

Vietnam

Breast Cancer Factsheet: Insights & Key Developments

Key Insights on Breast Cancer Care
and Infrastructure

Core Pillars:

1. Infrastructure
2. Treatment Access, Research Funding and Awareness Campaigns
3. Survival Rates, Early Detection and Palliative Care
4. Utilization of Biomarkers
5. Clinical Guidelines
6. Reimbursement
7. Breast Cancer Screening

Breast cancer remains one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide, affecting millions of individuals each year. Despite advancements in diagnostics, treatment, and awareness, disparities in access to care, molecular testing, and specialized centers persist.


This factsheet provides a comprehensive overview of key pillars shaping breast cancer care, including specialized infrastructure, treatment accessibility, research funding, early detection, and palliative care.

- Incidence share: Most common cancer among Vietnamese women Climbing to approximately 40.6 per 100,000 women by 2020.
- Incidence rate: Approximately 38–40.6 per 100,000 women per year
- Total new cases (2022): Around 21,500 women
- Daily diagnoses (2022): About 59 new cases per day
- Deaths (2022): Approximately 9,345 deaths
- 5-year survival rate: Around 74% overall; early-stage survival close to 90%; 1-year survival ~94%, 3-year ~83%
- Most affected age group: Median age at diagnosis is around 50 years; over 60% of cases occur in women under 50; majority present at late stages (III/IV)
- Screening participation: No organized national mammography program; clinical breast exam participation ~51%; early detection rate improving (~75%), though overall awareness remains low

Vietnam



Infrastructure



Strengths

- Tertiary oncology centers like K Hospital (Hanoi), Ho Chi Minh Oncology Hospital, and Cho Ray Hospital offer full diagnostic and treatment services.
- Public hospitals equipped with **mammography, ultrasound, CT, MRI, and radiotherapy** machines in major cities.
- Recent upgrades under **Vietnam National Cancer Control Plan (2020–2025)**.

Opportunity



- Strengthen **provincial-level cancer centers** and expand mobile diagnostic services.
- Increase **telemedicine platforms** for consultations and follow-up care



















































































Weakness

- **Severe concentration of services in urban areas**; rural regions often lack basic imaging or oncology capacity.
- Overcrowding in national hospitals causes long waiting times and delays in treatment initiation.

Threats


- Aging infrastructure and **medical workforce shortages**, especially in rural provinces.
- Rising incidence may outpace current health system capacity.



Country	Specialized Centers	Genetic & Molecular Testing Infrastructure
South Africa		
Kenya		
Nigeria		
Egypt		
Morocco		
Algeria		
Ethiopia		
India		
Japan		
South Korea		
China		
Thailand		
Singapore		
United Kingdom		
Germany		
France		
Netherlands		
Sweden		
Italy		
Spain		
Poland		
Mexico		
Brazil		
Argentina		
Chile		
Colombia		
United States		
Canada		
Australia		
New Zealand		
Greece		
Rwanda		
Uganda		
Serbia		
Saudi Arabia		
UAE		
Syria		
Indonesia		
Vietnam		
Philippines		
Russia		

Vietnam

Treatment Access, Research Funding and Awareness Campaigns



Strengths

- National Health Insurance (NHI) covers basic breast cancer treatment (surgery, chemo, hormone therapy).
- Increased availability of **trastuzumab, tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitors** in public hospitals.
- Active awareness programs led by **Vietnam Women's Union, Ministry of Health, and NGOs** (e.g., **Bright Future Fund**).

Opportunity


- Increase private sector and donor engagement in R&D.
- Launch culturally adapted awareness campaigns in **ethnic languages and rural schools**.

Weakness

- Advanced treatments (e.g., **pertuzumab, CDK4/6 inhibitors**) are limited and often out-of-pocket.
- Low participation in **clinical trials** and modest local research funding for breast cancer.
- Awareness campaigns less effective in **low-literacy and ethnic minority populations**.

Threats

- Economic constraints may restrict access to innovations.
- Public trust in cancer care can be eroded by poor communication or misdiagnosis.



5. Strong healthcare infrastructure with comprehensive treatment access, high research funding, and nationwide awareness campaigns. Patients have access to advanced therapies, clinical trials, and widespread early detection programs.



4. Well-developed system with good treatment availability, strong research funding, and effective but regionally focused awareness campaigns. Some disparities may exist in rural areas or between public and private sectors.



