

ROLL NO: 001811001069

DATASETS USED : IRIS Dataset, Diabetes Dataset, Breast Cancer Dataset

Before applying any training algorithm split data into training and test sets.

IRIS DATASET

```
In [60]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(features, labels, test_size=0.2, random_state=8)
```

Multinomial Naïve Bayes

```
In [61]: MultiNB = MultinomialNB()  
MultiNB.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
Out[61]: MultinomialNB()
```

```
In [62]: print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, MultiNB.predict(X_train))}")
```

```
y_pred_MNB = MultiNB.predict(X_test)  
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_MNB)}")  
  
f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_MNB, average='weighted')  
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")  
  
print("Classification Report")  
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_MNB))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.9333333333333333  
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.9  
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.899248120300752  
Classification Report
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	10
1	0.75	1.00	0.86	9
2	1.00	0.73	0.84	11
accuracy			0.90	30
macro avg	0.92	0.91	0.90	30
weighted avg	0.93	0.90	0.90	30

Bernoulli Naïve Bayes

```
In [63]: BernNB = BernoulliNB()  
BernNB.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
Out[63]: BernoulliNB()
```

```
In [64]: y_pred_BNB = BernNB.predict(X_test)
```

```
In [65]: print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, BernNB.predict(X_train))}")
```

```
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_BNB)}")  
  
f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_BNB, average='micro')  
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")  
  
print("Classification Report")  
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_BNB))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.3416666666666667  
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.3  
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.3  
Classification Report
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	10
1	0.30	1.00	0.46	9
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	11
accuracy			0.30	30
macro avg	0.10	0.33	0.15	30
weighted avg	0.09	0.30	0.14	30

Gaussian Naïve Bayes

```
In [66]: GaussNB = GaussianNB()  
GaussNB.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
Out[66]: GaussianNB()
```

```
In [67]: y_pred_GNB = BernNB.predict(X_test)
```

```
In [68]: print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, GaussNB.predict(X_train))}")  
  
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_GNB)}")  
  
f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_GNB, average='micro')  
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")  
  
print("Classification Report")  
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_GNB))
```

Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.975

Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.3

F1 Score of Test Set: 0.3

Classification Report

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	10
1	0.30	1.00	0.46	9
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	11
accuracy			0.30	30
macro avg	0.10	0.33	0.15	30
weighted avg	0.09	0.30	0.14	30

As we can see by running the above algorithms on the Iris Dataset, Multinomial Naïve Bayes gives the best result.

DIABETES DATASET

Prepare Dataset for training

```
In [80]: diabetes = pd.read_csv('data/diabetes.tab.txt', delimiter = "\t")
```

```
In [81]: diabetes.columns
```

```
Out[81]: Index(['AGE', 'SEX', 'BMI', 'BP', 'S1', 'S2', 'S3', 'S4', 'S5', 'S6', 'Y'], dtype='object')
```

```
In [82]: features = diabetes.loc[:, diabetes.columns != 'SEX']  
labels = diabetes['SEX']
```

```
In [83]: features
```

```
Out[83]:
```

	AGE	BMI	BP	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	Y
0	59	32.1	101.00	157	93.2	38.0	4.00	4.8598	87	151
1	48	21.6	87.00	183	103.2	70.0	3.00	3.8918	69	75
2	72	30.5	93.00	156	93.6	41.0	4.00	4.6728	85	141
3	24	25.3	84.00	198	131.4	40.0	5.00	4.8903	89	206
4	50	23.0	101.00	192	125.4	52.0	4.00	4.2905	80	135
...
437	60	28.2	112.00	185	113.8	42.0	4.00	4.9836	93	178
438	47	24.9	75.00	225	166.0	42.0	5.00	4.4427	102	104
439	60	24.9	99.67	162	106.6	43.0	3.77	4.1271	95	132
440	36	30.0	95.00	201	125.2	42.0	4.79	5.1299	85	220
441	36	19.6	71.00	250	133.2	97.0	3.00	4.5951	92	57

