

# Lab assignment #3: Gaussian Quadrature and Numerical Differentiation

Instructor: Nicolas Grisouard ([nicolas.grisouard@utoronto.ca](mailto:nicolas.grisouard@utoronto.ca))

Due Friday, October 2nd 2020, 5 pm

Starting this week, we will attribute rooms on a first-come, first-serve basis: first, try to sign up for room 1. If it is full, sign up for room 2 (and ask your partner to join you there if they are in room 1). If room 2 is full, repeat for room 3. We believe that the cap has been increased again, because room 1 reached 30 students without complaining during lab 2. Based on last lab's numbers, two rooms might be enough, in which case N.G. would go to the most crowded room.

**Room 1** Alex Cabaj ([Marker](mailto:Marker), [alex.cabaj@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:alex.cabaj@mail.utoronto.ca)),  
URL: <https://gather.town/2d25nirKWziTcd4/PHY407AC>  
PWD: phy407-2020-TA-alex

**Room 2** Pascal Hogan-Lamarre ([pascal.hogan.lamarre@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:pascal.hogan.lamarre@mail.utoronto.ca)),  
URL: <https://gather.town/k9a7e9j0MjrTEoqw/PHY407-PHL>  
PWD: phy407-2020-phl

**Room 3** Nicolas Grisouard ([nicolas.grisouard@utoronto.ca](mailto:nicolas.grisouard@utoronto.ca)),  
URL: <https://gather.town/SluBq0pSNawQycc4/phy407-NG>  
PWD: phy407-NG

---

## General Advice

- **Work with a partner!**
- Read this document and do its suggested readings to help with the pre-labs and labs.
- Ask questions if you don't understand something in this background material: maybe we can explain things better, or maybe there are typos.
- Specific instructions regarding what to hand out are written for each question in the form:

**THIS IS WHAT IS REQUIRED IN THE QUESTION.**

Not all questions require a submission: some are only here to help you. When we do though, we are looking for “C<sup>3</sup>” solutions, i.e., solutions that are Complete, Clear and Concise.

- An example of Clarity: make sure to label all of your plot axes and include legends if you have more than one curve on a plot. Use fonts that are large enough. For example, when

integrated into your report, the font size on your plots should visually be similar to, or larger than, the font size of the text in your report.

- Whether or not you are asked to hand in pseudocode, you **need** to strategize and pseudocode **before** you start coding. Writing code should be your last step, not your first step. Test your code as you go, **not** when it is finished. The easiest way to test code is with `print()` statements. Print out values that you set or calculate to make sure they are what you think they are. Practice modularity. It is the concept of breaking up your code into pieces that as independent as possible from each other. That way, if anything goes wrong, you can test each piece independently. One way to practice modularity is to define external functions for repetitive tasks. An external function is a piece of code that looks like this:

```
def MyFunc(argument):
    """A header that explains the function
    INPUT:
    argument [float] is the angle in rad
    OUTPUT:
    res [float] is twice the argument"""
    res = 2.*argument
    return res
```

Place these functions in a separate file called e.g. `functions_labNN.py`, and call and use them in your answer files with:

```
import functions_labNN as fl # make sure file is in same folder
ZehValyou = 4.
ZehDubble = fl.MyFunc(ZehValyou)
```

## Computational background

**Gaussian quadrature** Section 5.6 of Newman provides a nice introduction to Gaussian quadrature. Example 5.2 on p.170 gives you the tools you need to get started. The files referenced are `gaussint.py` and `gaussxw.py`. To use this code, you need to make sure that both files are in the same directory (so the import will work), or to know how to fetch them in different directories.

The line

```
x, w = gaussxw(N)
```

returns the  $N$  sample points  $x[0], \dots, [N-1]$  and the  $N$  weights  $w[0], \dots, w[N-1]$ . These weights and sample points can be used to calculate any integral on the interval  $-1 < x < 1$ . To translate this integral into one that approximates an integral on the interval  $a < x < b$  you need to implement the change of variables formulas (5.61) and (5.62) of Newman, which are written in the code as

```
xp = 0.5*(b-a)*x + 0.5*(b+a)
wp = 0.5*(b-a)*w
```

The loop then sums things up into the summation variable  $s$ .

On p. 171, there's a bit of code that lets you skip the change of variables by using `gaussxwab.py`, which you can use if you wish.

