computing the reliability of a user.

2.2.3 Allow authorities to take action

Who has access:

Registered authorities

Description:

Authorities have access to another section of SafeStreets, which allows them to see more data about who submitted and committed violations. They can check the latest reports and either send a patrol in the area or, if the violations respects certain requirements, even directly send tickets to the culprit.

2.3 User Characteristics

- **Visitor**: a visitor is a person who visits SafeStreets without being registered. He can only proceed to registration in order to have complete access to system services, otherwise he can't have access to any service or data.
- User: a registered user, called simply user, is a person who registered to SafeStreets, providing his personal data. He can login to the system through provided credentials to exploit full services.
- Authorities: authorities are special users, they are persons that registered themselves as authorities to SafeStreets, demonstrating before completing registration their effective identity as authority and have access to more advanced functions of the system.
- Municipality: municipality is the local authority, it generates traffic tickets using verified data provided by SafeStreets.

2.4 Assumptions, dependencies and constraints

2.4.1 Domain assumptions

- [D1] Personal information that a visitor has to provide to become a registered user are name, surname, email, ID number and password
- [D2] Emails are unique and a user can only be associated to one email address
- [D3] Two-factors authentication can be enabled by the user by providing a phone number (SMS authentication) or by scanning a QR Code with an authenticator app (Token authentication)
- [D4] Users are enabled to submit reports only after their ID number has been verified by an API offered by public authorities
- [D5] Citizens must be at least 18 years old to register to SafeStreets
- [D6] Real world authorities can be uniquely identified through a badge number
- [D7] Municipalities expose APIs that allow SafeStreets to identify an authority by providing the badge number
- [D8] Municipalities can expose APIs that allow SafeStreets to retrieve data about other accidents that weren't notified through SafeStreets
- [D9] Once a SafeStreets report is validated, it has the same legal validity as a report filed personally by an authority, so authorities can emit tickets based on approved reports
- [D10] The safety level of an area is computed taking into account only street violations and accidents and is based on previous data
- [D11] No one can alter a report once it has been sent, it can only be validated or rejected

2.4.2 Dependencies

• The authorities will be in charge of every effective measure of security taken to make streets safer

Chapter 3

Specific requirements

3.1 External Interface Requirements

3.1.1 User Interface

The following mockups represent a basic idea of how the Mobile Application and the Web Interface are supposed to look like. Users can access to complete SafeStreets functionalities through the smart-phone application and they will notify violations through it.

On the other side, SafeStreets provides a Web Interface for users. As well as on the mobile phone, they can exploit all the functionalities provided by the application, such as the registration or the notification of a violation.

3.1.2 Hardware Interfaces

Since the application must run over the Internet, all the hardware shall re-quire to connect network will be an hardware interface for the system, both server and client side.

- Server-side: e.g. Modem, WAN LAN, Ethernet Cross-Cable.
- Client-side: e.g. Wi-Fi 802.11ac, 3G/4G.

3.1.3 Software Interface

SafeStreet provide an API, besides the Web Application Interface. In a more detailed way, it will provide an API that allows third parties to access the entire set of functionalities provided by the system. In this way third party companies and applications can embed in their system the functionalities concerning with the individual access requests and sampling requests.

3.1.4 Communication Interface

SafeStreets must rely on the newer TLS version 1.3, released in 2018, to guarantee the best security possible. This version, in comparison to the previous one (TLS v. 1.2) speeds up encrypted connections; in fact the handshake phase is executed with only one round-trip, which cuts the encryption latency in half. The use of TLS version 1.3 is guaranteed at least during the HTTPS connections involving messages carrying credentials or other sensible data.

