

Assignment

Name: Angold, Jiawei WangID: 512395870

64

Question 1 (10 marks)

(a) $\neg \exists x \forall y P(x, y)$

$= \forall x \neg \forall y P(x, y) = \forall x \exists y \neg P(x, y)$

(b) $\neg \exists y (\forall x \exists z P(x, y, z) \vee \exists x \forall z Q(x, y, z))$

$= \forall y \neg (\forall x \exists z P(x, y, z) \vee \exists x \forall z Q(x, y, z))$

$= \forall y (\exists x \forall z \neg P(x, y, z) \wedge \forall x \exists z \neg Q(x, y, z))$

Question 2 (15 marks)

(a) $N = \{ \phi, \{\phi, \{\phi\}\}, \{\phi, \{\phi, \{\phi\}\}\}, \{\phi, \{\phi, \{\phi, \{\phi\}\}\}\}, \{\phi, \{\phi, \{\phi, \{\phi, \{\phi\}\}\}\}\} \}$

(b) False, because N contains ϕ , which cardinality is 0, that means contains no element.(c) Suppose N is a finite set, let's get this expression:"if N contains the set X , then N contains the set $X \cup \{X\}$."Since there are limited n elements $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} = N$. we can consider that the $\{a_i, \{a_i\}\}$ must in N . which contradicts that N is a infinite set. because if we gave the cardinality n of N , there will always be N_{n+1} set there.(d). Because N is an infinite set, which means the cardinality is very big, let's make it as \aleph_0 . but any element in N , except ϕ , only contains two elements, which means the cardinality is always 2. So. $N \notin N$, because the cardinality of elements in N : $2 < \text{the cardinality of } N$.

Question 3 (15 marks)

prove :

$S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, \dots\}$ if S and T have the same cardinality. ✓

$T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots\}$ which means we can define a bijection $f_n = g(s_n)$ between set S and T .

which means for f_n , elements in T , there will be an only corresponding s_n .

" f_n is a mapping between S and S .

Let's consider a mapping f between S and S , which mapping all elements in S into a specific element s_i in S . if the cardinality of S is \aleph_1 , then the corresponding f is also \aleph_1 , because for every element in S , we can define this mapping f . ✓

but there are more elements of T , for example, we can define another mapping f_j , which mapping $(\aleph_1 - 1)$ value into a specific element in S (s_j). and another value s_k is mapped into another element in S (like s_p). and so on ... ✓

So, In conclusion. we can always find more mapping f_n . between Set S and S . than the cardinality of S . which prove that S and T do not have the same cardinality. 10

Question 4 (10 marks)

Let's build 12 basketball players $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}\}$. and divide them into 10 sets. $\{A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9\}$.

From $A_0 \sim A_9$. each set contains three consecutive players.

we can know that. the ^{total} uniform numbers of 12 players is $1+2+3+\dots+12 = 78$. ✓

So the average uniform number each player is equal to: $\frac{78}{12} = 6.5$.

In $A_0 \sim A_9$. $\{a_3, a_4, \dots, a_{10}\}$ were count 3 times each of them. So there are $3 \times 8 \times 6.5$

$\{a_2, a_{11}\}$ were count twice. So there are $2 \times 2 \times 6.5 = 26 = 156$ uniform numbers.

$\{a_1, a_{12}\}$ were only count once. So there are $1 \times 2 \times 6.5 = 13$ uniform numbers.

(Question 4 Cont'd)

So, there are total $156 + 26 + 13 = 195$ uniform numbers.
which need to be placed into size $(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_9) = 10$ sets.

Thus, according to the generalized Pigeonhole principle:

there is at least one set A_i containing at least $\lceil \frac{195}{10} \rceil = \lceil 19.5 \rceil = 20$ uniform numbers.

Since every A_i is the set of three consecutive players.

which ensure our proof: "some three consecutive players have the sum of their numbers at least 20."

10

Question 5 (10 marks).

Consider the following two ways to arrange the ways in a n -person wine tour.

Method 1:

Step 1: we choose a driver from n person. which has n different ways.

Step 2: For the remaining $(n-1)$ person. each of them has 4 different choices. (3 alcoholic, 1 non-al) which has 4^{n-1} different ways.

Thus, the ways to arrange a n -person wine tour is equal to: $4^{n-1} \times n = n \cdot 4^{n-1}$.

Method 2:

Let k be an integer such that $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Step 1: we choose k person who will have the alcoholic menu.

There are $C(n, k)$ ways to choose and each of the k people have 3 different menu.

\therefore there are $C(n, k) \cdot 3^k$ ways

Step 2: for the remaining $(n-k)$ person, each of them only have one choice — no-alcoholic menu.

So there are $(n-k) \cdot 1$ ways.

