

Outline of Lecture 2

- Binomial model is the simplest model
 - useful to introduce observation model, likelihood, posterior, prior, integration, posterior summaries
 - very commonly used as a building block
 - examples:
 - coin tossing
 - chips from bag
 - COVID tests and vaccines
 - classification / logistic regression

Outline of Chapter 2

- 2.1 Binomial model (repeated experiment with binary outcome)
- 2.2 Posterior as compromise between data and prior information
- 2.3 Posterior summaries
- 2.4 Informative prior distributions (skip exponential families and sufficient statistics)
- 2.5 Gaussian model with known variance
- 2.6 Other single parameter models
 - the normal distribution with known mean but unknown variance is the most important
 - glance through Poisson and exponential
- 2.7 glance through this example, which illustrates benefits of prior information, no need to read all the details (it's quite long example)
- 2.8–2.9 Noninformative and weakly informative priors

Binomial: known θ

- Probability of event 1 in trial is θ

Binomial: known θ

- Probability of event 1 in trial is θ
- Probability of event 2 in trial is $1 - \theta$

Binomial: known θ

- Probability of event 1 in trial is θ
- Probability of event 2 in trial is $1 - \theta$
- Probability of several events in independent trials is e.g.
 $\theta\theta(1 - \theta)\theta(1 - \theta)(1 - \theta) \dots$

Binomial: known θ

- Probability of event 1 in trial is θ
- Probability of event 2 in trial is $1 - \theta$
- Probability of several events in independent trials is e.g.
 $\theta\theta(1 - \theta)\theta(1 - \theta)(1 - \theta) \dots$
- If there are n trials and we don't care about the order of the events, then the probability that event 1 happens y times is

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial: known θ

- Observation model (function of y , discrete)


$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial: known θ

- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.5$, $n=1$




Binomial: known θ

- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.5$, $n=10$




Binomial: known θ

- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.5$, $n=10$




$p(y|n=10, \theta=0.5)$: 0.00 0.01 0.04 0.12 0.21 0.25 0.21 0.12 0.04 0.01 0.00

Binomial: known θ

- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.9$, $n=10$




$p(y|n = 10, \theta = 0.9)$: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.06 0.19 0.39 0.35

Binomial: what if $y = 6$?

- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.5$, $n=10$




$p(y=6|n=10, \theta=0.5)$: 0.00 0.01 0.04 0.12 0.21 0.25 **0.21** 0.12 0.04 0.01 0.00

Binomial: what if $y = 6$?

- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.9$, $n=10$




$p(y = 6|n = 10, \theta = 0.9)$: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 **0.01** 0.06 0.19 0.39 0.35

Binomial: unknown θ and $y = 6$

- Likelihood (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$




$p(y = 6|n = 10, \theta)$: 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.04 0.11 **0.21** 0.25 0.20 0.09 **0.01** 0.00

Binomial: unknown θ and $y = 6$

- Likelihood (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$




we can compute the value for any θ , but in practice can compute only for finite values

Binomial: unknown θ and $y = 6$

- Likelihood (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$




with sufficient many evaluations, linearly interpolated plot looks smooth

Binomial: unknown θ and $y = 6$

- Likelihood (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$




looks smooth, and we'll get back to later to computational cost issues

Binomial: unknown θ and $y = 6$

- Likelihood (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$




likelihood function describes uncertainty, but is not normalized distribution

Binomial: unknown θ and $y = 6$

- Likelihood (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(y|\theta, n) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$



Binomial posterior

- Joint distribution $p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Observation model as a function of y : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Likelihood as a function of θ : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$

Binomial posterior

- Joint distribution $p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Observation model as a function of y : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Likelihood as a function of θ : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n)p(\theta|n)}{p(y|n)}$$

Binomial posterior

- Joint distribution $p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Observation model as a function of y : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Likelihood as a function of θ : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n)p(\theta|n)}{p(y|n)}$$

where $p(y|n) = \int p(y|\theta, n)p(\theta|n)d\theta$

Binomial posterior

- Joint distribution $p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Observation model as a function of y : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Likelihood as a function of θ : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n)p(\theta|n)}{p(y|n)}$$

where $p(y|n) = \int p(y|\theta, n)p(\theta|n)d\theta$

- Start with uniform prior

$$p(\theta|n) = p(\theta|M) = 1, \text{ when } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

