Paper Critique

Anirud N, CE21B014

Course: DA7400, Fall 2024, IITM

Paper: COMBO: Conservative Offline Model-Based Policy Optimization

Date: 14 August 2024

Make sure your critique addresses the following points:

1. The problem the paper is trying to address

2. Key contributions of the paper

3. Proposed algorithm/framework

4. How the proposed algorithm addressed the described problem

Note: Be concise with your explanations. Unnecessary verbosity will be penalized. Please don't exceed 2 pages.

1 Problem Statement

Offline reinforcement learning (RL) suffers from the distributional shift between the offline dataset and the learned policy. This paper introduces a novel model-based offline RL algorithm that aims to address this issue by providing conservative estimates of the value function. The paper critiques the assumption of a **model error oracle**, which presupposes access to upper-bound estimates of model error. This assumption often fails in complex datasets and deep network scenarios, which this paper aims to rectify.

2 Key Contributions

The paper presents a model-based policy optimization method for offline RL, termed COMBO. This algorithm uses an actor-critic approach that learns from both offline data and synthetic data generated by the model. COMBO penalizes the value function in state-action pairs that are unsupported by the offline dataset, and it operates without assuming access to an uncertainty oracle. The paper also provides the following mathematical results:

- The Q-function of COMBO lower bounds the actual Q-function.
- The Q-function in COMBO is less conservative than model-free counterparts.
- The expected off-policy improvement in COMBO lower bounds the actual value.
- Safe improvement over behavior policy is demonstrated.

3 Proposed Algorithm/Framework

Algorithm 1 COMBO: Conservative Model-Based Offline Policy Optimization

Require: Offline dataset \mathcal{D} , rollout distribution $\mu(\cdot|)$, learned dynamics model \widehat{T}_{θ} , initialized policy and critic π_{ϕ} and Q_{ψ} .

- 1: Train the probabilistic dynamics model $\widehat{T}_{\theta}(',r|,) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\theta}(,),\Sigma_{\theta}(,))$ on \mathcal{D} .
- 2: Initialize the replay buffer $\mathcal{D}_{\text{model}} \leftarrow \emptyset$.
- 3: **for** $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ **do**
- 4: Collect model rollouts by sampling from μ and \widehat{T}_{θ} , starting from states in \mathcal{D} . Add model rollouts to $\mathcal{D}_{\text{model}}$.
- 5: Conservatively evaluate π_{ϕ}^{i} by repeatedly solving eq.[1] to obtain $\widehat{Q}_{\psi}^{\pi_{\phi}^{i}}$ using samples from $\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{D}_{\text{model}}$.
- 6: Improve policy under the state marginal of d_f by solving eq[2] to obtain π_{ϕ}^{i+1} .
- 7: end for

The goal is to get a model based offline RL algorithm - that enables optimizing a lower bound on the policy performance, but without requiring uncertainty quantification.

3.1 Conservative Policy Evaluation

$$\hat{Q}^{k+1} \leftarrow \arg\min_{Q} \beta \left(\mathbb{E}_{s,a \sim \rho(s,a)} \left[Q(s,a) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{s,a \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[Q(s,a) \right] \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s,a,s' \sim d_f} \left[\left(Q(s,a) - \mathcal{B}^{\pi} \hat{Q}^k(s,a) \right)^2 \right].$$
(1)

The idea is to penalize the Q function at states for which actions are not observed much in the dataset

3.2 Policy Improvement using a Conservative Critic

After learning the value function Q, policy improvement is done by :

$$\pi' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \rho, a \sim \pi(\cdot|s)} \left[\hat{Q}^{\pi}(s, a) \right]$$
 (2)

4 Advantages and Conclusions of the Algorithm

- COMBO outperforms MOPO, MoRel (model-based), CQL (model-free).
- Better generalization results
- Less conservative than Model-free and more conservative than model-based algorithms
- Penalizes Q functions at state-action pairs that are not much observed in the dataset
- Backed up with a lot of theoretical proofs and guarantees
- This COMBO relies on the probabilistic dynamic model of the environment (Algorithm 1 step 2). Inaccuracies might lead to sub-optimal policies
- Computationally less efficient