Applied Microeconometrics - Assignment 4

 $483670,\,630516$

September 21, 2022

1

Table 1 contains the results of a multinomial logit model of school choice on gender and test scores. The estimates are the Odds-Ratios.

Table 1

| choice | Coefficient | Std. err. | z | P> z | [95% conf. | interval] | |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|-----------|--|
| High_school_1 | (base alternative) | | | | | | |
| Outside_Utrecht | | | | | | | |
| gender | 4690375 | .4415235 | -1.06 | 0.288 | -1.334408 | .3963327 | |
| testscore | 0506644 | .0545106 | -0.93 | 0.353 | 1575032 | .0561744 | |
| _cons | 29.04348 | 29.6866 | 0.98 | 0.328 | -29.1412 | 87.22815 | |
| Gymnasium_1 | | | | | | | |
| gender | 419388 | .4363943 | -0.96 | 0.337 | -1.274705 | .435929 | |
| testscore | .1200623 | .0566682 | 2.12 | 0.034 | .0089948 | .2311299 | |
| _cons | -63.95478 | 30.89643 | -2.07 | 0.038 | -124.5107 | -3.398893 | |
| High_school_2 | | | | | | | |
| gender | 2547803 | 1.468061 | -0.17 | 0.862 | -3.132128 | 2.622567 | |
| testscore | .2180528 | .2512237 | 0.87 | 0.385 | 2743366 | .7104423 | |
| _cons | -121.5995 | 137.5185 | -0.88 | 0.377 | -391.1309 | 147.9319 | |
| High_school_3 | | | | | | | |
| gender | 2168744 | .4658658 | -0.47 | 0.642 | -1.129955 | .6962058 | |
| testscore | 0206016 | .0578131 | -0.36 | 0.722 | 1339133 | .0927101 | |
| _cons | 12.13533 | 31.48937 | 0.39 | 0.700 | -49.5827 | 73.85335 | |
| High_school_4 | | | | | | | |
| gender | 0134729 | .5383483 | -0.03 | 0.980 | -1.068616 | 1.04167 | |
| testscore | 0068987 | .0669302 | -0.10 | 0.918 | 1380795 | .1242822 | |
| _cons | 3.837665 | 36.45847 | 0.11 | 0.916 | -67.61962 | 75.29495 | |
| High_school_5 | | | | | | | |
| gender | 1953817 | 1.468223 | -0.13 | 0.894 | -3.073045 | 2.682282 | |
| testscore | 0806672 | .1717818 | -0.47 | 0.639 | 4173533 | .256019 | |
| _cons | 41.40126 | 93.35539 | 0.44 | 0.657 | -141.5719 | 224.3745 | |
| High_school_6 | | | | | | | |
| gender | 2324838 | .4710565 | -0.49 | 0.622 | -1.155738 | .6907699 | |
| testscore | .0354673 | .0596902 | 0.59 | 0.552 | 0815233 | .1524579 | |
| _cons | -18.48359 | 32.52928 | -0.57 | 0.570 | -82.23981 | 45.27262 | |
| High_school_7 | | | | | | | |
| gender | .4913499 | .6720051 | 0.73 | 0.465 | 8257559 | 1.808456 | |
| testscore | 0639538 | .0781985 | -0.82 | 0.413 | 21722 | .0893124 | |
| _cons | 33.92765 | 42.54658 | 0.80 | 0.425 | -49.46212 | 117.3174 | |
| Gymnasium_2 | | | | | | | |
| gender | 3593733 | .5188671 | -0.69 | 0.489 | -1.376334 | .6575876 | |
| testscore | .0798507 | .0679752 | 1.17 | 0.240 | 0533782 | .2130796 | |
| restatore | | | | | | | |

Table 2 contains the results of a multinomial logit model of school choice on the distance to a given school and whether the deciding student has a sibling at this school. The results suggest that if your sibling is at the school your probability of choosing it increases (positive and significant coefficient). The negative significant coefficient for the distance suggests that the greater the distance to the school, the lower the probability of choosing that school.

| Ta | hl | e | 2 |
|----|----|---|---|
| | | | |

| choice | Coefficient | Std. err. | z | P> z | [95% conf. | interval] |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| school sibling distance | 1.689151 245953 | .1895964 .0298558 | 8.91 -8.24 | 0.000 0.000 | 1.317549 3044694 | 2.060753 1874367 |
| High_school_1 | (base alternative) | | | | | |
| Outside_Utrecht _cons | 1.42745 | . 2385271 | 5.98 | 0.000 | .9599457 | 1.894955 |
| Gymnasium_1 _cons | 1.236214 | . 2324322 | 5.32 | 0.000 | .7806554 | 1.691773 |
| High_school_2 _cons | -2.142987 | .743227 | -2.88 | 0.004 | -3.599686 | 6862892 |
| High_school_3 _cons | .9008862 | . 2558284 | 3.52 | 0.000 | .3994717 | 1.402301 |
| High_school_4 _cons | 152363 | .2778213 | -0.55 | 0.583 | 6968827 | .3921566 |
| High_school_5 _cons | -2.614989 | .7388643 | -3.54 | 0.000 | -4.063136 | -1.166841 |
| High_school_6 _cons | .8443403 | . 2568296 | 3.29 | 0.001 | .3409635 | 1.347717 |
| High_school_7 _cons | 590173 | .3341246 | -1.77 | 0.077 | -1.245045 | .0646992 |
| Gymnasium_2 _cons | .2806044 | . 2787649 | 1.01 | 0.314 | 2657647 | . 8269735 |

3

Table 3 combines the regressions of table 1 and table 2. This results in a rank-ordered logit model. The siblings' school and the distance to a given school remain similarly important in determining future students' preferences.

