Post-Test Questionnaire

Testvariant B

| Test-No. Semester: | |
|---|---|
| Following the usability test, we have a fer and in detail and take your time when an | w more questions for you. Please answer honestly swering the questions. |
| difficult/easy was it for you to wo | on the local computers in the Linux Lab, how rk with the university's cluster? Output aqually much easier |
| | |
| 0 | t, the tasks and working with EduMPI? was ok really like it ease name three things you liked. |
| | |

| | What didn't you like on Score-P/Scalasca/Cube? Please name three things you didn't like. |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| 3. | How easy/difficult was it for you to work with Score-P/Scalasca/Cube compared to TAU, which you know from the lecture? |
| | much harder eaqually much easier |
| | |
| | |
| 4. | If you were to program something in MPI in the future, would you like to work on the university's cluster or would you prefer to use a local stand-alone computer (e.g. in the Linux Lab)? Would you use Score-P/Scalasca/Cube? Explain your answer. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 5. | Would you use Score-P/Scalasca/Cube for the performance analysis of your parallel programs in the future or would you rather use TAU or not perform any performance analysis at all? Explain your answer. |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| 6. | Should work on the cluster and performance analysis with Score-P/Scalasca/Cube become an integral part of the "Parallel Programming" course in future semesters? Do you think that Score-P/Scalasca/Cube can make learning parallel programming easier or more difficult? Explain your answer. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |