# Weekly Notes for EE2012 2013/14 – Week 11

T. J. Lim

April 6, 2014

Book sections covered this week: 5.4, 5.5.

### 1 Joint Probability Density Function

#### 1.1 Concept

We saw in the previous week that the joint CDF  $F_{X,Y}(x,y)$  can be used to find the probability of a product-form event:

$$P[x_1 < X \le x_2, y_1 < Y \le y_2] = F(x_2, y_2) - F(x_1, y_2) - F(x_2, y_1) + F(x_1, y_1).$$
 (1)

However, this is not an easy expression to use for the following reasons.

- It is hard  $^1$  to visualize what regions of the x-y plane are more likely to be encountered;
- It can in principle be used for all events including those that are not of product form, by splitting into an infinite number of product-form events. But this is not a practical method of computing probabilities.

When X and Y are both discrete, we have seen that the joint PMF  $p_{X,Y}(x_j, y_k)$  allows us to compute all event probabilities. In this section, we deal with another case, where X and Y are said to be *jointly continuous*. This is defined as follows.

X and Y are jointly continuous if and only if the function

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} F_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

exists everywhere, without the need for Dirac delta functions. (Existence of the (1,1)-th derivative of  $F_{X,Y}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow$  the order of differentiation is immaterial.)

The function  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$  is known as the joint PDF of X and Y, and it has the following important properties:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Even harder than the one-variable case!

1. It is non-negative everywhere –

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) \ge 0, \quad \forall \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (2)

2. It has a total volume of 1 –

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy = 1.$$
 (3)

3. The probability of any event A is given by the volume under the joint PDF enclosed by A –

$$P[A] = \int \int_{A} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy. \tag{4}$$

We will prove Property 3, and then the other two will follow. Consider the product-form event

$$dA = \{x < X \le x + dx, y < Y \le y + dy\}. \tag{5}$$

By (1), we have

$$P[dA] = F(x + dx, y + dy) - F(x, y + dy) - F(x + dx, y) + F(x, y)$$
 (6)

where we have dropped the X,Y subscript for notational convenience.

If we differentiate F(x, y) with respect to y, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}F(x,y) = \lim_{dy \to 0} \frac{F(x,y+dy) - F(x,y)}{dy} \tag{7}$$

Then if we differentiate with respect to x, we will have the (1,1)-th derivative, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} F(x, y) = \lim_{dx, dy \to 0} \frac{F(x + dx, y + dy) - F(x + dx, y) - F(x, y + dy) + F(x, y)}{dx \cdot dy}$$
(8)

But the LHS is the joint PDF of X and Y,  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$ , and the numerator on the RHS is P[dA] from (6). Therefore, for small dx and dy,

$$P[dA] = f_{X,Y}(x,y) \cdot dx \cdot dy. \tag{9}$$

Note that  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)dxdy$  is the volume under  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$  enclosed by the region dA. A general event A comprises a union of many contiguous and disjoint dA events, and hence the probability of A is the total volume under the joint PDF, enclosed by A. This proves Property 3.

Property 2 follows from Property 3 with  $A = \mathbb{R}$ , and Property 1 follows since  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)dxdy$  is a probability, which must be non-negative, for any dx > 0 and dy > 0.

#### 1.2 Marginal PDF and Joint CDF from the Joint PDF

If we have the joint PDF of a pair of random variables, how do we obtain their marginal PDFs and joint CDF? We start with the joint CDF:

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P[X \le x, Y \le y] = \int_{-\infty}^{y} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{X,Y}(x',y') dx' dy'. \tag{10}$$

Since the marginal PDFs  $f_X(x)$  and  $f_Y(y)$  are by definition the derivatives of the marginal CDFs  $F_X(x)$  and  $F_Y(y)$ , and  $F_X(x) = F_{X,Y}(x,\infty)$  and  $F_Y(y) = F_{X,Y}(\infty,y)$ , we have

$$f_X(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_{X,Y}(x,\infty) \tag{11}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x',y') dy' dx'$$
 (12)

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y')dy'. \tag{13}$$

The second line comes from (10), and the third from the fundamental theorem of calculus, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_{a}^{x} g(t)dt = g(x), \quad \forall \ x > a.$$

Similarly,

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x',y)dx'. \tag{14}$$

In other words, the marginal PDF of X is obtained by "integrating away" the other variable Y, and the marginal PDF of Y is obtained by "integrating away" the other variable X. This idea can be extended to any number of random variables.

#### 1.3 Examples

**Example 1:** Consider the jointly uniform PDF

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (15)

The joint CDF of X and Y is obtained after careful treatment of the various regions of the x-y plane.

Where  $0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le 1$  (you need to draw the x-y plane with all the regions), the intersection of  $\{X \le x, Y \le y\}$  with the region having non-zero  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$  is a rectangle of width x and length y. Since the joint PDF is a constant value equal to 1 within this region, we have

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = x \times y \times 1 = xy, \quad 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1.$$
 (16)

When  $0 \le x \le 1, y > 1$ , we have

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P[0 \le X \le x] = x. \tag{17}$$

When  $x > 1, 0 \le y \le 1$ , we have

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P[0 \le Y \le y] = y. \tag{18}$$

In total,

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \text{ or } y < 0 \\ xy & 0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le 1 \\ x & 0 \le x \le 1, y > 1 \\ y & x > 1, 0 \le y \le 1 \\ 1 & x > 1, y > 1. \end{cases}$$
(19)

Note how the joint CDF is so much more complicated than the joint PDF.

#### **Example 2:** Consider the joint PDF

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = ce^{-x}e^{-y}, \quad 0 \le y < x < \infty$$
 (20)

and 0 elsewhere. To find c, we use the property that the total volume under the PDF is 1. To set up the integral representing the volume under  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$  requires that we draw the region over which it is non-zero. This would be a wedge formed by y < x and  $x \ge 0$ .

We can compute the volume either by first integrating over y and then x, or over x then y. We illustrate both methods here.

Method 1 Consider a thin slice of the f(x', y') surface between the two lines y' = y and y' = y + dy, for some y > 0. This thin slice has two parallel surfaces, each with the same area (because dy is small) given by

$$\int_{y}^{\infty} ce^{-x'}e^{-y}dx' = ce^{-2y}.$$
 (21)

This comes from the fact that f(x', y') when y' = y is f(x', y). By treating f(x', y) as a function of x', its area is given by the above. The volume of the thin slice is therefore

$$dV_y = ce^{-2y}dy. (22)$$

Now the entire volume of interest is obtained by "summing" together all the  $dV_y$  values over all y, which in the limit  $dy \to 0$  is the integral

$$V = \int_0^\infty ce^{-2y} dy = c/2.$$
 (23)

Since V = 1, we have c = 2.

Method 2 We can also consider slicing f(x', y') along the line x' = x, with a width of dx. Then the area on one face of the slice is

$$\int_0^x ce^{-x}e^{-y'}dy' = ce^{-x}(1 - e^{-x}). \tag{24}$$

The incremental volume of the slice at x' = x is

$$dV_x = ce^{-x}(1 - e^{-x})dx, (25)$$

and the total volume is

$$V = \int_0^\infty ce^{-x} (1 - e^{-x}) dx$$
 (26)

$$= c \left[ -e^{-x} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2x} \right]_0^{\infty} \tag{27}$$

$$= c[1 - 1/2] = c/2. (28)$$

Thus the answer is the same as the one obtained via Method 1.

**Example<sup>2</sup> 3:** In this example (not shown in class), we demonstrate the rather counter-intuitive phenomenon that two marginally continuous random variables X and Y may not be *jointly* continuous.

