

# Radix-2 Fast Fourier Transform: Algorithm, Complexity, and Worked Example

## 1 Definition (DFT)

Given a vector  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) is the vector  $X$  with components

$$X_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j \omega_n^{jk}, \quad \omega_n = e^{-2\pi i/n}.$$

## 2 Radix-2 decomposition

Assume  $n$  is a power of two. Split  $x$  into even and odd-indexed subsequences:

$$x_j^{(e)} = x_{2j}, \quad x_j^{(o)} = x_{2j+1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 1.$$

Then for  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j \omega_n^{jk} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_{2j} \omega_n^{(2j)k} + \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_{2j+1} \omega_n^{(2j+1)k} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_j^{(e)} \omega_{n/2}^{jk} + \omega_n^k \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_j^{(o)} \omega_{n/2}^{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $E_k$  and  $O_k$  be the DFTs of  $x^{(e)}$  and  $x^{(o)}$  (length  $n/2$ ). Then

$$X_k = E_k + \omega_n^k O_k, \quad X_{k+n/2} = E_k - \omega_n^k O_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, n/2 - 1.$$

This yields the recursive radix-2 FFT.

### 3 Runtime recurrence

Let  $T(n)$  be the time to compute an  $n$ -point FFT. We perform two recursive FFTs of size  $n/2$  and  $\Theta(n)$  extra work for splitting and combining (twiddle multiplications), giving

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn, \quad T(1) = c_0.$$

By the Master theorem with  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 2$ , we have  $T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$ .

### 4 Worked example ( $n = 8$ )

Take  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_7)$ . Split into evens and odds:  $x^{(e)} = (x_0, x_2, x_4, x_6)$ ,  $x^{(o)} = (x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7)$ . Compute their 4-point DFTs  $E_k, O_k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Then for  $k = 0 \dots 3$ :

$$X_k = E_k + \omega_8^k O_k, \quad X_{k+4} = E_k - \omega_8^k O_k.$$

We can expand  $\omega_8^k$  values explicitly (e.g.  $\omega_8 = e^{-2\pi i/8} = e^{-i\pi/4}$ ) and compute numbers.

## 5 Proof of correctness and complexity

### 5.1 Correctness of the radix-2 combine step

Let  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and assume  $n$  is even (indeed a power of two). Define the even and odd subsequences

$$x^{(e)} = (x_0, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}), \quad x^{(o)} = (x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

Let  $E = (E_0, \dots, E_{n/2-1})$  be the DFT of  $x^{(e)}$  (length  $n/2$ ) and  $O = (O_0, \dots, O_{n/2-1})$  the DFT of  $x^{(o)}$ . By definition,

$$E_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_{2j} \omega_{n/2}^{jk}, \quad O_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_{2j+1} \omega_{n/2}^{jk},$$

where  $\omega_m = e^{-2\pi i/m}$ .

The  $n$ -point DFT entries are

$$X_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j \omega_n^{jk}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Separate the sum over even and odd indices:

$$\begin{aligned}
X_k &= \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_{2j} \omega_n^{(2j)k} + \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_{2j+1} \omega_n^{(2j+1)k} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_{2j} \omega_{n/2}^{jk} + \omega_n^k \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-1} x_{2j+1} \omega_{n/2}^{jk} \\
&= E_k + \omega_n^k O_k.
\end{aligned}$$

This formula holds for all  $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ . Now observe the periodicity/aliasing in  $E_k, O_k$ : for  $k' = k + \frac{n}{2}$ ,

$$\omega_{n/2}^{jk'} = \omega_{n/2}^{j(k+\frac{n}{2})} = \omega_{n/2}^{jk} \cdot (\omega_{n/2}^{j\frac{n}{2}}) = \omega_{n/2}^{jk} \cdot 1 = \omega_{n/2}^{jk},$$

so  $E_{k+\frac{n}{2}} = E_k$  and  $O_{k+\frac{n}{2}} = O_k$ . Also  $\omega_n^{k+\frac{n}{2}} = \omega_n^k \cdot \omega_n^{n/2} = \omega_n^k \cdot (-1)$ . Thus for  $k = 0, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 1$  we get two outputs

$$\begin{aligned}
X_k &= E_k + \omega_n^k O_k, \\
X_{k+\frac{n}{2}} &= E_{k+\frac{n}{2}} + \omega_n^{k+\frac{n}{2}} O_{k+\frac{n}{2}} = E_k - \omega_n^k O_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the ‘combine’ step that outputs  $E_k \pm \omega_n^k O_k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, n/2 - 1$  produces the exact DFT values  $X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}$ , provided the recursive calls returned exact DFTs for the halves. This yields correctness by structural induction on  $n$ : the base case  $n = 1$  is immediate ( $\text{DFT}_1(x_0) = x_0$ ), and the inductive step follows from the equalities above.

## 5.2 Complexity: recurrence and Master theorem

Let  $T(n)$  denote the running time of the radix-2 recursive FFT on an input of length  $n$  (assume  $n$  is a power of two). The algorithm performs:

- two recursive FFTs of length  $n/2$ , costing  $2T(n/2)$ ,
- and  $\Theta(n)$  extra work for splitting the input into even/odd subsequences and for the  $n/2$  complex multiplications and  $n$  complex additions during the combine step.

Hence the recurrence

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn, \quad T(1) = \Theta(1).$$

Apply the Master theorem with  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 2$  so that  $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n$ . Since  $f(n) = cn = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ , we are in the regularity (balanced) case of the Master theorem and obtain

$$T(n) = \Theta(n \log n).$$

### 5.3 Alternative: direct induction on $n = 2^k$

Write  $n = 2^k$  and let  $S(k) = T(2^k)$ . The recurrence becomes

$$S(k) = 2S(k-1) + c2^k.$$

We claim  $S(k) \leq A \cdot 2^k \cdot k + B$  for suitable constants  $A, B > 0$ . For  $k = 0$  (i.e.  $n = 1$ ) pick  $B \geq S(0)$  to cover the base. Assume the claim for  $k - 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S(k) &= 2S(k-1) + c2^k \\ &\leq 2(A2^{k-1}(k-1) + B) + c2^k \\ &= A2^k(k-1) + 2B + c2^k \\ &= A2^k k + (2B - A2^k + c2^k). \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $A \geq c$  and then choose  $B$  large enough so that for all  $k \geq 1$  the parenthetical term is  $\leq B$  (possible because  $2B - A2^k + c2^k \leq 2B$  when  $A \geq c$ ). Thus  $S(k) \leq A2^k k + B$  holds for all  $k$  and  $T(n) = S(\log_2 n) = O(n \log n)$ . Combined with the Master-theorem lower bound, we get  $\Theta(n \log n)$ .

### 5.4 Worked symbolic example: $n = 8$

Let  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_7)$ . Split into evens and odds:

$$x^{(e)} = (x_0, x_2, x_4, x_6), \quad x^{(o)} = (x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7).$$

Compute the 4-point DFTs  $E_k, O_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ):

$$E_k = \sum_{j=0}^3 x_{2j} \omega_4^{jk}, \quad O_k = \sum_{j=0}^3 x_{2j+1} \omega_4^{jk},$$

where  $\omega_4 = e^{-2\pi i/4} = e^{-i\pi/2}$  and  $\omega_8 = e^{-2\pi i/8} = e^{-i\pi/4}$ . The eight outputs are

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= E_0 + \omega_8^0 O_0 = E_0 + O_0, \\ X_1 &= E_1 + \omega_8^1 O_1 = E_1 + \omega_8 O_1, \\ X_2 &= E_2 + \omega_8^2 O_2 = E_2 + \omega_8^2 O_2, \\ X_3 &= E_3 + \omega_8^3 O_3 = E_3 + \omega_8^3 O_3, \\ X_4 &= E_0 - \omega_8^0 O_0 = E_0 - O_0, \\ X_5 &= E_1 - \omega_8^1 O_1 = E_1 - \omega_8 O_1, \\ X_6 &= E_2 - \omega_8^2 O_2 = E_2 - \omega_8^2 O_2, \\ X_7 &= E_3 - \omega_8^3 O_3 = E_3 - \omega_8^3 O_3. \end{aligned}$$

If desired, plug the explicit forms of  $E_k, O_k$  and the numeric values

$$\omega_8^0 = 1, \quad \omega_8^1 = e^{-i\pi/4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \omega_8^2 = i^{-1} = e^{-i\pi/2} = -i, \quad \omega_8^3 = e^{-3i\pi/4} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}},$$

and simplify to recover the  $X_k$  as explicit linear combinations of the  $x_j$ . This is exactly what the Haskell ‘fft’ does numerically: it computes  $E, O$  recursively and then multiplies  $O_k$  by the twiddle  $\omega_n^k$  and forms the sums and differences above.

## 5.5 Remarks on numerical correctness

The algebra above shows exact equality over the complex field. Implementations using floating-point arithmetic will incur rounding errors: the computed  $\tilde{X}$  satisfies  $\tilde{X} = X + e$  where  $\|e\|$  is bounded in practice by a small multiple of machine epsilon times  $n \log n$  (heuristically). The verification plan in the repository compares the recursive FFT against a naive direct DFT (which uses the same floating-point arithmetic) and reports the maximum absolute difference; for correct implementations this difference is typically near machine precision.

□