In Section 5.6.3 there's a discussion of errors in Gaussian quadrature, which are somewhat harder

to quantify than for the previous methods we've seen. Equation (5.66) suggests that by doubling  $N$  we can get a pretty good error estimate:

$$\epsilon_N = I_{2N} - I_N. \quad (1)$$

**Plotting lots of lines** Here's a little trick to systematically display a lot of lines in a plot. Suppose you have a function of a dependent variable that depends on a couple of parameters. How can you plot a bunch of lines on the same plot to indicate a systematic dependence without having to laboriously construct plotting symbols? This is a great place to use python's zip functionality to pair plotting symbols or line types with parameter settings. E.g., try the following:

```
from numpy import pi, sin, arange
from pylab import plot, show, clf
clf()
phases = (0, pi/3)
colours = ('r', 'g')
amps = (1, 2, 3)
lines = ('.', '-', ':')
x = arange(0, 2*pi, 0.1)
for (phase, colour) in zip(phases, colours):
    for (amp, line) in zip(amps, lines):
        plot_str = colour + line
        plot(x, amp*sin(x+phase), plot_str)
show()
```

**Factorial** There is a function factorial in the math package<sup>1</sup> that calculates the factorial of an integer. Your program might run out of memory if the numbers in  $2^n n!$  get too large, so wrap them in floats as `float(2**n)*float(factorial(n))`.

**Solving Derivatives Numerically** Section 5.10 of the textbook deals with various methods for solving derivatives numerically. However, they assume you have a function which you can calculate at any point. If instead, you just have function values at different points, then the  $h$  in the formulas has to be the distance between your data points. So for example, with a central difference scheme, to calculate derivatives you could use something like:

```
for i in range(xlen):
    dfdx[i] = (f[i+1]-f[i-1])/(x[i+1] - x[i])
```

However, there will be an issue for the first and last  $i$  values. At  $i=0$ ,  $f[i-1]$  doesn't exist<sup>2</sup> Similarly, at  $i=xlen-1$ ,  $f[i+1]$  doesn't exist. So you can't use this formula for the endpoints. Instead, you can use a forward difference scheme for the first point and a backward difference scheme for the last point.

**Indications about the relief map question** In that question, you will need to do some fiddling with plotting options to get a reasonable map. If you use the `imshow` routine, set `cmap='gray'` for the easiest view of the relief plot  $I(x, y)$ . You might need to show the transpose of the array depending on how you've read in your data. The `origin='upper'` or `origin='lower'` settings of

<sup>1</sup>Numpy and SciPy also do have one, but their functions point to the math package.

<sup>2</sup>Careful though, `(f[-1])` in Python does exist technically, but it is the last point of the array. And similarly, in Python, `f[xlen] = f[0]`.

`imshow` might also be helpful. If you want to make the coordinates clear for your plots, you can use the “extent” argument of `imshow` as in

```
imshow(..., extent=[west, east, south, north])
```

where the arguments indicate the west-east and south-north boundaries of the box.

In this map question, missing values are encoded in the file with large negative numbers, which suggests you need to use a narrow range for values with the `vmin` and `vmax` arguments to `imshow`. You might start with a narrow range for  $w$  and  $I$  and then adjust the values until you get an informative image.

## Physics background



Figure 1: Soon, Toronto. Soon.

Image taken from <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Snowsquall>

**Blowing snow** In atmospheric science, *blowing snow* is defined as snow that is lifted to a significant height above the surface, reducing visibility. An empirical formula for diagnosing the probability of blowing snow in the Canadian Prairies is<sup>3</sup>

$$P(u_{10}, T_a, t_h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta} \int_0^{u_{10}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\bar{u} - u)^2}{2\delta^2}\right] du, \quad (2)$$

where  $u_{10}$  is the average hourly windspeed at a height of 10 m, the average hourly temperature is  $T_a$  in °C, and the snow surface age is  $t_h$  in hours. The mean wind speed is

$$\bar{u} = 11.2 + 0.365 T_a + 0.00706 T_a^2 + 0.9 \ln(t_h) \quad (3)$$

and the standard deviation of the wind speed is

$$\delta = 4.3 + 0.145 T_a + 0.00196 T_a^2. \quad (4)$$

The last two equations express how the mean wind speed tends to increase as the snow surface ages and becomes more smooth, and how some temperatures are more favourable to stronger and more variable winds than others.

<sup>3</sup>The following is from Chapter 3 of *Snow and Climate*, by Armstrong and Brun.

**Quantum uncertainty in the harmonic oscillator (problem extended from Newman 5.13)** In units where all the constants are 1, the wavefunction of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  energy level of the one-dimensional quantum harmonic oscillator —i.e., a spinless point particle in a quadratic potential well— is given by

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} e^{-x^2/2} H_n(x), \quad (5)$$

for  $n = 0 \dots \infty$ , where  $H_n(x)$  is the  $n$ th Hermite polynomial. Hermite polynomials satisfy a relation somewhat similar to that for the Fibonacci numbers,

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - 2nH_{n-1}(x). \quad (6)$$

The first two Hermite polynomials are  $H_0(x) = 1$  and  $H_1(x) = 2x$ .

The derivative of the Hermite polynomials satisfy

$$\frac{dH_n(x)}{dx} = 2nH_{n-1}(x) \quad (7)$$

and as a result,

$$\frac{d\psi_n(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} e^{-x^2/2} [-xH_n(x) + 2nH_{n-1}(x)]. \quad (8)$$

The quantum uncertainty of a particle in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  level of a quantum harmonic oscillator can be quantified by its root-mean-square position  $\sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle}$ , where

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 |\psi_n(x)|^2 dx. \quad (9)$$

This is also a measure of twice the potential energy of the oscillator. A similar calculation tells us that in these units the momentum uncertainty is

$$\langle p^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d\psi_n(x)}{dx} \right|^2 dx, \quad (10)$$

which is a measure of twice the kinetic energy of the oscillator.

Summing potential and kinetic energy, the total energy of the oscillator is then

$$E = \frac{1}{2} (\langle x^2 \rangle + \langle p^2 \rangle). \quad (11)$$

**Image processing and relief maps (adapted from Newman 5.23)** Read explanations on p. 212. However, note that our questions in the text will be different than those on p. 213. Also, note that when Newman derives a formula for the “intensity of illumination”, it should be interpreted liberally rather than physically. As in, this formula is a good way to represent slopes on a map in an way that is intuitive, nothing more, but nothing less (it is actually very clever, if you think about it).

Indeed, according to a physicist,  $I$  could only be positive. Also, according to... well, anyone, really, if the sun does not shine on a plane (i.e., when a mountain blocks your light), then  $I$  should be equal to zero. On the other hand, according to the formula on p. 212,  $I < 0$  when you are in the shadow, cast by a mountain.

Moreover, according to the text,  $\theta = \pi/2$  (Sun is on the horizon). In that case, all mountains should cast horizontal shadows, and a mountain that is in the way of the Sun should cast a shadow on all mountains behind it, unless those mountains have higher altitudes, creating long, horizontal streaks.

Problem is, incorporating these effects would look like cr...anberries. Fortunately, the formula  $I$  in the textbook does not have any of these problems, and creates beautiful maps, even though it does not represent what it claims it does.

## Questions

### 1. [25%] More on integrating functions

- (a) In Lab 2, we evaluated the Dawson function  $D(x) = e^{-x^2} \int_0^x \exp(t^2) dt$  at  $x = 4$  using the Trapezoidal Rule and Simpson's Rule, as well as SciPy's implementation. We've now introduced Gaussian quadrature as a third method.

- i. Calculate the same integral with all three methods for a range of  $N$  slices/sample points between  $N=8$  and  $N=2048$ . *Note: you can just copy-and-paste a lot of what you did last week, or what I did in my solution (but mention which!). Having all methods on the same document helps with clarity of the results.*

**SUBMIT PRINTED OUTPUT AND EXPLANATORY NOTES.**

- ii. Calculate the relative error compared to the true value of  $D(4)$  using these results and using eqn. (1), which requires you to do the calculation at  $2N$  as well as at  $N$ .

Plot the magnitude of the relative error on a log-log scale as a function of  $N$ , and describe the results, e.g. the relative size of the errors, the validity of the error estimate (1), etc.

**SUBMIT FIGURE(S), AND EXPLANATORY NOTES.**

- (b) Use a Gaussian quadrature with  $N=100$  to calculate  $P$  from eqn. (2) (see "blowing snow" above) for  $u_{10} = (6, 8, 10) \text{ m s}^{-1}$  and  $t_h = (24, 48, 72)$  hours. Plot  $P$  as a function of  $T_a$  for these settings. You might want to use the plotting suggestions in the computational background to help come up with a compact way to display the information on a single line plot. How does the probability of blowing snow depend on the strength of the wind and the age of the snow? Does this dependence make sense to you? How does the temperature at which blowing snow is most likely to occur depend on the wind strength?

**SUBMIT CODE IN A SEPARATE FILE FROM THE ONE FOR Q1A, FIGURE(S) AND EXPLANATORY NOTES.**

### 2. [40%] Calculating quantum mechanical observables (Adapted and expanded from Newman 5.13, p. 182)

- (a) Write a user-defined function  $H(n, x)$  that calculates  $H_n(x)$  for given  $x$  and any integer  $n \geq 0$ . Use your function to make a plot that shows the harmonic oscillator wavefunctions for  $n = 0, 1, 2$ , and  $3$ , all on the same graph, in the range  $-4 \leq x \leq 4$ .

**SUBMIT FIGURE AND EXPLANATORY NOTES (CODE TO BE SUBMITTED LATER).**

- (b) Make a separate plot of the wavefunction for  $n = 30$  from  $x = -10$  to  $x = 10$ .

