# Kiribati: information on national emissions, population and GDP, and mitigation targets

September 23, 2020

#### **Authors:**

Annika Guenther<sup>1</sup> Johannes Guetschow<sup>1</sup>

## **Affiliations:**

1. Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Germany

**DOI:** [to be added]

## TODO

- Table with info on target (main and reclass; emissions from NDC; target quantis + plot).
- GWP: NDC emissions coverted from AR2 to AR4 by national conversion factor (2010–2017, PRIMAP-hist v2.1).
- References!

## 1 Non-LULUCF emissions and socio-economic data

With national emissions of 137.7 kt CO<sub>2</sub>eq, Kiribati contributed 0.0002% to global emissions in 2017, and in 2030 its share is estimated to stay at a similar level (Table ??). The estimates for 2030 are based on the downscaled SSP2 Middle of the Road marker scenario (dmSSP2), in which Kiribati is estimated to emit 121.2 kt CO<sub>2</sub>eq in 2030. That change in emissions would constitute a substantial decrease of -12.0% compared to 2017. The pathways dmSSP1–5 show a range of 121.2–121.2 kt CO<sub>2</sub>eq in 2030, and 121.2–121.2 kt CO<sub>2</sub>eq in 2050. The country's global rank in terms of total emissions per unit of GDP was 69 in 2017, and 191 regarding the per-capita emissions (25 and 196 in 2030). In terms of accumulated historical emissions, Kiribati contributed to the global 1850–2017 emissions by 0.0001%. When only accounting for the years 1990–2017, its contribution stays the same to 0.0001%. All of the emissions are presented following GWP AR4, and exclude emissions from LULUCF (exclLU), and bunkers fuels emissions (exclBunkers).

Table 1: National emissions (dmSSP2), GDP and population for Kiribati, together with the emissions per unit of GDP and per capita emissions (all for 2017 and 2030). Additionally, the global share and its rank are displayed.

	Year	Total	v .	Glob. share	Rank
Emissions	2017	137.7	kt CO <sub>2</sub> eq	0.0002%	199
	2030	121.2	$kt CO_2eq$	0.0002%	199
GDP	2017	231.2	Million 2011 GK\$	0.0002%	195
	2030	211.8	Million 2011 GK\$	0.0001%	195
Emissions	2017	595.6	t CO <sub>2</sub> eq / Million 2011 GK\$	0.5%	69
per GDP	2030	572.1	t $CO_2$ eq / Million 2011 GK\$	0.8%	25
Population	2017	114.2	Thousand Pers	0.001%	181
	2030	110.2	Thousand Pers	0.001%	184
Emissions	2017	1.2	t CO <sub>2</sub> eq / Pers	0.08%	191
per capita	2030	1.1	t CO <sub>2</sub> eq / Pers	0.07%	196

For Kiribati, in 2017 the main emissions share on sectoral level (Fig. ??) came from the Energy sector (73.9%), followed by Waste (20.1%) The Kyoto GHG with the highest emissions in 2017 was  $CO_2$ , constituting as much as 72.4% of the national emissions. Second largest contributor was  $CH_4$  (22.6%) The total of F-gasesonly represented 0.0%. The total  $CO_2$  emissions are expected to be 70.0% of the national Kyoto GHG emissions in 2030 (dmSSP2).




Figure 1: 'Stacked' timeseries of national emissions (exclLU) per main-sector (a) and Kyoto GHG (b). No information available on the sectoral contributions after 2017.




Figure 2: Timeseries of national GDP (a) and population (c), and Kyoto GHG emissions (exclLU, exclBunkers) per unit of GDP (b) or per capita (d).

The national GDP increased in recent years, and the emissions per unit of GDP had a similar trend (Fig. ??). The population increased, and the per capita emissions were on the rise. Following dmSSP2, the GDP is projected to drop towards 2050. The emissions per GDP are estimated to decrease towars 2050. Kiribati's population is assumed to diminish towars 2050, and the per capita emissions are expected to decline towars 2050.

# 2 LULUCF emissions

LULUCF emissions data for Kiribati are available from the following sources (Fig. ??): FAO (2019).

High fluctuations? Data gaps? Difference between sources?




Figure 3: Timeseries of emissions from LULUCF ( $CO_2$  plus  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$ ) as available from different data-sources. Indicated in pink are the 'chosen' data, as used in our assessment of Kiribati's NDC (if needed). The pink timeseries was inter- and / or extrapolated (interpolation: linear, extrapolation: constant).

# 3 Mitigation targets (NDC)

Give the %cov for the base and target year (and 2017). Global share for 2030 for the mitigated pathways and % reduction relative to 1990 and 2017. Table with the 'input' data and the resulting targets (like ndcs\_targets.csv). Kiribati has an NDC, with a GHG mitigation target of the type RBU (relative reduction compared to Business-As-Usual; main target type). The reclassified target type is ABS (absolute emissions target).

Table 2: Information on Kiribati's GHG mitigation target(s)	Т	able 2:	Information	on Kiribati's	GHG mitigation	target(s
---	---	---------	-------------	---------------	----------------	----------

$\mathbf{type}$	condi.	range	value	tarYr	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}$
RBU	uncondi.	best	-13.7%	2025	exclLU
RBU	uncondi.	best	-12.8%	2030	exclLU
RBU	condi.	best	-62.5%	2025	exclLU
RBU	condi.	best	-61.8%	2030	exclLU
ABS	uncondi.	best	$0.063 \text{ Mt CO}_2\text{eq}$	2025	exclLU
ABS	uncondi.	best	$0.068~\mathrm{Mt}~\mathrm{CO}_2\mathrm{eq}$	2030	exclLU
ABS	condi.	best	$0.027 \text{ Mt CO}_2\text{eq}$	2025	exclLU
ABS	condi.	best	$0.030 \text{ Mt CO}_2\text{eq}$	2030	exclLU




Figure 4: Timeseries of Kiribati's national emissions (exclLU) and the share of emissions that is assumed to be covered by Kiribati's mitigation target.

