[2]:	ld	Droductid	l la autal	ProfileName						
[4]:		ProductId	UserId			HelpfulnessDenominator		Time	Summary  Good Quality Dog	I have bought several of the
			A3SGXH7AUHU8GW	delmartian	1	1		1303862400	Food	cann Product arrived labeled as
		B00813GRG4	A1D87F6ZCVE5NK	dll pa  Natalia Corres "Natalia	0	0		1346976000	Not as Advertised	Salted Pe
	<b>2</b> 3	B000LQOCH0	ABXLMWJIXXAIN	Corres"	1	1		1219017600	"Delight" says it all	around  If you are looking for the
	3 4	B000UA0QIQ	A395BORC6FGVXV	Karl Michael D. Bigham "M.	3	3		1307923200	Cough Medicine	ingred  Great taffy at a great price.
	<b>4</b> 5	B006K2ZZ7K	A1UQRSCLF8GW1T	Wassir"	0	0	5	1350777600	Great taffy	was a
6]:	<pre>df.dro df = o df.dro</pre>	opna(inplac df[['Text', op_duplicat	e= <b>True</b> )  'Score']].dropna( es(subset=['Text'	)	irst',inplace=True)	quirement				
9]:	i.	<pre>ark_sentime f(Score&lt;=3)     return 0 lse:     return 1</pre>	:							
]:	df['s	entiment']=	df['Score'].apply	(mark_sentiment)						
0]:	df.dr	op(['Score'	],axis=1,inplace=	True) #						
3]:	df['s	entiment'].	value_counts()							
3]:	1 3	306812 86849								
ΛĪ		sentiment,	dtype: int64							
4]:	u1 = (	df.iloc[:40	900]							
5]:	# Ini	tialize the	lemmatizer							
5]:	lemma	tizer = Wor	dNetLemmatizer()							
5]:	# Def.	tizer = Wor	dNetLemmatizer() ction to tokenize	e and lemmetize the	e text					
	# Def.  def to	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and  okens = wor  emmatized_t  eturn " ".j	<pre>dNetLemmatizer() ction to tokenize _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text)</pre>	er.lemmatize(toker	e <i>text</i> n) <b>for</b> token <b>in</b> tok	ens]				
]:	# Def.  def to to to re  import nltk.o	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha	<pre>dNetLemmatizer() ction to tokenize _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatiz oin(lemmatized_to topwords') ordnet') re/nltk_data/corp</pre>	er.lemmatize(toker okens) oora/wordnet.zip -d						
]:	# Def.  def to to to import nltk.o nltk.o ! unz: # App. df['To	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatiz oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') .re/nltk_data/corp	er.lemmatize(toker okens) oora/wordnet.zip -c ext column enize_and_lemmatiz	n) <b>for</b> token <b>in</b> tok d /usr/share/nltk_c	lata/corpora/				
]:	# Def.  def to to to import nltk.o nltk.o ! unz: # App. df['To	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatiz oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') .re/nltk_data/corp	er.lemmatize(toker okens) oora/wordnet.zip -c ext column enize_and_lemmatiz	n) <b>for</b> token <b>in</b> tok d /usr/share/nltk_c		ove	URLS		
]:	# Def.  def to to long import nltk.o nltk.o ! unz: # App. df['To	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[  Remov	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatized_to oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') .re/nltk_data/corp  function to the te 'Text'].apply(tokenize)  Text'].apply(tokenize)  Text'   Apply(tokenize)	er.lemmatize(toker okens) oora/wordnet.zip -c ext column enize_and_lemmatiz	n) for token in token	lata/corpora/	ove	URLS		
]:	# Def.  def to to long import nltk.o ! unz:  # App. df['To	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[  Remov  a Cleansing orting the t re	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatized_to oin(lemmatized_to topwords') ordnet') re/nltk_data/corp function to the te 'Text'].apply(tokenize)  Comparison to the teles  Comp	er.lemmatize(toker okens)  oora/wordnet.zip -cext column kenize_and_lemmatizenize_and_lemmatizenize_and_series, remove symbols,	n) for token in token	lata/corpora/	ove	URLS		
]:	# Def.  def to to long import nltk.o ! unz.  # App. df['To	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[  Remov  a Cleansing orting the t re nltk.corpus	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatized_to oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') .re/nltk_data/corp  function to the te 'Text'].apply(tokenize)  Text'].apply(tokenize)  Text'   Apply(tokenize)	er.lemmatize(toker okens)  oora/wordnet.zip -cext column denize_and_lemmatize  Ords, Rer  ds, remove symbols,	n) for token in token	lata/corpora/	ove	URLS		
]:	# Def.  def to to to to import nltk.o ! unz:  # App. df['To  ""  # Def. def c. # to	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[  Remove tree nltk.corpus  words = set  ining a fun lean_Text(t Remove URL ext = re.su Remove sym ext = re.su Remove sto ext = " ".j  Remove exc	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatize oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') re/nltk_data/corp  function to the te 'Text'].apply(tok  CE STOPWO  Comparison  Compar	er.lemmatize(toker okens)  ora/wordnet.zip -c ext column enize_and_lemmatiz  Ords, Rer  ds, remove symbols,  'english'))  ne text  text)  text)  td in text.split()	n) for token in token	ools, Remo	ove	URLS		
]:	# Def.  def to to to to import nltk.o ! unz.  # App. df['To  # Def. def c. # to	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[  Remove t re nltk.corpus  words = set  ining a fun lean_Text(t Remove URL ext = re.su Remove sto ext = " ".j  Remove exc ext = ' '.j  Replace ab ext = re.su	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatize oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') re/nltk_data/corp  function to the te 'Text'].apply(tok  ction to clean th ext): s b(r'http\S+', '', bols and numbers b(r'[^\\w\s]', '', pwords oin([word for word ess whitespaces oin(text.split())	cer.lemmatize(toker okens)  cora/wordnet.zip -cora/wordnet.zip -cora/wordnet.zip -cora/wordnet.zip -cora/wordnet.zip -cora/wordnet.zip -cora/words, Rer  cords, Rer  ds, remove symbols,  d'english'))  ce text  text)  text)  text)  can add more if new anot", text)	if word.lower() no	ools, Remo	ove	URLS		
]:	# Def.  def to to to impor nltk.o ! unz.  # App. df['To  -  # Def. def c. # to	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[  Remove tree nltk.corpus  words = set  ining a fun lean_Text(t Remove URL ext = re.su Remove sym ext = re.su Remove sto ext = " ".j  Replace ab ext = re.su ext = r	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatize oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') re/nltk_data/corp  function to the te 'Text'].apply(tok  ction to clean th ext): s b(r'http\S+', '', bols and numbers b(r'[^\w\s]', '', bols and numbers b(r'[^\w\s]', '', pwords oin([word for wor  ess whitespaces oin(text.split()) breviations (you b(r"won't", "will b(r"can't", "canr	cer.lemmatize(toker okens)  cora/wordnet.zip - cora	if word.lower() no	ools, Remo	ove	URLS		
]: 7]:	# Def.  def to to limpor nltk.o nltk.o ! unz:  # App. df['To	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('s download('wip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[  Remove treensure ext = re.sure ext = re.s	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatize oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') re/nltk_data/corp  function to the te 'Text'].apply(tok  ction to clean th ext): s b(r'http\S+', '', bols and numbers b(r'[^\\w\s]', '', pwords oin([word for wor  ess whitespaces oin(text.split()) breviations (you b(r"won't", "will b(r"can't", "canr  ctions b(r"n't", " not", b(r"s", " is", t ctions	cer.lemmatize(toker okens)  cora/wordnet.zip - cora	if word.lower() no	ools, Remo	ove	URLS		
]: .7]:	# Def.  def to to to import nltk.o ! unz:  # App. df['To  white to the to the	tizer = Wor  ining a fun  okenize_and okens = wor emmatized_t eturn " ".j  t nltk download('w ip /usr/sha  lying the f ext'] = df[  Remove unlean_Text(t Remove URL ext = re.su Remove sym ext = re.su Remove exc ext = ' '.j  Remove exc ext = re.su	dNetLemmatizer()  ction to tokenize  _lemmatize(text): d_tokenize(text): d_tokenize(text) okens = [lemmatize oin(lemmatized_to  topwords') ordnet') re/nltk_data/corp  function to the te 'Text'].apply(tok  ction to clean th ext): s b(r'http\S+', '', bols and numbers b(r'[^\w\s]', '', pwords oin([word for word ess whitespaces oin(text.split()) breviations (you b(r"won't", "will b(r"can't", "canr ctions b(r"n't", " not", b(r"'s", " is", to b(r"'d", " would" b(r"'t", " not", b(r"'t", " not", b(r"'t", " have"  s={'Text': 'text'}  s={'Text': 'text'}	cer.lemmatize(tokerokens)  cora/wordnet.zip -cora/wordnet.zip -cor	if word.lower() no	ools, Remo	ove	URLS		

