



IBM Developer
SKILLS NETWORK

Winning Space Race with Data Science

Aniket Muthal
14th December 2024



Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

Executive Summary

- Summary of methodologies
 - Data Collection through API
 - Data Collection with Web Scraping
 - Data Wrangling
 - Exploratory Data Analysis with SQL
 - Exploratory Data Analysis with Data Visualization
 - Interactive Visual Analytics with Folium
 - Machine Learning Prediction
- Summary of all results
 - Exploratory Data Analysis result
 - Interactive analytics in screenshots
 - Predictive Analytics result

Introduction

- Project background and context

Space X advertises Falcon 9 rocket launches on its website with a cost of 62 million dollars; other providers cost upward of 165 million dollars each, much of the savings is because Space X can reuse the first stage. Therefore, if we can determine if the first stage will land, we can determine the cost of a launch. This information can be used if an alternate company wants to bid against space X for a rocket launch. This goal of the project is to create a machine learning pipeline to predict if the first stage will land successfully.

- Problems you want to find answers

- What factors determine if the rocket will land successfully?
- The interaction amongst various features that determine the success rate of a successful landing.
- What operating conditions needs to be in place to ensure a successful landing program.

Section 1

Methodology

Methodology

Executive Summary

- Data collection methodology:
 - Data was collected using SpaceX API and web scraping from Wikipedia.
- Perform data wrangling
 - One-hot encoding was applied to categorical features
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
 - How to build, tune, evaluate classification models

Data Collection

- The data was collected using various methods
 - Data collection was done using get request to the SpaceX API.
 - Next, we decoded the response content as a Json using `.json()` function call and turn it into a pandas dataframe using `.json_normalize()`.
 - We then cleaned the data, checked for missing values and fill in missing values where necessary.
 - In addition, we performed web scraping from Wikipedia for Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup.
 - The objective was to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convert it to a pandas dataframe for future analysis.

Data Collection – SpaceX API

- We used the get request to the SpaceX API to collect data, clean the requested data and did some basic data wrangling and formatting.

- The link to the notebook is

<https://github.com/Aniket-Muthal/Capstone/blob/main/1.%20Data%20Collection%20API.ipynb>

Task 1: Request and parse the SpaceX launch data using the GET request

To make the requested JSON results more consistent, we will use the following static response object for this project:

```
[9]: static_json_url='https://cf-courses-data.s3.us.cloud-object-storage.appdomain.cloud/IBM-DS0321EN-SkillsNetwork/datasets/API_
```

We should see that the request was successful with the 200 status response code

```
[10]: response=requests.get(static_json_url)
```

```
[11]: response.status_code
```

```
[11]: 200
```

Now we decode the response content as a Json using `.json()` and turn it into a Pandas dataframe using `.json_normalize()`

```
[12]: # Use json_normalize method to convert the json result into a dataframe
data = pd.json_normalize(response.json())
```

Using the dataframe `data` print the first 5 rows

```
[13]: # Get the head of the dataframe
data.head()
```

```
[13]:
```

| | static_fire_date_utc | static_fire_date_unix | tbd | net | window | rocket | success | details | crew | ships | capsules |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------------------------|---------|--|------|-------|----------|
| 0 | 2006-03-17T00:00:00.000Z | 1.142554e+09 | False | False | 0.0 | 5e9d0d95eda69955f709d1eb | False | Engine failure at 33 seconds and loss of | | | [5eb0e4b |

Data Collection - Scraping

- We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
- We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.
- The link to the notebook is <https://github.com/Aniket-Muthal/Capstone/blob/main/2.%20OData%20Collection%20-%20Web scraping.ipynb>

First, let's perform an HTTP GET method to request the Falcon9 Launch HTML page, as an HTTP response.

```
[5]: # use requests.get() method with the provided static_url  
# assign the response to a object  
response = requests.get(static_url).text
```

Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response

```
[6]: # Use BeautifulSoup() to create a BeautifulSoup object from a response text content  
soup = BeautifulSoup(response, 'html.parser')
```