3. Moderate development, with specialized treatments available in major hospitals, research funding concentrated on specific cancers, and occasional but limited awareness efforts. Healthcare access may be restricted by cost or geography.



2. Limited system where cancer treatment is available only in select urban centers, research funding is minimal or sporadic, and awareness campaigns are rare or underfunded. Patients often face long wait times or financial barriers.




1. Poor infrastructure with severe barriers to treatment, little to no research funding, and lack of structured awareness campaigns. Cancer care is largely inaccessible, with many patients relying on out-of-pocket expenses or external aid.

Country	Treatment Access	Research Funding	Awareness Campaigns
South Africa			
Kenya			
Nigeria			
Egypt			
Morocco			
Algeria			
Ethiopia			
India			
Japan			
South Korea			
China			
Thailand			
Singapore			
United Kingdom			
Germany			
France			
Netherlands			
Sweden			
Italy			
Spain			
Poland			
Mexico			
Brazil			
Argentina			
Chile			
Colombia			
United States			
Canada			
Australia			
New Zealand			
Greece			
Rwanda			
Uganda			
Serbia			
Saudi Arabia			
UAE			
Syria			
Indonesia			
Vietnam			
Philippines			
Russia			

Vietnam



Survival Rates, Early Detection and Palliative Care



Strengths

- Early-stage patients treated at major hospitals report **5-year survival >80%**.
- Community-based early detection programs have started in some provinces.
- Palliative care services expanding, with pain management integrated into national guidelines.

Weakness


- Around **60% of women** diagnosed at advanced stages (Stage III or IV).
- Limited access to **structured palliative care** outside major cities.
- Delays in biopsy, pathology, or diagnosis due to resource shortages.

Opportunity

- Integrate breast exams into routine **maternal-child health and reproductive health** visits.
- Train **district-level providers** in palliative care and early detection pathways.

Threats

- Migration from rural to urban areas leaves aging population behind without screening access.
- Late-stage diagnosis increases financial and emotional burden on families.



5. High survival rates, strong early detection programs, and well-established palliative care services. Patients have access to timely diagnosis, advanced treatments, and comprehensive end-of-life care.



4. Good survival rates, effective early detection efforts, and accessible but regionally limited palliative care. Some disparities may exist in rural areas or for specific cancer types.



3. Moderate survival rates, early detection available but not widespread, and palliative care services mainly in urban centers. Some patients experience delays in diagnosis or limited end-of-life care.



2. Low survival rates, early detection efforts are inconsistent or underfunded, and palliative care is minimal or only available in select hospitals. Cancer patients face significant access barriers.




1. Very low survival rates, poor early detection infrastructure, and almost no palliative care services. Many patients are diagnosed late and lack proper support for pain management and end-of-life care.

Country	Survival Rates	Early Detection	Palliative Care
South Africa			
Kenya			
Nigeria			
Egypt			
Morocco			
Algeria			
Ethiopia			
India			
Japan			
South Korea			
China			
Thailand			
Singapore			
United Kingdom			
Germany			
France			
Netherlands			
Sweden			
Italy			
Spain			
Poland			
Mexico			
Brazil			
Argentina			
Chile			
Colombia			
United States			
Canada			
Australia			
New Zealand			
Greece			
Rwanda			
Uganda			
Serbia			
Saudi Arabia			
UAE			
Syria			
Indonesia			
Vietnam			
Philippines			
Russia			

Vietnam

Utilization of Biomarkers



Strengths

- HER2, ER, and PR testing available in tertiary hospitals (e.g., K Hospital, Cho Ray).
- Some access to Ki-67, BRCA1/2 testing through private labs.

Weakness






- Testing is **often delayed** due to pathology backlogs and sample transport issues.
- **BRCA testing not routinely offered** and usually paid out-of-pocket.

Opportunity


- Subsidize basic biomarker testing under National Health Insurance.
- Establish centralized, fast-track labs for immunohistochemistry.

Threats

- Uneven quality control and **variation in testing standards**.
- Delays in biomarker reporting can postpone systemic therapy decisions.



- 
 5. 80% Biomarker testing is widely available and routinely performed as part of standard clinical practice. Strong integration into treatment decisions, with national coverage and reimbursement ensuring accessibility.
- 
 4. 61-80%. Biomarker testing is commonly used, but access may be limited in certain regions or patient groups. Some disparities exist in coverage or affordability, but it is still a crucial part of cancer diagnostics
- 
 3. 41-60% Moderate utilization, often restricted to major hospitals or private healthcare settings. Some patients may not receive biomarker testing due to cost or limited availability in public healthcare systems.
- 
 2. 20-40% Biomarker testing is available but underutilized, with significant barriers such as high costs, lack of awareness, or limited infrastructure. Many patients may not receive recommended biomarker assessments.
- 
 1. <20% Biomarker testing is rarely performed, often due to lack of infrastructure, awareness, or financial barriers. Patients typically do not receive targeted therapies based on biomarker status.

Vietnam



Vietnam


Clinical Guidelines












































































	Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low
Clinical Guideline Implementation	✗	✗	○	✗	✗
Feasibility of Integration	✗	✗	○	✗	✗
Adoption of International Guidelines	✗	✗	○	✗	✗
Engagement with Updates	✗	✗	✗	○	✗
ESMO Guidelines Implementation	✗	✗	○	✗	✗

Vietnam

Reimbursement




-  A structured reimbursement system exists, ensuring biomarker testing is covered through national healthcare systems, insurance, or public-private partnerships. Patients face no direct financial burden.
-  A reimbursement framework is in place, but patients may still have out-of-pocket expenses such as co-pays, limited coverage, or financial caps on testing.
-  No formal reimbursement system exists, meaning patients must fully cover the cost of biomarker testing out-of-pocket.

Country	Reimbursement	No-cost Access
South Africa		
Kenya		
Nigeria		
Egypt		
Morocco		
Algeria		
Ethiopia		
India		
Japan		
South Korea		
China		
Thailand		
Singapore		
United Kingdom		
Germany		
France		
Netherlands		
Sweden		
Italy		
Spain		
Poland		
Mexico		
Brazil		
Argentina		
Chile		
Colombia		
United States		
Canada		
Australia		
New Zealand		
Greece		
Rwanda		
Uganda		
Serbia		
Saudi Arabia		
UAE		
Syria		
Indonesia		
Vietnam		
Philippines		
Russia		

Vietnam

Breast Cancer Screening



Country	Breast Cancer Screening
United States	Biennial mammograms (50-74 years)
United Kingdom	Triennial mammograms (50-71 years)
Canada	Mammograms every 2-3 years (50-74 years)
Australia	Biennial mammograms (50-74 years)
Germany	Mammograms every 2 years (50-69 years)
France	Biennial mammograms (50-74 years)
Netherlands	Mammograms every 2 years (50-75 years)
Sweden	Mammograms every 18-24 months (40-74 years)
Italy	Mammograms every 2 years (50-69 years)
Spain	Mammograms every 2 years (50-69 years)
Poland	Mammograms every 2 years (50-69 years)
Japan	Mammograms every 2 years (40+ years)
South Korea	Biennial mammograms (40+ years)
China	Regional mammogram programs (40-69 years)
India	Opportunistic screening
Singapore	Biennial mammograms (50-69 years)
Saudi Arabia	Opportunistic screening; regional programs for women aged 40+
UAE	Opportunistic screening; encouraged every 2 years for 40-69 years
Syria	No national program; limited local initiatives due to conflict

Country	Breast Cancer Screening
Thailand	Biennial mammograms (50-69 years)
South Africa	Opportunistic screening
Kenya	No national program
Nigeria	No national program
Egypt	National awareness campaigns
Morocco	National program for 45-69 years
Algeria	Planned national program (50-69 years)
Ethiopia	No national program
Mexico	Biennial mammograms (40-69 years)
Brazil	Biennial mammograms (50-69 years)
Argentina	Biennial mammograms (50-69 years)
Chile	Mammograms every 3 years (50-69 years)
Colombia	Biennial mammograms (50-69 years)
New Zealand	Biennial mammograms (45-69 years)
Greece	Biennial mammograms (50-69 years)
Rwanda	No national program
Uganda	No national program
Serbia	Biennial mammograms (50-69 years)
Indonesia	Opportunistic screening; no national mammography program
Vietnam	Regional mammography programs; pilot programs in urban areas (age 45–69)
Philippines	Opportunistic screening; mammography recommended every 2 years for women 50+
Russia	National program for biennial mammograms (50–69 years)