



Machine Learning 10-601

Tom M. Mitchell
Machine Learning Department
Carnegie Mellon University

January 26, 2015

Today:

- Bayes Classifiers
- Conditional Independence
- Naïve Bayes

Readings:

Machine Learning (ML),

Ch. 3: Naïve Bayes and Logistic Regression

Two Principles for Estimating Parameters

- Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE): choose θ that maximizes probability of observed data \mathcal{D}

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$$

- Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) estimate: choose θ that is most probable given prior probability and the data

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta} &= \arg \max_{\theta} P(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \arg \max_{\theta} = \frac{P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)P(\theta)}{P(\mathcal{D})}\end{aligned}$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimate



$X=1$ $X=0$

$P(X=1) = \theta$

$P(X=0) = 1-\theta$
(Bernoulli)

- Each flip yields boolean value for X

$$X \sim \text{Bernoulli}: P(X) = \theta^X (1 - \theta)^{(1-X)}$$

- Data set D of independent, identically distributed (iid) flips produces α_1 ones, α_0 zeros

$$P(D|\theta) = P(\alpha_1, \alpha_0|\theta) = \theta^{\alpha_1} (1 - \theta)^{\alpha_0}$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{MLE} = \arg \max_{\theta} P(D|\theta) = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_0}$$

Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Estimate



- Data set D of independent, identically distributed (iid) flips produces α_1 ones, α_0 zeros

$$P(D|\theta) = P(\alpha_1, \alpha_0|\theta) = \theta^{\alpha_1}(1 - \theta)^{\alpha_0}$$

- Assume prior $P(\theta) = \text{Beta}(\beta_1, \beta_0) = \frac{1}{B(\beta_1, \beta_0)} \theta^{\beta_1-1}(1 - \theta)^{\beta_0-1}$
- Then

$$\hat{\theta}^{MAP} = \arg \max_{\theta} P(D|\theta)P(\theta) = \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 - 1}{(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 - 1) + (\alpha_0 + \beta_0 - 1)}$$

(like MLE, but hallucinating $\beta_1 - 1$ additional heads, $\beta_0 - 1$ additional tails)

Let's learn classifiers by learning $P(Y|X)$

Consider $Y = \text{Wealth}$, $X = \langle \text{Gender}, \text{HoursWorked} \rangle$

gender	hours_worked	wealth		
Female	v0:40.5-	poor	0.253122	<div></div>
		rich	0.0245895	<div></div>
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.0421768	<div></div>
		rich	0.0116293	<div></div>
Male	v0:40.5-	poor	0.331313	<div></div>
		rich	0.0971295	<div></div>
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.134106	<div></div>
		rich	0.105933	<div></div>

Gender	HrsWorked	P(rich G,HW)	P(poor G,HW)
F	<40.5	.09	.91
F	>40.5	.21	.79
M	<40.5	.23	.77
M	>40.5	.38	.62

How many parameters must we estimate?

Suppose $X = \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$

where X_i and Y are boolean RV's

Gender	HrsWorked	<u>P(rich G,HW)</u>	<u>P(poor G,HW)</u>
F	<40.5	.09	.91
F	>40.5	.21	.79
M	<40.5	.23	.77
M	>40.5	.38	.62

To estimate $P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$

If we have 30 boolean X_i 's: $P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{30})$

Bayes Rule

$$P(Y|X) = \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}$$

Which is shorthand for:

$$(\forall i, j) P(Y = y_i | X = x_j) = \frac{P(X = x_j | Y = y_i) P(Y = y_i)}{P(X = x_j)}$$

Equivalently:

$$(\forall i, j) P(Y = y_i | X = x_j) = \frac{P(X = x_j | Y = y_i) P(Y = y_i)}{\sum_k P(X = x_j | Y = y_k) P(Y = y_k)}$$

Can we reduce params using Bayes Rule?

Suppose $X = \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$

where X_i and Y are boolean RV's

$$P(Y|X) = \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}$$

How many parameters to define $P(X_1, \dots, X_n | Y)$?

