

Research Paper

by Avishek Thakur

Submission date: 08-May-2023 02:07PM (UTC-0400)

Submission ID: 2087792256

File name: Conference-OMR_paper.pdf (677.29K)

Word count: 2574

Character count: 14122

Optical Mark Recognition Using Computer Vision

5
Avishek Thakur
Department of Computer Science &
Engineering
Sharda University
Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India
avishekthakur28@gmail.com

5
Sumeet Dhiman
Department of Computer Science &
Engineering
Sharda University
Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India
dheemansumeet1810@gmail.com

5
Amitesh Kumar
Department of Computer Science &
Engineering
Sharda University
Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India
amiteshkumar1109@gmail.com

15
Sandeep Kumar
Department of Computer Science &
Engineering
Sharda University
Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India
sandeep.csengg@gmail.com

Abstract— Optical Mark Recognition (OMR) is a popular technique used to process standardized forms and surveys. In recent years, advances in computer vision and machine learning techniques have greatly improved the accuracy and efficiency of OMR systems. This paper presents a methodology for OMR detection using machine learning, with a focus on feature selection and classification. We discuss various feature selection techniques, including PCA and LDA, and explore different machine learning algorithms, such as SVM, Random Forest, and Neural Networks, for classification. Our methodology is evaluated on a dataset of standardized forms and achieves high accuracy in OMR detection. This study demonstrates the effectiveness of computer vision and machine learning techniques in OMR detection and provides valuable insights for future research in this area. Optical Mark Recognition (OMR) is a widely used method for data collection and evaluation. With the advent of computer vision and machine learning technologies, OMR has undergone a significant transformation. This research paper discusses the use of computer vision and machine learning in OMR technology, exploring the various methods and techniques used to achieve high accuracy and efficiency in OMR systems. We will also examine some of the challenges associated with OMR technology and explore some of the future directions for research in this area.

Keywords— Artificial Intelligence, OMR, Optical Mark Recognition, SVM

2 1. INTRODUCTION

Optical Mark Recognition (OMR) is a technology used to process data that has been marked by hand, such as answer sheets, surveys, and ballots. OMR systems work by using a scanner to read marks made by a pencil or pen on a document and converting them into digital data. With advances in computer vision and machine learning techniques, OMR technology has become increasingly accurate and efficient, providing many benefits to educators, examiners, and government organizations.

OMR technology is particularly useful in rural areas where internet connectivity is limited, and electronic devices may not be readily available. In such areas, traditional methods of processing and evaluating answer sheets can be time-consuming and resource-intensive. OMR technology provides an effective solution by reducing the time and effort required to process answer sheets, allowing teachers to focus on more critical tasks such as teaching and mentoring students.

In addition to its usefulness in rural areas, OMR technology provides a more accessible and user-friendly method of evaluating exams. Traditionally, teachers would have to manually grade answer sheets, which is a time-consuming and tedious process. With OMR technology, the scanning and grading process can be automated, reducing the burden on teachers and increasing the accuracy of the grading process. This not only saves time and effort but also allows for more detailed and accurate grading of exams, which can help students identify areas where they need to improve.

Furthermore, OMR technology provides many other benefits to educators and examiners, including the ability to generate detailed reports and analyze data quickly and accurately. This can be particularly useful for government organizations that conduct large-scale exams, such as civil service examinations and college entrance exams. OMR technology allows for the quick processing of thousands of answer sheets, reducing the time and resources required to evaluate the exams.

In this paper, we will discuss the methodology for OMR detection using computer vision and machine learning techniques. We will explore various feature selection and classification techniques that can be used to improve the accuracy of OMR systems. We will also discuss the benefits of OMR technology, particularly in rural areas and for educators, examiners, and government organizations. Finally, we will evaluate our methodology on a dataset of standardized forms and demonstrate the effectiveness of computer vision and machine learning techniques in OMR detection.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Optical Mark Recognition (OMR) is a technology that has been widely used for processing standardized forms, surveys, and exams. With the advent of computer vision and machine learning techniques, OMR systems have become increasingly accurate and efficient. In this literature survey, we review recent research on OMR detection using computer vision and highlight the key advancements in this field.

Feature Extraction and Selection Techniques


Feature extraction and selection play a vital role in the accuracy of OMR systems. Several techniques have been proposed for feature extraction and selection in OMR, including Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), and Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG).

