

Audit Report May, 2022

For



AnimalToken

Table of Content

Executive Summary	01
Checked Vulnerabilities	03
Techniques and Methods	04
Manual Anaysis	05
High Severity Issues	05
Medium Severity Issues	05
Low Severity Issues	05
A.1 Missing Zero check	05
Informational Issues	06
A.2 Unlocked Pragma	06
Functional Testing	07
Automated Testing	07
Notice to the users	08
Closing Summary	09
About QuillAudits	10

Executive Summary

Project Name Animal Token

Overview AnimalToken is a fully minted ECR-20 Token with a hard cap of 100 million and cannot be burned. The AnimalToken is incorporated into the AnimalTV site using smart contracts. The use of smart contracts brings clear transparency while strengthening security for DRM.

Timeline May 26th, 2022 to May 30th, 2022

Method Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing etc.

Scope of Audit The scope of this audit was to analyse Animal Token codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

Sourcecode <https://github.com/AnimalToken-io/AnimalToken/blob/main/AnimalToken.sol>

Fixed in <https://github.com/AnimalToken-io/AnimalToken/blob/main/AnimalToken ERC20.sol>

Commit Hash [3a31e630afc14c59fe761fb66742f79412c0d9f](#)



■ High ■ Medium
■ Low ■ Informational

	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	0	0
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	1	1



Types of Severities

High

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

Low

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Types of Issues

Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.



Checked Vulnerabilities

- ✓ Re-entrancy
- ✓ Timestamp Dependence
- ✓ Gas Limit and Loops
- ✓ DoS with Block Gas Limit
- ✓ Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- ✓ Use of tx.origin
- ✓ Exception disorder
- ✓ Gasless send
- ✓ Balance equality
- ✓ Byte array
- ✓ Transfer forwards all gas
- ✓ ERC20 API violation
- ✓ Malicious libraries
- ✓ Compiler version not fixed
- ✓ Redundant fallback function
- ✓ Send instead of transfer
- ✓ Style guide violation
- ✓ Unchecked external call
- ✓ Unchecked math
- ✓ Unsafe type inference
- ✓ Implicit visibility leve



Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis.



Manual Analysis

A. Contract - Animal Token

High Severity Issues

No issues were found

Medium Severity Issues

No issues were found

Low Severity Issues

A.1 Missing Zero Address Check

[#L200]

```
200  constructor(string memory name, string memory symbol, uint8 decimals, uint256 totalSupply, address payable feeReceiver, address
201  _name = name;
202  _symbol = symbol;
203  _decimals = decimals;
204
205  // set tokenOwnerAddress as owner of all tokens
206  _mint(tokenOwnerAddress, totalSupply);
207
208  // pay the service fee for contract deployment
209  feeReceiver.transfer(msg.value);
210  }
211
```

Description

Contracts lack zero address checks, hence are prone to be initialized with zero addresses.

Remediation

Consider adding zero address checks in order to avoid risks of incorrect contract initializations.

Status

Fixed



Informational Issues

2. Unlocked pragma (pragma solidity ^0.5.0)

Description

Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested with thoroughly. Locking the pragma helps to ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using, for example, an outdated compiler version that might introduce bugs that affect the contract system negatively.

Recommendation

Here all the in-scope contracts have an unlocked pragma, it is recommended to lock the same. Moreover, we strongly suggest not to use experimental Solidity features (e.g., pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2) or third-party unaudited libraries. If necessary, refactor the current code base to only use stable features.eg 0.8.4

Status

Fixed



Functional Testing

Some of the tests performed are mentioned below

- ✓ Should be able call all getters
- ✓ Should be able to mint 1000000 token to tokenOwner Address
- ✓ Should be able to transfer token
- ✓ Should be able to approve
- ✓ Should be able to increaseApprove
- ✓ Should be able to decreaseApprove
- ✓ Should be able to transferFrom
- ✓ Should revert if transfer amount exceeds balance

Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.



Important Notice To The Users

- ✓ The contract is well made and is secure. At no point, the owner can stop users from selling or take control over users' funds.
- ✓ The owner cannot stop trading.
- ✓ The owner cannot mint a new token after deployment.
- ✓ No high-risk Exploits/Vulnerabilities were found in token source code.



Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the Animal Token. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

Some issues Low and informational severity were found, Some suggestions and best practices are also provided in order to improve the code quality and security posture. In the End, Animal Token Team fixed all Issues.

Disclaimer

QuillAudits smart contract audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the Animal Token Platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts.

The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the Animal Token Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.



About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies.

We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



500+
Audits Completed



\$15B
Secured



500K
Lines of Code Audited



Follow Our Journey



Audit Report May, 2022

For



AnimalToken



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 audits.quillhash.com

✉️ audits@quillhash.com