



NeuroFedora

Free Software for Free Neuroscience


NeuroFedora Contributors

Notes

How: Research Pipeline

Notes

General workflow



Notes

Tools of the trade

Experimental:

- EEG, ECoG, intracellular and extracellular single and multi neuron recording,
- CT, DOI, MRI, f-MRI, MEG, PET,

Data analysis:

- Statistics,
- Machine Learning, Big Data, Deep learning,

Theory and modelling:

- Simulators of all kinds,

Notes

Tools for the dissemination of knowledge⁴:

- visualisation,
- academic writing,
- non academic writing: blogging . . . ,
- pod-casting,
- video making,
- creating teaching materials,
- collaborative tools and utilities

⁴also to a non-specialist audience.

Notes

Free/Open (neuro) Science

Notes

The ideal, in short:

Free/Open Science:
Everyone should have the freedom to share, study, and modify scientific material.

FOSS:
Everyone should have the freedom to share, study, and modify software⁵.

Free/Open Science includes and relies heavily on Free/Open Source Software (FOSS).

⁵Free software foundation

Notes

So we strive to use more and more FOSS

NEUROVIEW | VOLUME 96, ISSUE 5, P964-965, DECEMBER 06, 2017

A Commitment to Open Source in Neuroscience

Padraig Gleeson • Andrew P. Davison • R. Angus Silver • Giorgio A. Ascoli

Open Access • DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.10.013>

⁶Open source for neuroscience

Notes

NeuroFedora: why, how, what?

Notes

Neuroscience community: highly multidisciplinary


Notes

- various specialities: biologists, mathematicians, physicists, chemists, psychologists, . . . ,
- small proportion of trained software developers

7/15

FOSS: Developers and users

Notes



8/15

(Anecdotal) notes on development of research software

Notes

- often single developer, or small development teams
- limited maintenance, short-lived projects
- limited access to hardware/resources
- limited code quality
- limited use of established best practices
- limited testing for correctness (!)
- complex dependency chains
- lack of documentation and support
- lack of community development know-how

9/15


(Anecdotal) notes on users of research software

- waste time and effort installing (and reinstalling) their software stacks
- rarely run test suites (!)
- rarely report bugs upstream
- rarely send improvements upstream
- are unaware of helpful development tools

10/15

Notes

Distributions liaison between developers and users



11/15

Notes

Distributions, like Fedora, are in a unique position:

- liaison between upstream and users
- have the infrastructure
- follow best practices in software development
- constantly work on community development
- learn from one another—train while working
- disseminate information to end-users

12/15

Notes

NeuroFedora:

Primary goal:

- Provide a ready to use, integrated FOSS platform for neuroscientists⁷.

Secondary/collateral goals:

- help improve the standard and maintenance of tools
- help users develop software development skills
- make neuroscience accessible to non-specialists

⁷ Researchers, academics, hobbyists, anyone!

13/15

Notes

- Turned a year old, in September 2019⁸,
- 20 volunteers
 - 16 package maintainers
 - 5 designers, newcomers
 - only 5 from a neuroscience background
- software:
 - 135 tools (packages) ready to install⁹:
 - Neuron, InterViews, NEST, Genesis, Brian (v1 and v2), Moose, python-libNeuroML, PyLEMS, PyNWB, ...
 - ~180 in queue¹⁰.
 - NeuroMLlite, pyNeuroML, NetPyNE, ...

⁸ in its second iteration
⁹ src.fedoraproject.org: Neuro-SIG
¹⁰ Pagure.io: Neuro-SIG: issues

Notes



Mailing list: neuro-sig@lists.fedoraproject.org
IRC: [#fedora-neuro](#) on Freenode
Telegram: t.me/NeuroFedora
Documentation neuro.fedoraproject.org
Blog: neuroblog.fedoraproject.org
Pagure.io (FOSS Git forge): [neuro-sig/NeuroFedora](#)

Notes

Notes

Notes
