

# Albania: information on national emissions, population and GDP, and mitigation targets

September 22, 2020

## Authors:

Annika Guenther<sup>1</sup>

Johannes Guetschow<sup>1</sup>

## Affiliations:

1. Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Germany

DOI: [to be added]

## TODO

- Table with info on target (main and reclass; emissions from NDC; target quantis + plot).
- GWP: NDC emissions converted from AR2 to AR4 by national conversion factor (2010–2017, PRIMAP-hist v2.1).
- References!

## 1 Non-LULUCF emissions and socio-economic data

With national emissions of 10.2 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>eq, Albania contributed 0.02% to global emissions in 2017, and in 2030 its share is estimated to stay at a similar level (Table 1). The estimates for 2030 are based on the downscaled SSP2<sup>1</sup> Middle of the Road marker scenario (dmSSP2), in which Albania is estimated to emit 11.5 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>eq in 2030. That change in emissions would constitute a substantial increase of 12.2% compared to 2017. The pathways dmSSP1–5 show a range of 10.5–13.6 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>eq in 2030, and 11.4–22.4 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>eq in 2050. The country's global rank in terms of total emissions per unit of GDP<sup>2</sup> was 141 in 2017, and 117 regarding the per-capita emissions (132 and 123 in 2030). In terms of accumulated historical emissions, Albania contributed to the global 1850–2017 emissions by 0.01%. When only accounting for the years 1990–2017, its contribution increases to 0.02%. All of the emissions are presented following

---

<sup>1</sup>**SSPs:** Shared Socio-economic Pathways. Narratives and challenges to mitigation and adaptation: SSP1: Sustainability, Taking the Green Road (low / low); SSP2: Middle of the Road (medium / medium); SSP3: Regional Rivalry, A Rocky Road (high / high); SSP4: Inequality, A Road Divided (low / high); and SSP5: Fossil-fuelled Development, Taking the Highway (high / low).

<sup>2</sup>**GDP:** Gross Domestic Product. Throughout this document the GDP is given as GDP PPP, with PPP being the Purchasing Power Parity.

GWP AR4<sup>3</sup>, and exclude emissions from LULUCF<sup>4</sup> (exclLU), and bunkers fuels<sup>5</sup> emissions (exclBunkers).

Table 1: National emissions (dmSSP2), GDP and population for Albania, together with the emissions per unit of GDP and per capita emissions (all for 2017 and 2030). Additionally, the global share and its rank are displayed.

	Year	Total	Unit	Glob. share	Rank
<b>Emissions</b>	2017	10.2	Mt CO <sub>2</sub> eq	0.02%	145
	2030	11.5	Mt CO <sub>2</sub> eq	0.02%	144
<b>GDP</b>	2017	33.7	Billion 2011 GK\$	0.03%	122
	2030	46.1	Billion 2011 GK\$	0.02%	133
<b>Emissions per GDP</b>	2017	303.5	t CO <sub>2</sub> eq / Million 2011 GK\$	0.2%	141
	2030	249.5	t CO <sub>2</sub> eq / Million 2011 GK\$	0.3%	132
<b>Population</b>	2017	2.9	Million Pers	0.03%	138
	2030	2.9	Million Pers	0.03%	138
<b>Emissions per capita</b>	2017	3.6	t CO <sub>2</sub> eq / Pers	0.2%	117
	2030	4.0	t CO <sub>2</sub> eq / Pers	0.2%	123

For Albania, in 2017 the main emissions share on sectoral level (Fig. 1) came from the Energy sector (49.1%), followed by Agriculture (24.1%), and IPPU (15.3%). The Kyoto GHG<sup>6</sup> with the highest emissions in 2017 was CO<sub>2</sub>, constituting 61.1% of the national emissions. Second largest contributor was CH<sub>4</sub> (24.8%), followed by N<sub>2</sub>O (12.3%). The total of F-gases<sup>7</sup> only represented 1.7%. The trend in total emissions is mostly driven by CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the IPPU sector, which contributed 13.5% to Albania’s 2017 emissions.<sup>8</sup> The total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are expected to be 63.2% of the national Kyoto GHG emissions in 2030 (dmSSP2).

The national GDP increased in recent years, and the emissions per unit of GDP had an opposite trend (Fig. 2). The population decreased, while the per capita emissions dropped. Following dmSSP2, the GDP is projected to increase towards 2050. The emissions per GDP are estimated to rise after 2017 but to decrease again before 2050. Albania’s population is assumed to grow after 2017 but to diminish again before 2050, and the per capita emissions are expected to increase towards 2050.

<sup>3</sup>**Global Warming Potential (GWP)**: we use GWP values from the IPCC 4<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report (AR4). They reflect the forcing potential of one kilogram of a gas’ emissions in comparison to one kilogram of CO<sub>2</sub> (GWP<sub>CO2</sub> = 1). The GWPs correspond to a 100-yr period and are for CH<sub>4</sub>: 25, for N<sub>2</sub>O: 298, for SF<sub>6</sub>: 22800, and for NF<sub>3</sub>: 17200. For the basket of HFC-gases the GWPs from AR4 are in the range 4–14800, and for PFCs 7190–12200. To assess emissions of several GHGs, their emissions are weighted by their respective GWPs and presented in CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents (CO<sub>2</sub>eq).