How many parameters to define $P(Y)$?

Naïve Bayes

Naïve Bayes assumes

$$P(X_1 \dots X_n | Y) = \prod_i P(X_i | Y)$$

i.e., that X_i and X_j are conditionally independent given Y , for all $i \neq j$

Conditional Independence

Definition: X is conditionally independent of Y given Z , if the probability distribution governing X is independent of the value of Y , given the value of Z

$$(\forall i, j, k) P(X = x_i | Y = y_j, Z = z_k) = P(X = x_i | Z = z_k)$$

Which we often write

$$P(X | Y, Z) = P(X | Z)$$

E.g.,

$$P(\textit{Thunder} | \textit{Rain}, \textit{Lightning}) = P(\textit{Thunder} | \textit{Lightning})$$

Naïve Bayes uses assumption that the X_i are conditionally independent, given Y . E.g., $P(X_1|X_2, Y) = P(X_1|Y)$

Given this assumption, then:

$$P(X_1, X_2|Y) =$$

Naïve Bayes uses assumption that the X_i are conditionally independent, given Y . E.g., $P(X_1|X_2, Y) = P(X_1|Y)$

Given this assumption, then:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1, X_2|Y) &= P(X_1|X_2, Y)P(X_2|Y) \\ &= P(X_1|Y)P(X_2|Y) \end{aligned}$$

in general:
$$P(X_1 \dots X_n|Y) = \prod_i P(X_i|Y)$$

Naïve Bayes uses assumption that the X_i are conditionally independent, given Y . E.g., $P(X_1|X_2, Y) = P(X_1|Y)$

Given this assumption, then:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1, X_2|Y) &= P(X_1|X_2, Y)P(X_2|Y) \\ &= P(X_1|Y)P(X_2|Y) \end{aligned}$$

in general:
$$P(X_1 \dots X_n|Y) = \prod_i P(X_i|Y)$$

How many parameters to describe $P(X_1 \dots X_n|Y)$? $P(Y)$?

- Without conditional indep assumption?
- With conditional indep assumption?

Naïve Bayes in a Nutshell

Bayes rule:

$$P(Y = y_k | X_1 \dots X_n) = \frac{P(Y = y_k) P(X_1 \dots X_n | Y = y_k)}{\sum_j P(Y = y_j) P(X_1 \dots X_n | Y = y_j)}$$

Assuming conditional independence among X_i 's:

$$P(Y = y_k | X_1 \dots X_n) = \frac{P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i | Y = y_k)}{\sum_j P(Y = y_j) \prod_i P(X_i | Y = y_j)}$$

So, to pick most probable Y for $X^{new} = \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y_k} P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i^{new} | Y = y_k)$$

Naïve Bayes Algorithm – discrete X_i

- Train Naïve Bayes (examples)

for each* value y_k

estimate $\pi_k \equiv P(Y = y_k)$

for each* value x_{ij} of each attribute X_i

estimate $\theta_{ijk} \equiv P(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k)$

- Classify (X^{new})

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y_k} P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i^{new} | Y = y_k)$$

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y_k} \pi_k \prod_i \theta_{ijk}$$


* probabilities must sum to 1, so need estimate only n-1 of these...

Estimating Parameters: Y, X_i discrete-valued

Maximum likelihood estimates (MLE' s):

$$\hat{\pi}_k = \hat{P}(Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D\{Y = y_k\}}{|D|}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{ijk} = \hat{P}(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D\{X_i = x_{ij} \wedge Y = y_k\}}{\#D\{Y = y_k\}}$$



Number of items in
dataset D for which $Y=y_k$

Naïve Bayes: Subtlety #1

Often the X_i are not really conditionally independent

- We use Naïve Bayes in many cases anyway, and it often works pretty well
 - often the right classification, even when not the right probability (see [Domingos&Pazzani, 1996])
- What is effect on estimated $P(Y|X)$?
 - Extreme case: what if we add two copies: $X_i = X_k$

Naïve Bayes: Subtlety #2

If unlucky, our MLE estimate for $P(X_i | Y)$ might be zero.
(for example, $X_i = \text{birthdate}$. $X_i = \text{Jan_25_1992}$)

- Why worry about just one parameter out of many?
- What can be done to address this?

