Weekly Notes for EE2012 2014/15 – Week 5

T. J. Lim

February 6, 2015

Book sections covered this week: 3.4

1 Conditional Distributions

We previously introduced the concept of the conditional probability of an event A given the occurrence of another event B, defined on the same probability space. This is denoted P(A|B) and is defined as $P(A \cap B)/P(B)$. If A is now the event $\{X = x\}$, where X is a random variable, then P(A|B) becomes a conditional probability mass function (pmf).

1.1 Conditional PMF

By definition,

$$p_X(x|B) = P[X = x|B] = \frac{P[\{X = x\} \cap B]}{P[B]}.$$
 (1)

It can be verified that $p_X(x|B)$ is a valid pmf over S_X , the range of X:

• Note that the events $\{X = x\}$, $x \in S_X$, form a partition of the sample space, and hence

$$\sum_{x \in S_X} P[\{X = x\} \cap B] = P[B].$$

Substituting this into (1) yields $\sum_{x \in S_X} p_X(x|B) = 1$.

• Since conditional probabilities are probability values, it must hold that $0 \le p_X(x|B) \le 1$.

Example 1: Toss 5 fair coins, and let X be the number of Heads obtained. Let B = "1st toss is a Head", and find $p_X(k|B)$.

Using the definition (1), we have e.g.

$$p_X(2|B) = \frac{P[\{\text{HHTTT}, \text{HTHTT}, \text{HTTHT}, \text{HTTTH}\}\}]}{P[B]} = \frac{1}{4}.$$
 (2)

In fact, we realize that

$$P[\{X=k\} \cap B] = P[k-1 \text{ Heads in tosses 2 to 5 and 1st toss is H}]$$

$$= P[k-1 \text{ Heads in tosses 2 to 5}]P[1\text{st toss is H}]$$

$$= {4 \choose k-1} \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

where the second line comes from noting that tosses 2 to 5 are independent of toss 1, and so

$$p_X(k|B) = {4 \choose k-1} \frac{1}{16}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.$$
 (3)

This is not the same as $p_X(k)$.

Another way to solve the problem is to observe that

$$p_X(k|B) = P[k-1 \text{ Heads in tosses 2 to 5}]$$

and then immediately arrive at the result above.

The change from $p_X(k)$ to $p_X(k|B)$ comes from the fact that, if we know or hypothesize that B occurred, it would be impossible for $\{X = 0\}$ to have simultaneously occurred. Therefore, we must set $p_X(0|B)$ to 0, and this is exactly what appears in the solution.

Some important results related to conditional PMFs are:

1. If the event B is one involving X directly, i.e. the conditioning event can be written as $\{X \in B\}$, then¹

$$p_X(k|B) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_X(k)}{P[B]}, & k \in B\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (4)

In other words, the probability mass at all values outside of the set B are set to zero, and the remaining non-zero values are normalized so they sum to unity. This is logical because the event conditioned upon gives us information only about the values of X, and thus should not change the relative likelihoods of seeing the values that have non-zero probability of appearing.

2. If B_1, \ldots, B_n form a partition of the sample space, then the theorem on total probability yields:

$$p_X(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_X(k|B_i) P[B_i]$$
 (5)

This expression is useful because in most cases of practical interest, conditional probabilities are easier to find than non-conditional ones.

Example 2: The height and gender of a group of students are measured, and we define the r.v. X as follows:

$$X(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if height of } \zeta < 165 \text{ cm} \\ 1 & \text{if height of } \zeta \in [165, 175] \text{ cm} \\ 2 & \text{if height of } \zeta > 175 \text{cm} \end{cases}$$
 (6)

For boys, the PMF of X is $p_X(0) = 0.1$, $p_X(1) = 0.6$ and $p_X(2) = 0.3$; for girls, it is $p_X(0) = 0.5$, $p_X(1) = 0.4$, $p_X(2) = 0.1$. Find the overall PMF of X.

¹Note that B is now taken as a subset of S_X , the range of X, rather than a subset of S, the underlying sample space. In dealing with random variables, we prefer this more convenient notion of S_X as an effective sample space, so that we can say that event B occurs if and only $X \in B$, as opposed to if and only if $\zeta \in B'$ where B' is a mapping from the set of X values given in B to the corresponding set of ζ values within S.