442 rows × 10 columns

```
In [84]: labels
```

```
Out[84]:
```

0	2
1	1
2	2
3	1
4	1
...	...
437	2
438	2
439	2
440	1
441	1

Name: SEX, Length: 442, dtype: int64

```
In [85]: scaler = StandardScaler().fit(features)
```

```
In [86]: X_scaled = scaler.transform(features)
```

```
In [87]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_scaled, labels, test_size=0.2, random_state=8)
```

Multinomial Naïve Bayes algorithm is not applicable to negative feature values

Bernoulli Naïve Bayes

```
In [88]: BernNB = BernoulliNB()
BernNB.fit(X_train, y_train)

y_pred_BNB = BernNB.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, BernNB.predict(X_train))}")

print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_BNB)}")

f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_BNB, average='micro')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_BNB))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.660056657223796
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.6966292134831461
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.6966292134831461
Classification Report
              precision    recall  f1-score   support

     1         0.71         0.72         0.72         47
     2         0.68         0.67         0.67         42

   accuracy                0.70         89
  macro avg         0.70         0.70         0.70         89
 weighted avg         0.70         0.70         0.70         89
```

Gaussian Naïve Bayes

```
In [89]: GaussNB = GaussianNB()
GaussNB.fit(X_train, y_train)

print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, GaussNB.predict(X_train))}")

y_pred_GNB = GaussNB.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_GNB)}")

f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_GNB, average='micro')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_GNB))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.6572237960339944
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.7415730337078652
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.7415730337078652
Classification Report
              precision    recall  f1-score   support

     1         0.75         0.77         0.76         47
     2         0.73         0.71         0.72         42

   accuracy                0.74         89
  macro avg         0.74         0.74         0.74         89
 weighted avg         0.74         0.74         0.74         89
```

As we can see by running the algorithms, Gaussian Naïve Bayes gives the best result.

BREAST CANCER DATASET

Prepare Dataset

```
In [114]: data = pd.read_csv("data/breast-cancer-wisconsin.data", header=None)

In [115]: data = data[data[6] != '?']

In [116]: data.shape
Out[116]: (683, 11)

In [117]: # Preprocess

In [118]: X = data.iloc[:, 1: -1]
           y = data[10]

In [119]: y = y.replace(2, 0)
           y = y.replace(4, 1)

In [122]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=8)
```

Multinomial Naïve Bayes

```
In [123]: MultiNB = MultinomialNB()
           MultiNB.fit(X_train, y_train)

           print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, MultiNB.predict(X_train))}")

           y_pred_MNB = MultiNB.predict(X_test)
           print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_MNB)}")

           f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_MNB, average='micro')
           print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

           print("Classification Report")
           print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_MNB))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.8992673992673993
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.9854014598540146
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.9854014598540146
Classification Report
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.99	0.99	0.99	84
1	0.98	0.98	0.98	53
accuracy			0.99	137
macro avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	137
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	137

Bernoulli Naïve Bayes

```
In [125]: BernNB = BernoulliNB()
BernNB.fit(X_train, y_train)

y_pred_BNB = BernNB.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, BernNB.predict(X_train))}")

print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_BNB)}")

f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_BNB, average='micro')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_BNB))
```

Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.6593406593406593
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.6131386861313869
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.6131386861313869
Classification Report

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.61	1.00	0.76	84
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	53
accuracy			0.61	137
macro avg	0.31	0.50	0.38	137
weighted avg	0.38	0.61	0.47	137

Gaussian Naïve Bayes

