

## Assignment 1

Submit the GIT repo link as a submission on Openverse

1. Given the table:

1. orders

id	user_id	status	created_at
----	---------	--------	------------

2. Suggest appropriate indexes

3. Explain why you chose them

## Table

orders

Column	Type (assumed)
id	primary key
user_id	foreign key
status	string / enum
created_at	timestamp

## Suggested Indexes

### 1. Primary Key Index on id

PRIMARY KEY (id)

#### Why this index is needed

- Every row must be uniquely identifiable.
- Most queries that fetch a single order use the id.
- The database automatically creates this index.

#### Benefit

- Extremely fast lookup of a single order.
- Ensures no duplicate order IDs exist.

## Example

This works like a unique roll number for each order, making it easy and fast to find.

## 2. Index on user\_id

```
CREATE INDEX idx_orders_user_id ON orders(user_id);
```

### Why this index is needed

- Applications frequently fetch all orders of a user.
- Common query:

```
SELECT * FROM orders WHERE user_id = ?;
```

- Without an index, the database would scan the entire table.

### Benefit

- Faster retrieval of user-specific orders.
- Improves performance when joining with the users table.

## Example: Join Query Using user\_id

### Query

```
SELECT
    u.id,
    u.name,
    o.id AS order_id,
    o.status,
    o.created_at
FROM users u
```

JOIN orders o

ON u.id = o.user\_id

WHERE u.id = 123;

---

## Why the user\_id Index Helps Here

### What happens without an index on orders.user\_id

- Database scans **all rows** in the orders table.
- For each order, it checks if user\_id = 123.
- This is slow when the orders table is large.

👉 This is called a **full table scan**.

---

### What happens with an index on orders.user\_id

CREATE INDEX idx\_orders\_user\_id ON orders(user\_id);

- Database directly jumps to orders with user\_id = 123.
- No need to scan unrelated orders.
- Join becomes much faster.

👉 This is called an **index lookup**.

---

## Data Size Example

- Users table: 10,000 users
- Orders table: 1,000,000 orders

Without index:

- Database checks all 1,000,000 orders

With index:

- Database checks only the orders belonging to that user (maybe 20–30 rows)
- 

### 3. Composite Index on (user\_id, created\_at)

```
CREATE INDEX idx_orders_user_created ON orders(user_id, created_at);
```

#### Why this index is needed

Most applications show a user's orders sorted by date.

Common query:

```
SELECT *  
FROM orders  
WHERE user_id = ?  
ORDER BY created_at DESC;
```

This query does **two things**:

1. Filters rows using user\_id
  2. Sorts the result using created\_at
- 

#### Case 1: No Index

What the database does:

- Scans the entire orders table
- Filters rows where user\_id = 101
- Sorts the filtered result by created\_at

Problem:

- Full table scan
  - Expensive sorting step
- 

#### Case 2: Separate Indexes

```
CREATE INDEX idx_user_id ON orders(user_id);
CREATE INDEX idx_created_at ON orders(created_at);
```

What the database does:

- Uses `idx_user_id` to find orders for user 101
- Still needs to **sort the result** using memory or disk
- Cannot efficiently combine both indexes for this query

Problem:

- Sorting still required
  - Extra CPU and memory usage
- 

## Case 3: Composite Index (Best Case)

```
CREATE INDEX idx_user_created ON orders(user_id, created_at);
```

### How the composite index is stored

The data in the index is already ordered like this:

user_id	created_at
101	2024-01-10
101	2024-01-05
101	2024-01-01
102	2024-01-08

---

### What the database does now

- Uses the index to **directly find user\_id = 101**
- Rows are **already sorted by created\_at**
- No extra sorting step needed

Result:

- Fast filtering

- Fast sorting
  - Minimal resource usage
- 

## Simple Analogy

- **Separate indexes:**  
Find all pages for “Chapter 3”, then sort them manually.
  - **Composite index:**  
Chapter 3 pages are already in order.
- 

## Performance Benefit Summary

Index Type	Filtering	Sorting	Performance
No index	✗	✗	Very slow
Separate indexes	✓	✗	Medium
Composite index	✓	✓	Fastest