International Cancer Patient Coalition

France



Lung Cancer Factsheet: Insights & Key Developments

Key Insights on Lung Cancer Care and Infrastructure

Core Pillars:

1. Infrastructure
2. Treatment Access, Research Funding and Awareness Campaigns
3. Survival Rates, Early Detection and Palliative Care
4. Utilization of Biomarkers
5. Clinical Guidelines
6. Reimbursement
7. Lung Cancer Screening

Lung cancer remains one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide, affecting millions of individuals each year. Despite advancements in diagnostics, treatment, and awareness, disparities in access to care, molecular testing, and specialized centers persist.

This factsheet provides a comprehensive overview of key pillars shaping lung cancer care, including specialized infrastructure, treatment accessibility, research funding, early detection, and palliative care.

- Lung cancer incidence (2022): ~52,000 new cases annually
- Incidence rate: ~70 per 100,000
- Lung cancer deaths (2022): ~33,000 deaths annually
- 5-year survival rate: ~20% overall
- 10-year survival rate: ~10–15%
- Most affected age group: 65–74 years
- Gender distribution: Men (~60%), Women (~40%)
- Smoking prevalence (adults): ~30%
- Stage at diagnosis: ~70% diagnosed at advanced stages (III or IV)
- Common histological type: Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) most common
- Daily new diagnoses: ~140 per day
- Daily deaths: ~90 per day

International Cancer Patient Coalition

France

Infrastructure



Strengths

- France boasts a robust healthcare infrastructure with specialized cancer centers such as Gustave Roussy and Institut Curie, offering advanced diagnostics and multidisciplinary care

Weakness

- There is a shortage of thoracic radiologists, which may pose challenges for the nationwide implementation of lung cancer screening programs.

S W O T

Opportunity

- Training general radiologists in low-dose CT (LDCT) screening techniques can expand the workforce capable of supporting large-scale screening initiatives.

Threats

- Resource constraints in rural areas may lead to disparities in access to specialized lung cancer care and diagnostics.

5. Advanced nationwide infrastructure, widespread availability in public and private sectors, integration with clinical practice.

4. Strong infrastructure in major hospitals and cancer centers, some regional disparities.

3. Moderate infrastructure, primarily in private settings or research institutions.

2. Limited infrastructure, available only in select centers or for high-cost private testing.

1. Minimal or no infrastructure, testing mostly unavailable or sent abroad.

Country	Specialized Centers	Genetic & Molecular Testing Infrastructure
South Africa	3	3
Kenya	2	2
Nigeria	2	2
Egypt	2	3
Morocco	2	2
Algeria	2	2
Ethiopia	2	2
India	3	4
Japan	4	4
South Korea	4	4
China	4	4
Thailand	3	3
Singapore	4	4
United Kingdom	4	4
Germany	5	4
France	4	4
Netherlands	5	4
Sweden	5	4
Italy	4	3
Spain	4	3
Poland	4	3
Mexico	2	4
Brazil	3	3
Argentina	3	3
Chile	3	3
Colombia	2	4
United States	5	5
Canada	5	4
Australia	4	4
New Zealand	4	3
Greece	4	3
Rwanda	2	2
Uganda	2	2
Serbia	3	3
Saudi Arabia	4	4
UAE	4	3
Syria	2	2
Indonesia	2	2
Vietnam	3	4
Philippines	2	2
Russia	3	3
Malaysia	3	3

International Cancer Patient Coalition

France

Treatment Access, Research Funding and Awareness Campaigns



Strengths

- The French healthcare system provides universal coverage, ensuring access to standard lung cancer treatments, including surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy.

Weakness

- Access to cutting-edge treatments like immunotherapy and targeted therapies may vary, with some patients experiencing delays due to administrative and reimbursement processes.

Opportunity

- Ongoing research and clinical trials, such as the Impulsion project, receive substantial funding, fostering innovation in lung cancer detection and treatment.

Threats

- Public awareness of lung cancer symptoms and risk factors remains lower compared to other cancers, potentially leading to delayed diagnoses.

5. Strong healthcare infrastructure with comprehensive treatment access, high research funding, and nationwide awareness campaigns. Patients have access to advanced therapies, clinical trials, and widespread early detection programs.

4. Well-developed system with good treatment availability, strong research funding, and effective but regionally focused awareness campaigns. Some disparities may exist in rural areas or between public and private sectors.

3. Moderate development, with specialized treatments available in major hospitals, research funding concentrated on specific cancers, and occasional but limited awareness efforts. Healthcare access may be restricted by cost or geography.

2. Limited system where cancer treatment is available only in select urban centers, research funding is minimal or sporadic, and awareness campaigns are rare or underfunded. Patients often face long wait times or financial barriers.

1. Poor infrastructure with severe barriers to treatment, little to no research funding, and lack of structured awareness campaigns. Cancer care is largely inaccessible, with many patients relying on out-of-pocket expenses or external aid.