```
In [124]: GaussNB = GaussianNB()
GaussNB.fit(X_train, y_train)

print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, GaussNB.predict(X_train))}")

y_pred_GNB = GaussNB.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_GNB)}")

f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_GNB, average='micro')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_GNB))
```

Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.9542124542124543
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.9854014598540146
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.9854014598540146
Classification Report

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.99	0.99	0.99	84
1	0.98	0.98	0.98	53
accuracy			0.99	137
macro avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	137
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	137

As we can see by running the algorithms, Gaussian Naïve Bayes gives the best result.

Question 2: Decision Tree Algorithm on all datasets

Datasets can be prepared in the same way as mentioned above.

IRIS DATASET

Without Parameter Tuning

```
In [71]: dtclf = DecisionTreeClassifier()
         dtclf.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
Out[71]: DecisionTreeClassifier()
```

```
In [72]: dtclf.get_params()
```

```
Out[72]: {'ccp_alpha': 0.0,
          'class_weight': None,
          'criterion': 'gini',
          'max_depth': None,
          'max_features': None,
          'max_leaf_nodes': None,
          'min_impurity_decrease': 0.0,
          'min_impurity_split': None,
          'min_samples_leaf': 1,
          'min_samples_split': 2,
          'min_weight_fraction_leaf': 0.0,
          'presort': 'deprecated',
          'random_state': None,
          'splitter': 'best'}
```

```
In [86]: print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, dtclf.predict(X_train))}")

         y_pred_dtclf = dtclf.predict(X_test)
         print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_dtclf)}")

         f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_dtclf, average='weighted')
         print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

         print("Classification Report")
         print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_dtclf))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 1.0
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.9
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.9
Classification Report
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	7
1	0.91	0.83	0.87	12
2	0.83	0.91	0.87	11
accuracy			0.90	30
macro avg	0.91	0.91	0.91	30
weighted avg	0.90	0.90	0.90	30

With Parameter Tuning

```
In [92]: param_grid = {
         "max_depth" : [1,3,5,7,9,11,12],
         "min_samples_leaf": [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10],
         "max_leaf_nodes": [None,10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90]
         }

         grid_search = GridSearchCV(estimator=dtclf,
                                   param_grid=param_grid,
                                   scoring='accuracy',
                                   cv=5)
```

```
In [93]: grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
Out[93]: GridSearchCV(cv=5, estimator=DecisionTreeClassifier(),
                    param_grid={'max_depth': [1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12],
                                'max_leaf_nodes': [None, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90],
                                'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]},
                    scoring='accuracy')
```

```
In [94]: grid_search.best_params_
```

```
Out[94]: {'max_depth': 3, 'max_leaf_nodes': None, 'min_samples_leaf': 2}
```

```
In [95]: grid_search.best_score_
```

```
Out[95]: 0.9833333333333334
```



```
In [96]: bestNB = grid_search.best_estimator_
print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, bestNB.predict(X_train))}")

y_pred_bestNB = bestNB.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_bestNB)}")

f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_bestNB, average='micro')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_bestNB))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.9916666666666667
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.9
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.9
Classification Report
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	7
1	0.91	0.83	0.87	12
2	0.83	0.91	0.87	11
accuracy			0.90	30
macro avg	0.91	0.91	0.91	30
weighted avg	0.90	0.90	0.90	30

Observation: There is a very small improvement in the algorithm upon parameter tuning.

DIABETES DATASET

Without Parameter Tuning

```
In [31]: dtclf1 = DecisionTreeClassifier()
```

```
In [32]: dtclf1.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
Out[32]: DecisionTreeClassifier()
```

```
In [33]: print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, dtclf1.predict(X_train))}")

y_pred_dtclf1 = dtclf1.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_dtclf1)}")

f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_dtclf1, average='micro')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_dtclf1))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 1.0
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.6292134831460674
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.6292134831460674
Classification Report
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.65	0.66	0.65	47
2	0.61	0.60	0.60	42
accuracy			0.63	89
macro avg	0.63	0.63	0.63	89
weighted avg	0.63	0.63	0.63	89

