ABSTRACT

Natural Language Processing (NLP) has evolved from rule-based methods to sophisticated neural models, transforming how machines understand and generate human language. Traditional NLP excels in structured linguistic tasks, offering interpretability and reliability, whereas Generative AI, driven by transformer-based models like GPT and BERT, provides contextual fluency and creative text generation. This paper explores a hybrid approach that integrates the precision of traditional NLP techniques with the adaptability of Generative AI to enhance robustness in text processing.

We outline the historical evolution of NLP, discuss core techniques for combining rule-based systems with deep learning architectures, and present a case study showcasing hybrid text generation for factual accuracy. The applications of such systems span multiple domains, including healthcare, education, and customer support, demonstrating improved efficiency and contextual accuracy. However, challenges such as data dependency, computational costs, and ethical risks must be addressed.

Future directions include optimizing hybrid NLP models for low-resource languages, ensuring fairness and bias mitigation, and expanding multimodal capabilities. This research highlights the potential of hybrid NLP systems to balance structure and creativity, paving the way for more reliable and scalable AI-driven text generation and analysis.

CONTENTS

Sl. No.	Chapter Name	Page No.
i.	Abstract	i
ii.	Contents	ii
iii.	List of figures	iii
iv.	List of tables	iv
1.	Introduction	01-02
2.	Literature Survey	03-06
3.	Technology/Methodology followed	07-11
	3.1. Traditional NLP Techniques	07
3.2. Generative AI Models		
	08	
	09	
	3.5. Training and Dataset Considerations	10
	3.6. Challenges and Future Improvements	11
	References	12

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure. No	Figure Name	Page. No
Figure 1.0	Dataflow Diagram	07
Figure 1.1	Hybrid Model Design	08
Figure 1.2	System Architecture	09
Figure 1.3	Training and Dataset Considerations	10

LIST OF TABLES

Table. No	Table Name	Page. No
Table 1.0.	Literature Survey	03-06