ASSIGNMENT-2

ANIKET SINGH 21070126013 AIML-A1

Git Hub Repository:https://github.com/AniketSingh1m/NLP/tree/main/Assignment\_2

Importing Libraries:

# Preprocessing the data using NLTK

from nltk.tokenize import word\_tokenize from nltk.stem import WordNetLemmatizer

# Importing the libraries////

In [ ]: # Importing the libraries

import pandas as pd nltk.download('all')

import nltk



# model\_2.add(LSTM(lstm\_units\_2))

model\_2.add(LSTM(lstm\_units\_2))

# # Compile the model

 $model_2 = Sequential()$ 

# Train the second model

# model\_2.add(Dense(1, activation='sigmoid'))

model\_2.add(Dense(1, activation='sigmoid'))

# model\_2.fit(x, y, batch\_size=batch\_size\_2, epochs=5)

model\_2.add(LSTM(lstm\_units\_2, return\_sequences=True))

model\_2.fit(x\_train, y\_train, batch\_size=batch\_size\_2, epochs=20)

# model\_2.compile(loss='binary\_crossentropy', optimizer='adam', metrics=['accuracy'])

model\_2.add(Embedding(max\_words\_2, embedding\_dim\_2, input\_length=max\_sequence\_length\_2))

model\_2.compile(loss='binary\_crossentropy', optimizer='adam', metrics=['accuracy'])

# # Train the model (assuming you have 'sentiment' as your target column)

# Evaluate the second model y\_pred\_2 = model\_2.predict(x\_test)  $y_pred_2 = (y_pred_2 > 0.5)$  # Threshold for binary classification # Generate a classification report for the second model report\_2 = classification\_report(y\_test, y\_pred\_2) Epoch 1/20 Epoch 2/20 Epoch 3/20 Epoch 4/20 Epoch 5/20 Epoch 6/20 Epoch 7/20 Epoch 8/20 Epoch 9/20 Epoch 10/20 Epoch 11/20 Epoch 12/20 Epoch 13/20 Epoch 14/20 Epoch 15/20 Epoch 16/20 Epoch 17/20 Epoch 18/20 Epoch 19/20 Epoch 20/20 625/625 [============ ] - 3s 3ms/step Classification report for second set: In [26]: print("Classification Report for Model 2:") print(report\_2) Classification Report for Model 2: precision recall f1-score support 0.61 0 0.62 0.61 4597 1 0.88 0.89 0.89 15403 accuracy 0.82 20000 macro avg 0.75 0.75 0.75 20000 weighted avg 0.82 0.82 0.82 20000 Plotting Result of model1 and model2: In [27]: **import** matplotlib.pyplot **as** plt from sklearn.metrics import confusion\_matrix, roc\_auc\_score, roc\_curve, auc # Function to plot the confusion matrix def plot\_confusion\_matrix(y\_true, y\_pred, title): cm = confusion\_matrix(y\_true, y\_pred) plt.figure(figsize=(6, 4)) plt.imshow(cm, interpolation='nearest', cmap=plt.cm.Blues) plt.title(title) plt.colorbar() classes = ["Negative", "Positive"] # Assuming 0 is negative and 1 is positive  $tick_marks = [0, 1]$ plt.xticks(tick\_marks, classes) plt.yticks(tick\_marks, classes) plt.xlabel('Predicted label') plt.ylabel('True label') for i in range(2): for j in range(2): plt.text(j, i, format(cm[i, j], 'd'), horizontalalignment="center", color="white" if cm[i, j] > cm.max() / 2 else "black") plt.show() # Function to plot ROC AUC curve def plot\_roc\_auc(y\_true, y\_score, title): fpr, tpr, thresholds = roc\_curve(y\_true, y\_score) roc\_auc = auc(fpr, tpr) plt.figure(figsize=(6, 4)) plt.plot(fpr, tpr, color='darkorange', lw=2, label='ROC curve (area = {:.2f})'.format(roc\_auc)) plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='navy', lw=2, linestyle='--') plt.xlim([0.0, 1.0]) plt.ylim([0.0, 1.05]) plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate') plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate') plt.title(title) plt.legend(loc='lower right') plt.show() # Assuming you have already trained model\_1 and model\_2 as mentioned earlier # Predict probabilities for both models y\_score\_1 = model\_1.predict(x\_test) y\_score\_2 = model\_2.predict(x\_test) # Threshold for binary classification  $y_pred_1 = (y_score_1 > 0.5)$  $y_pred_2 = (y_score_2 > 0.5)$ 625/625 [=========== ] - 2s 2ms/step 625/625 [=========== ] - 2s 3ms/step Confusion Matrix - Model 1 12000 2957 1640 Negative - 10000 True label 8000 6000 13587 1816 Positive 4000 2000 Negative Positive Predicted label ROC AUC Curve - Model 1 1.0 0.8 True Positive Rate 0.6 0.2 ROC curve (area = 0.86) 0.2 0.4 0.0 0.6 0.8 1.0 False Positive Rate In [ ]: # Plot confusion matrix and ROC AUC curve for Model 1 plot\_confusion\_matrix(y\_test, y\_pred\_1, title="Confusion Matrix - Model 1") plot\_roc\_auc(y\_test, y\_score\_1, title="ROC AUC Curve - Model 1") In [28]: # Plot confusion matrix and ROC AUC curve for Model 2 plot\_confusion\_matrix(y\_test, y\_pred\_2, title="Confusion Matrix - Model 2") plot\_roc\_auc(y\_test, y\_score\_2, title="ROC AUC Curve - Model 2") Confusion Matrix - Model 2 12000 2806 1791 Negative 10000 8000