With Parameter Tuning

```
In [45]: param_grid = {
    "max_depth" : [1,3,5,7,9,11,12],
    "min_samples_leaf": [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10],
    "max_leaf_nodes": [None,10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90]
}

grid_search = GridSearchCV(estimator=dtclf1,
                           param_grid=param_grid,
                           scoring='f1_micro',
                           cv=5)

In [46]: grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)

Out[46]: GridSearchCV(cv=5, estimator=DecisionTreeClassifier(),
    param_grid={'max_depth': [1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12],
    'max_leaf_nodes': [None, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70,
    80, 90],
    'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]},
    scoring='f1_micro')

In [47]: grid_search.best_params_

Out[47]: {'max_depth': 7, 'max_leaf_nodes': 10, 'min_samples_leaf': 6}
```

```
In [49]: bestNB = grid_search.best_estimator_
print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, bestNB.predict(X_train))}")

y_pred_bestNB = bestNB.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_bestNB)}")

f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_bestNB, average='micro')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_bestNB))

Accuracy Score of Training Set: 0.7790368271954674
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.6179775280898876
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.6179775280898876
Classification Report
      precision    recall  f1-score   support

     1         0.64      0.62      0.63         47
     2         0.59      0.62      0.60         42

   accuracy                   0.62          89
  macro avg              0.62      0.62      0.62          89
 weighted avg              0.62      0.62      0.62          89
```

Observation: As we can see, there is no real improvement in model upon parameter tuning. However, the tuned algorithm is not overfitting the data unlike the untuned algorithm.

BREAST CANCER DATASET

Without parameter tuning

```
In [57]: dtclf2 = DecisionTreeClassifier()
dtclf2.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
Out[57]: DecisionTreeClassifier()
```

```
In [58]: print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, dtclf2.predict(X_train))}")
```

```
y_pred_dtclf = dtclf2.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_dtclf)}")
```

```
f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_dtclf, average='weighted')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")
```

```
print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_dtclf))
```

Accuracy Score of Training Set: 1.0

Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.9562043795620438

F1 Score of Test Set: 0.9559817777087538

Classification Report

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.96	0.98	0.97	89
1	0.96	0.92	0.94	48
accuracy			0.96	137
macro avg	0.96	0.95	0.95	137
weighted avg	0.96	0.96	0.96	137

With Parameter Tuning

```
In [59]: param_grid = {
    "max_depth" : [1,3,5,7,9,11,12],
    "min_samples_leaf": [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10],
    "max_leaf_nodes": [None,10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90]
}
```

```
grid_search = GridSearchCV(estimator=dtclf2,
                           param_grid=param_grid,
                           scoring='f1',
                           cv=5)
```

```
In [60]: grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
Out[60]: GridSearchCV(cv=5, estimator=DecisionTreeClassifier(),
    param_grid={'max_depth': [1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12],
    'max_leaf_nodes': [None, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90],
    'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]},
    scoring='f1')
```

```
In [61]: grid_search.best_params_
```

```
Out[61]: {'max_depth': 12, 'max_leaf_nodes': 40, 'min_samples_leaf': 1}
```

```
In [62]: bestNB = grid_search.best_estimator_
print(f"Accuracy Score of Training Set: {accuracy_score(y_train, bestNB.predict(X_train))}")

y_pred_bestNB = bestNB.predict(X_test)
print(f"Accuracy Score of Test Set: {accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_bestNB)}")

f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred_bestNB, average='micro')
print(f"F1 Score of Test Set: {f1}")

print("Classification Report")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_bestNB))
```

```
Accuracy Score of Training Set: 1.0
Accuracy Score of Test Set: 0.9635036496350365
F1 Score of Test Set: 0.9635036496350365
Classification Report
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.97	0.98	0.97	89
1	0.96	0.94	0.95	48
accuracy			0.96	137
macro avg	0.96	0.96	0.96	137
weighted avg	0.96	0.96	0.96	137

Observation: There is small improvement in the model upon tuning.