Binomial posterior

- Joint distribution $p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Observation model as a function of y : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
 - Likelihood as a function of θ : $p(y|\theta, n) \propto p(\theta, y|n)$
- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n)p(\theta|n)}{p(y|n)}$$

where $p(y|n) = \int p(y|\theta, n)p(\theta|n)d\theta$

- Start with uniform prior

$$p(\theta|n) = p(\theta|M) = 1, \text{ when } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y, n) &= \frac{p(y|\theta, n)}{p(y|n)} = \frac{\binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}}{\int_0^1 \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} d\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} \end{aligned}$$

Binomial: unknown θ

- Normalization term Z (constant given y)

$$Z = p(y|n) = \int_0^1 \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y} d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)}$$

Binomial: unknown θ

- Normalization term Z (constant given y)

$$Z = p(y|n) = \int_0^1 \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y} d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)}$$

- Evaluate with $y = 6, n = 10$

$y < -6; n < -10;$

```
integrate(function(theta) theta^y*(1-theta)^(n-y), 0, 1) ≈ 0.0004329  
gamma(6+1)*gamma(10-6+1)/gamma(10+2) ≈ 0.0004329
```

Binomial: unknown θ

- Normalization term Z (constant given y)

$$Z = p(y|n) = \int_0^1 \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y} d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)}$$

- Evaluate with $y = 6, n = 10$

$y < -6; n < -10;$

```
integrate(function(theta) theta^y*(1-theta)^(n-y), 0, 1) ≈ 0.0004329  
gamma(6+1)*gamma(10-6+1)/gamma(10+2) ≈ 0.0004329
```

usually computed via $\log \Gamma(\cdot)$ due to the limitations of floating point presentation

Binomial: unknown θ

- Posterior is

$$p(\theta|y, n) = \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y},$$

Binomial: unknown θ


- Posterior is

$$p(\theta|y, n) = \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y},$$

which is called Beta distribution

$$\theta|y, n \sim \text{Beta}(y+1, n-y+1)$$

$p(\theta | y=6, n=10, M=\text{binom}) + \text{unif. prior}$




Binomial: computation

- R
 - density dbeta
 - CDF pbeta
 - quantile qbeta
 - random number rbeta
- Python
 - `from scipy.stats import beta`
 - `density beta.pdf`
 - `CDF beta.cdf`
 - `prctile beta.ppf`
 - `random number beta.rvs`

Binomial: computation

- Beta CDF not trivial to compute
- For example, pbeta in R uses a continued fraction with weighting factors and asymptotic expansion
- Laplace developed normal approximation (Laplace approximation), because he didn't know how to compute Beta CDF

$$p(\theta | y=6, n=10, M=\text{binom}) + \text{unif. prior}$$




Placenta previa

- Probability of a girl birth given placenta previa (BDA3 p. 37)
 - 437 girls and 543 boys have been observed
 - is the ratio 0.445 different from the population average 0.485?

Placenta previa

- Probability of a girl birth given placenta previa (BDA3 p. 37)
 - 437 girls and 543 boys have been observed
 - is the ratio 0.445 different from the population average 0.485?

Uniform prior \rightarrow Posterior is Beta(438,544)



Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1 | \theta, y, n, M)$$

Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) = \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta$$

Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\ &= E[\theta|y] \end{aligned}$$

Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\ &= E[\theta|y] \end{aligned}$$

- With uniform prior

$$E[\theta|y] = \frac{y+1}{n+2}$$

Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\ &= E[\theta|y] \end{aligned}$$

- With uniform prior

$$E[\theta|y] = \frac{y+1}{n+2}$$

- Extreme cases

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y = 0, n, M) = \frac{1}{n+2}$$


$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y = n, n, M) = \frac{n+1}{n+2}$$

- cf. maximum likelihood

Benefits of integration

Example: $n = 10, y = 10$

Posterior of θ of Binomial model with $y=10, n=10$



Predictive distribution

- Prior predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|M) = \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, M) p(\theta|M) d\theta$$

- Posterior predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) = \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M) p(\theta|y, n, M) d\theta$$

Left handedness

- If we would like to provide scissors for all students, how many left handed scissors we would need?
 - related to consumer behavior analysis and A/B testing

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning
 - L and R are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning
 - L and R are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking
 - $n = l + r$ is the number of students we have asked

