Linear Regression Normal Equation – Additional Results

Pawel Wocjan

January 14th, 2019

Abstract

We derive the normal equation for linear regression and show that the mean-squared-error is a convex function.

1 Notation

Let $(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}, y^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ denote the collection of training examples, where

$$oldsymbol{x}^{(i)} = \left(egin{array}{c} x_1^{(i)} \ x_2^{(i)} \ dots \ x_n^{(i)} \end{array}
ight) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is the *i*th the feature vector of the *i*th training example and $y^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$ is label.

Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the weight vector and the $b \in \mathbb{R}$ bias of the linear regression model. Let

$$\hat{y}^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j x_j^{(i)} + b.$$

denote its prediction when given the *i*th feature vector $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$. Note that $\hat{y}^{(i)} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b$. The mean squared error (MSE) on the training set is equal to

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^{2}.$$

To simplify the presentation, we assume that the bias b is set to 0, that is, only the weight vector \boldsymbol{w} has to be determined. We will see later that this is not a restriction.

We now introduce additional notation to express the MSE in a linear-algebraic way. Define the vectors

$$\hat{oldsymbol{y}} = \left(egin{array}{c} \hat{y}^{(1)} \ \hat{y}^{(2)} \ dots \ \hat{y}^{(m)} \end{array}
ight), \quad oldsymbol{y} = \left(egin{array}{c} y^{(1)} \ y^{(2)} \ dots \ y^{(m)} \end{array}
ight) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Observe that the MSE is equal to

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{m} (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y})^T (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{1}{m} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}||_2^2,$$

so the error increases whenever the Euclidean distance between the predictions and the targets (labels) increases.

Define the so-called design matrix by

$$oldsymbol{X} = \left(egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{x}^{(1)^T} \ oldsymbol{x}^{(2)^T} \ dots \ oldsymbol{x}^{(m)^T} \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{ccc} x_1^{(1)} & \dots & x_n^{(1)} \ x^{(2)} & \dots & x_n^{(2)} \ dots & \ddots & dots \ x^{(m)} & \dots & x_n^{(m)} \end{array}
ight) \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$$

Observe that

$$\hat{y} = Xw$$

due to the symmetry of the inner (dot) product $\hat{y}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)^T} \boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$.

2 Normal equation

Recall that the bias of the linear regression model is assumed to 0, that is, only the weight vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ needs to be determined.

Theorem 1 (Normal equation). The optimal weight vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, that is, the one that minimizes the mean squared error is given by the formula

$$\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}.$$

This is proved in 5.1.4 Example: Linear Regression in [1]. I have included this proof with additional results so you can understand every step of the proof.

3 Additional results on gradients

We introduce some abbreviations. Let $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$. Let ∂w_r denote the partial derivative operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_r}$$
.

Lemma 1 (Gradient of quadratic form). Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{rs}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an arbitrary symmetric matrix and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ an arbitrary column vector. Define the quadratic form $f(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}$. Its gradient is given by

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} f(\boldsymbol{w}) = 2\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{w}$$
.

Proof. The right hand side is the column vector whose entries are given by

$$2\sum_{s=1}^{n} a_{rs} w_s.$$

for $r \in [n]$. This follows simply by carrying out the matrix-vector-multiplication.

The left hand side of the above equation is the column vector whose entries are the partial derivatives

$$\partial w_r f(\boldsymbol{w})$$

for $r \in [n]$. This follows from the definition of the nabla operator

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \partial w_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial w_n \end{array}\right) .$$

We have

$$\partial w_r f(\boldsymbol{w}) = \partial w_r \left(\sum_{t,s} w_t a_{ts} w_s \right)$$

$$= \partial w_r \left(w_r^2 a_{rr} + 2 \sum_{s \neq r} w_r a_{rs} w_s \right)$$

$$= 2 w_r a_{rr} + 2 \sum_{s \neq r} a_{rs} w_s$$

$$= 2 \sum_s a_{rs} w_s.$$

We use that either t = r and s = r or t = r and $s \neq r$. Otherwise the partial derivative $\partial w_r(w_t a_{ts} w_s)$ is equal to 0. We also use that \mathbf{A} is symmetric, that is, $a_{rs} = a_{sr}$.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary column vectors. Define the function $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{v}$. Its gradient is given by

$$\nabla_{m{w}}g(m{w}) = m{v}$$
 .

Proof. This is easy. Prove it yourself.

4 Proof of normal equation

To minimize the MSE, we can simply solve for where its gradient is 0:

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \boldsymbol{0} \tag{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} \frac{1}{m} \|\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2} = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 (2)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y})^T (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 (3)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} (\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}})^T (\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 (4)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} (\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} - 2 \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
 (5)

$$\Rightarrow 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \tag{6}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} \tag{7}$$

In eq. (5), we use Lemma 1 with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ and Lemma 2 with $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ to compute the gradient. We also use that the term $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}$ does not depend on \mathbf{w} . The solution given by eq. 7 is known as the normal equation.

5 Proof of convexity of MSE

Theorem 2. The MSE

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \|\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2$$

is convex.

To prove this theorem, we will rely on the following two simple lemmata.

Lemma 3. Suppose $\phi:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is non-decreasing and convex and $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to[0,\infty)$ is convex. Then, $\phi\circ f$ is convex.

Proof. For $p \in [0,1]$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\phi(f(p\mathbf{r} + (1-p)\mathbf{s})) \leq \phi(f(p\mathbf{r}) + (1-p)f(\mathbf{s}))$$

$$\leq p\phi(f(\mathbf{r})) + (1-p)\phi(f(\mathbf{s})).$$

Lemma 4. The function $f(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_2$ is convex.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{w}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be two arbitrary weight vectors. We have

$$f(p\boldsymbol{w} + (1-p)\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}})) = \|\boldsymbol{X}(p\boldsymbol{w} + (1-p)\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}) - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \|p(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}) + (1-p)(\boldsymbol{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} - \boldsymbol{y})\|_{2}$$

$$\leq p\|\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2} + (1-p)\|\boldsymbol{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}$$

$$= pf(\boldsymbol{w}) + (1-p)f(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}).$$

4

In the above derivation, we have used the triangle inequality $\|\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}\| \leq \|\mathbf{r}\| + \|\mathbf{s}\|$ and $\|\lambda \mathbf{r}\| = |\lambda| \|\mathbf{r}\|$, which hold for arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. We can write

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \phi(\|\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2),$$

where $\phi:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}, \phi(x)=\frac{1}{m}x^2$. It is important that ϕ is non-decreasing and convex. The theorem follows now by applying the above two lemmata.

6 General case of linear regression with non-zero bias

The general case of linear regression with non-zero bias b can also be solved with the help of the normal equation. Define the augmented weight vector $\boldsymbol{w}_b = (b, w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and the augmented feature vectors $\boldsymbol{x}_b^{(i)} = (1, x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We have

$$\hat{y}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{w}_b^T \boldsymbol{x}_b^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b.$$

References

[1] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep learning*, MIT Press, 2006 http://www.deeplearningbook.org