Estimating Parameters

- Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE): choose θ that maximizes probability of observed data \mathcal{D}

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$$

- Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) estimate: choose θ that is most probable given prior probability and the data

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta} &= \arg \max_{\theta} P(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \arg \max_{\theta} = \frac{P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)P(\theta)}{P(\mathcal{D})}\end{aligned}$$

Estimating Parameters: Y, X_i discrete-valued

Maximum likelihood estimates:

$$\hat{\pi}_k = \hat{P}(Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D\{Y = y_k\}}{|D|}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{ijk} = \hat{P}(X_i = x_j | Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D\{X_i = x_j \wedge Y = y_k\}}{\#D\{Y = y_k\}}$$

MAP estimates (Beta, Dirichlet priors):

$$\hat{\pi}_k = \hat{P}(Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D\{Y = y_k\} + (\beta_k - 1)}{|D| + \sum_m (\beta_m - 1)}$$

Only difference:
“imaginary” examples

$$\hat{\theta}_{ijk} = \hat{P}(X_i = x_j | Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D\{X_i = x_j \wedge Y = y_k\} + (\beta_k - 1)}{\#D\{Y = y_k\} + \sum_m (\beta_m - 1)}$$

What you should know:


- Training and using classifiers based on Bayes rule
- Conditional independence
 - What it is
 - Why it's important
- Naïve Bayes
 - What it is
 - Why we use it so much
 - Training using MLE, MAP estimates
 - Discrete variables and continuous (Gaussian)

Questions:

- How can we extend Naïve Bayes if just 2 of the X_i 's are dependent?
- What does the decision surface of a Naïve Bayes classifier look like?
- What error will the classifier achieve if Naïve Bayes assumption is satisfied and we have infinite training data?
- Can you use Naïve Bayes for a combination of discrete and real-valued X_i ?


What if we have continuous X_i ?

Eg., image classification: X_i is i^{th} pixel



What if we have continuous X_i ?

image classification: X_i is i^{th} pixel, Y = mental state



Still have:

$$P(Y = y_k | X_1 \dots X_n) = \frac{P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i | Y = y_k)}{\sum_j P(Y = y_j) \prod_i P(X_i | Y = y_j)}$$

Just need to decide how to represent $P(X_i | Y)$

What if we have continuous X_i ?

Eg., image classification: X_i is i^{th} pixel

Gaussian Naïve Bayes (GNB): assume

$$P(X_i = x \mid Y = y_k) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{ik}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-(x-\mu_{ik})^2}{2\sigma_{ik}^2}}$$

Sometimes assume σ_{ik}

- is independent of Y (i.e., σ_i),
- or independent of X_i (i.e., σ_k)
- or both (i.e., σ)

Gaussian Naïve Bayes Algorithm – continuous X_i (but still discrete Y)

- Train Naïve Bayes (examples)

for each value y_k

estimate* $\pi_k \equiv P(Y = y_k)$

for each attribute X_i estimate

class conditional mean μ_{ik} , variance σ_{ik}

- Classify (X^{new})

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y_k} P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i^{new} | Y = y_k)$$

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y_k} \pi_k \prod_i \text{Normal}(X_i^{new}, \mu_{ik}, \sigma_{ik})$$

* probabilities must sum to 1, so need estimate only n-1 parameters...

Estimating Parameters: Y discrete, X_i continuous

Maximum likelihood estimates:

jth training
example

$$\hat{\mu}_{ik} = \frac{1}{\sum_j \delta(Y^j = y_k)} \sum_j X_i^j \delta(Y^j = y_k)$$

ith feature

kth class

$\delta(z)=1$ if z true,
else 0

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ik}^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_j \delta(Y^j = y_k)} \sum_j (X_i^j - \hat{\mu}_{ik})^2 \delta(Y^j = y_k)$$