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning
 - L and R are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking
 - $n = l + r$ is the number of students we have asked
 - l and r are the numbers of left and right handed students from the students we asked

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning
 - L and R are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking
 - $n = l + r$ is the number of students we have asked
 - l and r are the numbers of left and right handed students from the students we asked
 - we also know that $l \leq L \leq (N - r)$ and $r \leq R \leq (N - l)$

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning
 - L and R are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking
 - $n = l + r$ is the number of students we have asked
 - l and r are the numbers of left and right handed students from the students we asked
 - we also know that $l \leq L \leq (N - r)$ and $r \leq R \leq (N - l)$
- After observing n students with l left handed, what we know about L ?
 - We define $L = l + \tilde{l}$, where \tilde{l} is the unobserved number of left handed students among those who we did not yet ask

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning
 - L and R are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking
 - $n = l + r$ is the number of students we have asked
 - l and r are the numbers of left and right handed students from the students we asked
 - we also know that $l \leq L \leq (N - r)$ and $r \leq R \leq (N - l)$
- After observing n students with l left handed, what we know about L ?
 - We define $L = l + \tilde{l}$, where \tilde{l} is the unobserved number of left handed students among those who we did not yet ask
- Posterior distribution for θ is $\text{Beta}(\alpha + l, \beta + r)$

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning
 - L and R are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking
 - $n = l + r$ is the number of students we have asked
 - l and r are the numbers of left and right handed students from the students we asked
 - we also know that $l \leq L \leq (N - r)$ and $r \leq R \leq (N - l)$
- After observing n students with l left handed, what we know about L ?
 - We define $L = l + \tilde{l}$, where \tilde{l} is the unobserved number of left handed students among those who we did not yet ask
- Posterior distribution for θ is $\text{Beta}(\alpha + l, \beta + r)$
- Posterior predictive distribution for \tilde{l} is
$$\text{Beta-Binomial}(\tilde{l}|N - n, \alpha + l, \beta + r) = \int_0^1 \text{Bin}(\tilde{l}|N - n, \theta) \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + l, \beta + r) d\theta$$

Left handedness

- What we know and don't know
 - $N = L + R$ is the total number of students in the lecture hall, N is known in the beginning
 - L and R are the number of left and right handed students, not known before we start asking
 - $n = l + r$ is the number of students we have asked
 - l and r are the numbers of left and right handed students from the students we asked
 - we also know that $l \leq L \leq (N - r)$ and $r \leq R \leq (N - l)$
- After observing n students with l left handed, what we know about L ?
 - We define $L = l + \tilde{l}$, where \tilde{l} is the unobserved number of left handed students among those who we did not yet ask
- Posterior distribution for θ is $\text{Beta}(\alpha + l, \beta + r)$
- Posterior predictive distribution for \tilde{l} is
$$\text{Beta-Binomial}(\tilde{l}|N - n, \alpha + l, \beta + r) =$$
$$\int_0^1 \text{Bin}(\tilde{l}|N - n, \theta) \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + l, \beta + r) d\theta$$
- Demo: <https://huggingface.co/spaces/Madhav/Handedness>

Justification for uniform prior

- $p(\theta|M) = 1$ if
 - 1) we want the prior predictive distribution to be uniform

$$p(y|n, M) = \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad y = 0, \dots, n$$

- nice justification as it is based on observables y and n

Justification for uniform prior

- $p(\theta|M) = 1$ if
 - 1) we want the prior predictive distribution to be uniform

$$p(y|n, M) = \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad y = 0, \dots, n$$

- nice justification as it is based on observables y and n
- 2) we think all values of θ are equally likely

Priors

- Conjugate prior (BDA3 p. 35)
- Noninformative prior (BDA3 p. 51)
- Proper and improper prior (BDA3 p. 52)
- Weakly informative prior (BDA3 p. 55)
- Informative prior (BDA3 p. 55)
- Prior sensitivity (BDA3 p. 38)

Conjugate prior

- Prior and posterior have the same form
 - only for exponential family distributions (plus for some irregular cases)
- Used to be important for computational reasons, and still sometimes used for special models to allow partial analytic marginalization (Ch 3)
 - with dynamic Hamiltonian Monte Carlo used e.g. in Stan no computational benefit

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

- Posterior

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) \propto \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