*Note: the program should take only a second or so to run.*

**SUBMIT FIGURE.**

- (c) Write a program that

- evaluates  $\langle x^2 \rangle$ ,  $\langle p^2 \rangle$  and energy  $E$ , as described in the Physics Background, using Gaussian quadrature on 100 points, and then
- calculates the position and momentum uncertainty (i.e., the root-mean-square position and momentum of the particle) for a given value of  $n$ .

Use your program to calculate the uncertainty for  $n = (0, 1, 2, \dots, 15)$ . What is the relationship between the uncertainty in position and the uncertainty in momentum? Do you notice a simple rule for the energy of the oscillator? *Note: you will need to use one of the evaluation techniques on p.179 of Newman to deal with the improper integrals here.* For  $n = 5$ ,  $\sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle} \approx 2.35$ .

**SUBMIT CODE, PRINTED OUTPUT, AND EXPLANATORY NOTES.**

3. [35%] **Generating a relief map** (adapted from Newman 5.23, p. 212; Read explanations on p. 212 first, but our questions are different than those on p. 213)

The NASA Space Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM), which took place in 2000, recorded the elevation of the Earth's surface (with respect to the geoid) using synthetic aperture radar. Coarsened versions of the resulting data, which was combined with elevation data from other sources, are available at the US Geological Survey website

[http://dds.cr.usgs.gov/srtm/version2\\_1/SRTM3/](http://dds.cr.usgs.gov/srtm/version2_1/SRTM3/)

as a list of files consisting of  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  latitude-longitude tiles, each with 3 arcsecond resolution.<sup>4</sup> In each tile the elevation in height above sea level is packed in a binary format that you will need to unpack in this exercise. The format of the file names at the URL above is

<continent name>/<LAT><LON>.hgt.zip

where <LAT> is a latitude location like  $50^\circ\text{N}$  (with the name N50) and <LON> is a longitude location like  $20^\circ\text{W}$  (with the name 020W). You will need to unzip the file to use it. The latitude and longitude locations mark the southwest corner of the tile. Each tile is a  $1201 \times 1201$  grid of packed two-byte integers. The following code snippet would read the first couple of values from this file:

```
import struct # for reading binary files
...
f = open(filename, 'rb')
buf = f.read(2) # read two bytes
val1 = struct.unpack('>h', buf)[0] # ">h" is a signed two-byte integer
buf = f.read(2) # read the next two bytes
val2 = struct.unpack('>h', buf)[0] # ">h" is a signed two-byte integer
```

<sup>4</sup>See [http://dds.cr.usgs.gov/srtm/version2\\_1/SRTM30/srtm30\\_documentation.pdf](http://dds.cr.usgs.gov/srtm/version2_1/SRTM30/srtm30_documentation.pdf)

The order in which the file stores data is as follows: the first value `val1` is the elevation of the northwestern most point, the second value `val2` is the elevation of the point immediately to the east, and so on. The first 1201 values correspond to the northernmost latitude row from west to east, and the next 1201 values correspond to the latitude row immediately to the south of the first, and so on. You need to account for the north-to-south, west-to-east order of the data as you read it in.

- (a) Download the tile corresponding to Lake Geneva, at the border between France and Switzerland. You will need to explore the SRTM website a bit to find it. The file you download contains the elevation above sea level in metres. Note that some measurements are wrong, point-wise. They tend to be wrong when the slopes are extremely steep (cliffs, basically), where the instrument might have failed. Fortunately, you can safely pretend that these points don't exist, since the black&white rendering of most maps you will produce will sort of hide it. In a professional setting however, this would be called "hiding problems under the rug", and a big no-no. You could highlight the problematic regions using masks of different colours, but for this lab, we do not ask you to do such thing.

Then write a pseudocode to do the following:

- Read and store the content of the input file into a two-dimensional array  $w(x, y)$ .
- Calculate the gradient of  $w$ , accounting for the different methods needed for interior and edge points. Explain your approach. In particular, devise a way to check that the borders are somewhat correctly treated (we do not seek a very careful method, a rough check will do).
- Create plots of  $w$  and  $I$ .

**SUBMIT PSEUDO-CODE.**

- (b) Now implement this program. To calculate the derivatives you'll need to know the value of  $h$ , the distance in metres between grid points, which is about 83 m in this case. (It's actually not precisely constant because we are representing this part of the spherical Earth on a flat map, but  $h = 83$  m will give reasonable results.) Create plots of both  $w$  and of  $I$  from p 212 of the textbook. For the  $I$  plot use  $\phi = \pi/6$ , corresponding to light shining from the south-west. What is the main difference between the  $w$  and  $I$  maps? See if you can identify and zoom in on any well known features such as the towns of Geneva, Lausanne, Évian, and of course, CERN. Save and hand in any interesting zoomed images.

**SUBMIT PLOTS, AND EXPLANATORY NOTES.**