Threads

Race conditions
Atomic Variables
Critical sections

BTW

• Read the week 10 advice on course website

Race Conditions

- Launch 2 threads, the outcome depends on which finishes first
 - Spurious, tough to reproduce (may need exacting set of conditions)
 - Because of this non-determinism they are Tough to debug

Race Conditions

- Launch 2 threads, the outcome depends on which finishes first
 - Spurious, tough to reproduce (may need exacting set of conditions)
 - Because of this non-determinism they are Tough to debug

```
Each of these instructions are really 3 assembly instructions

The problem is you can't guarantee that all three Will run to completion  

//Thread 1  
i++;  

//Thread 2  
i--;  
without being interrupted
```

Race Conditions

cannot be interrupted

- Launch 2 threads, the outcome depends on which finishes first
 - Spurious, tough to reproduce (may need exacting set of conditions)
 - Because of this non-determinism they are Tough to debug

```
Each of these instructions
Each of these instructions
are really 3 assembly instructions
The problem is you can't guarantee
The problem is you can't guarantee
that all three will run to completion
without being interrupted.
Want an atomic operation; a sequence
Want an atomic operation; a sequence
of 1 or more operations that appear
indivisible. No other process can see
an intermediate state, once started
an intermediate state, once started
cannot be interrupted.
```

So, is this atomic?

```
global2++;
--global2;
```

No, its 3, interruptible, machine instructions

```
--global2;
 51
         global2++;
 53
         --global2;
 54
🔣 Problems 🧧 Tasks 🗏 Properties 🚻 Call Graph 🧬 Terminal 💊 Breakpoints 📟 Disassembly 🛭 📥 Git Staging 🕬 Varia
                        III U V
                                 OIGA, OIGE
                        callq
                                0x555555555226 <std::thread::thread<void (&)()>(void (&
 0000555555554fc3:
≥52
                          global2++;
                                 0x202166(%rip),%eax
                                                               # 0x555555757134 <global2>
• 0000555555554fc8:
                       mov
 0000555555554fce:
                        add
                                 $0x1,%eax
```

%eax,0x20215d(%rip)

0000555555554fd1:

mov

global2++;

Go to this project and demo non deterministic behaviour

See https://github.com/CNUClasses/thread_problem_atomic_solution.git

0x555555757134 <global2>

Go From this

```
#include <thread>
using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;
//global variable
int global2 = 0;
```

Go From this

```
#include <thread>
using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;
//global variable
int global2 = 0;
```

To this

```
#include <thread>
#include <atomic>

using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;

//atomic variable
std::atomic<int> global2(0);
```

Go From this

```
#include <thread>
using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;
//global variable
int global2 = 0;
```

To this

```
#include <thread>
#include <atomic>

using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;

//atomic variable
std::atomic<int> global2(0);
```

Atomic types are types that encapsulate a value whose access is guaranteed to not cause data races and can be used to synchronize memory accesses among different threads.

Go From this

```
#include <thread>
using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;
//global variable
int global2 = 0;
```

To this

```
#include <thread>
#include <atomic>

using namespace std;
const int NUMB_TIMES = 100000;

//atomic variable
std::atomic<int> global2(0);
```

Go to this project and demo atomic solution



- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable?

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;
void withdrawmoney(int amt){
    if (bal>amt){
        cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
        bal -=amt;
    else
        cout<<"denied!";
int main() {
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
                                    Go to this project to see this code
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);
                                    See https://github.com/CNUClasses/Thread_Race_condition.git
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
    if (bal>amt){
        cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
        bal -=amt;
    }
    else
        cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
    if (bal>amt){
        cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
        bal -=amt;
    }
    else
        cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
What happens if you are interrupted
right after the if conditional check
Will not help to make bal an atomic (why?)

int main() {
    thread t1(withdraw, 40);
    thread t2(withdraw, 25);
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
   if (bal>amt){
      cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
      bal -=amt;
   }
   else
      cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
   thread t1(withdraw, 40);
   thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
```

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
   if (bal>amt){
      cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
      bal -=amt;
   }
   else
      cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
   thread t1(withdraw, 40);
   thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
```

What is needed is to make these three lines uninterruptable We call this a "critical section"

Critical Section: Code that accesses a shared resource, that must complete without interruption. BTW make them as small as possible

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
   if (bal>amt){
      cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
      bal -=amt;
   }
   else
      cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
   thread t1(withdraw, 40);
   thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
```

What is needed is to make these three lines uninterruptable We call this a "critical section"

Critical Section: Code that accesses a shared resource, that must complete without interruption. BTW make them as small as possible

Question: Can you have a critical section in a single threaded environment?

- Atomics protect single lines of code only.
- What if you have 3 lines that must be uninterruptable? Like this

```
//starting balance
int bal =50;

void withdrawmoney(int amt){
   if (bal>amt){
      cout<<"approved!"<<endl;
      bal -=amt;
   }
   else
      cout<<"denied!";
}

int main() {
   thread t1(withdraw, 40);
   thread t2(withdraw, 25);</pre>
```

What is needed is to make these three lines uninterruptable We call this a "critical section"

Critical Section: Code that accesses a shared resource, that must complete without interruption. BTW make them as small as possible

Question: Can you have a critical section in a single threaded environment? **NO**

Critical Section

- Critical Section: Code that accesses a shared resource, where only 1 thread can be at a time.
- Make them as small as possible! Why? Because in the critical section you potentially go from a multithreaded application, to a single threaded application where the other threads are blocked waiting to get in.

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();
```

If no threads?