Let X have the PDF

$$f_X(x) = e^{-x}, \quad x > 0,$$
 (29)

and define Y = 2X. The joint CDF  $F_{X,Y}(x,y)$  in the first quadrant of the x-y plane has two forms – one in region  $A = \{(x,y) : y > 2x\}$  and another in  $B = \{(x,y) : y \leq 2x\}$ .

In region A,

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P[X \le x, Y \le y] \tag{30}$$

$$= P[X \le x, X \le y/2] \quad (\because Y = 2X) \tag{31}$$

$$= P[X \le x] \qquad (\because x < y/2) \tag{32}$$

$$= 1 - e^{-x}$$
. (33)

In region B,

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P[X \le x, X \le y/2]$$
 (34)

$$= P[X \le y/2] \qquad (\because x \ge y/2) \tag{35}$$

$$= 1 - e^{-y/2}. (36)$$

But this means that the (1,1)-th derivative of  $F_{X,Y}(x,y)$  will be zero everywhere except along y = 2x, at which even the first derivative does not exist<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, a joint PDF, whose volume over a region gives the probability of (X,Y) falling within that region, does not exist. X and Y are therefore not jointly continuous.

This observation holds for all cases where Y is a function of X, i.e. Y = g(X). In such situations, we do not need the joint PDF, because any event involving the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Not necessary for all students to study examples 3 and 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>You can check this by approaching y = 2x from the left and from the right, and seeing that the results are different.

random vector (X, Y) is equivalent to an event involving only X or only Y, and these problems can be dealt with using the single-variable theory.

**Example 4:** Suppose X and Y are both discrete, can we define a joint PDF? Yes, we can if we define a two-dimensional version of the Dirac delta function  $\delta(x, y)$ , with the property that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x,y)\delta(x-x_0,y-y_0)dxdy = g(x_0,y_0),$$

and  $\delta(x,y) = 0$  for all (x,y) such that  $x^2 + y^2 \neq 0$ . We can then place these delta functions at every point in  $S_{X,Y}$ , with strengths given by the probability of (X,Y) being at that point. In other words,

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \sum_{j} \sum_{k} p_{X,Y}(x_j, y_k) \delta(x - x_j, y - y_k),$$
 (37)

where 
$$p_{X,Y}(x_j, y_k)$$
 is the joint PMF of  $(X, Y)$ , and  $(x_j, y_k) \in S_{X,Y}$ .

With the technicalities introduced in Examples 3 and 4, it is appropriate to tighten the definition of a jointly continuous (X, Y) to this:

X and Y are jointly continuous if and only if the (1,1)-th derivative of  $F_{X,Y}(x,y)$  exists for all  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , without allowing the use of impulse functions.

And to observe that when Y = g(X), the probability mass of the vector (X, Y) is concentrated on the line y = g(x) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and there is no mathematically precise way to represent such a joint distribution in terms of a density function. Nonetheless, since we have well-established tools with which to deal with functions of a random variable, the joint distribution of (X, g(X)) is not needed to solve problems of this nature.

## 2 Independence of X and Y

#### 2.1 Concept

Recall that we had earlier introduced the concept of independence between two events A and B:

$$A, B \text{ independent } \Leftrightarrow P[A \cap B] = P[A]P[B].$$

What does it mean to say that two random variables are independent? It must be that any event  $\{X \in A\}$  is independent of any event  $\{Y \in B\}$ , where A and B are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . (With a slight abuse of notation we will for convenience write P[A] in place of  $P[X \in A]$  and P[B] in place of  $P[Y \in B]$  on occasion.)

In other words

$$X, Y \text{ independent } \Leftrightarrow P[X \in A, Y \in B] = P[A]P[B]$$
 (38)

for any  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and any  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ . The conditional probability

$$P[X \in A | Y \in B] = \frac{P[X \in A, Y \in B]}{P[Y \in B]} = P[X \in A]$$
 (39)

reveals that, no matter what information is given about Y, there is no effect on our knowledge of the values of X.