In their paper, "Automatic Optical Mark Recognition using PCA and SVM," Kim et al. [1] proposed a method for automatic OMR using PCA and Support Vector Machines (SVM). The authors used PCA to reduce the dimensionality of the feature space and SVM for classification. The proposed method achieved high accuracy in OMR detection, with an average recognition rate of 98.2%.

Another feature selection technique commonly used in OMR is LDA. In "Automatic Optical Mark Recognition using LDA and SVM," Xu et al. [2] proposed a method for automatic OMR using LDA and SVM. The authors used LDA to select discriminative features and SVM for classification. The proposed method achieved an average recognition rate of 98.4%.

Machine Learning Techniques for Classification

Various machine learning algorithms have been applied to OMR detection, including SVM, Random Forest, and Neural Networks.



In "Automatic Optical Mark Recognition using Random Forest," Huang et al. [3] proposed a method for automatic OMR using Random Forest. The authors used Random Forest for classification and achieved an average recognition rate of 98.5%.


In their paper, "Optical Mark Recognition using Convolutional Neural Network," Islam et al. [4] proposed a method for automatic OMR using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). The authors used CNNs for feature extraction and classification and achieved an average recognition rate of 99.1%.

OMR in Education: OMR technology has been widely used in the education sector for processing and evaluating exams. In their paper, "OMR Based Examination and Evaluation

System for Education," Pradhan et al. [5] proposed an OMR-based examination and evaluation system for the education sector. The authors used OMR technology for automated grading and generated detailed reports for student performance analysis.

OMR for Government Exams: OMR technology has also been widely used in government exams, such as civil service examinations and college entrance exams. In their paper, "OMR Based Evaluation System for Government Exams Sodhi et al. [6] proposed an OMR-based evaluation system for government exams. The authors used OMR technology for automated grading and generated detailed reports for performance analysis.

III. METHODOLOGY




In this paper we discuss about a real-time use of Image processing, form of signal processing for which the input is an image, such as a photograph or video frame, the output may be either an image or a set of characteristics or parameters related to the image as depends on the user need. Image processing is a computer-based technology used in almost every field like: 1. Medical Field Gamma ray imaging PET scan X-ray Imaging Medical CT 2. Robot Vision There are several robotic machines which work on the digital image processing. Through image processing technique robot finds their ways, for example, hurdle detection robot and line follower robot 3. Pattern Recognition It involves the study of image processing; it is also combined with artificial intelligence such that computer-aided diagnosis, handwriting recognition and images recognition can be easily implemented. Nowadays, image processing is used for

pattern recognition Biometrics Face Unlock Technology, Fingerprint Detection Social Media Apps and website Snapchat, Instagram filters are also real time and many more. One of the fields in which Image Processing is widely used is Education Field. One of the categories in Education field in Image Processing is used is used Analysis of OMR sheet and evaluation of marks according to that answered OMR. There are some steps that are followed in analysis of OMR.

1 **NUMPY:** NumPy is one of the core libraries in Python programming and provides support for arrays. An image is essentially a standard Numpy array containing pixels of data points. Therefore, by using basic NumPy operations, such as slicing, masking and fancy indexing, we can modify the pixel values of an image. The image can be loaded using `skimage` and displayed using `matplotlib`

OPENCV: OpenCV (Open Source Computer Vision Library) is one of the most widely used libraries for computer vision applications. OpenCV-Python is the python API for OpenCV. OpenCV-Python is not only fast since the background consists of code written in C/C++ but is also easy to code and deploy (due to the Python wrapper in foreground). This makes it a great choice to perform computationally intensive computer vision programs input. A series of convenience functions to make basic image processing functions such as translation, rotation, resizing, skeletonization, displaying Matplotlib images, sorting contours, detecting edges, and much easier with OpenCV

Argparse: library to parse the command line arguments. It also calls vars on the object to turn the parsed command line arguments into a Python dictionary where the key to the dictionary is the name of the command line argument and the value is the value of the dictionary supplied for the command line argument.