Ans: What we are given are in fact conditional PMFs. Let A be the event that "selected student is a girl". Then the above information can be represented as

$$p_X(0|A) = 0.5, \quad p_X(1|A) = 0.4, \quad p_X(2|A) = 0.1$$
 (7)

$$p_X(0|A^c) = 0.1, \quad p_X(1|A^c) = 0.6, \quad p_X(2|A^c) = 0.3.$$
 (8)

The total probability theorem, assuming $P[A] = P[A^c] = 0.5$, then yields

$$p_X(0) = p_X(0|A)P[A] + p_X(0|A^c)P[A^c] = 0.3$$
 (9)

$$p_X(1) = p_X(1|A)P[A] + p_X(1|A^c)P[A^c] = 0.5$$
 (10)

$$p_X(2) = p_X(2|A)P[A] + p_X(2|A^c)P[A^c] = 0.2.$$
 (11)

In other words, 30% of all students are below 165 cm in height, while 50% of all girls and 10% of all boys are below 165 cm in height.

Example 3: Consider a geometric random variable X with parameter p, i.e.

$$p_X(k) = (1-p)^{k-1}p, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Find the conditional PMF of X conditioned on $B = \{X \leq N\}$, where N is a positive constant.

Ans: From first principles, we have

$$p_X(k|B) = \frac{P[\{X = k\} \cap \{X \le N\}]}{P[\{X \le N\}]}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{P[X=k]}{P[X \le N]} & \text{if } k \le N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(12)

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{P[X=k]}{P[X \le N]} & \text{if } k \le N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (13)

because if $k \leq N$, then $\{X = k\} \cap \{X \leq N\} = \{X = k\}$, otherwise there is no overlap between the two ranges and hence their intersection is empty.

Finally, since

$$P[X \le N] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (1-p)^{k-1} p = 1 - q^{N},$$

where q = 1 - p, we have

$$p_X(k|B) = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{k-1}p}{1-q^N} & \text{if } k = 1, 2, \dots, N\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (14)

Note that (13) could have been derived from the formula (4), but it is nearly as easy to derive it from first principles.

Conditional Mean (or Expected Values) 1.2

From the conditional PMF, we can define the conditional mean as

$$E[X|B] = \sum_{x \in S_X} x p_X(x|B) \tag{15}$$

where B is any non-zero probability event. We often also use the notation $\mu_{X|B} = E[X|B]$. It is important to note that X is a random variable, while B is an event even though both are denoted by upper-case Roman letters.

Since $p_X(x|B)$ is just another PMF for X, it will generally have a variance as well as a mean. We define the conditional variance as follows:

$$\sigma_{X|B}^2 = E\left[(X - \mu_{X|B})^2 | B \right] \tag{16}$$

with the expectation taken with respect to the *conditional PMF* $p_X(x|B)$, and not the original PMF $p_X(x)$, i.e.

$$\sigma_{X|B}^2 = \sum_{x \in S_X} (x - \mu_{X|B})^2 p_X(x|B). \tag{17}$$

A careful expansion of terms on the RHS above yields

$$\sigma_{X|B}^2 = \sum_{x \in S_X} (x^2 - 2x\mu_{X|B} + \mu_{X|B}^2) p_X(x|B)$$
 (18)

$$= \sum_{x} x^{2} p_{X}(x|B) - 2\mu_{X|B} \sum_{x} x p_{X}(x|B) + \mu_{X|B}^{2}$$
 (19)

$$= E[X^2|B] - 2\mu_{X|B}^2 + \mu_{X|B}^2$$
 (20)

$$= E[X^2|B] - \mu_{X|B}^2. (21)$$

This is identical to the expression for var(X) except that all expectations are now conditioned on B.

Note that the conditional variance is an important special case of the conditional mean of a function of X, i.e.

$$E[g(X)|B] = \sum_{x \in S_X} g(x)p_X(x|B). \tag{22}$$

Using Total Probability to Find the Mean Value From (22), and the Total Probability result $p_X(x) = \sum_i p_X(x|B_i)P(B_i)$ where $\bigcup_i B_i = S$ and $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, we have

$$\sum_{i} E[g(X)|B_{i}]P(B_{i}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{x} g(x)p_{X}(x|B_{i})P(B_{i})$$
 (23)

$$= \sum_{x} g(x) \sum_{i} \sum_{i} p_X(x|B_i) P(B_i)$$
 (24)

$$= \sum_{x} g(x)p_{X}(x) = E[g(X)].$$
 (25)

This is an important result as it can be used whenever we can partition the sample space in such a way that the conditional expectations are known, as shown in the following examples.