- Posterior

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y, n, M) &\propto \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ &\propto \theta^{y+\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1} \end{aligned}$$

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

- Posterior

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y, n, M) &\propto \theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y}\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ &\propto \theta^{y+\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1} \end{aligned}$$

after normalization

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

- Posterior

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y, n, M) &\propto \theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y}\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ &\propto \theta^{y+\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1} \end{aligned}$$

after normalization


$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

- $(\alpha - 1)$ and $(\beta - 1)$ can be considered to be the number of prior observations
- Uniform prior when $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$

Benefits of integration and prior

Example: $n = 10, y = 10$ - uniform vs Beta(2,2) prior


$$p(\theta | y=10, n=10, M=\text{binom}) + \text{unif. prior}$$




Benefits of integration and prior

Example: $n = 10, y = 10$ - uniform vs Beta(2,2) prior

$$p(\theta | y=10, n=10, M=\text{binom}) + \text{unif. prior}$$



$$p(\theta | y=10, n=10, M=\text{binom}) + \text{Beta}(2,2) \text{ prior}$$



Beta prior for Binomial model

- Posterior

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

- Posterior mean

$$E[\theta|y] = \frac{\alpha + y}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

- combination prior and likelihood information
- when $n \rightarrow \infty$, $E[\theta|y] \rightarrow y/n$

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Posterior

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

- Posterior mean

$$\text{E}[\theta|y] = \frac{\alpha + y}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

- combination prior and likelihood information
- when $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{E}[\theta|y] \rightarrow y/n$

- Posterior variance

$$\text{Var}[\theta|y] = \frac{\text{E}[\theta|y](1 - \text{E}[\theta|y])}{\alpha + \beta + n + 1}$$

- decreases when n increases
- when $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{Var}[\theta|y] \rightarrow 0$

Noninformative prior, proper and improper prior

- Vague, flat, diffuse, or noninformative
 - try to “to let the data speak for themselves”
 - flat is not non-informative
 - flat can be stupid
 - making prior flat somewhere can make it non-flat somewhere else
- Proper prior has $\int p(\theta) = 1$
- Improper prior density doesn't have a finite integral
 - the posterior can still sometimes be proper

Weakly informative priors

- Weakly informative priors produce computationally better behaving posteriors
 - quite often there's at least some knowledge about the scale
 - useful also if there's more information from previous observations, but not certain how well that information is applicable in a new case uncertainty

Weakly informative priors

- Weakly informative priors produce computationally better behaving posteriors
 - quite often there's at least some knowledge about the scale
 - useful also if there's more information from previous observations, but not certain how well that information is applicable in a new case uncertainty
- Construction
 - Start with some version of a noninformative prior distribution and then add enough information so that inferences are constrained to be reasonable.
 - Start with a strong, highly informative prior and broaden it to account for uncertainty in one's prior beliefs and in the applicability of any historically based prior distribution to new data.
- Stan team prior choice recommendations <https://github.com/stan-dev/stan/wiki/Prior-Choice-Recommendations>

Informative prior for left handedness

- Papadatou-Pastou et al. (2020). Human handedness: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 146(6), 481–524.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000229>
 - totaling 2 396 170 individuals
 - varies between 9.3% and 18.1%, depending on how handedness is measured
 - varies between countries and in time

Informative prior for left handedness

- Papadatou-Pastou et al. (2020). Human handedness: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 146(6), 481–524. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000229>
 - totaling 2 396 170 individuals
 - varies between 9.3% and 18.1%, depending on how handedness is measured
 - varies between countries and in time




Fig from https://www.reddit.com/r/dataisbeautiful/comments/s9x1ya/history_of_lefthandedness_oc/

Informative prior for left handedness

- Papadatou-Pastou et al. (2020). Human handedness: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 146(6), 481–524. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000229>
 - totaling 2 396 170 individuals
 - varies between 9.3% and 18.1%, depending on how handedness is measured
 - varies between countries and in time





Fig from https://www.reddit.com/r/dataisbeautiful/comments/s9x1ya/history_of_lefthandedness_oc/

Informative prior for left handedness


- Papadatou-Pastou et al. (2020). Human handedness: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 146(6), 481–524.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000229>
 - totaling 2 396 170 individuals
 - varies between 9.3% and 18.1%, depending on how handedness is measured
 - varies between countries and in time

Beta(8,60) prior




Benefits of integration and prior

- Left handed simulation with 30 left handed and 300 total




Benefits of integration and prior

- Left handed simulation with 30 left handed and 300 total



Benefits of integration and prior

- Left handed simulation with 30 left handed and 300 total
 - repeated 10 000 times
 - average log predictive density for 30 left handed in total




Effect of incorrect priors?

