

Dossier No: 1178

National Agricultural Cooperative Marketing Federation of India Ltd. Nafed House, Sidhartha Enclave, Ashram Chowk, Ring Road, New Delhi - 110 014

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REPORT OF GENERAL MANAGER / GENERAL MANAGER (F&A) / MANAGER / MANAGER (F&A) AND EQUIVALENT POST

Report for the year / period ending: 2020-2021

PERSONAL DATA

(To be filled in by the Personnel Section)

<u>PART - 1</u>

1. Name of the Employee N. GURUSAMY

2. Designation MANAGER (LEGAL)/MANAGER (HR)

3. Date of Birth **10/11/1962**

4. Place of posting **HYDERABAD**

5. Qualifications BACHELOR OF COMMERCE, PGDMM

6. Date of joining NAFED 03/02/1984
7. Date of appointment to the present post 01/07/2016

8. Period for which the employee has worked under the Reporting Officer during the year under review *

dd/mm/yyyy

dd/mm/yyyy

<u>PART - 2</u>

To be filled in by the officer reported upon (Please read carefully the instructions before filling the entries)

1. Brief	description	ot	duties:	,
----------	-------------	----	---------	---

2. Please specify targets/objectives/goals (in quantitative or other terms) of work you set for yourself or that were set for you, eight to ten items of work in the order of priority and your achievement against each target (Example Annual Action Plan for your Division)

#	Target/Objective/Goals	Acheivements	Remove
		No Record Found.	

			_	_	_
VAFE	D- A	РΔ	R -	. Form	В

targets.	trails with referen	nee to the targets/objectives/g	odis referred to in item	2. Fledse speelig	, constraints, it arry	in deficeving the
(B) Please also indicate items in w	hich there have b	peen significantly higher achiev	vements and your contr	ribution thereto.	*	
4 Diagraphete subathantha annua	Luchum on incom	walala mususuku fau kha musaadi	in a calandar vacar vac G	والمراجعة والمالية و	accuiband data in 2	1 at language of the
4. Please state whether the annua following the calendar year if not,			ng calendar year was fil	led within the pr	escribed date i.e. 3	i st January of the
Annual Return Submitted :	No					
					Signature of O	fficer reported upon
Place :		HYDERABAD				
		Name in Block Letters :	N. GURUSAMY			
Date :						

<u>PART – 3</u>

Numerical grading is to be awarded by Reporting and Reviewing Authority which should be on a scale of 1-10 where 1 refers to the lowest grade and 10 to the highest.

(Please read carefully the guidelines before filling the entries)

(A) Assessment of work output (weightage to this Section would be 40%)

	Reporting Authority *	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 of Part 5) *	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i . Accomplishment of planned work/work allotted as per subjects allotted	÷	•	Chairman
ii . Quality of output	Å	÷	Chairman
iii . Analytical ability	Å	÷	Chairman
iv . Accomplishment of exceptional work unforeseen tasks performed	÷	è	Chairman
Overall Grading on "Work Output"			

(B) Assessment of Personal Attributes (weightage to this Section would be 30 %)

	Reporting Authority *	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 of Part 5) *	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i . Attitude to work	÷	•	Chairman
ii . Sense of responsibility	÷	•	Chairman
iii . Maintenance of Discipline	÷	•	Chairman
iv . Communication skills	÷	•	Chairman
v . Leadership qualities	÷	•	Chairman
vi . Capacity to work in team sprit	÷	•	Chairman
vii . Capacity to adhere to time-schedule	÷	•	Chairman
viii . Inter-personal relationship	÷	•	Chairman
ix . Overall bearing and personality	÷	•	Chairman
Overall Grading on "Personal Attributes"			

3 of 7

(C) Assessment of Functional Competency (weightage to this Section would be 30%)

	Reporting Authority *	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 of Part 5) *	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i . Knowledge of Rules /Regulations / Procedures in the area of function and ability to apply them correctly	•	•	Chairman
ii . Strategic planning ability	•	•	Chairman
iii . Decision making ability	·	•	Chairman
iv . Coordination ability	A.V.	•	Chairman
v . Ability to motivate and develop subordinates	Å.	•	Chairman
vi . initiative	÷	•	Chairman
Overall Grading on "Functional Competency"			

GENERAL

1. Relations with the public (whatever applicable) (Please comment on the Officer's accessibility to the public and responsiveness to their needs) *

DΛ	DT		

OutstandingGood			
• Poor			
aining se give recommendations for trair	ning with a view to further improving the e	ffectiveness and capabilities of the Office	er.)
# Training		Remarks	Remove
	No Reco	d Found.	
ate of health *			
OutstandingGoodPoor			
tegrity ase comment on the integrity of th	e Officer)		
Above BoardNothing Definite can be saidDoubtfulBeyond Doubt			
			ths and lesser strength, extraordinary
ievements, significant failures (ref 3	(in about 100 words) on the overall qualiti (A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards	weaker sections. *	ans und lesser strength, extraordinary
ievements, significant failures (ref 3		weaker sections. *	
ievements, significant failures (ref 3	(A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards	weaker sections. * C in Part-3 of the Report.	Signature of the Reporting Office
ievements, significant failures (ref 3	(A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards	weaker sections. *	
ievements, significant failures (ref 3	(A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards	weaker sections. * C in Part-3 of the Report.	
ievements, significant failures (ref 3	(A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards sis of weightage given in Section A, B and to	Weaker sections. * C in Part-3 of the Report. SANJEEV KUMAR CHADHA	
ievements, significant failures (ref 3	(A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards sis of weightage given in Section A, B and of the section	Weaker sections. * C in Part-3 of the Report. SANJEEV KUMAR CHADHA	
nievements, significant failures (ref 3	(A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards sis of weightage given in Section A, B and (Name in Block Letters : Designation : During the period of Report :	Weaker sections. * C in Part-3 of the Report. SANJEEV KUMAR CHADHA	
ilevements, significant failures (ref 3	(A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards sis of weightage given in Section A, B and of the section A, B and of the section Block Letters: Designation: During the period of Report: HYDERABAD	Weaker sections. * C in Part-3 of the Report. SANJEEV KUMAR CHADHA	
ievements, significant failures (ref 3	(A) & 3(B) of Part -2) and attitude towards sis of weightage given in Section A, B and the section A, B and the section Block Letters: Designation: During the period of Report: HYDERABAD	Weaker sections. * C in Part-3 of the Report. SANJEEV KUMAR CHADHA	

6 of 7

with the assessment of reporting officer in respect of extraordinary achievements / significant failures of the officer reported upon? (Ref Part - 3(A) (iv) and Part (In case you do not agree with any of the numerical assessments attributes please record your assessment in the column provided for you in the section and initial your entries) ○ Yes ● No 3. In case of disagreement please specify the reasons. Is there anything you wish to modify or add? * 4. Pen Picture by Reviewing Officer. Please comment (in about 100 words) on the overall qualities of the officer including area of strengths and lesser strength and his attitudes towards wear sections. 5. Overall numerical grading on the basis of weightage given in Section A, B and C in Part-3 of the Report. Signature of the Reviewing Officer Name in Block Letters: Dr. Bijender Singh Designation: Chairman During the period of Report : Place: **HYDERABAD** Date: REMARKS OF ACCEPTING AUTHORITY Do you agree with the report of the Reviewing Authority? Please offer your comments on various aspects of performance of the officer. Please record reasons, should you differ with the Grading given by the Reviewing Authority. * Signature of the Accepting Authority Name in Block Letters: Dr. Bijender Singh Designation: Chairman During the period of Report : Place: **HYDERABAD** Date:

2. Do you agree with the assessment made by the reporting officer with respect to the work output and the various attributes in Part -3 & Part 4? Do you agree

7 of 7