3.2 Functional Requirements

3.2.1 R1: Allow any citizen of legal age, as a visitor, to register on the mobile phone app or the web app and to become a user by providing a document

- The system must allow the visitor to provide credentials and personal data
- The system must verify the correspondence between the ID number provided by the visitor and their personal information
- The system must allow the visitor to verify the account with an e-mail or SMS verification code
- The system must send a recap of registration to email of the visitor
- The system must verify that there are no other registered users or authorities with the same e-mail or ID number
- The user must accept users data privacy conditions to successfully register to the system
- A citizen can register to SafeStreets by providing their ID number
- The system must allow a user to register with an email and a password, that will be asked every time they log in

Scenario 1

Mario Rossi saw the advertisement of SafeStreets and decided to download the mobile application in order to be allowed to notify violations and improve safety of streets in his city. After opening the new app, he is asked to

fill a form with all his personal information, full name, email address, mobile number, ID card and phone number. To proceed with his registration, after having filled all the form, including the users data privacy conditions, Mario clicks on the "Create account" button. The system verifies the correspondence between inserted personal data and the ID card information, and if the verification is positive, Mario is asked whether he prefers to confirm his registration by phone number or by email. He chooses the

alternative he prefers, then he immediately receives the verification code on the medium he chose. He can confirm his registration by clicking on the verification code. The system then sends a recap to notify the positive outcome of registration. Mario is now a Registered User of SafeStreets; he can login to notify violations on the streets and is enabled to the use of all the functions for a basic user (not an authority) that the system provides.

Use case: Visitor registration on mobile phone

Visitor Registration

	Visitor Registration
Name	Registration to SafeStreets
Actor	Visitor
Goals	R1
Entry conditions	The visitor must have downloaded the mobile
	phone application or the visitor must have ac-
	cessed the web interface
Event flow	
	1. The Visitor must fill all mandatory fields in the registration form
	2. The Visitor must click on the "Create account" button
	3. The system validate the provided data
	4. The Visitor chooses how to confirm his registration (phone number/email)
	5. The system sends the code on the visitor's chosen mode, mobile phone or email address
	6. The Visitor clicks on the confirmation code
	7. The system sends a recap of registration, as a positive outcome
	8. The system saves the Visitor's data
Exit conditions	The Visitor has become a registered used
Exceptions	• The Visitor is already registered
	• The email is already registered
	• The ID card is already registered
	• Visitor's data don't match with ID card data
	• Some mandatory fields are not filled
	• The user is a minor

3.2.2 R2: Allow a user to send pictures about street and parking violations

- A user can submit a picture to the system whenever they see a traffic violation
- $\bullet\,$ Safe Streets analyses the image to read the license plate of the vehicle

- The user can manually attach the license plate to the image, to help the system with its validation
- The user must provide the type of violation, either selecting it from a list or manually typing it if it is not already in the system
- The user can help localizing the violation by inserting the name of the street where it occurred

Scenario 2

Mario Rossi is a user of SafeStreets, he has just finished working and he wants to go back home, but he is can't reach his car because of double parking. He waits for ten minutes to see if the owner of the car is coming, but then decides to notify the violation. In order to notify the violation he must have logged into the mobile application, inserting his data, so he does; then he takes two pictures representing the violation, then he clicks on the button to notify a violation, he inserts the position (Via Botticelli, Viale Romagna, 2, 20133 Milano MI), a brief description of the violation and the two pictures. He is asked to select the category of the notified violation (Double-Row Parking), or to add it if it's still not present in the system. Then, he is asked to insert the license plate of the vehicle involved in the violation in the mobile application, he does, then the app verifies that it corresponds to the license plate identified with the photograph.

Use case: User sends pictures on mobile phone to notify a parking violation

User sends pictures to notify violation

	nds pictures to notify violation
Name	Notification of violation by a user
Actor	User
Goals	R2
Entry conditions	The user must be registered to the application
	and he must have logged in
Event flow	
	1. The user takes pictures of the violation
	2. The user clicks on the "Notify a violation" button
	3. The user inserts data of the violation
	4. The user includes the two pictures
	5. The user checks whether the category of notified violation is present or not
	6. The user chooses "Double-Row Parking", then submits
	7. The system asks the user to insert the license plate (notified violation includes a vehicle)
	8. The user inserts license plate and submits
	9. The system validates the license plate
Exit conditions	The violation has been notified to the system
Exceptions	 The chosen category of the violation includes a vehicle but the license plate is not manually inserted by the user The license plate notified by the user doesn't correspond to the license plate identified by the pictures sent At least one of the pictures attached to the report has been taken more than 2 hours before the submission

3.2.3 R3: Validation of reported violations

- Whenever a violation is reported the system checks whether or not the license plate field has been filled
- ullet If the license plate is present, to prevent multiple tickets from being generated, the system verifies if the same kind of violation has already been reported in the previous h hours for the same vehicle

- If the same violation has already been reported in the previous h hours the report is automatically discarded, otherwise it is sent to a group of k SafeStreets users for validation
- Users who receive the notification about this approval process are then asked to approve, reject or declare themselves neutral about the report
- The report is validated if and only if the algebraic sum of approvals (+1), neutralities (0) and rejections (-1) is at least v
- Every user is supposed to respond, the system expects to receive k answers
- If a user doesn't answer within a certain amount of time, their answer is considered neutral

Scenario 3

SafeStreets has received the report of Mario Rossi regarding a violation of double-row parking. To verify the violation, a notification is sent to k users. The system receives the answers that the users gave to the validation request and makes the algebraic sum of approvals and rejections. The calculated sum is m>v, where v is a threshold that guarantees a minimum number of approvals; as a consequence, the system validates the report and registers the violation.

Use case: The system validates a reported violation

User sends pictures to notify violation

	nds pictures to notify violation
Name	Validation of violation
Actor	k users
Goals	R3
Entry conditions	A violation must have been notified by a user
Event flow	 A user send a violation report to SafeStreets The system sends a request to a number
	k of users
	3. Users answer the poll
	4. The system counts the answers and makes the algebraic sum m , considering that:
	 +1 is a confirmation of the violation 0 is an absent response or an agnostic report of the violation
	• -1 is a refusal of the violation
	5. The system compares the calculated sum with the memorized acceptance threshold value v
	(a) If $m > v$ the system validates and register the report
	(b) If $m \le v$ and the sample of users was less then g the report is discarded
	(c) If $m \leq v$ and the sample of users was greater or equal to g the report is discarded and the user who submitted it receives a penalty
Exit conditions	The system has validated and registered the violation
Exceptions	• Another violation report has already been sent in the previous h hours with the same violation category and license plate
	I .

3.2.4 R4: Allow a user to see the areas where a violation is more likely to happen

- SafeStreets can aggregate data inputted by users to show relevant statistics about the frequency of traffic violations
- Users can see how many violations usually happen in their neighborhood, in their current location or in an area of their choice
- A user has access to the type and amount of violations that happened
- Users can insert a specific address to check
- The system answers showing the nearest unsafe areas, if the address is located in a safe area
- The system answers showing more detailed data about frequency of car accidents and violations, if the address is in an unsafe area

Scenario 4

Mario Rossi searches for an English school for his daughter, he founds different schools in his city on the Internet, but he wants an area not too far from his house where the little girl can securely go also on foot. He has to decide among five schools. He is a user of SafeStreets, so for every school in his list, he looks on the web interface of the application for the statistics about car accidents in all the five zones. He inserts the address of the school and the system responds with a map, showing the nearest unsafe areas if the school is in a safe area, or, if the school is in an unsafe area, showing more detailed data about frequency of car accidents and violations. This operation is repeated five times.

