

Assignment 1 :- Aniket Sanjay Gujarathi

Friday, September 23, 2022

3:42 PM

1007629979

- 1] Let Λ be a non-empty set. Show that the collection of all subsets of Λ is a topology on Λ .

\Rightarrow

$$\text{Let } \Lambda = \{a, b, c\}$$

Here, Λ has 3 elements, $\therefore 2^3$ distinct subsets.

They are:

$$S_1 = \emptyset, S_2 = \{a\}, S_3 = \{b\}, S_4 = \{c\}$$

$$S_5 = \{ab\}, S_6 = \{bc\}, S_7 = \{ac\}, S_8 = \{a, b, c\}$$

Let \mathcal{T} contain all the subsets of Λ

$$\therefore S_{1...8} \in \mathcal{T}$$

According to the definition of topology:-

\mathcal{T} is a topology of Λ if:-

- 1) \emptyset, Λ in \mathcal{T}
- 2) \mathcal{T} is closed under arbitrary unions and finite intersections.

As \mathcal{T} satisfies all the properties, a collection of subsets of Λ is a topology on Λ .

- 2] Let Σ be a non-empty set and let B be a non-empty strictly proper subset of Σ . Show that $F = \{\emptyset, \Sigma, B, \Sigma \setminus B\}$ is a sigma algebra on Σ .

\Rightarrow

For a non-empty set Σ , a sigma algebra F is a collection of subsets of Σ with the following properties:-

- 1) $\Sigma \in F$
- 2) closed under countable unions and complements

We are given that:-

$$1) \Sigma \text{ is a non-empty set}$$

$$2) B \subseteq \Sigma$$

$$3) F = \{\emptyset, \Sigma, B, \Sigma \setminus B\}$$

As $\Sigma \in F$, it satisfies the first condition of sigma algebra.

$\emptyset, \Sigma, B, \Sigma \setminus B$ are subsets of $\Sigma \Rightarrow (\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma)$

If we take the complements of all the elements,

$$\emptyset^c = \Sigma$$

$$\Sigma^c = \emptyset$$

$$B^c = \Sigma \setminus B$$

$$(\Sigma \setminus B)^c = B$$

$\therefore F = \{\emptyset, \Sigma, B, \Sigma \setminus B\}$ is closed under countable unions and complements.

Hence, F is a sigma algebra on Σ .

- 3] Let (Σ, F) and (Σ', F') be measurable spaces, and suppose that μ is a measure on F . Let $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ be a function that is not measurable wrt F and F' . Now, let $B \in F'$ be given. what is the issue with the expression: $\mu(\{\omega \in \Sigma : f(\omega) \in B\})$?

\Rightarrow

As $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ is not measurable wrt F and F' , we cannot say that

$$f^{-1}(B) := \{\omega \in \Sigma : f(\omega) \in B\} \in F$$

As μ is a measure on F

$$\mu(\{\omega \in \Sigma : f(\omega) \in B\}) \text{ may not exist.}$$

\Rightarrow

- 4] Consider the experiment of tossing a coin, where there are two possibilities: heads or tails. We would like to represent the experiment in measure theoretic terms. We consider $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ and $F = \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \Sigma\}$, the set of all subsets of Σ . 0 denotes heads, 1 denotes tails. We define the map $\mu: F \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$\mu(B) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } B = \{0\} \text{ or } B = \{1\} \\ 0, & \text{if } B = \emptyset \\ 1, & \text{if } B = \Sigma \end{cases}$$

To show that μ is a probability measure on F . show that a function $X: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $(F, B_{\mathbb{R}})$ -measurable.

\Rightarrow

$\mu: F \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfies:-

$$\text{1) If } A_i \in F \text{ are disjoint then}$$

$$\mu(\bigcup A_i) = \sum_i \mu(A_i)$$

\therefore Considering the disjoint elements of Σ

$$A = \{\emptyset, \Sigma\} \text{ as } (\Sigma = \{0, 1\})$$

$$\therefore \mu(\bigcup A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \mu(A_i)$$

$$= \mu(\emptyset) + \mu(\Sigma)$$

$$= 0 + 1$$

$$= 1$$

$\therefore \mu$ is a probability measure on F .

\bullet Σ and \mathbb{R} are topological spaces.

Further \mathbb{R} is a Borel space \Rightarrow [Any countable set Λ with discrete topology is a Borel space]

By definition, if Σ and \mathbb{R} are topological spaces,

$$F = F, F = B_{\mathbb{R}}$$

then: $X: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $(F, B_{\mathbb{R}})$ measurable.

\Rightarrow

Let (Σ, F) be a measurable space, and let $f: (\Sigma, F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, B_{\mathbb{R}})$ be given. Show that $f: (\Sigma, F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+, B_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ holds as well.

\Rightarrow $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ is measurable wrt F and F' iff for all $a \in F'$

$$f^{-1}(B) := \{\omega \in \Sigma : f(\omega) \in B\} \in F$$

\therefore For $f: (\Sigma, F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+, B_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ to be measurable

$$\{\omega : f(\omega) \leq a\} \in F \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

$\therefore f$ extended real valued function on (Σ, F) is measurable iff:-

$$1) f^{-1}(\{\infty\}) \in F$$

$$2) f^{-1}(\{-\infty\}) \in F$$

$$3) f^{-1}((a, b]) \in F \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\therefore f^{-1}(\{\infty\}) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\Sigma \setminus \{\omega : f(\omega) \leq n\}) \in F$$

$$f^{-1}((-\infty, b]) = \{\omega : f(\omega) \leq b\} \setminus \{\omega : f(\omega) \leq a\} \in F$$

\Rightarrow

Let (Σ, F, μ) be a measure space, where $\mu(F) < \infty$, and let $f: (\Sigma, F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, B_{\mathbb{R}})$ be given. If f is bounded below almost everywhere wrt μ , show that the integral $\int_{\Sigma} f d\mu$ exists.

\Rightarrow $\int_{\Sigma} f d\mu := \int_{\Sigma} f(\omega) \mu(d\omega)$

$$:= \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} \max(f(\omega), 0) \mu(d\omega)}_{f + w} - \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} \max(-f(\omega), 0) \mu(d\omega)}_{f - w}$$

As f is bounded below wrt Σ , the integral will never take the form $\infty - \infty$.

Hence $\int_{\Sigma} f d\mu$ exists as long as f is bounded below wrt μ .

\Rightarrow

Let a probability space (Σ, F, μ) , a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a function $X_0: (\Sigma, F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+, B_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ be given. The Dirac measure on $B_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ concentrated at $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by:

$$\delta_x(B) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in B^c \end{cases}$$

for every $B \in B_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Note that $\delta_x(B) = I_B(x)$, the indicator function of B at the point x .

Suppose that

$$\mu(\{\omega \in \Sigma : X_0(\omega) \in B\}) = \delta_x(B), B \in B_{\mathbb{R}^+}$$

Prove that $X_0 = x$ almost everywhere wrt μ .

\Rightarrow

$$\mu(\{\omega \in \Sigma : X_0(\omega) \in B\}) = \delta_x(B) = I_B(x)$$

$X_0: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is measurable wrt F , $B_{\mathbb{R}^+}$

$$\text{if } x \in B, \delta_x(B) = 1 = \mu(\{\omega \in \Sigma : X_0(\omega) \in B\})$$

$$\text{if } x \notin B, \delta_x(B) = 0 = \mu(\{\omega \in \Sigma : X_0(\omega) \in B\})$$

As μ is a probability measure

$$\mu(\{\omega \in \Sigma : X_0(\omega) \in B\}) = 1$$

But this is only true for all $X_0 = x$.