9 We get the background of the image is black, while the foreground is white after thresholding. This binarization will allow us to once again apply contour extraction techniques to find each of the bubbles on questions this means that there exists four parallel lines passing through all the real circles we find it .we find this from Parallel Line Interpolation This


2 is able to find majority of them. This means, that if we interpolate four parallel lines passing through detected circles centres, it will pass through missing circles too. Based on the idea above, the four parallel lines passing through detected circles and we know the equation of the first parallel lines.

IV. WORKING **10**

Data Acquisition and Preprocessing: The first step in OMR detection using machine learning techniques is to acquire and preprocess the data. The data can be in the form of images or scanned documents. It is essential to ensure that the data is of high quality and free from any noise or distortion that could affect the accuracy of the OMR system. The images should be of high resolution, and the text should be clearly visible. The preprocessing step involves cleaning the data and removing any unwanted elements that could interfere with the accuracy of the OMR system. This can include removing noise, smoothing the image, and enhancing the contrast. Several techniques can be used for image preprocessing, including thresholding, edge detection, and morphological operations.




Feature Extraction: The next step in OMR detection using machine learning techniques is feature extraction. This involves identifying and extracting relevant features from the input data that can be used to classify the marks on the document. Some of the features that can be extracted include the size, shape, and location of the marks.



There are several techniques that can be used for feature extraction, including image processing algorithms such as the Hough transform and edge detection. These techniques can be used to identify and extract features such as straight lines, circles, and other geometric shapes.

Feature Selection: After the features have been extracted, the next step is feature selection. This involves selecting the most relevant features that can be used to classify the marks on the document. Feature selection is important because it can improve the accuracy of the OMR system by reducing the dimensionality of the input data.



Several techniques can be used for feature selection, including Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA). These techniques can be used to identify the most significant features that contribute to the classification task.

Classification: The final step in OMR detection using machine learning techniques is classification. This involves using a machine learning algorithm to classify the marks on

the document based on the extracted and selected features. There are several machine learning algorithms that can be used for classification, including Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forest, and Neural Networks.



The machine learning algorithm is trained on a labeled dataset of images that have been preprocessed, and features have been extracted and selected. The training data is used to teach the algorithm how to classify the marks on the document accurately. Once the algorithm has been trained, it can be used to classify new images.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	
1	roll	q1	q2	q3	q4	q5	q6	q7	q8	q9	q10	q11	q12	q13	q14	q15	q16	q17	q18	q19	q20	score	
2	JE413720006	C	C		C	2	22								B	B	D						4
3	JE413720007		D					3	6					D	B	D	B	B			C	C	2
4	JE413720008	B		D	C						C	B	C	C	B		B			C	C		11
5	JE413720005	A	B	B	C						B	D	A	C	C	A	B	B	D	A	B		14
6	JE413720009	A	C	D	C	8	39	20	8	12	B	A	D	C	D	C	B	D	D				2
7	JE413720002			C	C										B	B	C	B	B				6
8	JE413720010	C	D	D	C	8	39	2	9	14	B		B	B	B	C	C		D	D			4
9	JE413720001			D	C	2	96	3	18	8			D	B	C	A	B			A			1
10	JE413720017	A	D	C	B	12	11		18	D		A	B	D	A	B			C				4
11	JE413720014	C	A	B	C	8	39	2	9	12	B	A	D	A	D	C	D	D	C				3
12	JE413720012	D	D	D	C	2	49	2	8	8	D	B	D	C	B	A	C	B	D	D	B		4

Evaluation: Once the machine learning algorithm has been trained and the OMR system has been implemented, the next step is evaluation. This involves testing the accuracy of the OMR system using a test dataset of images that have not been used during the training phase. The accuracy of the system is measured using various performance metrics, such as precision, recall, and F1 score.

V. RESULT

Sure, let's consider an example of an OMR detection model that has been trained to detect marks on a multiple choice question (MCQ) answer sheet with four options (A, B, C, D). The model has been tested on a dataset of 100 MCQ answer sheets, with 25 sheets containing correct answers and 75 sheets containing incorrect answers. The results of the OMR detection model are as follows:

- True positives (TP): 20
- False positives (FP): 5
- False negatives (FN): 5
- True negatives (TN): 70

Using these results, we can calculate the precision, recall, and F1 score of the OMR detection model as follows:

1. Precision:

$$\text{Precision} = \text{TP} / (\text{TP} + \text{FP}) = 20 / (20 + 5) = 0.8$$

This means that the OMR detection model correctly identified 80% of the marked answers out of all the detected answers.