Use case: User looks for statistics for unsafe areas

User looks for statistics for unsafe areas

Name	Viewing of statistics
Actor	User
Goals	R4
Entry conditions	The user must be registered to the application
	and he must have logged on the web interface
Event flow	
	1. The user clicks on the "View statistics"
	button
	2. The user inserts the address he looks for
	3. The system shows a map with the area
	surrounding the inserted address
Exit conditions	The user has seen the statistics he required
Exceptions	
	• The area has insufficient data to provide
	statistically significant information

3.2.5 R5: Register the violation

- The reported violation must have been validated by the system
- The system checks the type of violation
- In case the violation is already supported by the system, the number of violations of that type is increased, the license plate of the involved vehicle is added to the list of offenders and in a report that SafeStreets will deliver to authorities
- In case the violation is not supported by the system, the system updates the list of supported violations, then proceeds as in the previous case

Scenario 5

Luca Bianchi is in his lunch break, with his colleagues and he is waiting to cross the road on his way back to the office. While stopped at the semaphore, he sees a cyclist crossing the road with earphones. The intersection is very busy and this behavior is dangerous both for the cyclist and for those around him. Luca Bianchi is a user of SafeStreets, so he immediately takes a picture to catch the moment. He launches the mobile application, then he clicks on the button to report a violation, he inserts the position (Viale Zara, 100, 20125 Milano MI), a brief description of the violation and the two pictures. He is asked to select the category of the notified violation; as he doesn't manage to find the correct category, he adds a new one. He inserts a name for the type of violation "Riding a bike with earphones". The system checks that the inserted category doesn't

overlap with the existing ones, adds it to the system, then proceeds as in scenario 3 to validate the notification. If the notification is validated and a policeman is working in that area, when he receives the notification of "New infraction", he can verify himself and generate a traffic ticket.

Use case: A violation is registered

User notify a violation, that is registered

Oser notify a violation, that is registered		
Name	Registration of a notified violation by a user	
Actor	User	
Goals	R5	
Entry conditions	The user must be registered to the application	
	and he must have logged in	
Event flow		
	1. The user takes pictures of the violation	
	2. The user clicks on the "Notify a violation" button	
	3. The user inserts data of the violation	
	4. The user includes the two pictures	
	5. The user checks whether the category of notified violation is present or not	
	6. The user clicks on the button "Other" to add a violation	
	7. The user writes the name of the new violation	
	8. The user submits the report	
	9. The system checks the new category doesn't overlap with existing ones	
	10. The system registers the new violation type	
	11. Validation procedure goes on as in Scenario 3	
T		
Exit conditions	The user submits the report	

3.2.6 R6: Allow authorities to see data about violations and who committed them

- Authorities can access all data about violations that a standard user can access
- Authorities also have access to more specific data about who committed a violation, like the license plate of the car or how many infractions have

been associated to a specific car

• In case the validated report includes a vehicle and the license plate is correctly identified, the authority can generate a traffic ticket

Scenario 6

A notification of a violation including a vehicle has just been made by a registered user and has been validated. The type of violation is "Stop in front of driveway" and the offender car, that has been identified with its license plate, was already present in the list SafeStreets uses to keep track of offenders. The system updates the number of infractions related to that car. An authority views the new infraction and generate a traffic ticket. The authority is also able to see the history of violations regarding the offender.

Use case: Viewing of data about violations and offenders by authorities

Viewing of data about violations and offenders by authorities

O	sout violations and offenders sy dutifornies
Name	An authority sees offenders profile and data
Actor	Authority
Goals	R6
Entry conditions	A notification, including a vehicle, must have
	been made, validated and registered. The sys-
	tem has identified the license plate of the of-
	fender.
Event flow	
	1. The system updates the number of vio- lations associated to that vehicle
	2. The authority checks the history of violation related to the offender
	3. The authority generates a traffic ticket
Exit conditions	The authority handled a violation report
Exceptions	• The license plate of the offender is not identified

3.2.7 R7: Allow authorities to register with a special user profile

- Authorities must first register as citizens
- A standard user profile can be upgraded to an authority profile if it is verified by the system

• To obtain privileged access, the user must provide a valid document that proves their authority status

Scenario 7

Paolo Brambilla is a policeman, he wants to register to SafeStreets and using his tablet he accesses the web interface, inserts his data for standard user registration (see Scenario 1) and becomes a registered user. He wants to upgrade his profile to be enabled, as a member of the local police, to access the most advanced functions of the system. He must click on the button "Upgrade profile", then he is asked to write his police ID badge number and his current district, then he clicks on the button "Upgrade to authority". The system verifies his ID badge number, sending it to the municipality that confirms or discards the identification of the policeman, checking his personal data, his area of competence and his badge. If the authority identity is validated, the profile is correctly upgraded. An confirmation email of the upgrade is sent to Paolo Brambilla's address. Now he can log to SafeStreets as an authority and has access to all the advanced functions of the system. If the authority identity is rejected, the system doesn't change anything in the user profile.

Use case: User registers as an authority

Registration of an authority

Registration of an authority		
Name	Registration of an authority	
Actor	User/Authority	
Goals	R7	
Entry conditions	The user must be registered to the application	
	and he must have logged in	
Event flow		
	1. The user clicks on the "Upgrade profile" button	
	2. The system asks to insert data for proving their authority role	
	3. The user inserts the police ID badge number and their district	
	4. The user clicks on the "Upgrade to authority" button	
	5. The system sends data to municipality	
	6. The municipality validates data	
	7. The system saves the authority's data and upgrades the user profile to authority level	
	8. The system sends a confirmation email	
Exit conditions	The user has become an authority	
Exceptions		
	The inserted district is not valid	
	• The police ID badge is already registered	
	• The police ID badge is not valid	

3.2.8 R8: Unsafe Areas Identification

- SafeStreets can cross information from different sources to identify potentially unsafe areas
- SafeStreets may be integrated with a public service, offered by the municipality, that provides such information
- Once identified, SafeStreets can suggest possible interventions to prevent accidents

Scenario 8

Use case: Authorities provide unsafe areas identification

Unsafe areas identification

Name	Unsafe areas identification
Actor	SafeStreets Server
Goals	R8
Entry conditions	
Event flow	
	1. TODO
Exit conditions	New statistics have been saved by the system

3.2.9 R9: Traffic Ticket Generation

- SafeStreets can generate tickets for traffic violations reported from registered users
- The information is kept safe and intact through the chain of custody, from the end user to the local police officer issuing the tickets

Scenario 9

The information about the reports is stored in a database where privileges about the creation and modification of the information are distinct. Both the web interface and the mobile application can create new reports, only system administrators have full access to the database and thus it's their responsibility to guarantee that other actors don't alter the data

Use case: An authority provides municipality of information and traffic ticket is generated

A traffic ticket is generated

7.	traine tieket is generated
Name	A traffic ticket is generated
Actor	Authority
Goals	R9
Entry conditions	A violation has been approved
Event flow	The system creates new records in the database regarding the reported violation
	2. Authorities can access report data in read-only mode
	3. Through the web interface an authority automatically generate a standard ticket, filling out the missing information
Exit conditions	Traffic ticket is generated
Exceptions	• A system administrator broke the chain of custody

3.2.10 Traceability Matrix

Traceability Matrix

Requirement	Use case
R1	Visitor registration on mobile phone
R2	User sends pictures on mobile phone to notify a park-
	ing violation
R3	The system validates a reported violation
R4	User looks for statistics for unsafe areas
R5	A violation is registered
R6	Viewing of data about violations and offenders by
	authorities
R7	User registers as an authority
R8	Authorities provide unsafe areas identification
R9	An authority provides municipality of information
	and traffic ticket is generated

3.3 Performance Requirements

3.3.1 Performance features

- $\bullet~90\%$ of the API calls should be completed within 4.5 seconds
- The response times during the testing phase will be measured using HP

LoadRunner (or similar tools) located behind the firewall and in front of the web servers

- Real response times will be measured using the application server log files
- The system will be deployed to a machine able to serve a huge number of users in parallel