Example 4: There are exactly three bus routes that connect point A and point B. Bus 1 takes an average of 30 minutes to go from A to B; Bus 2 takes an average of 25 minutes; and Bus 3 takes an average of 35 minutes. Assume that with probability

0.2, 0.3 and 0.5, a commuter uses Bus 1, Bus 2 and Bus 3 respectively. Find the average travel time from A to B.

Ans: Let X be the travel time from A to B in minutes, and B_i be the event that Bus i is used. Then the given information can be written as $E[X|B_1] = 30$, $E[X|B_2] = 25$ and $E[X|B_3] = 35$; also $P(B_1) = 0.2$, $P(B_2) = 0.3$ and $P(B_3) = 0.5$. From (25), we have

$$E[X] = E[X|B_1]P(B_1) + E[X|B_2]P(B_2) + E[X|B_3]P(B_3)$$

= 30(0.2) + 25(0.3) + 35(0.5)
= 31.

So an average of 31 minutes is needed to travel from A to B by bus.

Example 5: Show using (21) that $var(X) \neq \sum_i \sigma_{X|B_i}^2 P(B_i)$. What is the right way to find σ_X^2 from $\mu_{X|B_i}$ and $\sigma_{X|B_i}^2$, i = 1, ..., N?

Ans: The problem here is that $\sigma_X^2 = E[(X - \mu_X)^2]$, whereas $\sigma_{X|B_i}^2 = E[(X - \mu_X)^2]$, whereas $\sigma_{X|B_i}^2 = E[(X - \mu_X)^2]$. In general, $\mu_{X|B_i} \neq \mu_X$, and therefore $\sigma_X^2 \neq \sum_i \sigma_{X|B_i}^2 P(B_i)$.

Instead, if we know the conditional mean and conditional variance for a certain partition of the sample space, then we should compute

$$\mu_X = \sum_i \mu_{X|B_i} P(B_i) \tag{26}$$

$$E[X^2|B_i] = \sigma_{X|B_i}^i + \mu_{X|B_i}^2$$
 (27)

$$E[X^{2}] = \sum_{i} E[X^{2}|B_{i}]P(B_{i})$$
(28)

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sigma_X^2 = E[X^2] - \mu_X^2. \tag{29}$$

This example illustrates a common misconception – that a random variable with a small variance in every possible situation (partition) must have a small overall variance. As we show in the next example, this cannot be true because for a discrete r.v. X, we can always design partitions with zero conditional variance.

Example 6: Let $p_X(k) = 0.5$, $k = \pm 1$. Partition the sample space into $B_1 = \{X \leq 0\}$ and $B_2 = \{X > 0\}$. Then it should be clear that $p_X(k|B_1) = \delta(k+1)$ and $p_X(k|B_2) = \delta(k-1)$, where $\delta(k)$ is the Kronecker delta function². We then have $\sigma_{X|B_1} = \sigma_{X|B_2} = 0$. But clearly $\sigma_X^2 = 1 \neq 0 = \sigma_{X|B_1}^2 P(B_1) + \sigma_{X|B_2}^2 P(B_2)$. This illustrates the result given in Example 5.

2 Diagnostic Questions

- 1. If X has the PMF $p_X(k)=\frac{1}{10},\ k=0,1,\ldots,9,$ find $p_X(k|X>3)$ and $p_X(k|X<5).$
- 2. What is the smallest possible value of $E[X|X \ge 3]$? Give an example of a PMF which attains this minimal conditional mean.

 $^{^{2}\}delta(0) = 1, \, \delta(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \neq 0.$

3. An unfair coin is tossed, with Heads appearing with probability p. If the coin flip is Heads, X is a geometric random variable with probability of success 0.25; otherwise, X is a binomial random variable with n=10 and p=0.2. Find E[X] and var(X) using conditional means and variances.