# Problem 1: Perceptron Learning (30 pts)

1. Standard subgradient descent with the step size Gamma= 1 for each iteration.

# Code:

```
perceptron data = importdata('perceptron.data',',');
X = perceptron data(:,1:end-1);
Y = perceptron data(:,end);
w = zeros(1, size(X, 2));
b = 0;
w first3 = zeros(3, size(X, 2));
b first3 = zeros(3,1);
grad w = ones(size(w));
grad b = ones(size(b));
p loss = Inf;
iter = 0;
max iter = 1000;
% step size
gamma = 1;
loss history = [];
while iter < max iter</pre>
    pred = (X * w.') + b ;
    loss each = -1 * (Y .* pred);
    incorrect = loss each >= 0;
    p loss = sum(loss each .* incorrect) ;
    loss history = [loss history ,p loss] ;
    grad w = -1 * (sum((incorrect .* Y) .* X));
    grad b = -1 * (sum(incorrect .* Y));
    % check if all our gradients are zero, stop if they are
    if (grad b == 0) \&\& (all(grad w==0))
        break
    end
    iter = iter + 1;
    w = w - gamma * grad_w;
    b = b - gamma * grad_b;
    % to note the first 3 values
    if iter <= 3
```

```
w_first3(iter,:) = w;
b_first3(iter,:) = b;
end

end

plot(loss_history(2:end));
w_first3
b_first3
iter
w
b
```

## **Results:**

```
Standard Gradient Descent; Step size = 1
```

```
First 3 iterations weight vectors =
```

```
1.0e+03 *
1.2790  0.4601  -0.1086  -1.6723
1.3073  0.4327  -0.0276  -1.5238
1.2552  0.4255  0.0188  -1.4347

First 3 iterations bias values =
-354
-493
-625

Total number of iterations = 46

Final weights
w = [685.7993 , 243.8995, 8.2420, -797.6251]

Final bias
b = -1485
```

2. Stochastic subgradient descent where exactly one component of the sum is chosen to approximate the gradient at each iteration. Instead of picking a random component at each iteration, you should iterate through the data set starting with the first element, then the second, and so on until the Mth element, at which point you should start back at the beginning again.

Again, use the step size t = 1.

### Code:

```
perceptron data = importdata('perceptron.data',',');
X = perceptron data(:,1:end-1);
Y = perceptron data(:,end);
w = zeros(1, size(X, 2));
b = 0;
w first3 = zeros(3, size(X, 2));
b first3 = zeros(3,1);
grad w = ones(size(w));
grad b = ones(size(b));
p loss = Inf;
iter = 0;
max iter = Inf;
qamma = 1;
loss history = [];
idx = 1;
while iter < max iter</pre>
    pred = (X * w.') + b;
    loss each = -1 * (Y .* pred);
    incorrect = loss_each >= 0;
    if sum(incorrect) == 0
        break
    end
    p loss = sum(loss each .* incorrect);
    loss_history = [loss_history ,p_loss] ;
    idx = mod(idx, size(X, 1)) + 1;
    % go to the next incorrect sample
    if incorrect(idx) == 0
        offset = find(incorrect(idx:end),1);
```

```
if isempty(offset)
            idx = 1;
            offset = find(incorrect(idx:end),1);
        end
        idx = idx + offset - 1;
    end
    grad w = -1 * (Y(idx) * incorrect(idx) * X(idx,:));
    grad b = -1 * (Y(idx) * incorrect(idx));
    % check if all our gradients are zero, stop if they are
    % if (grad b == 0) && (all(grad w==0))
    % For the sake of uniformity, we use this instead in assignment
    w = w - gamma * grad w;
   b = b - gamma * grad b;
    iter = iter + 1;
    idx = idx + 1;
    if iter <= 3
        w first3(iter,:) = w;
        b first3(iter,:) = b;
    end
end
Stochastic Gradient Descent, Stepsize = 1
First 3 iterations weight vectors =
```

## **Results:**

```
1.1110 2.5928 -1.1492 -0.5725
 -2.6028 1.5825 1.6626 -4.6442
 -1.6534 3.9397 3.8814 -2.4734
First 3 iterations bias values =
 -1
 -2
 -3
```

Total number of iterations = 5371

```
Final weights
w = [114.8349, 41.2125, 1.7244, -133.2039]
```

```
Final Bias
b = -249
```

3. How does the rate of convergence change as you change the step size? Provide some example step sizes to back up your statements.

#### Answer:

For the standard as well as stochastic gradient descent, for the perceptron algorithm, The code takes the same number of iterations to converge with step sizes 0.001, 1 and 1000.

The rate of convergence doesn't change with the step size because our hypothesis is scale invariant in w and b.

i.e.

Our hyfoll	resis consists of an hubert land whose
equation	resis consists of an hyperplane whose is
	+b=0
For some	iteration to let we be settle wand to
For that	iteration to, let $W_t$ , by be the wand be iteration, let $\Delta W_t$ , $\Delta b_t$ be the gradients
: WHH:	= W- NSW+ )
bt+1=	bt-aspt gais the step size
Therefore,	Our hyperplane becomes:
() T	x + bth =0
(11) - ~ A	$(\omega_t)^T \chi + (b_t - \langle \Delta b_t \rangle) = 0$
CITY -	- & Dut x + bt - & Dbt=0
(1) Tx +	bt - & DWTX - & Dbt = 0
1	St - Cart & Sot = 0
= 0	this is our previous of hyperplane
	-d Dwt x-d Dbt =0
n –	$\alpha \left( \Delta \omega_{\perp} T_{X} + \Delta b_{+} \right) = 0$
* ·	
No mat	ter what & (step size) we select,
hyperpla	ter what & (step size) we select, may iteratively predict the same
	of convergence do not change with of.

4. What is the smallest, in terms of number of data points, two-dimensional data set containing both class labels on which the algorithm, with step size one, fails to converge? Use this example to explain why the method may fail to converge more generally.

#### Answer:

The smallest number of data points, in a 2-D data set containing both class labels on which the algorithm fails to converge is 2.

i.e.

if for some input x1,x2 we get both +ve and -ve label, the algorithm will fail to converge.

(1,1) -> 1

 $(1,1) \rightarrow -1$ 

In general, If we contain an ambiguous dataset where in we get both the labels for same inputs, the algorithm fails to converge.

Also, if no linear separator is possible for the data in our feature space, the algorithm fails to converge.