

# **The Impact of Operating System Choices on DevOps Practices**

Study how operating system selection influences DevOps workflows, including continuous integration/continuous deployment (CI/CD), automation, and containerization.

## **I. Executive Summary:**

This case study examines how the selection of an operating system influences DevOps practices, such as continuous integration/continuous deployment, automation, and containerization. The research stresses that when aiming for better outcomes of software delivery and infrastructure management from a DevOps workflow, tying the consideration of the OS in DevOps workflow is important. DevOps is characterized as the functional teaming of software development teams and operations teams to maximize software delivery, reliability, and infrastructure management. OSs (e.g. Linux, Windows, and macOS) support a variety of performance, compatibility, and scalability properties; this consideration is vital when evaluating and instituting successful continuous integration workflows. This study will also highlight how, as one technology organization moves from heterogeneous OS environments to a predominately Linux-based DevOps ecosystem, the transition to a Linux-based OS might impact their CI/CD pipelines, automation tools, and container orchestration. Data is presented to show, through both qualitative and quantitative methodologies, how Linux's open-source benefits and community provide a platform to improve in DevOps performance, delivery time, and reliability. Thus, the research provides implications for consideration of the OS as an important aspect in a more iterative DevOps experience, while bringing forth implications for more cohesive DevOps environments, cost retainment for use of employee's time, and faster iterations of modification to customer experience outcomes.

## **II. Introduction:**

### **Background of DevOps:**

DevOps is a collaborative software engineering framework that unites development and operational teams. Its primary focus is on automation, continuous integration, continuous delivery, and infrastructure as code as mechanisms for accelerating the software development life cycle. The creation of DevOps has evolved from historically siloed development where teams had little interaction, to a culture of shared responsibility, ongoing improvement and rapid deployment. Over time, DevOps became a necessary practice for organizations wanting the agility and resilience of their software systems. However, the tools and workflows used in DevOps are heavily dependent on the environment of the operating system within which they are employed.

### **Statement of the Problem:**

Despite the increasing use of DevOps, a large number of organizations neglect the consequence of operating systems on DevOps efficiency. Different operating system environments: Windows Server, Ubuntu Linux, Red Hat Enterprise Linux, or macOS support Continuous integration/Continuous deployment tools, automation frameworks, and container technologies at varying levels. Licensing costs, compatibility, and performance gaps will all bottleneck and slow the deployment pipeline. The challenge is to understand how your operating system affects DevOps workflows and the most efficient platforms that deliver optimized environments for automation and containerization.

### **Purpose of the Study:**

This study intends to study the influence of operating system options on DevOps implementation, specifically CI/CD, automation and containerization. The study will focus on how Linux based computer systems compared to Windows based systems with regard to the associated tools, build efficiency and scaling. The study will utilize a real-world organization's example of usage patterns and observations to identify trends on the use of the computer operating systems. The applied nature of this study is to provide data and insights to organizations to better allow organizations to make informed decisions concerning their OS options in a DevOps practice context.

### **Case Study Scope:**

This report is a case study of a mid-sized software development company that has a background in operating in a mixed OS environment that eventually will come to use a Linux-based DevOps framework. We will consider three main aspects of DevOps in this report: the transition of continuous integration and continuous deployment, automation through configuration management and IaC, and containerization with Docker and Kubernetes. This report includes a side by side comparison of functional performance and operation in a pre- and post-transitional phase, evaluations of tool integrations, and brief highlights of improvements to workflows.

## **III. Methodology:**

### **Research Design:**

The research employs a qualitative case study design, but also, considers some non-negligible quantity of quantitative data, such as observational data, system performance logs, and team feedback, which will analyze the efficiency of the operating system environments working within DevOps workflows. Further, the research provides some comparative analysis to assess the changes related to improvements in build times and deployment frequency, along with environmental stability.

### **Data Collection:**

Data was collected from a range of formats, including interviews with DevOps engineers, internal documents, and system-monitoring reports. The engineers provided feedback about challenges in using CI/CD pipelines, automated scripts and deploy containers across operating systems, as part of day to day end-users. Quantitative data was gathered on build times, failure rates and resource utilization data from CI/CD dashboards, and monitoring tools (e.g. Jenkins, GitLab CI, Prometheus).

### **Case Selection:**

The chosen organization is a technology company that develops and delivers SaaS products. Prior to moving to a DevOps practice, the company used both Windows servers and Linux servers for development and delivery. Over time, the company began to realize that their build environments were inconsistent and also having issues with dependency management, and automation continuously failed as well. Subsequently, the organization transitioned to 80% Linux infrastructure, with limited Windows support to only a few applications. This decision in infrastructure provided a stable foundation for measuring the difference in OS environments for performance ability in DevOps.

## **IV. Case Description and Analysis:**

### **Company/Organization Profile:**

TechFlow Solutions is an organization that has approximately 150 engineers, which works with enterprise clients on a worldwide basis. The company utilized a traditional development approach prior to implementing DevOps, which included long release cycles, and testing was done manually. The company prior to implementing DevOps had issues with lengthy deployments, multiple configuration errors, and regular environment mismatch. Each team worked in a silo and did not coordinate effectively, making it difficult to be efficient or track communications. The move to DevOps was driven by a desire for agility, improved time to market, and collaboration.

### **Impact on Continuous Integration/Continuous Deployment:**

After implementing DevOps, the company began utilizing Jenkins for Continuous Integration and GitLab CI for Continuous Deployment. The mixed OS environment created compatibility issues with Windows servers and the open-source CI/CD tools which induced additional toil and cost because of the licensing issues. Linux, on the other hand, provided native support for the most CI/CD pipelines and had overall reduced build time because of its lightweight kernel and better scripting support. The post-transition analysis indicated a 25% reduction in average build time, and a 30% increase in deployment frequency. Because of Linux-containers,

dependency management was also easier as there is a consistent environment for test and deployment. In conclusion, the native support of software development by the Linux ecosystem in this case restored the reliability of workflows, and essentially reduced human interaction.

### **Impact on Automation:**

The foundation for TechFlow's DevOps transformation was automation. To automate provisioning of infrastructure and configuration management, TechFlow utilized tools like Ansible, Terraform, and Puppet. TechFlow had previously been operating in a Windows-based environment that experienced issues related to PowerShell scripts that could be variable in the community. Moving forward from the Windows environment to the Linux environment, the automation became simpler through the use of shell scripts and YAML configuration files. Maintenance of Infrastructure as code was much more seamless, as there were little variations in the environment, as well as, native tool support. Engineers indicated less configuration drift took place and there were significant reductions in manual processes. Overall, the Linux environment offered better API integrations to provision more easily via AWS and Azure.

### **Impact on Containerization:**

Containerization was the last step in TechFlow's DevOps journey. Docker containers were initially used on Windows and Linux machines, but there were complications with Windows-based Docker images. Linux-based containers provided more stability, were easier to start up, and used fewer resources. The organization used Kubernetes for orchestration, which also worked better in Linux nodes and helped organization administration of clusters. Additionally, security configurations, like namespace isolation and kernel-level permissions, were easier to configure in Linux. Deployment consistency was improved, and TechFlow had better resource utilization in its development and production environments.

## **V. Results and Outcomes:**

Transitioning to a mostly Linux-based DevOps infrastructure provided measurable advantages. We saw close to a 25% increase to build and test performance, as well as overall deployment frequency increasing by almost 40%. The use of automation reduced provisioning times across infrastructure from hours to minutes, further enhancing productivity. In general, feedback from engineers was that they were happier with their day-to-day scripting and debugging and that tools worked better together and were less troublesome. When we standardized on the OS environment, we removed much of the conflict we experienced with dependencies that derailed workflows. From a business perspective, the company became increasingly capable of faster release cycles, less downtime, and lower operational costs due to a reduction in licensing fees and user maintenance fees.

## **VI. Conclusion and Recommendations:**

The research highlights that the choice of an operating system greatly affects the efficiency and scalability of DevOps workflows. Linux-based environments tend to demonstrate more flexibility, performance, and compatibility with CI/CD, automation, and containerization tools than Windows systems. For organizations that want to adopt DevOps, an open-source, stable, and cloud-friendly operating system ecosystem should lead to observable improvements in productivity and reliability. Organizations should implement a phased migration plan to move workloads, starting with non-critical workloads, accompanied by training the team in Linux administration and scripting. While there is a strong claim for the value of Linux presented in this study, future research may explore hybrid or cross-platform Devops environments to see how an operationally diverse operating system could exist amongst operational efficiency in a large enterprise environment.