

**GSA AI/ML EULA Challenge**  
**Rubric for Judging Submissions**

This rubric will be used by judges in evaluating submissions, but they will also be encouraged to apply their own technical and domain expertise and considerations in the scoring process.

	<b>Scores (1-5)</b>		
<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Sample Score of 5</b>	<b>Sample Score of 3</b>	<b>Sample Score of 1</b>
<b>Accuracy and Technical Evaluation (40%)</b>	<p>Solution accurately classifies clauses as acceptable or unacceptable using the Validation Data File.</p> <p>The Description of Methods provides a comprehensive description and demonstration of the data, methods, and software used in the solution.</p> <p>The Description of Methods clearly explains the reasons for predictions made using the validation data.</p>	<p>Solution is moderately accurate in classifying clauses using the validation data.</p> <p>The Description of Methods provides some description of data, methods, and software used, but is not comprehensive and does not provide a demonstration.</p> <p>The Description of Methods has explanations of reasons for predictions, but they are not clear or complete.</p>	<p>Solution does not accurately classify clauses using the validation data.</p> <p>The Description of Methods is incomplete or missing.</p> <p>The Description of Methods does not explain the reasons for predictions made using the validation data. The solution is a “black box”.</p>
<b>Functionality &amp; User Interface (30%)</b>	<p>The solution accepts a EULA document in either PDF or MS Word format.</p> <p>The solution successfully</p>	<p>The solution accepts a EULA document in one of the following formats: PDF or MS Word format.</p>	<p>The solution does not accept a EULA document in PDF or MS Word format.</p> <p>The solution does not parse</p>

	<p>parses documents into individual clauses for evaluation.</p> <p>For each individual clause, the solution reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A classification of acceptable or unacceptable.</li> <li>- A prediction probability for each clause</li> </ul> <p>The user interface of the solution is realistic and usable by a business user.</p>	<p>The solution somewhat successfully parses the document into individual clauses for evaluation.</p> <p>For each individual clause, the solution reports acceptable or unacceptable, but without a prediction probability.</p> <p>The user interface is somewhat usable but is in need of improvement.</p>	<p>the document into individual clauses for evaluation.</p> <p>The user interface is missing or unusable by a business user.</p>
<p><b>Creativity and Innovation (10%)</b></p>	<p>The solution provides an innovative approach to solve the issues presented.</p> <p>The solution provides creative solutions to overcome limited training data available, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Transfer learning from additional GSA provided data.</li> <li>- Incorporation of additional exhibits provided by GSA.</li> <li>- Identifying and using</li> </ul>	<p>The solution provides a somewhat innovative approach to solve the issues presented.</p> <p>The solution provides a somewhat creative solution to overcome the limited training data.</p>	<p>The solution is basic in nature.</p> <p>The solution does not provide creative solutions to overcome the limited amount of training data available.</p>

	additional public sources of data that are relevant to the solution.		
Quality of Demonstration (20%)	<p>The Solution Demonstration clearly provides a high level view of its functionality, purpose and usage.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration exhibits an understanding of the goals of the user.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration exhibits an understanding of difficulty caused by limited training data.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration is attractive and professional in presentation.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration uses multimedia, graphics, or video to engage the judges.</p>	<p>The Solution Demonstration provides a somewhat clear view of its functionality, purpose and usage.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration exhibits some understanding of the goals of the user.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration exhibits some understanding of difficulty caused by limited training data.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration is somewhat attractive and professional in presentation.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration uses some multimedia, graphics, or video to engage the judges.</p>	<p>The Solution Demonstration does not explain the functional, purpose, or usage.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration does not exhibit an understanding of the goals of the user.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration does not address limited training data.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration is not attractive or professional.</p> <p>The Solution Demonstration does not use any multimedia, graphics, or video to engage the judges.</p>

**Bonus: Advanced techniques (Optional 0-5% points)**

Prize Judges will have the option to recommend up to 5% bonus points on the total score for exceptional functionality of greater difficulty, including: methods of retraining the model based on user review, and methods to explain the reason for individual clauses that were predicted to be unacceptable.

**Total Possible Score = 105/100 (including bonus points)**