# Derivation of normal equation and some additional results for linear regression

#### Pawel Wocjan

February 6, 2019

#### **Abstract**

We derive the normal equation for linear regression and show that the mean-squared-error is a convex function.

#### 1 Notation

Let  $(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^{(m)}, y^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  denote the collection of training examples, where

$$oldsymbol{x}^{(i)} = \left(egin{array}{c} x_1^{(i)} \ x_2^{(i)} \ dots \ x_n^{(i)} \end{array}
ight) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is the *i*th the feature vector of the *i*th training example and  $y^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$  is label.

Let

$$m{w} = \left( egin{array}{c} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{array} 
ight) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

be the weight vector and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  the bias of the linear regression model. It predicts the value

$$\hat{y}^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j x_j^{(i)} + b$$

when given the *i*th feature vector  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ . Note that  $\hat{y}^{(i)} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b$ , that is, the inner (dot) product of the weight vector and feature vector plus the bias.

The mean squared error (MSE) on the training set is equal to

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)})^{2}.$$

We now introduce additional notation to express the MSE in a linear-algebraic way. Define the vectors

$$\hat{oldsymbol{y}} = \left( egin{array}{c} \hat{y}^{(1)} \ \hat{y}^{(2)} \ dots \ \hat{y}^{(m)} \end{array} 
ight), \quad oldsymbol{y} = \left( egin{array}{c} y^{(1)} \ y^{(2)} \ dots \ y^{(m)} \end{array} 
ight) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Observe that the MSE is equal to

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{m}(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y})^T(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{1}{m}\|\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2,$$

so the error increases whenever the Euclidean distance between the predictions and the targets (labels) increases.

Define the so-called design matrix by

$$oldsymbol{X} = \left( egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{x^{(1)}}^T \ oldsymbol{x}^{(2)T} \ dots \ oldsymbol{x^{(m)}}^T \end{array} 
ight) = \left( egin{array}{ccc} x_1^{(1)} & \dots & x_n^{(1)} \ x^{(2)} & \dots & x_n^{(2)} \ dots & \ddots & dots \ x^{(m)} & \dots & x_n^{(m)} \end{array} 
ight) \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$$

To simplify the presentation, we assume that the bias b is set to 0, that is, only the weight vector w has to be determined. We will see later that this is not a restriction. Observe that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{u}} = \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w}$$

due to the symmetry of the inner (dot) product  $\hat{y}^{(i)} = {m{x}^{(i)}}^T {m{w}} = {m{w}}^T {m{x}^{(i)}}.$ 

### 2 Normal equation

Recall that the bias of the linear regression model is assumed to 0, that is, only the weight vector  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$  needs to be determined.

**Theorem 1** (Normal equation). The optimal weight vector  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , that is, the one that minimizes the mean squared error is given by the formula

$$\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}.$$

This is proved in 5.1.4 Example: Linear Regression in [1]. I have included this proof with additional results so you can understand every step of the proof.

## 3 Additional results on gradients

We introduce some abbreviations. Let  $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$ . Let  $\partial w_r$  denote the partial derivative operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_r}$$
.

**Lemma 1** (Gradient of quadratic form). Let  $\mathbf{A} = (a_{rs}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be an arbitrary symmetric matrix and  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$  an arbitrary column vector. Define the quadratic form  $f(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}$ . Its gradient is given by

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} f(\boldsymbol{w}) = 2\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{w}$$
.

*Proof.* The right hand side is the column vector whose entries are given by

$$2\sum_{s=1}^{n}a_{rs}w_{s}.$$

for  $r \in [n]$ . This follows simply by carrying out the matrix-vector-multiplication.

The left hand side of the above equation is the column vector whose entries are the partial derivatives

$$\partial w_r f(\boldsymbol{w})$$

for  $r \in [n]$ . This follows from the definition of the nabla operator

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \partial w_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial w_n \end{array}\right) .$$

We have

$$\partial w_r f(\boldsymbol{w}) = \partial w_r \left( \sum_{t,s} w_t a_{ts} w_s \right) \\
= \partial w_r \left( w_r^2 a_{rr} + 2 \sum_{s \neq r} w_r a_{rs} w_s \right) \\
= 2 w_r a_{rr} + 2 \sum_{s \neq r} a_{rs} w_s \\
= 2 \sum_{s \neq r} a_{rs} w_s.$$

We use that either t=r and s=r or t=r and  $s\neq r$ . Otherwise the partial derivative  $\partial w_r(w_t a_{ts} w_s)$  is equal to 0. We also use that  $\boldsymbol{A}$  is symmetric, that is,  $a_{rs}=a_{sr}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary column vectors. Define the function  $g(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{v}$ . Its gradient is given by

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}}g(\boldsymbol{w})=\boldsymbol{v}$$
 .

*Proof.* This is easy. Prove it yourself.

#### 4 Proof of normal equation

To minimize the MSE, we compute its gradient and determine where it is equal to 0:

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} \frac{1}{m} \|\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(1)

$$= \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y})^T (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y})$$
 (2)

$$= \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} (\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}})^T (\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}})$$
(3)

$$= \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} (\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} - 2 \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{y})$$
(4)

$$= \frac{2}{m} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}) \tag{5}$$

$$\implies \boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} \tag{6}$$

In eq. (4), we use Lemma 1 with  $A = X^T X$  and Lemma 2 with  $v = X^T y$  to compute the gradient. We also use that the term  $y^T y$  does not depend on w. The solution given by eq. 6 is known as the normal equation.

# 5 Proof of convexity of MSE

**Theorem 2.** The MSE

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{m} \|\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2$$

is convex.

To prove this theorem, we will rely on the following two simple lemmata.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $\phi:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  is non-decreasing and convex and  $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to[0,\infty)$  is convex. Then,  $\phi\circ f$  is convex.

*Proof.* For  $p \in [0,1]$  and  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\phi(f(p\mathbf{r} + (1-p)\mathbf{s})) \leq \phi(f(p\mathbf{r}) + (1-p)f(\mathbf{s}))$$
  
$$\leq p\phi(f(\mathbf{r})) + (1-p)\phi(f(\mathbf{s})).$$

**Lemma 4.** The function  $f(w) = ||Xw - y||_2$  is convex.

*Proof.* Let  $\boldsymbol{w}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be two arbitrary weight vectors. We have

$$f(p\boldsymbol{w} + (1-p)\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}})) = \|\boldsymbol{X}(p\boldsymbol{w} + (1-p)\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}) - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \|p(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}) + (1-p)(\boldsymbol{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} - \boldsymbol{y})\|_{2}$$

$$\leq p\|\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2} + (1-p)\|\boldsymbol{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}$$

$$= pf(\boldsymbol{w}) + (1-p)f(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}).$$

In the above derivation, we have used the triangle inequality  $||r + s|| \le ||r|| + ||s||$  and  $||\lambda r|| = |\lambda| ||r||$ , which hold for arbitrary  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof. We can write

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{w}) = \phi(\|\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2),$$

where  $\phi:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}, \phi(x)=\frac{1}{m}x^2$ . It is important that  $\phi$  is non-decreasing and convex. The theorem follows now by applying the above two lemmata.

# 6 General case of linear regression with non-zero bias

The general case of linear regression with non-zero bias b can also be solved with the help of the normal equation. Define the augmented weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_b = (b, w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and the augmented feature vectors  $\mathbf{x}_b^{(i)} = (1, x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We have

$$\hat{y}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{w}_b^T \boldsymbol{x}_b^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b.$$

#### References

[1] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep learning*, MIT Press, 2006 http://www.deeplearningbook.org