Table 3

| choice | Coefficient | Std. err. | z | P> z | [95% conf. | interval] |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| school | | | | | | |
| sibling | 1.674634 | .1934232 | 8.66 | 0.000 | 1.295531 | 2.053737 |
| distance | 2605671 | .0306829 | -8.49 | 0.000 | 3207045 | 2004297 |
| High_school_1 | (base alternative) | | | | | |
| Outside_Utrecht | | | | | | |
| gender | 6169134 | .4638332 | -1.33 | 0.184 | -1.52601 | .292183 |
| testscore | 0255972 | .0567346 | -0.45 | 0.652 | 136795 | .0856006 |
| _cons | 15.67982 | 30.88092 | 0.51 | 0.612 | -44.84567 | 76.20531 |
| Gymnasium_1 | | | | | | |
| gender | 5939652 | .4680242 | -1.27 | 0.204 | -1.511276 | .3233455 |
| testscore | .1496682 | .0600876 | 2.49 | 0.013 | .0318987 | .2674378 |
| _cons | -80.1084 | 32.74004 | -2.45 | 0.014 | -144.2777 | -15.93909 |
| High_school_2 | | | | | | |
| gender | 4408758 | 1.487665 | -0.30 | 0.767 | -3.356645 | 2.474894 |
| testscore | .2503491 | .2510607 | 1.00 | 0.319 | 2417208 | .742419 |
| _cons | -138.6169 | 137.4542 | -1.01 | 0.313 | -408.0222 | 130.7884 |
| High_school_3 | | | | | | |
| gender | 6193152 | .5079289 | -1.22 | 0.223 | -1.614837 | .3762071 |
| testscore | .0266032 | .0628187 | 0.42 | 0.672 | 0965193 | .1497257 |
| _cons | -13.27733 | 34.20047 | -0.39 | 0.698 | -80.30901 | 53.75436 |
| High_school_4 | | | | | | |
| gender | 0016342 | .5597209 | -0.00 | 0.998 | -1.098667 | 1.095399 |
| testscore | .0127403 | .0700957 | 0.18 | 0.856 | 1246446 | .1501253 |
| _cons | -7.089617 | 38.17082 | -0.19 | 0.853 | -81.90304 | 67.72381 |
| High_school_5 | | | | | | |
| gender | 3748867 | 1.480941 | -0.25 | 0.800 | -3.277477 | 2.527704 |
| testscore | 0264712 | .1755886 | -0.15 | 0.880 | 3706186 | .3176761 |
| _cons | 11.94192 | 95.41748 | 0.13 | 0.900 | -175.0729 | 198.9567 |
| High_school_6 | | | | | | |
| gender | 4506662 | .5073866 | -0.89 | 0.374 | -1.445126 | .5437932 |
| testscore | .0923924 | .0641304 | 1.44 | 0.150 | 0333009 | .2180857 |
| _cons | -49.27242 | 34.93046 | -1.41 | 0.158 | -117.7349 | 19.19003 |
| High_school_7 | | | | | | |
| gender | .4013687 | .691612 | 0.58 | 0.562 | 9541659 | 1.756903 |
| testscore | 031933 | .0797927 | -0.40 | 0.689 | 1883238 | .1244578 |
| _cons | 16.53 | 43.40518 | 0.38 | 0.703 | -68.5426 | 101.6026 |
| | | | | | | |
| Gymnasium_2 | | | | | | |
| Gymnasium_2 gender | 6693524 | .5540754 | -1.21 | 0.227 | -1.75532 | . 4166153 |
| | 6693524 .1390897 | .5540754 .0726138 | -1.21 1.92 | 0.227 0.055 | -1.75532 0032307 | .4166153 .2814101 |

The theory of independence of irrelevant alternatives means that the coefficients and effects that we have predicted would remain the same if we remove one of the options. This is likely to be the case when all options are substitutes. Schools in our case are all substitutes for education. They may differ, but primarily on observables (type of school, rating, distance from decision maker). However, the regression we have run has not included all those observed. In the analysis we have run not all are very good alternatives so not all other options are irrelevant. For example, gymnasiums are more similar to another gymnasium and no-gymnasium school. In this situation removing one gymnasium school could shift demand not by the factors that we suggested, but primarily on whether replacement scools

Code - Stata

```
use "/Users/julian/Documents/Current/Applied Microeconometrics/GitHub/Assignment 4/HighSchooData.dta"
#Table 1
asclogit choice, case(id) alternatives(school) casevars(gender testscore)
#Table 2
asclogit choice sibling distance, case(id) alternatives(school)
#Table 3
asclogit choice sibling distance, case(id) alternatives(school) casevars(gender testscore)
```