Print the page title to verify if the BeautifulSoup object was created properly

```
[7]: # Use soup.title attribute  
soup.title
```

```
[7]: <title>List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches - Wikipedia</title>
```


Data Wrangling



- We performed exploratory data analysis and determined the training labels.
- We calculated the number of launches at each site, and the number and occurrence of each orbits
- We created landing outcome label from outcome column and exported the results to csv.
- The link to the notebook is <https://github.com/Aniket-Muthal/Capstone/blob/main/3.%20Data%20Wrangling.ipynb>

EDA with Data Visualization

- We explored the data by visualizing the relationship between flight number and launch Site, payload and launch site, success rate of each orbit type, flight number and orbit type, the launch success yearly trend.



- The link to the notebook is <https://github.com/Aniket-Muthal/Capstone/blob/main/4.%20Data%20Visualization.ipynb>

EDA with SQL

- We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out for instance:
 - The names of unique launch sites in the space mission.
 - The total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
 - The average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1
 - The total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
 - The failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster version and launch site names.
- The link to the notebook is <https://github.com/Aniket-Muthal/Capstone/blob/main/5.%20EDA%20-%20SQL.ipynb>

Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- We marked all launch sites, and added map objects such as markers, circles, lines to mark the success or failure of launches for each site on the folium map.
- We assigned the feature launch outcomes (failure or success) to class 0 and 1.i.e., 0 for failure, and 1 for success.
- Using the color-labeled marker clusters, we identified which launch sites have relatively high success rate.
- We calculated the distances between a launch site to its proximities. We answered some question for instance:
 - Are launch sites near railways, highways and coastlines.
 - Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities.

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- We built an interactive dashboard with Plotly dash
- We plotted pie charts showing the total launches by a certain sites
- We plotted scatter graph showing the relationship with Outcome and Payload Mass (Kg) for the different booster version.
- The link to the notebook is <https://github.com/Aniket-Muthal/Capstone/blob/main/app.py>

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- We loaded the data using numpy and pandas, transformed the data, split our data into training and testing.
- We built different machine learning models and tune different hyperparameters using GridSearchCV.
- We used accuracy as the metric for our model, improved the model using feature engineering and algorithm tuning.
- We found the best performing classification model.
- The link to the notebook is <https://github.com/Aniket-Muthal/Capstone/blob/main/7.%20Machine%20Learning%20Prediction.ipynb>

Results

- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results

The background of the slide is an abstract composition. It features a solid blue area on the left side, which transitions into a dynamic pattern of diagonal streaks in shades of blue and red on the right. These streaks are layered over a faint, light-blue grid pattern, creating a sense of depth and movement.

Section 2


Insights drawn from EDA

Flight Number vs. Launch Site

- From the plot, we found that the larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.




Payload vs. Launch Site




Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

- From the plot, we can see that ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.




Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

- The plot below shows the Flight Number vs. Orbit type. We observe that in the LEO orbit, success is related to the number of flights whereas in the GTO orbit, there is no relationship between flight number and the orbit.



Payload vs. Orbit Type

- We can observe that with heavy payloads, the successful landing are more for PO, LEO and ISS orbits.



Launch Success Yearly Trend

- From the plot, we can observe that success rate since 2013 kept on increasing till 2020.



All Launch Site Names

- We used the key word **DISTINCT** to show only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data.

Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

```
[11]: %sql select distinct(Launch_Site) from SPACEXTABLE
```

```
* sqlite:///my_data1.db
```

Done.

```
[11]: Launch_Site
```

```
CCAFS LC-40
```

```
VAFB SLC-4E
```

```
KSC LC-39A
```

```
CCAFS SLC-40
```

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

Display 5 records where launch sites begin with the string 'CCA'

```
[12]: %sql select * from SPACEXTABLE where Launch_Site like 'CCA%' limit 5
```

```
* sqlite:///my_data1.db  
Done.
```

```
[12]:
```

| Date | Time (UTC) | Booster_Version | Launch_Site | Payload | PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_ | Orbit | Customer | Mission_Outcome | Landing_Outcome |
|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|---|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 2010-06-04 | 18:45:00 | F9 v1.0 B0003 | CCAFS LC-40 | Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit | 0 | LEO | SpaceX | Success | Failure (parachute) |
| 2010-12-08 | 15:43:00 | F9 v1.0 B0004 | CCAFS LC-40 | Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of Brouere cheese | 0 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (COTS) NRO | Success | Failure (parachute) |
| 2012-05-22 | 7:44:00 | F9 v1.0 B0005 | CCAFS LC-40 | Dragon demo flight C2 | 525 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (COTS) | Success | No attempt |
| 2012-10-08 | 0:35:00 | F9 v1.0 B0006 | CCAFS LC-40 | SpaceX CRS-1 | 500 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (CRS) | Success | No attempt |
| 2013-03-01 | 15:10:00 | F9 v1.0 B0007 | CCAFS LC-40 | SpaceX CRS-2 | 677 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (CRS) | Success | No attempt |

- We used the query above to display 5 records where launch sites begin with 'CCA'

Total Payload Mass

- We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596 using the query below

```
Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

13]: %sql select sum(PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_) from SPACEXTABLE where Customer is 'NASA (CRS)'

* sqlite:///my_data1.db
Done.

13]: sum(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_)
-----
45596
```

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

- We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2534.666

Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1

```
[14]: %sql select avg(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_) from SPACEXTABLE where Booster_Version like 'F9 v1.1%'
```

```
* sqlite:///my_data1.db
```

Done.

```
[14]: avg(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_)
```

2534.6666666666665

First Successful Ground Landing Date

- We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22nd December 2015

List the date when the first succesful landing outcome in ground pad was acheived.

Hint: Use min function

```
[15]: %sql select min(Date) from SPACEXTABLE where Landing_Outcome is 'Success (ground pad)'
      * sqlite:///my_data1.db
      Done.
[15]: min(Date)
      2015-12-22
```


Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

```
dtype=object)

[17]: %sql select distinct(Booster_Version) from SPACEXTABLE where Landing_Outcome is 'Success (drone ship)' and PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_
```

```
* sqlite:///my_data1.db
```

```
Done.
```

```
[17]: Booster_Version
```

```
F9 FT B1022
```

```
F9 FT B1026
```

```
F9 FT B1021.2
```

```
F9 FT B1031.2
```

- We used the **WHERE** clause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the **AND** condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes

```
[18]: %sql select count(Mission_Outcome) as 'Success' from SPACEXTABLE where Mission_Outcome like 'Success%'
```

```
* sqlite:///my_data1.db
```

Done.

```
[18]: Success
```

```
100
```

```
[19]: %sql select count(Mission_Outcome) as 'Failure' from SPACEXTABLE where Mission_Outcome like 'Failure%'
```

```
* sqlite:///my_data1.db
```

Done.

```
[19]: Failure
```

```
1
```

- We used wildcard like ‘%’ to filter for **WHERE** MissionOutcome was a success or a failure.

Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

- We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the **WHERE** clause and the **MAX()** function.

```
[20]: %%sql
select Booster_Version, PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_
from SPACEXTABLE
where PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_ = (
    select max(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_)
    from SPACEXTABLE
)
order by Booster_Version

* sqlite:///my_data1.db
```

Done.

```
[20]:
```

| Booster_Version | PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_ |
|-----------------|------------------|
| F9 B5 B1048.4 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1048.5 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1049.4 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1049.5 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1049.7 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1051.3 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1051.4 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1051.6 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1056.4 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1058.3 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1060.2 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1060.3 | 15600 |

2015 Launch Records

- We used a combinations of the **WHERE** clause, **LIKE**, **AND**, and **BETWEEN** conditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for year 2015

List the records which will display the month names, failure landing_outcomes in drone ship ,booster versions, launch_site for the months in year 2015.