Country	Treatment Access	Research Funding	Awareness Campaigns
South Africa	3	3	4
Kenya	1	1	1
Nigeria	1	1	1
Egypt	2	2	2
Morocco	2	2	2
Algeria	2	2	2
Ethiopia	1	1	1
India	3	3	3
Japan	5	4	5
South Korea	5	4	5
China	4	3	4
Thailand	3	3	3
Singapore	5	5	5
United Kingdom	5	5	5
Germany	5	5	5
France	5	4	5
Netherlands	5	5	5
Sweden	5	5	5
Italy	5	4	4
Spain	5	4	4
Poland	4	3	4
Mexico	2	2	3
Brazil	3	3	3
Argentina	3	3	3
Chile	3	3	3
Colombia	2	2	3
United States	5	5	5
Canada	5	5	5
Australia	5	4	5
New Zealand	4	4	4
Greece	4	3	4
Rwanda	1	1	1
Uganda	1	1	1
Serbia	3	3	3
Saudi Arabia	4	3	4
UAE	4	3	4
Syria	1	1	1
Indonesia	3	2	3
Vietnam	3	2	3
Philippines	2	2	3
Russia	4	3	3
Malaysia	4	3	3

International Cancer Patient Coalition

France

Survival Rates, Early Detection and Palliative Care



Strengths

- Pilot studies have demonstrated that LDCT screening can detect 66.7% of lung cancers at early stages (0-I), allowing for curative treatment options.

Weakness

- Overall 5-year survival rates for lung cancer in France are still relatively low, reflecting the need for earlier detection and improved treatment strategies.

S W O T

Opportunity

- Expanding LDCT screening programs nationally could significantly improve early detection rates and survival outcomes.

Threats

- An aging population and increasing smoking rates among women may lead to a higher incidence of lung cancer, straining healthcare resources.

5. High survival rates, strong early detection programs, and well-established palliative care services. Patients have access to timely diagnosis, advanced treatments, and comprehensive end-of-life care.

4. Good survival rates, effective early detection efforts, and accessible but regionally limited palliative care. Some disparities may exist in rural areas or for specific cancer types.

3. Moderate survival rates, early detection available but not widespread, and palliative care services mainly in urban centers. Some patients experience delays in diagnosis or limited end-of-life care.

2. Low survival rates, early detection efforts are inconsistent or underfunded, and palliative care is minimal or only available in select hospitals. Cancer patients face significant access barriers.

1. Very low survival rates, poor early detection infrastructure, and almost no palliative care services. Many patients are diagnosed late and lack proper support for pain management and end-of-life care.

Country	Survival Rates	Early Detection	Palliative Care
South Africa	3	3	3
Kenya	1	1	1
Nigeria	1	1	1
Egypt	2	2	2
Morocco	2	2	2
Algeria	2	2	2
Ethiopia	1	1	1
India	3	3	3
Japan	5	4	5
South Korea	5	4	5
China	3	3	4
Thailand	3	3	3
Singapore	5	5	5
United Kingdom	5	5	5
Germany	5	5	5
France	5	5	5
Netherlands	5	5	5
Sweden	5	5	5
Italy	5	4	5
Spain	5	4	5
Poland	3	3	4
Mexico	2	3	2
Brazil	3	3	3
Argentina	3	3	3
Chile	3	3	3
Colombia	2	3	2
United States	5	5	5
Canada	5	5	5
Australia	5	4	5
New Zealand	4	4	4
Greece	3	3	4
Rwanda	1	1	1
Uganda	1	1	1
Serbia	3	3	3
Saudi Arabia	4	3	4
UAE	4	3	4
Syria	1	1	1
Indonesia	3	2	3
Vietnam	3	2	3
Philippines	2	2	3
Russia	4	3	3
Malaysia	3	2	3

International Cancer Patient Coalition

France

Utilization of Biomarkers



Strengths

- France has integrated biomarker testing (e.g., EGFR, ALK, PD-L1) into clinical practice, guiding personalized treatment approaches for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Weakness

- Access to comprehensive biomarker testing may be limited in certain regions, potentially affecting treatment decisions.

S W O T

Opportunity

- Advancements in next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies can enhance the detection of actionable mutations, improving patient outcomes.

Threats

- Variability in testing availability and turnaround times may delay the initiation of targeted therapies.

5. Biomarker testing is widely available and routinely performed as part of standard clinical practice. Strong integration into treatment decisions, with national coverage and reimbursement ensuring accessibility.

4. Biomarker testing is commonly used, but access may be limited in certain regions or patient groups. Some disparities exist in coverage or affordability, but it is still a crucial part of cancer diagnostics

3. Moderate utilization, often restricted to major hospitals or private healthcare settings. Some patients may not receive biomarker testing due to cost or limited availability in public healthcare systems.

2. Biomarker testing is available but underutilized, with significant barriers such as high costs, lack of awareness, or limited infrastructure. Many patients may not receive recommended biomarker assessments.

1. Biomarker testing is rarely performed, often due to lack of infrastructure, awareness, or financial barriers. Patients typically do not receive targeted therapies based on biomarker status.



International Cancer Patient Coalition

France

Clinical Guidelines



Strengths

- France adheres to international clinical guidelines, such as those from the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO), ensuring standardized care across institutions.