If fun() just reads g?

If threads start in position 1 or 2 or 3

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   //thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   //t1.join();
```

If no threads?

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   //thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   //t1.join();
```

If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   int a=g;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
  i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   int a=g;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
  i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
  int a=g;
int main(){
  thread t1(fun);
  int i=g;
  i++;
  g=i;
  t1.join();:
```

If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?

If fun() just reads g? g is being written at need protection

If 1 write then all reads and writes

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
  int a=g;
int main(){
  thread t1(fun);
  int i=g;
   į++;
  g=i;
  t1.join();:
```

If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at 1 If 1 write then all reads and writes need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   thread t1(fun);
   int i=g;
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection
See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position 1
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   int i=g;
   thread t1(fun);
   i++;
   g=i;
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections
```

If thread starts in position

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
  int i=g;
  thread t1(fun);
  i++;
  g=i;
  t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position 2
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   int i=g;
  i++;
   g=i;
  thread t1(fun);
   t1.join();:
```

```
If no threads?

If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position 3
```

```
int g=0;
void fun(){
   g++;
int main(){
   int i=g;
  i++;
   g=i;
  thread t1(fun);
   t1.join();:
```

If no threads?
If no threads then single threaded, no critical sections.

If fun() just reads g?
g is being written at need protection

See code in the rounded rectangle for critical sections

If thread starts in position 3

There are no critical sections for position 3 as the global is never Accessed in a multithreaded environment

BTW...When only reading global variables

• If all you do is <u>read</u> a global variable, then there is no critical section and no need to protect access to the global variable.

BTW... When only reading global variables

- If all you do is <u>read</u> a global variable, then there is no critical section and no need to protect access to the global variable.
- BUT, if you write a global variable at all. Even if just 1 write and 10000 reads.
- Then all 10001 operations are critical and all 10001 must be protected.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){
   → if(global==0)
         doZero();
    else
         doNotZero();
int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
   → global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

- Do you execute doZero() or doNotZero()?
- If 1 happens before 2
 - Then doZero()
- If 2 happens before 1
 - Then doNotZero()

How can you tell what happens?

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){
   → if(global==0)
         doZero();
    else
         doNotZero();
⇒int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
   → global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

- Do you execute doZero() or doNotZero()?
- If 1 happens before 2
 - Then doZero()
- If 2 happens before 1
 - Then doNotZero()

How can you tell what happens? You cannot as written.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){
   → if(global==0)
         doZero();
    else
         doNotZero();
⇒int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
   → global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

- Do you execute doZero() or doNotZero()?
- - Then doZero()
- If 2 happens before 1
 - Then doNotZero()

How can you tell what happens?

You cannot as written.

You can however use condition variables to synchronize these operations to do either (later)

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){

    if(global==0)
        doZero();
    else
        doNotZero();
std::thread t1(fun);
   → global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

- Do you execute doZero() or doNotZero()?
- If happens before
 - Then doZero()
- - Then doNotZero()

How can you tell what happens?

You cannot as written.

You can however use condition variables to synchronize these operations to do either (later)

Or move 1 to position

Race Condition again- A bogus solution

```
#include <thread>
#include <chrono>
void doZero(){}
void doNotZero(){}
int global=2;
void fun(){
    if(global==0)
                                                 DO NOT DO THIS!
        doZero();
    else
        doNotZero();
int main() {
    std::thread t1(fun);
    //when you see delays like this in the code with
    //comments like "wait for deposit to occur first"
    //or "wait for system stabalization" be very
    //suspicious of the code quality since this often means the
    //original developer has no idea how to coordinate thread activities
    //hint (use condition variables- coming soon)
    std::this thread::sleep for(std::chrono::milliseconds(500));
                                                                    global=0;
    t1.join();
    return 0;
```

#include <iostream>

PSA- you may see code that "fixes" this with delays (see left). This is a cheesy, non scalable solution. (Why?)

Summary

- Race conditions- where they occur, learn to recognize them
- Atomics and problems they solve (single line only)
- Critical Sections- an area of code where only 1 thread can be at a time. Learn how to recognize them, make them small (since only one thread should be in them at a time)
 - Question- If you launch no threads, can you have critical sections?
 - Question-if you only read global variables, can you have critical sections