- Introduce bias, but often still produce smaller estimation error because the variance is reduced
 - bias-variance tradeoff


Structural information in predicting future

RStan downloads per day from RStudio CRAN mirror







Structural information in predicting future

RStan downloads per day from RStudio CRAN mirror



Structural information – Prophet by Facebook



Binomial: unknown θ

Sometimes conditioning on the model M is explicitly shown

- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)}{p(y|n, M)}$$

where $p(y|n, M) = \int p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)d\theta$

Binomial: unknown θ

Sometimes conditioning on the model M is explicitly shown

- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)}{p(y|n, M)}$$

where $p(y|n, M) = \int p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)d\theta$

- makes it more clear that likelihood and prior are both part of the model
- makes it more clear that there is no absolute probability for $p(y|n)$, but it depends on the model M
- in case of two models, we can evaluate marginal likelihoods $p(y|n, M_1)$ and $p(y|n, M_2)$ (more in Ch 7)

Binomial: unknown θ

Sometimes conditioning on the model M is explicitly shown

- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)}{p(y|n, M)}$$

where $p(y|n, M) = \int p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)d\theta$

- makes it more clear that likelihood and prior are both part of the model
- makes it more clear that there is no absolute probability for $p(y|n)$, but it depends on the model M
- in case of two models, we can evaluate marginal likelihoods $p(y|n, M_1)$ and $p(y|n, M_2)$ (more in Ch 7)
- usually dropped to make the notation more concise

Sufficient statistics



- The quantity $t(y)$ is said to be a *sufficient statistic* for θ , because the likelihood for θ depends on the data y only through the value of $t(y)$.

Sufficient statistics

- The quantity $t(y)$ is said to be a *sufficient statistic* for θ , because the likelihood for θ depends on the data y only through the value of $t(y)$.
- For binomial model the sufficient statistics are y and n (the order doesn't matter)


Posterior visualization and inference demos

- demo2_3: Simulate samples from Beta(438,544), and draw a histogram of θ with quantiles.



Posterior visualization and inference demos

- demo2_4: Compute posterior distribution in a grid.



Posterior visualization and inference demos

- demo2_4: Sample using the inverse-cdf method.


Non-conjugate posterior



Posterior-cdf



Histogram of posterior samples



Algae


Assignment

Algae status is monitored in 274 sites at Finnish lakes and rivers. The observations for the 2008 algae status at each site are presented in file *algae.mat* ('0': no algae, '1': algae present). Let π be the probability of a monitoring site having detectable blue-green algae levels.

- Use a binomial model for observations and a *beta(2,10)* prior.
- What can you say about the value of the unknown π ?
- Experiment how the result changes if you change the prior.

Binomial model with $\theta = f(x)$

- Next week you learn how the binomial model parameter θ can depend on some other measurement x




Normal / Gaussian

- Observations y real valued
- Mean θ and variance σ^2 (or deviation σ)
This week assume σ^2 known (preparing for the next week)

$$p(y|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \theta)^2\right)$$

$$y \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$$



Reasons to use Normal distribution

- Normal distribution often justified based on central limit theorem
- More often used due to the computational convenience or tradition

Central limit theorem*

- De Moivre, Laplace, Gauss, Chebysev, Liapounov, Markov, et al.
- Given certain conditions, distribution of sum (and mean) of random variables approach Gaussian distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Problems
 - does not hold for distributions with infinite variance, e.g., Cauchy

Central limit theorem*

- De Moivre, Laplace, Gauss, Chebysev, Liapounov, Markov, et al.
- Given certain conditions, distribution of sum (and mean) of random variables approach Gaussian distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Problems
 - does not hold for distributions with infinite variance, e.g., Cauchy
 - may require large n ,
e.g. Binomial, when θ close to 0 or 1

Central limit theorem*

- De Moivre, Laplace, Gauss, Chebysev, Liapounov, Markov, et al.
- Given certain conditions, distribution of sum (and mean) of random variables approach Gaussian distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Problems
 - does not hold for distributions with infinite variance, e.g., Cauchy
 - may require large n ,
e.g. Binomial, when θ close to 0 or 1
 - does not hold if one the variables has much larger scale