<sup>4</sup>**LULUCF**: Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry. Emissions from LULUCF are excluded throughout the document, unless stated otherwise.

<sup>5</sup>**Bunkers fuels**: emissions from international aviation and shipping.

<sup>6</sup>**Kyoto GHG** (Greenhouse Gas) basket: carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>7</sup>**F-gases** (fluorinated gases): basket of HFCs, PFCs, and the gases SF<sub>6</sub> and NF<sub>3</sub>. Some F-gases have very long atmospheric lifetimes and high Global Warming Potentials.

<sup>8</sup>Analysis based on the correlations between total national emissions (exclLU) versus the emissions of the combinations of main-sectors & the gases CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and F-gases. Only data from 2010 to 2017 are assessed. The (up to) three gas & sector combinations are chosen for which the slope of the regression line to the correlated values exceeds 0.2.




Figure 1: 'Stacked' timeseries of national emissions (exclLU) per main-sector (a) and Kyoto GHG (b). No information available on the sectoral contributions after 2017.




Figure 2: Timeseries of national GDP (a) and population (c), and Kyoto GHG emissions (exclLU, exclBunkers) per unit of GDP (b) or per capita (d).

## 2 LULUCF emissions

LULUCF emissions data for Albania are available from the following sources (Fig. ??): UNFCCC (2019), FAO (2019).

**High fluctuations? Data gaps? Difference between sources?**




Figure 3: Timeseries of emissions from LULUCF (CO<sub>2</sub> plus CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) as available from different data-sources. Indicated in pink are the 'chosen' data, as used in our assessment of Albania's NDC (if needed). The pink timeseries was inter- and / or extrapolated (interpolation: linear, extrapolation: constant).

## 3 Mitigation targets (NDC)

Give the %cov for the base and target year (and 2017). Global share for 2030 for the mitigated pathways and % reduction relative to 1990 and 2017. Table with the 'input' data and the resulting targets (like `ndcs_targets.csv`). Albania has an NDC, with a GHG mitigation target of the type RBU (relative reduction compared to Business-As-Usual; main target type). The reclassified<sup>9</sup> target type is ABS (absolute emissions target).

Table 2: Information on Albania's GHG mitigation target(s).

type	condi.	range	value	tarYr	LU
RBU	uncondi.	best	-11.5%	2030	exclLU
ABS	uncondi.	best	5.44 Mt CO <sub>2</sub> eq SAR	2030	exclLU

<sup>9</sup>**Reclassification:** when a country has, e.g., an RBU target (relative reduction compared to Business-As-Usual), and the BAU emissions are provided, it can be quantified based on the given emissions, and is reclassified from type\_main RBU to type\_reclass ABS (absolute emissions target). Additionally, 'NGT' targets can be reclassified as 'ABU' (absolute reduction compared to Business-As-Usual) if absolute mitigation effects due to planned policies and measures are provided.




Figure 4: Timeseries of Albania's national emissions (exclLU) and the share of emissions that is assumed to be covered by Albania's mitigation target.

Table 3: Information on covered sectors and gases as retrieved from NDC and adapted ('Adap.': used to calculate %cov), and their shares in Albania's 2017 emissions (exclLU, exclBunkers; total 10.2 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>eq). If either the sector or gas is assessed as 'not-covered', the emissions from this sector-gas combination are counted as not-covered (-). Else the emissions are counted as covered (+; covered shares given in bold). (/) means that no information is available. LULUCF: NDC '-' and adapted '-' (estimated as a net source of 0.9 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>eq in 2017; based on the 'chosen' LULUCF emissions).

	NDCs	Adap.	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	HFCs	PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>	NF <sub>3</sub>	Total
NDCs			+	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Adap.			+	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Energy	+	+	<b>47.3%</b>	1.4%	0.3%	/	/	/	/	49.1%
IPPU	+	+	<b>13.5%</b>	0.0%	0.08%	1.7%	/	/	/	15.3%
Agri.	-	-	0.3%	13.2%	10.5%	/	/	/	/	24.1%
Waste	-	-	0.02%	10.2%	1.0%	/	/	/	/	11.2%
Other	/	-	/	/	0.3%	/	/	/	/	0.3%
Total			61.1%	24.8%	12.3%	1.7%	/	/	/	100.0%




Figure 5: Quantified mitigation targets (based on different input data and calculation options). Vertical lines: conditionality / range; colour coded: dmSSP1-5; first / second set of six: prio NDCs / SSPs; set of six: coverage 100, lulucf unfccc, lulucf fao, bl uncondi, const emi, estimated coverage.

## 4 Data sources and references

PRIMAP-hist v2.1: emissions from PRIMAP-hist are data from the country reported data priority scenario (HISTCR).