Table 3: Information on covered sectors and gases as retrieved from NDC and adapted ('Adap.': used to calculate %cov), and their shares in Kiribati's 2017 emissions (exclLU, exclBunkers; total 137.7 kt CO<sub>2</sub>eq). If either the sector or gas is assessed as 'not-covered', the emissions from this sector-gas combination are counted as not-covered (–). Else the emissions are counted as covered (+; covered shares given in bold). (/) means that no information is available. LULUCF: NDC '-' and adapted '-' (estimated as a net sink of -5.2 kt CO<sub>2</sub>eq in 2017; based on the 'chosen' LULUCF emissions).

	NDCs	Adap.	$\mathbf{CO}_2$	$\mathbf{CH}_4$	$N_2O$	HFCs	PFCs	$\mathbf{SF}_6$	$\mathbf{NF}_3$	Total
NDCs			+	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Adap.			+	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Energy	+	+	72.0%	1.6%	0.3%	/	/	/	/	73.9%
$\mathbf{IPPU}$	/	_	0.4%	/	0.5%	/	/	/	/	1.0%
Agri.	/	_	/	2.1%	2.5%	/	/	/	/	4.7%
$\mathbf{Waste}$	/	_	/	18.9%	1.2%	/	/	/	/	20.1%
$\mathbf{Other}$	/	_	/	/	0.3%	/	/	/	/	0.3%
Total			72.4%	22.6%	4.9%	/	/	/	/	100.0%




Figure 5: Quantified mitigation targets (based on different input data and calculation options). Vertical lines: conditionality / range; colour coded: dmSSP1–5; first / second set of six: prio NDCs / SSPs; set of six: coverage 100, lulucf unfccc, lulucf fao, bl uncondi, const emi, estimated coverage.

# 4 Data sources, additional information and references

PRIMAP-hist v2.1: emissions from PRIMAP-hist are data from the country reported data priority scenario (HISTCR).

dmSSPs: emissions, population and GDP data are PMSSPBIE data for the five marker scenarios.

- SSPs Shared Socio-economic Pathways. Narratives and challenges to mitigation and adaptation: SSP1: Sustainability, Taking the Green Road (low / low); SSP2: Middle of the Road (medium / medium); SSP3: Regional Rivalry, A Rocky Road (high / high); SSP4: Inequality, A Road Divided (low / high); and SSP5: Fossil-fuelled Development, Taking the Highway (high / low).
- **GDP** Gross Domestic Product. Throughout this document the GDP is given as GDP PPP, with PPP being the Purchasing Power Parity.
- **GWP** Global Warming Potential. we use GWP values from the IPCC  $4^{th}$  Assessment Report (AR4). They reflect the forcing potential of one kilogram of a gas' emissions in comparison to one kilogram of  $CO_2$  (GWP<sub>CO2</sub> = 1). The GWPs correspond to a 100-yr period and are for CH<sub>4</sub>: 25, for N<sub>2</sub>O: 298, for SF<sub>6</sub>: 22800, and for NF<sub>3</sub>: 17200. For the basket of HFC-gases the GWPs from AR4 are in the range 4–14800, and for PFCs 7190–12200. To assess emissions of several GHGs, their emissions are weighted by their respective GWPs and presented in  $CO_2$  equivalents ( $CO_2$ eq).
- **LULUCF** Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry. Emissions from LULUCF are excluded throughout the document, unless stated otherwise.

Bunkers fuels Emissions from international aviation and shipping.

- **Kyoto GHG** Kyoto GHG (Greenhouse Gas) basket: carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ , methane  $(CH_4)$ , nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$ , hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride  $(SF_6)$ , and nitrogen trifluoride  $(NF_3)$ .
- **F-gases** Fluorinated gases.Basket of HFCs, PFCs, and the gases SF<sub>6</sub> and NF<sub>3</sub>. Some F-gases have very long atmospheric lifetimes and high Global Warming Potentials.
- Target reclassification When a country has, e.g., an RBU target (relative reduction compared to Business-As-Usual), and the BAU emissions are provided, it can be quantified based on the given emissions, and is reclassified from type\_main RBU to type\_reclass ABS (absolute emissions target). Additionally, 'NGT' targets can be reclassified as 'ABU' (absolute reduction compared to Business-As-Usual) if absolute mitigation effects due to planned policies and measures are provided.

#### Links to additional information:

- CLIMATEWATCH
- CarbonBrief: Clear on Climate
- Climate Action Tracker
- Country resolved combined emission and socio-economic pathways based on the RCP and SSP scenarios (February 2020)
- Guest post: Calculating the true climate impact of aviation emissions (September 2020)
- IGES NDC Database

- IPCC (The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change)
- IPCC Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5° (2018)
- ISIMIP / ISIpedia
- NDC Explorer
- NDC PARTNERSHIP
- PBL Climate Pledge NDC tool
- SSP Database (Shared Socioeconomic Pathways) Version 2.0 (December 2018)
- The PRIMAP-hist national historical emissions time series (1850-2017) (2019)
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change)
- World's richest 1% cause double CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of poorest 50%, says Oxfam
- #showyourbudgets