ıe label 롣 6000 1739 13664 Positive 4000 2000 Positive Negative Predicted label ROC AUC Curve - Model 2 1.0 0.8 True Positive Rate 0.2 ROC curve (area = 0.84) 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.0 1.0 False Positive Rate Model 1: • Precision for Class 0 (0.68): Model 1 correctly identifies Class 0 samples 68% of the time, and when it predicts Class 0, it is accurate in 68% of cases. • Recall for Class 0 (0.65): Model 1 captures 65% of all actual Class 0 instances, demonstrating its ability to recognize this class effectively. • F1-Score for Class 0 (0.66): The F1-Score for Class 0 is 0.66, indicating a balanced trade-off between precision and recall for Class 0. Precision for Class 1 (0.90): Model 1 exhibits high precision for Class 1, correctly predicting Class 1 samples with 90% accuracy. • Recall for Class 1 (0.91): It captures 91% of all actual Class 1 instances, highlighting its strong ability to identify Class 1 samples. • F1-Score for Class 1 (0.90): The F1-Score for Class 1 is 0.90, showing an excellent balance between precision and recall for Class 1. • Accuracy (0.85): Model 1 achieves an overall accuracy of 85%, indicating that it correctly predicts 85% of all samples. • Macro Avg F1-Score (0.78): The macro-average F1-Score, which considers both classes equally, is 0.78, reflecting a good overall balance. Weighted Avg F1-Score (0.85): The weighted average F1-Score, accounting for class imbalance, is 0.85, demonstrating Model 1's strong performance, especially for the majority class. Model 2: • Precision for Class 0 (0.62): Model 2's precision for Class 0 is 0.62, indicating that it correctly predicts Class 0 samples with 62% accuracy. • Recall for Class 0 (0.61): It captures 61% of all actual Class 0 instances, showing moderate effectiveness in identifying this class. • F1-Score for Class 0 (0.61): The F1-Score for Class 0 is 0.61, suggesting a reasonable balance between precision and recall for Class 0. Precision for Class 1 (0.88): Model 2 exhibits high precision for Class 1, correctly predicting Class 1 samples with 88% accuracy. • Recall for Class 1 (0.89): It captures 89% of all actual Class 1 instances, indicating strong performance in identifying Class 1 samples. • F1-Score for Class 1 (0.89): The F1-Score for Class 1 is 0.89, demonstrating an excellent balance between precision and recall for Class 1. • Accuracy (0.82): Model 2 achieves an overall accuracy of 82%, which is slightly lower than Model 1. Macro Avg F1-Score (0.75): The macro-average F1-Score for Model 2 is 0.75, indicating a slightly less balanced overall performance compared to Model 1.

• Weighted Avg F1-Score (0.82): The weighted average F1-Score is 0.82, considering class imbalance, and is higher than the macro-average F1-Score, highlighting Model 2's

effectiveness for the dataset.

• Model 1 outperforms Model 2 in terms of precision, recall, and F1-scores for both classes

• However, Model 2 excels in precision and recall for Class 1.

· Model 1 achieves a higher overall accuracy and demonstrates a better balance between precision and recall.

**Model Comparison:** 

In [ ]: