

## CHAPTER 6

# SORTING

## §1 Preliminaries

```
void X_Sort ( ElementType A[ ], int N )
```

```
/* N must be a legal integer */
```

```
/* Assume integer array for the sake of simplicity */
```

```
/* '>' and '<' operators exist and are the only operations  
allowed on the input data */
```

```
/* Consider internal sorting only */
```



*Comparison-  
based sorting*



**The entire sort can be done in  
main memory**

## §2 Insertion Sort

```
void InsertionSort ( ElementType A[ ], int N )
{
    int j, P;
    ElementType Tmp;

    for ( P = 1; P < N; P++ ) {
        Tmp = A[ P ]; /* the next coming card */
        for ( j = P; j > 0 && A[ j - 1 ] > Tmp; j-- )
            A[ j ] = A[ j - 1 ];
        /* shift sorted cards to provide a position
           for the new coming card */
        A[ j ] = Tmp; /* place the new card at the proper position */
    } /* end for-P-loop */
}
```

The worst case: Input A[ ] is in reverse order.  $T(N) = O(N^2)$

The best case: Input A[ ] is in sorted order.  $T(N) = O(N)$

### §3 A Lower Bound for Simple Sorting Algorithms

**【 Definition 】** An **inversion** in an array of numbers is any ordered pair  $(i, j)$  having the property that  $i < j$  but  $A[i] > A[j]$ .

**【 Example 】** Input list 34, 8, 64, 51, 32, 21 has **9** inversions: (34, 8) (34, 32) (34, 21) (64, 51) (64, 32) (64, 21) (51, 32) (51, 21) (32, 21).  
There are **9** swaps needed to sort this list by insertion sort.

Swapping two adjacent elements that are out of place removes **exactly one** inversion.

$T(N, I) = O(I + N)$  where  $I$  is the number of inversions in the original array.

Fast if the list is **almost sorted**.

**【 Theorem 】** The average number of inversions in an array of  $N$  distinct numbers is  $N(N-1)/4$ .

**【 Theorem 】** Any algorithm that sorts by exchanging adjacent elements requires  $\Omega(N^2)$  time on average.

Smart guy! To run faster, we just have to eliminate **more than just one** inversion per exchange.

