INFO20003 Database Systems

Week 7

File Organisations Revision

- Heap File
- Sorted File
- Index:
 - Hash Index
 - B-Tree Index

Q1) Index Selection

1. Question about the effect of index on selection:

Consider a relation R (a,b,c,d,e) containing 5,000,000 records, where each data page of the relation holds 10 records. R is organized as a sorted file with secondary indexes. Assume that R.a is a candidate key for R, with values lying in the range 0 to 4,999,999, and that R is stored in R.a order. For each of the following relational algebra queries, state which of the following three approaches is most likely to be the cheapest:

- Access the sorted file of R directly.
- Use a B+ tree index on attribute R.a.
- Use a hash index on attribute R.a.

Queries:

- a. $\sigma_{a < 50000}$ (R) sorted file over R
- b. $\sigma_{a=50000}$ (R) hash index
- c. $\sigma_{a} > 50000 \land a < 50010$ (R) B+ tree index

Predicate = selection condition

```
SELECT attribute list
FROM relation list
WHERE predicate1 AND ... AND predicate_k
```

 An index matches (a combination of) predicates that involve only attributes in a prefix of the search key

• Predicate = selection condition

```
SELECT attribute list
FROM relation list
WHERE predicate1 AND ... AND predicate_k
```

- An index matches (a combination of) predicates that involve only attributes in a **prefix** of the search key
- Index on <a, b, c> will match predicates on <a, b, c>,<a, b>, <a>

Sorted primarily on a

а	b	С
1	3	1
2	1	1
2	3	1
3	2	1
3	6	1
3	6	4
6	3	1

Predicate = selection condition

```
SELECT attribute list
FROM relation list
WHERE predicate1 AND ... AND predicate_k
```

- An index matches (a combination of) predicates that involve only attributes in a **prefix** of the search key
- Index on <a, b, c> will match predicates on <a, b, c>,
 <a, b>, <a>
 Break even by b

а	b	С	
1	3	1	
2	1	1	
2	3	1	
3	2	1	
3	6	1	
3	6	4	
6	3	1	

• Predicate = selection condition

SELECT attribute list
FROM relation list
WHERE predicate1 AND ... AND predicate_k

- An index matches (a combination of) predicates that involve only attributes in a **prefix** of the search key
- Index on <a, b, c> will match predicates on <a, b, c>,<a, b>, <a>

Break even by c when a and b are the same

а	b	С	
1	3	1	
2	1	1	
2	3	1	
3	2	1	
3	6	1	
3	6	4	
6	3	1	

Predicate = selection condition

```
SELECT attribute list
FROM relation list
WHERE predicate1 AND ... AND predicate_k
```

- An index matches (a combination of) predicates that involve only attributes in a **prefix** of the search key
- Index on <a, b, c> will match predicates on <a, b, c>,<a, b>, <a>
 - E.g. primary conjuncts can be (a=3 ^ b>5)
 - cannot be used to answer b=3

	а	b	С	
	1	3	1	
	2	1	1	
	2	3	1	
	3	2	1	
	3	6	1	
,	3	6	4	
	6	3	1	

a=3 and b>5

Predicate = selection condition

```
SELECT attribute list
FROM relation list
WHERE predicate1 AND ... AND predicate_k
```

- An index matches (a combination of) predicates that involve only attributes in a **prefix** of the search key
- Index on <a, b, c> will match predicates on <a, b, c>,<a, b>, <a>
 - E.g. primary conjuncts can be (a=3 ^ b>5)
 - cannot be used to answer b=3

	1.		
а	b	С	_
1	3	1	
2	1	1	b=3
2	3	1	
3	2	1	
3	6	1	
3	6	4	
6	3	1	

Q2) Matching Index

2. Matching index

Consider the following schema for the Sailors relation:

```
Sailors (sid INT, sname VARCHAR(50), rating INT, age DOUBLE)
```

For each of the following indexes, list whether the index matches the given selection conditions and briefly explain why.

- A B+ tree index on the search key (Sailors.sid)
 - a. σ_{Sailors.sid} < 50,000 (Sailors)
 - b. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.sid} = 50,000}$ (Sailors)
- A hash index on the search key (Sailors.sid)
 - c. σsailors.sid < 50,000 (Sailors)
 - d. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.sid} = 50,000}$ (Sailors)
- A B+ tree index on the search key (Sailors.rating, Sailors.age)
 - e. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.rating}} < 8 \land \text{Sailors.age} = 21 \text{ (Sailors)}$
 - f. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.rating} = 8}(\text{Sailors})$
 - g. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.age} = 21}(\text{Sailors})$

- A B+ tree index on the search key (Sailors.sid)
 - a. σ_{Sailors.sid} < 50,000 (Sailors)
 - b. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.sid} = 50,000}$ (Sailors)

- a) Match, primary conjuncts are: $Sailors.sid \le 50,000$
- b) Match, primary conjuncts are: Sailors.sid = 50,000

11

- A hash index on the search key (Sailors.sid)
 - c. σsailors.sid < 50,000 (Sailors)
 - d. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.sid} = 50,000}$ (Sailors)

- No match, range queries cannot be applied to a hash index.
- d) Match, primary conjuncts are: Sailors.sid = 50,000

- A B+ tree index on the search key (Sailors.rating, Sailors.age)
 - e. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.rating}} < 8 \land \text{Sailors.age} = 21 \text{ (Sailors)}$
 - f. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.rating} = 8}$ (Sailors)
 - g. $\sigma_{\text{Sailors.age} = 21}(\text{Sailors})$

- e) Match, primary conjuncts are Sailors.rating < 8 and Sailors.rating $< 8 \land Sailors.age = 21$
- f) Match, primary conjuncts are: Sailors.rating = 8
- No match. The index on (Sailors.rating, Sailors.age) is primarily sorted on Sailors.rating, so the entire relation would need to be searched to find those sailors with a particular Sailors.age value.

Q3) Cost of Joins

3. Question about the cost analysis of different joins:

Consider the join R $\bowtie_{R,a=8,b}$ S, given the following information about the relations to be joined:

- Relation R contains 10,000 tuples and has 10 tuples/page.
- Relation S contains 2,000 tuples and also has 10 tuples/page.
- Attribute b of relation S is the primary key for S.
- Both relations are stored as simple heap files.
- · Neither relation has any indexes built on it.
- 52 buffer pages are available.

The cost metric is the number of page I/Os unless otherwise noted and the cost of writing out the result should be uniformly ignored. Use S as the outer relation

- a. What is the cost of joining R and S using the **Page-oriented Nested Loops** algorithm? What is the minimum number of buffer pages (in memory) required in order for this cost to remain unchanged?
- b. What is the cost of joining R and S using the **Block Nested Loops** algorithm? What is the minimum number of buffer pages required in order for this cost to remain unchanged?
- c. What is the cost of joining R and S using the **Sort-Merge Join** algorithm? Assume that the external merge sort process can be completed in 2 passes.
- d. What is the cost of joining R and S using the **Hash Join** algorithm?
- e. What would the lowest possible I/O cost be for joining R and S using any join algorithm, and how much buffer space would be needed to achieve this cost? Explain briefly. Assuming infinite B

5. Joins (between relations R and S, R = outer, S = inner) Cost

- a. NLJ
 - i. Tuple-oriented NLJ

ii. Page-oriented NLJ

iii. Block-oriented NJL (for block_size B)

b. Hash Join

Cost =
$$3*(NPages(R) + NPages(S))$$
 B = # b

B = # buffer pages

c. Sort-Merge Join

Consider the join $R \bowtie_{R.a = S.b} S$, given the following information about the relations to be joined:

- Relation R contains 10,000 tuples and has 10 tuples/page.
- Relation S contains 2,000 tuples and also has 10 tuples/page.
- Attribute b of relation S is the primary key for S.
- Both relations are stored as simple heap files.
- Neither relation has any indexes built on it.
- 52 buffer pages are available.

R: S:
$$NT = 10,000$$
 $NT = 2,000$ $N = NP = 200$ $N = 1,000$ $N =$

$$B = 52$$

Q3a)

R: S:
$$B = 52$$

NT = 10,000 NT = 2,000

M = NP = 1,000 Neys(b) = 2,000

a. What is the cost of joining R and S using the Page-oriented Nested Loops algorithm? What is the minimum number of buffer pages (in memory) required in order for this cost to remain unchanged?

Total cost = (# of pages in outer) + (# of pages in outer × # of pages in inner)
=
$$N + (N \times M) = 200 + (200 \times 1000) = 200,200$$

3 buffer pages required: 1 input buffer to page through each relation; 1 output buffer to store output

Q3b)

b. What is the cost of joining R and S using the **Block Nested Loops** algorithm? What is the minimum number of buffer pages required in order for this cost to remain unchanged?

of blocks = ceil
$$\left(\frac{\text{# of pages in outer}}{B-2}\right)$$
 = ceil $\left(\frac{200}{50}\right)$ = 4

Total cost = (# of pages in outer) + (# of blocks
$$\times$$
 # of pages in inner)
= $200 + (4 \times 1000) = 4200$

If we have fewer buffers available, the cost will increase as the # of blocks will vary. The minimum number of buffer pages is 52 for this cost.

Q3c)

c. What is the cost of joining R and S using the **Sort-Merge Join** algorithm? Assume that the external merge sort process can be completed in 2 passes.

```
Cost of sorting R = 2 × # of passes × # of pages of R
= 2 × 2 × 1000 = 4000

Cost of sorting S = 2 × 2 × 200 = 800

Cost of merging R and S = # of pages read of R + # of pages read of S
= 1000 + 200 = 1200

Total cost = Cost of sorting R + Cost of sorting S + Cost of merging R and S
= 4000 + 800 + 1200 = 6000
```

Q3d)

$$R.a = S.b$$

R: S: B = 52NT = 10,000 NT = 2,000 M = NP = 1,000 N = NP = 200 Nkeys(b) = 2,000

d. What is the cost of joining R and S using the **Hash Join** algorithm?

In hash join, each relation is partitioned and then the join is performed by "matching" elements from corresponding partitions.

Total cost =
$$3(M+N)$$

= $3(1000 + 200) = 3600$

Q3e)

$$R.a = S.b$$

```
R: S: B = 52

NT = 10,000 NT = 2,000

M = NP = 1,000 N = NP = 200

Nkeys(b) = 2,000
```

- e. What would the lowest possible I/O cost be for joining R and S using any join algorithm, and how much buffer space would be needed to achieve this cost? Explain briefly.
 - Block-oriented nested loop
 - Store the entire smaller relation in memory to have 1 block
 - The larger relation will be read once
 - Total cost = 200 + 1000 = 1200 I/O
 - Minimum buffer page required = Npages(smaller relation) +2 = 202