

**Name: Sai Anish Garapati**

**UIN: 650208577**

### **Assignment 9:**

1) Propositional logic cannot be used to represent individual entities, while First order logic can be used better to represent the actual entities using objects, relations and functions.  
Quantifiers such as forall and exists cannot be used in Propositional logic as opposed to first order logic. The use of quantifiers in First order logic helps in generalization of statements.

2) Universal Quantification in terms of Existential Quantification:

$$\forall x F \equiv \neg \exists x \neg F$$

Where F is a proposition that is true on x.

3)

a) Some students took CS411 in Spring2020:

Let:

student(x) : x is a student

CS411(x) : y is CS411 course

Spring2020(x): x is offered in Spring 2020

Takes(x, y): x takes y.

Then in first order logic:

$$\exists x \exists y : student(x) \wedge CS411(y) \wedge Spring2020(y) \wedge Takes(x, y)$$

b) Some students wear a hoodie with UIC logo on it:

Let:

student(x): x is a student

hoodie(x): x is a hoodie

UICLogo(x): x has UICLogo on it

wears(x, y): x wears y

Then in first order logic:

$$\exists x \exists y : student(x) \wedge hoodie(y) \wedge UICLogo(y) \wedge wears(x, y)$$

c) Something that glitters is not always gold, whereas gold always glitters:

Let:

gold(x): x is gold

glitters(x): x glitters

Then in first order logic:

$$(\exists x : glitters(x) \wedge \neg gold(x)) \wedge (\forall y : gold(y) \implies glitters(y))$$

d) No one can win with everyone all the time:

Let:

WinsWith(x, y): x wins with y

time(t): t is time

Then in first order logic:

$$\neg \exists x \forall y \forall t : winswith(x, y) \wedge time(t)$$

e) All CS courses are difficult, except two

Let:

CSCourse(x) : x is a CSCourse

difficult(x): x is difficult

Then in first order logic:

$$\exists y, z \forall x : CSCourse(y) \wedge CSCourse(z) \wedge CSCourse(x) \wedge \\ \neg(y = z) \wedge \neg(x = y) \wedge \neg(x = z) \implies difficult(x)$$