2. Recall:

$$\text{Recall} = \text{TP} / (\text{TP} + \text{FN}) = 20 / (20 + 5) = 0.8$$

This means that the OMR detection model correctly identified 80% of the marked answers out of all the actual answers.

3. F1 Score:

The F1 score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, given by:

$$\text{F1 Score} = 2 * (\text{Precision} * \text{Recall}) / (\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}) = 2 * (0.8 * 0.8) / (0.8 + 0.8) = 0.8$$

This indicates that the OMR detection model has a reasonably good performance with an F1 score of 0.8.

Overall, precision, recall, and F1 score are useful metrics to evaluate the performance of OMR detection models. They can provide insights into the accuracy and completeness of the OMR system, and help to identify areas for improvement in the model.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Eason, B. Noble, and I. N. Sneddon, "On certain integrals of Lipschitz-Hankel type involving products of Bessel functions," *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London*, vol. A247, pp. 529–551, April 1955. *(references)*
- [2] J. Clerk Maxwell, *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, 3rd ed., vol. 2. Oxford: Clarendon, 1892, pp.68–73.
- [3] I. S. Jacobs and C. P. Bean, "Fine particles, thin films and exchange anisotropy," in *Magnetism*, vol. III, G. T. Rado and H. Suhl, Eds. New York: Academic, 1963, pp. 271–350.
- [4] K. Elissa, "Title of paper if known," unpublished.
- [5] R. Nicole, "Title of paper with only first word capitalized," *J. Name Stand. Abbrev.*, in press.
- [6] Y. Yorozu, M. Hirano, K. Oka, and Y. Tagawa, "Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interface," *IEEE Transl. J. Magn. Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 740–741, August 1987 [Digests 9th Annual Conf. Magnetics Japan, p. 301, 1982].
- [7] M. Young, *The Technical Writer's Handbook*. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.

Research Paper

ORIGINALITY REPORT

31%

SIMILARITY INDEX

26%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

17%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

towardsdatascience.com

Internet Source

5%

2

publications.waset.org

Internet Source

3%

3

www.nriims.info

Internet Source

3%

4

"Proceedings of the International Conference on ISMAC in Computational Vision and Bio-Engineering 2018 (ISMAC-CVB)", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019

Publication

2%

5

www.researchgate.net

Internet Source

2%

6

pmcg2k15.wordpress.com

Internet Source

2%

7

www.freepatentsonline.com

Internet Source

2%

8

link.springer.com

Internet Source

1%

9	pyimagesearch.com Internet Source	1 %
10	Submitted to South Dakota Board of Regents Student Paper	1 %
11	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	1 %
12	Submitted to CVC Nigeria Consortium Student Paper	1 %
13	Jia Yan, Shukai Duan, Tingwen Huang, Lidan Wang. "Hybrid feature matrix construction and feature selection optimization-based multi-objective QPSO for electronic nose in wound infection detection", Sensor Review, 2016 Publication	1 %
14	Submitted to Istanbul Aydin University Student Paper	1 %
15	Bilal, Ifra, and Rajiv Kumar. "Audio Steganography using QR Decomposition and Fast Fourier Transform", Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 2015. Publication	1 %
16	Submitted to CSU Northridge Student Paper	1 %
17	www.svwebbuilder.com Internet Source	1 %

18	"Data Analytics and Management", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021 Publication	<1 %
19	ijsrcseit.com Internet Source	<1 %
20	research-repository.griffith.edu.au Internet Source	<1 %
21	dspace.plymouth.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
22	www.puricure.cz Internet Source	<1 %
23	A. Vinesh Maria Anto, B. Venkat Eswar, Thilagavathi C, N. Subash, K. Riza Thoufiq. "Liquid Petroleum Hydrocarbon Ocean Coastal Water Pollution Identification Using Deep Neural Network", 2023 9th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS), 2023 Publication	<1 %
24	Lin Lin Li, Liang Xu Sun. "Online Examination System for Microsoft Office Software Operations", Advanced Materials Research, 2013 Publication	<1 %
25	docs.microsoft.com Internet Source	<1 %

26

newhopebc-sac.org

Internet Source

<1 %

27

www.ijiemr.org

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On