Note: SQLite does not support monthnames. So you need to use substr(Date, 6,2) as month to get the months and substr(Date,0,5)='2015' for year.

```
[21]: %%sql
      select Booster_Version, Launch_Site, Landing_Outcome
      from SPACEXTABLE
      where Landing_Outcome like 'Failure (drone ship)'
      and Date between '2015-01-01' and '2015-12-31'

      * sqlite:///my_data1.db
Done.
```

```
[21]: Booster_Version  Launch_Site  Landing_Outcome
      -----
      F9 v1.1 B1012  CCAFS LC-40  Failure (drone ship)
      F9 v1.1 B1015  CCAFS LC-40  Failure (drone ship)
```

Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

Rank the count of landing outcomes (such as Failure (drone ship) or Success (ground pad)) between the date 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20, in descending order.

```
[22]: %%sql
select Landing_Outcome, count(Landing_Outcome)
from SPACEXTABLE
where Date between '2010-06-04' AND '2017-03-20'
group by Landing_Outcome
order by count(Landing_Outcome) desc

* sqlite:///my_data1.db
Done.
```

```
[22]:
```

| Landing_Outcome | count(Landing_Outcome) |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| No attempt | 10 |
| Success (drone ship) | 5 |
| Failure (drone ship) | 5 |
| Success (ground pad) | 3 |
| Controlled (ocean) | 3 |
| Uncontrolled (ocean) | 2 |
| Failure (parachute) | 2 |
| Precluded (drone ship) | 1 |

- We selected Landing outcomes and the **COUNT** of landing outcomes from the data and used the **WHERE** clause to filter for landing outcomes **BETWEEN** 2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.
- We applied the **GROUP BY** clause to group the landing outcomes and the **ORDER BY** clause to order the grouped landing outcome in descending order.

Section 4

Launch Sites Proximities Analysis



All launch sites global map markers



Markers showing launch sites with color labels



Launch Site distance to landmarks



- Are launch sites in close proximity to railways? No
- Are launch sites in close proximity to highways? No
- Are launch sites in close proximity to coastline? Yes
- Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities? Yes



Section 5

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site

Total Success Launches By all sites




We can see that KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches from all the sites

Pie chart showing the Launch site with the highest launch success ratio



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

Scatter plot of Payload vs Launch Outcome for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider



We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads

Section 6

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

Classification Accuracy

- The decision tree classifier is the model with the highest classification accuracy

```
models = {'KNeighbors': knn_cv.best_score_,
          'DecisionTree': tree_cv.best_score_,
          'LogisticRegression': logreg_cv.best_score_,
          'SupportVector': svm_cv.best_score_}

bestalgorithm = max(models, key=models.get)
print('Best model is', bestalgorithm, 'with a score of', models[bestalgorithm])
if bestalgorithm == 'DecisionTree':
    print('Best params is :', tree_cv.best_params_)
if bestalgorithm == 'KNeighbors':
    print('Best params is :', knn_cv.best_params_)
if bestalgorithm == 'LogisticRegression':
    print('Best params is :', logreg_cv.best_params_)
if bestalgorithm == 'SupportVector':
    print('Best params is :', svm_cv.best_params_)
```

Best model is DecisionTree with a score of 0.8732142857142856

Best params is : {'criterion': 'gini', 'max_depth': 6, 'max_features': 'auto', 'min_samples_leaf': 2, 'min_samples_split': 5, 'splitter': 'random'}

Confusion Matrix

- The confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier shows that the classifier can distinguish between the different classes. The major problem is the false positives .i.e., unsuccessful landing marked as successful landing by the classifier.



Conclusions

We can conclude that:

- The larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.
- Launch success rate started to increase in 2013 till 2020.
- Orbits ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.
- KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches of any sites.
- The Decision tree classifier is the best machine learning algorithm for this task.

Thank you!