For a particular k , the number of ways to arrange this tour is $C(n, k) \cdot 3^k \cdot (n-k)$.
Therefore, the total number of ways to arrange the tour is $\sum_{k=0}^n C(n, k) \cdot 3^k \cdot (n-k)$.

The wine tour can be arranged by both methods. So:

$$n \cdot 4^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n C(n, k) \cdot 3^k \cdot (n-k).$$

10

Question 6 (10 marks)

Base case. when $n=2$: ✓

$$P(E_1 \cup E_2) = P(E_1) + P(E_2) - P(E_1 \cap E_2) \leq P(E_1) + P(E_2).$$

Induction step.

Assume that

$$P(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k P(E_i). \text{ for some positive integer } k. \quad \checkmark$$

when $n=k+1$.

$$P(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_k \cup E_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^k P(E_i) - P(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_k) + P(E_{k+1})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} P(E_i). \quad (\text{when } P(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_k) = 0, \text{ equal}).$$

By the principle of mathematical induction.

$$\text{for all events } E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n. \quad P(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots \cup E_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(E_i)$$

6

Question 7 (15 marks)

(a) when $r=5$. Let's guess another envelop:

$$P(\text{greater than } r) = \frac{5}{11-1} = \frac{1}{2} = P(\text{less than } r). \text{ this is the example of } 50\% \text{ chance winning.}$$

when $r < 5$. Let's guess another envelop:

$$P(\text{win greater than } r) > \frac{6}{11-1} = \frac{3}{5} \quad (\text{when } r=4). \quad \times$$

this is the example of more than 50% chance of winning.

(b). (i) if the X is between the two envelope numbers. the chance of winning is 100%. ✓

(ii). $P > 0$, means. the case $a < x < b$ can occur. actually. it can occur. for example: if $a=4$ and $b=5$. and $x=4.5$. etc. ✓

(iii). if x is between the two envelop numbers. $P_{win} = 100\%$. ✓

if x is less than the smallest envelop number. $P_{win} = 0\%$. ✓

if x is bigger than the largest envelop number. $P_{win} = 50\%$. ✓ next page will discuss detail

(Question 7) Cont'd)

(b)(iii)

$$\textcircled{1} P(a < x < b) = 1 - P(b < x < a) - P(a < b < x) = 50\% \therefore P(\text{win})_{\text{mid}} = 50\% \times 100\% = 50\%.$$

$$\textcircled{2} P(x < a < b) = \frac{9+8+7+6+5+4+3+2+1}{2 \times 9 \times 10} = \frac{45}{180} = \frac{1}{4} = 25\% \therefore P(\text{win})_{\text{less}} = 25\% \times 0\% = 0\%.$$

$$\textcircled{3} P(a < b < x) = \frac{9+8+7+6+5+4+3+2+1}{2 \times 9 \times 10} = 25\% \therefore P(\text{win})_{\text{bigger}} = 25\% \times 50\% = 12.5\%.$$

$$\therefore P(\text{win}) = P(\text{win})_{\text{mid}} + P(\text{win})_{\text{less}} + P(\text{win})_{\text{bigger}} = 50\% + 0\% + 12.5\% = 62.5\%$$

This shows that this strategy has a better than 50% chance of winning.

Question 8 (15 marks)

Let the value of dice is D

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} E(X) &= 2 \cdot P(X=2) + 4 \cdot P(X=4) + P(X=6) \cdot 6 + P(X=8) \cdot 8 + P(X=10) \cdot 10 + P(X=12) \cdot 12 \\ &= 2 \cdot P(D=1, X=2) + 4 \cdot P(D=2, X=4) + P(D=3, X=6) \cdot 6 \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \times 2 + \frac{1}{6} \times 4 + \frac{1}{6} \times 6 + \frac{1}{6} \times 8 + \frac{1}{6} \times 10 + \frac{1}{6} \times 12 = \frac{1}{6} \times 42 = 7. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} E(Y) &= P(D_{\text{odd}}, Y=1) + P(D_{\text{even}}, Y=3) \cdot 3 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times 3 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c)} Z(1) &= X(1) + Y(1) = 2 + 3 = 5 \\ Z(2) &= X(2) + Y(2) = 4 + 1 = 5 \\ Z(3) &= X(3) + Y(3) = 6 + 3 = 9 \\ Z(4) &= X(4) + Y(4) = 8 + 1 = 9 \\ Z(5) &= X(5) + Y(5) = 10 + 3 = 13 \\ Z(6) &= X(6) + Y(6) = 12 + 1 = 13. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E(Z) = E(X) + E(Y) = (5+5+9+9+13+13) \times \frac{1}{6} = 54 \times \frac{1}{6} = 9.$$