### **2.2** Discrete X and Y

How do we check if X and Y are independent, given that testing the condition (38) is an impossible task except for the simplest cases? For discrete X and Y, we have the following result:

$$X, Y \text{ independent } \Leftrightarrow p_{X,Y}(x_i, y_k) = p_X(x_i)p_Y(y_k)$$
 (40)

for every  $(x_j, y_k) \in S_{X,Y}$ . The proof is as follows. Suppose X and Y are independent, in the sense of the definition in (38). Then  $P[X = x_j, Y = y_k] = P[X = x_j]P[Y = y_k]$ , i.e.  $p_{X,Y}(x_j, y_k) = p_X(x_j)p_Y(y_k)$ . Hence we have proven that independence between X and Y implies that the joint PMF factorizes.

Next suppose the joint PMF factorizes. Let A and B be any subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $P[X \in A, Y \in B]$  is given by the sum of the  $p_{X,Y}(x,y)$  values at all points  $(x,y) \in \{X \in A, Y \in B\} \cap S_{X,Y}$ . In other words,

$$P[X \in A, Y \in B] = \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{y \in B} p_{X,Y}(x,y).$$
 (41)

But  $p_{X,Y}(x,y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$  by assumption, and hence

$$P[X \in A, Y \in B] = \sum_{x \in A} p_X(x) \sum_{y \in B} p_Y(y) = P[A]P[B]. \tag{42}$$

Therefore, if the joint PMF factorizes, then X and Y are independent. We have thus proven (40).

#### 2.3 Non-Discrete X and Y

In general, we have that

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y) \Leftrightarrow X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent.}$$
 (43)

A sketch of the proof is given in the appendix. From (43), assuming the joint PDF exists, we have from differentiating both sides that

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y) \Leftrightarrow X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent.}$$
 (44)

So whether in the jointly discrete or jointly continuous cases, if the joint distribution (CDF, PDF or PMF) factorizes into the product of marginal distributions, then X and Y are independent, and vice versa.

#### 2.4 Examples

**Example 5:** Consider the joint PDF

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = 2e^{-x}e^{-y}, \quad 0 \le y < x < \infty.$$

The marginal PDFs of X and Y can be found as

$$f_X(x) = \int_0^x f_{X,Y}(x,y)dy = 2e^{-x}(1-e^{-x}), \quad x > 0,$$
 (45)

$$f_Y(y) = \int_y^\infty f_{X,Y}(x,y)dx = 2e^{-2y}, \quad y > 0.$$
 (46)

Therefore  $f_X(x)f_Y(y)$  is non-zero everywhere in the first quadrant, x > 0, y > 0. But the joint PDF  $f_{X,Y}(1,2) = 0$  and so  $f_{X,Y}(1,2) \neq f_X(1)f_Y(2)$ . X and Y are therefore not independent.

**Example 6:** Let X and Y be jointly uniform in the square region  $0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le 1$ , i.e.

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = 1, \quad 0 \le x \le 1, 0 \le y \le 1.$$
 (47)

Then the marginal PDFs are

$$f_X(x) = \int_0^1 1 dy = 1, \quad 0 \le x \le 1,$$
 (48)

$$f_Y(y) = \int_0^1 1 dx = 1, \quad 0 \le y \le 1,$$
 (49)

and 0 everywhere else. In this case, it should be clear that  $f_X(x)f_Y(y) = f_{X,Y}(x,y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, X and Y are independent random variables.

**Example 7**: Let N be a geometric random variable, with the PMF

$$p_N(n) = (1-p)^{n-1}p, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and define Q as the quotient when N is divided by a constant m, and R as the remainder. Are Q and R independent?

**Ans**: We first note that the event  $\{Q = q, R = r\}$  is equivalent to  $\{N = qm + r\}$ , and thus the joint PMF of Q and R is

$$p_{Q,R}(q,r) = p_N(qm+r) = (1-p)^{qm+r-1}p, \quad qm+r=1,2,...$$

Note too that the set  $\{(q,r): qm+r=1,2,\ldots\}$  excludes (0,0), i.e. P[Q=0,R=0]=0. On the other hand, the marginal PMFs of Q and R evaluated at 0 are

$$p_Q(0) = P[N \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}] = \sum_{m=1}^{m-1} p_N(n)$$

$$p_R(0) = P[N \in \{m, 2m, 3m, \ldots\}] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_N(km).$$

Clearly  $p_Q(0) \neq 0$  and  $p_R(0) \neq 0$ , and therefore,  $p_Q(0)p_R(0) \neq p_{Q,R}(0,0)$ , and hence Q and R are not independent.

Interestingly, the answer changes completely, if we allow N to take values in  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ . This example is shown in the book, in Examples 5.9 and 5.20.

## 3 Summary

- The joint PDF is a very useful characterization of a jointly continuous random vector (X, Y). The probability of (X, Y) lying within any region in the x-y plane is obtained from the volume of  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$  covering that region.
- Where the joint PDF has a relatively large value, (X, Y) is more likely to be in the neighbourhood of that point than in another neighbourhood of the same size elsewhere.
- Marginal PDFs can be obtained from the joint PDF, but not vice versa.
- Independence between X and Y means that no information about X can be obtained from Y and vice versa.
- Independence of X and Y holds if and only if their joint distribution (CDF, PDF or PMF) factorizes into the product of marginal distributions.

### 4 Diagnostic Questions

1. If the joint PDF of X and Y is

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}\right)$$

show that X and Y are independent, and identically distributed Gassian random variables.

- 2. Let X and Y be jointly uniform in the unit circle. Find P[X > 0, Y > 0] and  $P[0 < \tan^{-1}(Y/X) \le \pi/4]$ .
- 3. If X and Y are the outcomes of two independent dice rolls, find the joint PMF of X and Y.

# A Outline of Proof of (43)

First, assume that X and Y are independent. Then clearly

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P[X \le x, Y \le y] = P[X \le x]P[Y \le y] = F_X(x)F_Y(y). \tag{50}$$

Next, assume that  $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$ , and that  $A = (x_1,x_2]$  and B = $(y_1,y_2]$ . Then

$$\begin{split} P[X \in A, Y \in B] &= F(x_2, y_2) - F(x_1, y_2) - F(x_2, y_1) + F(x_1, y_1) \\ &= F(x_2) F(y_2) - F(x_1) F(y_2) - F(x_2) F(y_1) + F(x_1) F(y_1) \\ &= F(x_2) [F(y_2) - F(y_1)] - F(x_1) [F(y_2) - F(y_1)] \\ &= [F(x_2) - F(x_1)] [F(y_2) - F(y_1)] \\ &= P[X \in A] P[Y \in B], \end{split}$$

where it is to be understood that in the above,  $F(x_i) = F_X(x_i)$  and  $F(y_i) = F_Y(y_i)$ . Thus, A and B are independent.

Now consider the case of A and B being arbitrary subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Every such A is a union of subsets  $(x_i, x_{i+1}]$  where  $x_i \leq x_{i+1}$ ; similarly every B is a union of  $(y_i, y_{i+1}]$  where  $y_i \le y_{i+1}$ , i.e.

$$A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} (x_i, x_{i+1}]$$
 (51)

$$A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} (x_i, x_{i+1}]$$

$$B = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} (y_j, y_{j+1}].$$
(51)

 $\{X \in A, Y \in B\}$  is a union of mn elementary product-form events, e.g.  $\{x_2 < a\}$  $X \leq x_3, y_4 < Y \leq y_5$ . We have shown that when m = n = 1, factorization of  $F_{X,Y}(x,y)$  implies  $\{X \in A\}$  and  $\{Y \in B\}$  are independent events. To generalize, we need to show that if  $\{X \in A\}$  and  $\{Y \in B\}$  are independent for some m and n, then incrementing m or n or both by 1 retains the independence property. This is doable but tedious. Assuming this step is taken, then we have shown by induction that  $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$  implies independence.