Weakness

- Implementation of guidelines may vary between urban and rural healthcare settings, leading to inconsistencies in patient management.

S W O T

Opportunity

- Ongoing education and training programs can promote uniform adoption of best practices nationwide.

Threats

- Rapid advancements in lung cancer research necessitate continuous updates to clinical guidelines, requiring resources for dissemination and training.

	Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low
Clinical Guideline Implementation	O	✖	✖	✖	✖
Feasibility of Integration	O	✖	✖	✖	✖
Adoption of International Guidelines	O	✖	✖	✖	✖
Engagement with Updates	✖	O	✖	✖	✖
ESMO Guidelines Implementation	O	✖	✖	✖	✖

International Cancer Patient Coalition

France

Reimbursement

Strengths

- The French national health insurance system covers a significant portion of lung cancer treatment costs, reducing financial barriers for patients.

Weakness

- Reimbursement for newer therapies and diagnostic tests may be delayed, impacting timely access to innovative treatments.

S W O T

Opportunity

- Streamlining reimbursement processes can facilitate quicker integration of emerging therapies into standard care.

Threats

- Budget constraints and cost-effectiveness evaluations may limit the adoption of high-cost treatments and technologies.

● A structured reimbursement system exists, ensuring biomarker testing is covered through national healthcare systems, insurance, or public-private partnerships. Patients face no direct financial burden.

● A reimbursement framework is in place, but patients may still have out-of-pocket expenses such as co-pays, limited coverage, or financial caps on testing.

● No formal reimbursement system exists, meaning patients must fully cover the cost of biomarker testing out-of-pocket.

Country	Reimbursement Framework	No-cost Access
United States	●	○
United Kingdom	●	●
Canada	●	●
Australia	●	●
Germany	●	●
France	●	●
Netherlands	●	●
Sweden	●	●
Italy	●	●
Spain	●	●
Poland	●	●
Japan	●	●
South Korea	●	●
China	●	●
India	○	○
Singapore	●	●
Thailand	●	●
South Africa	○	○
Kenya	○	○
Nigeria	○	○
Egypt	○	○
Morocco	○	○
Algeria	●	●
Ethiopia	○	○
Mexico	●	●
Brazil	●	●
Argentina	●	●
Chile	●	●
Colombia	●	●
New Zealand	●	●
Greece	●	●
Rwanda	○	○
Uganda	○	○
Serbia	●	●
Saudi Arabia	●	●
UAE	●	●
Syria	○	○
Indonesia	●	○
Vietnam	●	○
Philippines	○	○
Russia	●	●
Malaysia	●	●

International Cancer Patient Coalition

France

Lung Cancer Screening

Strengths

- The Impulsion pilot program, set to begin in the second half of 2025, aims to screen 20,000 high-risk individuals using LDCT, with the goal of reducing lung cancer mortality by 20–25%.

Weakness

- Previous pilot studies have faced challenges with participation rates, indicating the need for improved public engagement strategies.



Opportunity

- Successful implementation of the Impulsion program could pave the way for a nationwide screening initiative, enhancing early detection efforts.

Threats

- Concerns about over diagnosis and the potential psychological impact of false positives may hinder public acceptance of widespread screening.

Country	Lung Cancer Screening
United States	Annual LDCT (50-80 years, high-risk smokers)
United Kingdom	LDCT for high-risk individuals (55-74 years)
Canada	LDCT for high-risk individuals (55-74 years)
Australia	No national program, high-risk groups advised LDCT
Germany	No national program, under evaluation
France	No national LDCT screening
Netherlands	Participating in European screening studies
Sweden	No national LDCT screening
Italy	Regional pilot LDCT screening
Spain	No national LDCT program
Poland	No national program
Japan	No national LDCT program
South Korea	LDCT for high-risk individuals (50-74 years)
China	No national LDCT program
India	No national LDCT program
Singapore	No national LDCT program
Saudi Arabia	No national LDCT program; some hospital-based opportunistic screening
UAE	No national LDCT program; early-stage pilot studies ongoing in select hospitals
Syria	No national LDCT program; screening not prioritized due to conflict
Malaysia	No program; high-risk CT pilots

Country	Lung Cancer Screening
Thailand	No national LDCT program
South Africa	No national LDCT program
Kenya	No national LDCT program
Nigeria	No national LDCT program
Egypt	No national LDCT program
Morocco	No national LDCT program
Algeria	No national LDCT program
Ethiopia	No national LDCT program
Mexico	No national LDCT program
Brazil	No national LDCT program
Argentina	No national LDCT program
Chile	No national LDCT program
Colombia	No national LDCT program
New Zealand	No national LDCT program
Greece	No national LDCT program
Rwanda	No national LDCT program
Uganda	No national LDCT program
Serbia	No national LDCT program
Indonesia	No national LDCT program; opportunistic screening in private sector
Vietnam	No national LDCT program; early pilot screening studies in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh
Philippines	No national LDCT program; feasibility and awareness programs under discussion
Russia	No formal national LDCT program; regional pilot screening programs in large cities