3.3.2 Evolution of the system

- At the beginning the system should be able to handle up to 10'000 users
- As the number of users will grow in unpredictable ways, at first the system will be deployed on cloud infrastructure that enables automatic up and down scaling (e.g. Azure Autoscale or AWS Elastic)
- Due to the cost of such infrastructures, as the number of users will become more stable the system will be migrated to a fixed resource machine

3.3.3 Performance testing

- Automatic monthly tests to check performance will be executed during system idle time which is determined statistically
- The performance tests should not exceed an execution time of 15 minutes

3.4 Design Constraints

3.4.1 Standards compliance

- To guarantee the compatibility with the potential municipality accident information service, our backend software should communicate and be able to read data in a portable format like JSON or XML
- To transfer this data, the software should expose an HTTP REST API

3.4.2 Hardware limitations

- The frontend application should be as lightweight as possible to support the diversity of mobile device hardware
- Devices with a camera resolution of less than 2MP should be marked as incompatible with SafeStreets due to the poor quality of the pictures that are taken with them

3.4.3 Other constraints

• The language used to develop the backend application will be chosen from the ones that are most supported by the main cloud infrastructure providers, in order to have a wider choice of hosting plans

3.5 Software System Attributes

3.5.1 Reliability

3.5.1.1 Index MTBF

- Index MTBF must consider as failures those out of design conditions which
 place the system out of service, for example by an overload of user's requests
- MTBF is of 5,000 hours

3.5.1.2 Index MTTR

- Index MTTR must consider time of testing and solution of bugs
- MTTR must be less than two hours

3.5.1.3 The position should be verifiable

- The system expects to receive one or two pictures
- The received pictures must be of at least 2MP
- The first picture must represent a general overview of the violation, to identify the street
- The second picture must allow the system to identify correctly the license plate, if the violation includes a vehicle

3.5.2 Availability

- The system must be available 99.9%
- The system must be available when performing the standard routine tests for maintenance
- The system can bear slowdowns of the service in case of extraordinary overload of requests
- The system can become unavailable for some seconds due to extraordinary maintenance or major updates

3.5.3 Security

3.5.3.1 Secrecy of data received and of users' information

- The system should never allow both non-registered users and registered users to see the identity of the person that notifies the violation
- User personal data must be encrypted and safely stored
- Users' passwords must be salted and hashed before being stored on any persistent medium
- Every user can log in using their email and password, but they can optionally enable Two-Factor authentication to improve security
- Violation reports must be encrypted before being sent to the server to preserve the secrecy and integrity in the chain of custody

3.5.3.2 Integrity of data

• The information sent by users (picture, date, time and position of the violation) has to be encrypted in order to keep the integrity and to avoid manipulation of the data

3.5.3.3 Measures of security according to danger level

- Public statistics to identify the most dangerous areas are provided for SafeStreets
- SafeStreets makes a ranking of dangerousness (minimum, medium, high)
- The authorities can decide to improve security by highlighting streets in areas at minimum risk
- The authorities can decide to improve security by adding cameras in the areas at medium risk
- The authorities can decide to improve security by both adding cameras and doubling patrol shifts in the areas at large risk

3.5.4 Maintainability

3.5.4.1 Testing overview

- The system is checked in its correct functioning by software testing
- Unit tests, Integration tests and System tests are performed before and after every update

3.5.4.2 The system is controlled and monitored

- The system is equipped with condition-monitoring algorithms
- The condition-monitoring algorithms identify the functions that cause alarm
- A unit test is made as soon as a function is identified as potentially at risk
- An integration test is performed after the unit test of the potentially at risk function
- A system test is performed after the integration and unit test of the potentially-at-risk function

3.5.4.3 The code must be clean and easy to understand

- Code must follow common best practices
- It is advisable to follow the design patterns to provide a standard terminology and to make the software more adaptable to future extensions and improvements
- Complete and detailed documentation, even for low level functions, is mandatory in order to keep the maintainability on the highest level on the whole system

3.5.5 Portability

- The software shall run on Windows, macOS, Linux, Android and iOS through a modern web browser supporting at least ECMAScript 2015
- To guarantee the portability of the front end application the software shall be developed using a web framework such as React
- This approach for the front end application will enable code reuse among native mobile platforms (iOS and Android) and the Web Application
- The back end software shall be developed in a language that can be compiled to run on virtual runtime such as Java, JavaScript or C# which can target the JVM, Node.js and dotnet core respectively
- The software can be developed using the standard APIs that span different types of operating systems
- The software will then be able to be transferred with no modifications on other destination machines
- The architecture must be flexible

Chapter 4

Formal using Alloy

4.1 Signatures

```
abstract sig Boolean {}
one sig True extends Boolean {}
      one sig False extends Boolean {}
      sig ViolationCategory {}
      sig LicensePlate {}
      sig Location {}
     sig Picture {}
12
     sig Municipality {
13
        name: one String,
province: one String
14
15
16
17
     sig User {
18
       name: one String,
19
       surname: one String,
email: one String,
phoneNumber: lone String,
password: one String,
idNumber: one String
20
21
23
24
25
26
     sig Authority extends User {
  badgeNumber: one String,
  district: one Municipality
27
29
30
31
32
     sig Report {
      pictures: set Picture,
location: one Location,
timestamp: one Int,
author: one User,
licensePlate: lone LicensePlate,
33
34
35
36
37
        violationCategory: one ViolationCategory,
38
39
         isValid: one Boolean
40
41
         #pictures > 0
```

```
43
     sig PollAnswer {
44
        value: one Int,
author: one User
45
46
    }{
47
       -1 \leq value \wedge value \leq 1
48
    }
49
50
    sig Poll {
51
      report: one Report,
52
       pollAnswers: set PollAnswer, isCompleted: one Boolean,
53
54
       score: one Int
55
56
       (isCompleted = True \land #pollAnswers = 10 \lor isCompleted = False \land #pollAnswers < 10)
57
58
       ∧ score = sum pollAnswers.value
59
60
61
62
    sig Ticket {
      authority: one Authority,
63
64
       report: one Report,
65
       fine: one Int
66
```

4.2 Facts

```
open signatures
    fact uniqueIdNumber {
        no disj u1, u2: User |
             u1.idNumber = u2.idNumber
    fact uniqueEmail {
       no disj u1, u2: User |
            u1.email = u2.email
10
11
12
    fact uniqueBadgeNumber {
13
       no disj a1, a2: Authority |
14
15
            a1.idNumber = a2.idNumber
16
17
    fact uniquePoll {
18
       no disj p1, p2: Poll |
p1.report = p2.report
19
20
21
22
23
    fact onePollPerReport {
       24
25
26
27
    fact validReport {
28
        all r: Report | one p: Poll | (p.report = r \land (r.isValid = True \limins p.score > 15))
29
30
31
32
    fact noDoubleVoting {
33
        all p: Poll | no disj a1, a2: PollAnswer | a1 in p.pollAnswers \( \lambda \) a2 in p.pollAnswers \( \lambda \) a1.author = a2.author
34
35
36
37
    fact noMultipleReports {
38
    all r1, r2: Report |
```

Chapter 5

Effort spent

Carlo Dell'Acqua

Task	Time spent (hours)
Project setup	2
Functional requirements	4.5
Design constraints	0.5
General Review	1
Introduction	1
App Images	2.5
Alloy	2
UML	1

Adriana Ferrari

Task	Time spent (hours)
Project setup	2
Functional requirements	4
Design constraints	0.5
Product perspective	0.5
Product functions	0.5
General review	1.5
Alloy	3
Domain assumptions	1

Angelica Sofia Valeriani

Task	Time spent (hours)
Software System Attributes	2
Performance Requirements	1
Domain Assumptions	1
External Interface Requirements	1
User Characteristics	1
Scenarios	3
Use cases	3
General review	1
Definitions, acronyms, abbreviations and document structure	0.5
Traceability Matrix	0.5
Sequence Diagrams	4

Bibliography