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Assume σ^2 known

Likelihood

$$p(y|\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \theta)^2\right)$$

Prior

$$p(\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_0^2}(\theta - \mu_0)^2\right)$$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Assume σ^2 known

Likelihood

$$p(y|\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \theta)^2\right)$$

Prior

$$p(\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_0^2}(\theta - \mu_0)^2\right)$$

$$\exp(a) \exp(b) = \exp(a + b)$$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Assume σ^2 known

Likelihood

$$p(y|\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \theta)^2\right)$$

Prior

$$p(\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_0^2}(\theta - \mu_0)^2\right)$$

$$\exp(a) \exp(b) = \exp(a + b)$$

Posterior

$$p(\theta|y) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(y - \theta)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\theta - \mu_0)^2}{\tau_0^2}\right]\right)$$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Posterior (highly recommended to do BDA 3 Ex 2.14a)

$$p(\theta|y) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\theta-\mu_0)^2}{\tau_0^2} \right]\right)$$
$$\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_1^2}(\theta-\mu_1)^2\right)$$

$$\theta|y \sim N(\mu_1, \tau_1^2), \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}y}{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tau_1^2} = \frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ


- Posterior (highly recommended to do BDA 3 Ex 2.14a)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y) &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\theta-\mu_0)^2}{\tau_0^2}\right]\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_1^2}(\theta-\mu_1)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$


$$\theta|y \sim N(\mu_1, \tau_1^2), \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}y}{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tau_1^2} = \frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

- 1/variance = precision
- Posterior precision = prior precision + data precision
- Posterior mean is precision weighted mean


Normal distribution - example



Normal distribution - example



Normal distribution - example



Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Several observations – use chain rule

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Several observations $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$$p(\theta|y) = N(\theta|\mu_n, \tau_n^2)$$

where $\mu_n = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}\bar{y}}{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}}$ ja $\frac{1}{\tau_n^2} = \frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$

- If $\tau_0^2 = \sigma^2$, prior corresponds to one virtual observation with value μ_0

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Several observations $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$$p(\theta|y) = N(\theta|\mu_n, \tau_n^2)$$

where $\mu_n = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}\bar{y}}{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}}$ ja $\frac{1}{\tau_n^2} = \frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$

- If $\tau_0^2 = \sigma^2$, prior corresponds to one virtual observation with value μ_0
- If $\tau_0 \rightarrow \infty$ when n fixed
or if $n \rightarrow \infty$ when τ_0 fixed

$$p(\theta|y) \approx N(\theta|\bar{y}, \sigma^2/n)$$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Posterior predictive distribution

$$p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta)p(\theta|y)d\theta$$

$$p(\tilde{y}|y) \propto \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\tilde{y} - \theta)^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_1^2}(\theta - \mu_1)^2\right) d\theta$$

$$\tilde{y}|y \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma^2 + \tau_1^2)$$

- Predictive variance = observation model variance σ^2 + posterior variance τ_1^2

Normal model

- Gets more interesting when both mean and variance are unknown
 - next week

Normal model

- Gets more interesting when both mean and variance are unknown
 - next week
- The mean can be also a function of covariates
 - e.g. normal linear regression $y \sim N(\alpha + \beta x, \sigma^2)$

Normal model


- Gets more interesting when both mean and variance are unknown
 - next week
- The mean can be also a function of covariates
 - e.g. normal linear regression $y \sim N(\alpha + \beta x, \sigma^2)$
- Gaussian processes, Kalman filters, variational inference, Laplace approximaion, etc.

Some other one parameter models

- Poisson, useful for count data (e.g. in epidemiology)
- Exponential, useful for time to an event (e.g. particle decay)


Poisson model for count data

- Number of traffic deaths per year (by Liikenneturva)



Poisson model for count data

- Number of traffic deaths per year (by Liikenneturva)



Thinking priors

- Make a guess of some quantities and then find out useful prior information for that. E.g.
 - proportion of students using MS Windows vs. Apple macOS vs. Linux
 - proportion of students who are longer than 1.9m
 - proportion of students, who submitted the first assignment, attending the next lecture
 - proportion of students, who submitted the first assignment, submitting the last assignment