CS243: Introduction to Algorithmic Game Theory

Single-Peaked Preference

Social Choice (Dengji ZHAO)

SIST, ShanghaiTech University, China

Recap: Social Choice

- A set of n players/voters.
- A set of alternatives A (the candidates).
- Let L be the set of all linear orders on A.
- Each voter i has a preference $\succ_i \in L$, a total order on A (antisymmetric, transitive). $a \succ_i b$ means i prefers a to b.

Definition 9.7 Voter i is a *dictator* in social choice function f if for all \prec_1 , ..., $\prec_n \in L$, $\forall b \neq a, \ a \succ_i b \Rightarrow f(\prec_1, \ldots, \prec_n) = a$. f is called a *dictatorship* if some i is a dictator in it.

Theorem 9.8 (Gibbard–Satterthwaite) *Let* f *be an incentive compatible social choice function onto* A, *where* $|A| \ge 3$, *then* f *is a dictatorship.*

Recap: Social Choice

Theorem (Arrow's Theorem)

Every social welfare function over a set of more than 2 candidates ($|A| \ge 3$) that satisfies unanimity and independence of irrelevant alternatives is a dictatorship.

- F satisfies unanimity if for every $\succ \in L$, $F(\succ, ..., \succ) = \succ$.
- F satisfies independence of irrelevant alternatives if for every $a, b \in A$, every $\succ_1, \ldots, \succ_n, \succ'_1, \ldots, \succ'_n \in L$, if $\succ = F(\succ_1, \ldots, \succ_n)$ and $\succ' = F(\succ'_1, \ldots, \succ'_n)$, then $a \succ_i b \Leftrightarrow a \succ'_i b$ for all i implies $a \succ b \Leftrightarrow a \succ' b$.
- Voter *i* is a dictator in *F* if for all $\succ_1, \ldots, \succ_n \in L$, $F(\succ_1, \ldots, \succ_n) = \succ_i$. *F* is not a dictatorship if no *i* is a dictator in *F*.

Outline

- Single-Peaked Preference
- Pacility Location Games

Single-Peaked Preference

The setting:

- There are n players, who decide one point from space A = [0, 1]
- Each player i has a single-peaked preference \succeq_i over A, i.e. there exists a point $p_i \in A$ s.t. $\forall x \in A \setminus \{p_i\}$ and $\forall \lambda \in [0,1), (\lambda x + (1-\lambda)p_i) \succ_i x$. Let $\mathcal R$ denote the class of single-peaked preferences.

Design the Social Choice Rule

A rule $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to A$ assigns one outcome to any preference profile.

 f is incentive compatible if it is a dominant strategy for each agent to report his preferences truthfully when f is being used to choose a point.

The Median Voter Rule

The median voter rule:

- Consider a fixed set of points $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} \in A$.
- Given any profile of preferences, choose the median of the 2n - 1 points consisting of the n players' peaks and the n - 1 y points.

Outline

- Single-Peaked Preference
- Pacility Location Games

Facility Location Games



- A government wants to locate a facility (e.g. a subway station) on a line to serve people.
- Each agent $i \in N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ has a private location $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Each agent has a cost $c_i(y, x_i)$ when the facility is located at y.
- The government asks agents to report their locations and then locates the facility, aiming to optimize some objective of agents' costs.
- Agents may misreport their locations $(x_i \rightarrow x'_i)$ to get good outcomes for themselves.

- The cost of agent i with respect to the facility located at y is her distance away from the facility, i.e. $c_i(y, x_i) = dist(y, x_i)$
- A government wants to design a truthful mechanism f which minimizes/approximates social cost (SC), i.e. $SC_f(x') = \sum_i c_i(f(x'), x_i) = \sum_i dist(f(x'), x_i)$, where $x' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$.
- A government wants to design a truthful mechanism f which minimizes/approximates maximum cost (MC), i.e. $MC_f(x') = maxc_i(f(x'), x_i) = maxdist(f(x'), x_i)$.

Definition

Truthfulness: A mechanism f is truthful if for every agent $i \in N$, we have $c_i((x_i, x'_{-i}), x_i) \le c_i((x'_i, x'_{-i}), x_i)$, where $x'_{-i} = (x'_1, \dots, x'_{i-1}, x'_{i+1}, \dots, x'_n)$.

Mechanism 1

Locate the facility at the location of the median agent.



Theorem (Procaccia and Tennenholtz EC'09)

Mechanism 1 is truthful and gives the optimal (minimum) social cost.

Mechanism 2

Locate the facility at the location that minimizes the maximum cost.



$$x_2 = 2$$

Question

Is the Mechanism 2 truthful?

Mechanism 3

Locate the facility at the location of the first agent.



 $x_1 = 0$

 $x_2 = 2$

Question

Is the Mechanism 3 truthful?

Theorem (Procaccia and Tennenholtz EC'09)

Mechanism 3 is truthful and gives 2-approximation for the maximum cost (i.e. the maximum cost generated by Mechanism 3 is at most 2 times of Mechanism 2).

Obnoxious Facility Location Games

Agents want to stay away from the facility, e.g.

- A polluting factory
- A garbage dump site
- A prison

Definition

For any point $x, y \in I = [0, I]$, the distance between them is dist(x, y) = |x - y|.

Definition

The utility of agent *i* is her distance to the facility, i.e. $u(f(x'), x_i) = dist(f(x'), x_i)$

Obnoxious Facility Location Games

Definition

The obnoxious social welfare of a mechanism f on reported locations x' is defined as the total utilities of n agents: $SW(f,x') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u(f(x'),x_i)$.

Definition

Let OPT(x) be the optimal social welfare, i.e. OPT(x) = maxSW(f, x). We say a mechanism f has an approximation ratio γ if for all x, $OPT(x) \le \gamma \cdot SW(f, x)$.

Obnoxious Facility Location Games

Definition

A mechanism f is truthful if it holds that $u(f(x_i, x'_{-i}), x_i) \ge u(f(x'_i, x'_{-i}), x_i)$.

Mechanism 4

Given a reported locations x' on [0, I]. Let n_1 be the number of agents located on [0, 1] and n_2 be the number of agents located on [1, 2]. If $n_1 \ge n_2$, return f(x') = 2 and otherwise return f(x') = 0.

The Mechanism 4 is truthful and has an approximation ratio of 3 for the obnoxious facility game.

References

- Social Choice [AGT Chapter 9.2]
- Li M. FACILITY LOCATION GAMES ORIGIN TO RECENT DEVELOPMENT[J].
- Ariel D. Procaccia and Moshe Tennenholtz. 2009.
 Approximate mechanism design without money. In Proceedings of the 10th ACM conference on Electronic commerce (EC '09).
- Cheng Y, Yu W, Zhang G. Strategy-proof approximation mechanisms for an obnoxious facility game on networks[J]. Theoretical Computer Science, 2013.