

MULTI LINEAR REGRESSION

1. COMPUTER DATA:

a) `model.computer <- lm(price~speed+hd+ram+screen+cd+multi+premium+ads+trend)`

This is the model prepared with all the input variables. R^2 obtained for this model is 0.7756. we also observe that the p values for all the input variables are less than alpha (0.05), which determines the model is a good one. But the R^2 value is less than 0.85, in order to increase that value we can apply transformations.

b) `model.computer1<-lm(sqrt(price)~speed+hd+ram+screen+cd+multi+premium+ads+trend)`

This is the model where we have applied transformation(sqrt). For this model $R^2=0.7853$

And all the p values are less than alpha (0.05)

c) `model.computer2<-lm(log(price)~speed+hd+ram+screen+cd+multi+premium+ads+trend)`

This is the model where we have applied transformation(log). For this model $R^2=0.7832$

And all the p values are less than alpha (0.05)

2.TOYOTA COROLLA:

a) `model.toyoto <- lm(Price ~ Age_08_04 + KM + HP + cc + Doors + Gears + Quarterly_Tax + Weight)`

This is the model prepared with all the input variables. R^2 obtained for this model is 0.8638.

P value of cc is 0.17909>0.05 and p value of doors is 0.96777>0.05.

b)We now make a model with only "cc" as input variable - `model.toyoto_cc <- lm(Price ~ cc)`

$R^2 = 0.01597$

c)We now make a model with only "doors" as input variable - `model.toyoto_Doors <- lm(Price ~ Doors)`

$R^2 = 0.03435$

d)we make a model with both "cc" and "doors" as the input variables - `model.toyoto_cD <- lm(Price ~ cc + Doors)`

$R^2 = 0.04688$

Now, when we use the influence plots, we can observe that the data point "81" is influencing our model the most.so we tend to remove the entire 81st observation.

e) `model.toyoto1 <- lm(Price ~ Age_08_04 + KM + HP + cc + Doors + Gears + Quarterly_Tax + Weight, data = ToyotaCorolla1[-81,])`

$R^2 = 0.8694$; p value of gears = 0.4878>0.05

stepAIC recommends us to build us a model without using "cc" and "doors" for a better model.

f) `model.final <-lm(Price ~ Age_08_04 + KM + HP + Gears + Quarterly_Tax + Weight)`

$R^2 = 0.8636$; all the p values are good (<0.05)

g) `model.final1 <- lm(Price ~ Age_08_04 + KM + HP + Gears + Quarterly_Tax + Weight, data = ToyotaCorolla1[-81,])`

In this model we have removed the 81 observation along with both "cc" and "doors"

$R^2 = 0.8632$

3.X_50 start_ups:

a) `model.X50<-lm(Profit~X50_Startups1$`R&D Spend`+Administration+`Marketing Spend`)`

This is the model prepared with all the input variables. R^2 obtained for this model is 0.9507.

P value of Administration is $0.602 > 0.05$ and p value of Marketing spend is $0.105 > 0.05$.

b) We now make a model with only "Administration" as input variable - `model.X50_AD<-lm(Profit~Administration)`

$R^2 = 0.04029$

c) We now make a model with only "Marketing Spend" as input variable - `model.X50_MS<-lm(Profit~`Marketing Spend`)`

$R^2 = 0.5592$

d) we make a model with both "Administration" and "Marketing Spend" as the input variables - `model.X50_AM<-lm(Profit~Administration+`Marketing Spend`)`

$R^2 = 0.6097$

Now, when we use the influence plots, we can observe that the data point "50" is influencing our model the most. so we tend to remove the entire 50th observation.

e) `model.X50_1 <- lm(Profit~`R&D Spend`+Administration+`Marketing Spend`, data = X50_Startups1[-50,])`

$R^2 = 0.9613$; p value of administration is $0.6071 > 0.05$. And p value of Marketing Spend is $0.0746 > 0.05$

stepAIC recommends us to build us a model without using "Administration" for a better model.

f) `model.final<-lm(Profit~`R&D Spend`+`Marketing Spend`, data = X50_Startups1)`

$R^2 = 0.9505$; p value of Marketing Spend = $0.06 > 0.05$.

g) `model.final1<-lm(Profit~`R&D Spend`+`Marketing Spend`, data = X50_Startups1[-50,])`

In this model we have removed the 50th observation along with both "Administration".

$R^2 = 0.9611$